FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISIONIS PISTRI

Miguel Miranda, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 6:17-CV-143-ORL-40 4BS

LTG, LLC,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Miguel Miranda, ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, LTG, LLC, ("Defendant"), and in support of his claims states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., for failure to pay overtime wages under 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).
 - 2. This Complaint is filed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because all of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Orange County, Florida.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a resident of Orange County, Florida.
- 6. Defendant, LGT, LLC, operates a rental car company in Orlando, in Orange County, Florida.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.
- 8. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.
- 9. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Named Plaintiff, Miguel Miranda, was employed by Defendant as a front desk employee.
- 11. The collective action of similarly situated employees consists of all other employees employed by Defendant within the last three years. These similarly situated persons will be referred to as "similarly situated employees".
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and similarly situated employees were "engaged in the production of goods" for commerce within the meaning of Sections 6 and 7 of the FLSA, and as such were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and similarly situated employees were "employees" of Defendant, LTG, LLC, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendant, LTG, LLC, was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 15. Defendant, LTG, LLC, continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 16. At all times material hereto, Defendant, LTG, LLC, was and continues to be an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined under 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).
- 17. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, LTG, LLC, engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).
- 18. At all times relevant to this action, the annual gross sales volume of Defendant, LTG, LLC, exceeded \$500,000.00 per year.

FACTS

- 19. Named Plaintiff, MIGUEL MIRANDA, began working for Defendant as a front desk employee in October 2015, and he worked in this capacity until December 2016.
- 20. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff and similarly situated employees worked hours in excess of forty hours within a work week for Defendant, and they were entitled to be compensated for these overtime hours at a rate equal to one and one-half times their individual regular hourly rates.
- 21. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and similarly situated employees an overtime premium for all of the overtime hours that they worked, in violation of the FLSA.
- 22. By failing to accurately record, report, and/or preserve records of hours worked by Plaintiff and similarly situated employees, Defendant has failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of its employees in a manner sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, including Defendant's employment practices, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

23. Defendant's actions were willful, and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 24. Plaintiff brings this case as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated employees of Defendant ("the Collective Action") pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The Collective Action is composed of employees whom Defendant failed to compensate for all overtime hours worked in accordance with the FLSA.
- 25. Therefore, Notice is properly sent to: "All employees whom Defendant failed to compensate for all of the overtime hours that they worked from January 2014 to the present."
- 26. The total number and identities of the Collective Action members may be determined from the records of Defendant, and the Collective Action may easily and quickly be notified of the pendency of this action.
- 27. Plaintiff is similar to the Collective Action because he and the Collective Action have been unlawfully denied full payment of their overtime wages as mandated by the FLSA.
- 28. Plaintiff's experience with Defendant's payroll practices is typical of the experiences of the Collective Action.
- 29. Defendant's failure to pay all overtime wages due at the rates required by the personal circumstances of the named Plaintiff or of the Collective Action is common to the Collective Action.

- 30. Overall, Plaintiff's experience as a front desk employee who worked for Defendant is typical of that of the Collective Action.
- 31. Specific job titles or job duties of the Collective Action do not prevent collective treatment.
- 32. Although the issues of damages can be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of operative facts concerning Defendant's liability under the FLSA in this case.

COUNT I – FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.
- 34. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the Collective Action worked overtime hours while employed by Defendant, and they were not properly compensated for all of these hours under the FLSA.
- 35. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Action for all of the overtime hours that Plaintiff and the Collective Action worked.
- 36. The Members of the Collective Action are similarly situated because they were all employed as employees by Defendant, were compensated in the same manner, and were all subject to Defendant's common policy and practice of failing to pay its employees for all of the overtime hours that they worked in accordance with the FLSA.

- 37. This reckless practice violates the provisions of the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1). As a result, Plaintiff and the Members of the Collective Action who have opted into this action are each entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 38. All of the foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 39. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Collective Action have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action demand:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Plaintiff and the prospective Collective Action that he seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;
- (b) Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA collective action, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (c) Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- (d) Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by this Court;
- (e) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in Members of the Collective Action at the applicable overtime rate;
- (f) A declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- (g) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid back wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in Members of the Collective Action at the applicable overtime rate as liquidated damages;
- (h) Judgment against Defendant, stating that its violations of the FLSA were willful;
- To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;
- (j) All costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims;and
- (k) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this 23rd day of January, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

DONNA V. SMITH

Florida Bar Number: 661201 WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 North Florida Avenue, Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602

Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-386-0995 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: dsmith@wfclaw.com Email: rcooke@wfclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ISSECTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

Planning	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Miguel Miranda, on beha situated,		DEFENDANTS LTG, LLC								
III. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (**flace an "X" in time fluct tribty) 1 U. S. Government Plantiff S. Federal Construer Clin S. Federal Construer Clin					(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF						
1 C. S. Government	(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Donna V. Smith, Wenzel Suite 300, Tampa, FL 33	Address, and Felephone Numbe , Fenton, Cabassa, P., 602	Á., 1110 N. Florida <i>A</i>	Ave.,	Attorneys (If Known)						
Planner	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL	L PARTIES	(Place on "X" m (ne Box fi	or Planti,	
Defendant Chiecan or Subject of a Foreign Country Towns		•			PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State						
N. NATURE OF SUIT											
190 Marines						3	Foreign Nation		J 6	□ 6	
19 Summer				1 80	DEFITIDE/DENALTV	DANE	DUDTOV	OTUEDS	TATUT	PC I	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from Appellate Court	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Sutts □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	☐ 62 ☐ 69 ☐ 72: ☐ 72: ☐ 79: ☐ 79: ☐ 79: ☐ 79:	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Lingation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	□ 422 Appeal □ 423 Withdr 28 US PROPER¹ □ 820 Copyri □ 830 Patent □ 840 Traden SOCIALS □ 861 BIA (1 □ 862 Black I □ 863 DIWC □ 864 SSID □ □ 865 RSI (40 FEDERAI □ 870 Taxes (or Defi □ 871 IRS □ 1 26 US6	28 USC 158 awal C 157 FY RIGHTS ghts aark ECURITY 395ff) .ung (923) DIWW (405(g)) öitle XVI DS(g)) -TAX SUITS U.S. Plaintiff endant) Chird Party	□ 375 False Cla □ 376 Qui Tam □ 3729(a); □ 400 State Ret □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks ar □ 450 Commer □ 460 Deportat □ 470 Racketee Corrupt C □ 480 Consume □ 490 Cable Sa □ 850 Securitie Exchang □ 890 Other Sta □ 891 Agriculta □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedom Act □ 896 Arbitrati □ 899 Administs Act/Revi Agency I □ 950 Constitut	aims Act (31 USC) apportion ad Bankin ce ion bright Indiana credit at TV ss/Commo ce attatory Act and Acts and Inform on trative Pre cew or App ceision tionality of	ment g eed and ions odities/ ctions atters nation ocedure peal of	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: FLSA overtime violations VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAIN	X1 Original □ 2 Rer	moved from	Remanded from П Appellate Court	Reop	ened Another - tspecify	r District	Litigation				
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv P. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER	VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Brief description of ca	use:		Cue jar saucuvuu Muu		· one, / .				
IF ANY JUDGE	COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.										
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF PECORD			JUDGE	- ا		DOCKET	NUMBER				
O1/23/2017 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ARLEY O	FRECORD						
RECEIPT# AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		OUNT	APPLYING IFP				MAG. JUI	OGE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Rental Car Company LTG, LLC Facing FLSA Class Action