UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO.:

CASSIE MILOSEVIC, and all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C 206(B),

Plaintiff,

v.

HUME ENTERPRISES, LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company, AARON HUME, individually KEVIN HUME, individually

Defendant.

/

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Cassie Milosevic ("Milosevic"), on behalf of herself, and others similarly situated, under the provisions of the Fair Labor Standard Act ("FLSA") of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), files this Complaint against Defendants Hume Enterprises LLC, a Florida Limited Liability Company, (Hume Enterprises) Aaron Hume (" A. H."), individually and Kevin Hume ("K.H."), individually and alleges, as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(4), because these claims seek redress for violations of Plaintiff's federal civil and statutory rights.

2. At all material times, Hume Enterprises, was a Florida Limited Liability Company authorized to conduct and conducting business in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

3. At all material times, Hume Enterprises operated a business under the Fictitious Name of Toms NFL Sports Bar.

4. The Fictitious Name of Toms NFL Sports Bar is registered with the Florida Division of Corporations as a Fictitious Name and reflects Hume Enterprises as the owner.

5. Hume Enterprises employed Milosevic as a Waitress at Toms NFL Sports Bar located in Miami Springs, Florida.

6. At all material times, A.H. is *sui juris* and a resident of Miami Dade County.

7. At all material times, K.H. is *sui juris* and a resident of Miami Dade County.

8. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims that occurred in this judicial district.

9. This action is brought by Plaintiff to recover from the Employer unpaid overtime and minimum wage compensation, as well as an additional amount as liquidated damages, costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the FLSA, §§ 206, 207.

10. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Hume Enterprises was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum.

11. At all material times hereto, Hume Enterprises was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce.

12. At all material times hereto, Hume Enterprises operated as an organization which purchased equipment and products manufactured outside the state of Florida; provided services to or sold, marketed, or handled goods and materials to customers throughout the United States; provided services for goods sold and transported from across state lines; obtained, solicited, and accepted funds from sources outside the state of Florida; used telephonic transmissions traversing state lines in the ordinary course of business; transmitted funds outside the state of Florida; and otherwise regularly engaged in interstate commerce. 13. As a result of the services provided by Hume Enterprises, two or more of its employees regularly handled and worked with goods and materials moved in or produced in interstate commerce.

14. By reason of the foregoing, Hume Enterprises is and was, during all times material hereto, engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r)-(s), and Plaintiff is within interstate commerce.

15. Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees regularly utilized and handled materials, equipment and goods manufactured and purchased from outside the state of Florida and regularly used the instrumentalities of interstate commerce in their world.

16. Upon information and belief, A.H. and K.H. are officers/directors of Hume Enterprises and have economic and day-to-day control of the business known as Hume Enterprises operating as Toms NFL, and of the nature and structure of Plaintiff's employment relationship with Hume Enterprises, and is therefore an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C., Section 203 (d).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

17. Upon information and belief, Defendants, employed Plaintiff from approximately September 10, 2017 through September 3, 2018 ("the relevant time period").

18. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff was employed as a non-exempt Waitress earning an average of \$35, plus tips, per week.

19. At all material times, Hume Enterprise's gross annual revenues were in excess of \$500,00.00.

20. Upon information and belief, A.H. and K.H. are officers/directors of Hume Enterprises and have economic and day-to-day control of the business known as Hume Enterprises operating as Toms NFL, and of the nature and structure of Plaintiff's employment relationship with Hume Enterprises, and is therefore an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C., Section 203 (d).

21. Throughout her employment with Hume Enterprises, Plaintiff routinely worked for Hume Enterprises on Tuesday through Saturday, twelve (12) hours per day, for a total of sixty (60) hours per week, forty (40) regular hours and eight (20) hours overtime.

22. Plaintiff worked approximately 260 hours per month and was paid an average of \$151.67 dollars a month, or an average of \$.58 per hour.

23. Notwithstanding, Hume Enterprises, willfully and intentionally failed/refused to pay to Plaintiff the federally required minimum and overtime rates for all hours she worked.

24. Hume Enterprises knew of the overtime requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and willfully/intentionally/recklessly failed to investigate whether their payroll practices were in accordance with the FLSA.

25. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is entitled to receive overtime and minimum wage compensation.

26. Plaintiff has complied with all conditions precedent to filing this action.

27. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorneys to represent her in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorney's fee.

PRE-SUIT DEMAND

28. On September 28, 2018, Plaintiff through her undersigned counsel, sent to Hume Enterprises, K.H. and A.H. a written pre-suit demand regarding the violations of the overtime provisions of the FLSA, and requesting Employer pay the amounts owed to Plaintiff, but Hume Enterprises, K.H. and A.H. failed/refused to do so ("Demand").

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS</u> <u>OF FLSA AGAINST HUME ENTERPRISES</u>

29. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty-eight (28) above.

30. This is an action against Hume Enterprises for overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(B).

31. Upon information and belief, Hume Enterprises has employed and currently employs several other similarly situated employees, like Plaintiff, who have not been paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours weekly, within three (3) years from the filing of this Complaint.

32. Plaintiff routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for Hume Enterprises.

33. Specifically, Plaintiff estimates that she regularly worked for Hume Enterprises Tuesdays through Saturdays, twelve (12) hours per day, for a total of sixty (60) hours per week, forty (40) regular hours and twenty (20) hours overtime.

34. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee, entitled to be paid at the rate of one and onehalf for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

35. Hume Enterprises knew or should have known that Plaintiff suffered or was permitted to work overtime for Hume Enterprises as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 203 (g).

36. Hume Enterprises failed and/or refused to compensate Plaintiff for such work in excess of forty (40) hours at rates no less than one and one-half times the regular rates, for which she was employed, contrary to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a).

37. At all material times, Hume Enterprises knew or should have known that such refusal and/or failure is prohibited by the FLSA.

38. Notwithstanding, Hume Enterprises intentionally and willfully violated the FLSA,

as cited herein.

39. At all material times, Hume Enterprises failed/refused to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA regarding the overtime hours worked by Plaintiff.

40. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for his loss.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS</u> <u>OF FLSA AGAINST K.H.</u>

41. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty-eight (28) above.

42. This is a collective action against K.H. for overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(B)

43. Upon information and belief, K.H. has employed and currently employs several other similarly situated employees, like Plaintiff, who have not been paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours weekly, within three (3) years from the filing of this Complaint.

44. Plaintiff routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for Hume

Enterprises.

45. Specifically, Plaintiff estimates that she worked for Hume Enterprises Tuesdays through Saturdays, twelve (12) hours per day, for a total of sixty (60) hours per week, forty (40) regular hours and twenty (20) hours overtime.

46. K.H. had operational control of Hume Enterprises and is therefore an employer pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

47. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee, entitled to be paid at the rate of one and onehalf for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

48. K.H. knew or should have known that Plaintiff suffered or was permitted to work overtime for Hume Enterprises as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(g).

49. At all material times, K.H. knew or should have known that such refusal and/or

failure is prohibited by the FLSA and intentionally and willfully violated the FLSA as cited herein.

50. At all material times, K.H. failed/refused to maintain proper time records as

mandated by the FLSA regarding the overtime hours worked by the Plaintiff.

51. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for her loss.

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS</u> <u>OF FLSA AGAINST A.H.</u>

52. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty-eight (28) above.

53. This is a collective action against A.H. for overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(B)

54. Upon information and belief, A.H. has employed and currently employs several other similarly situated employees, like Plaintiff, who have not been paid overtime for work performed in excess of forty (40) hours weekly, within three (3) years from the filing of this Complaint.

55. Plaintiff routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for Hume Enterprises.

56. Specifically, Plaintiff estimates that she worked for Hume Enterprises Tuesdays

through Saturdays, twelve (12) hours per day, for a total of sixty (60) hours per week, forty (40) regular hours and twenty (20) hours overtime.

57. A.H. had operational control of Hume Enterprises and is therefore an employer pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

58. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee, entitled to be paid at the rate of one and onehalf for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

59. A.H. knew or should have known that Plaintiff suffered or was permitted to work overtime for Hume Enterprises as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(g).

60. At all material times, A.H. knew or should have known that such refusal and/or failure is prohibited by the FLSA and intentionally and willfully violated the FLSA as cited herein.

61. At all material times, A.H. failed/refused to maintain proper time records as

mandated by the FLSA regarding the overtime hours worked by the Plaintiff.

62. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for her loss.

<u>COUNT IV</u> <u>MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATIONS (PROMPT PAYMENT)</u> <u>AGAINST HUME ENTERPRISES</u>

63. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty-eight (28) above.

64. The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises pay Plaintiff a required minimum wage per hour; The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises, have a regular pay period and make reasonably prompt payments in issuing pay for the work performed in the pay period. The failure to "promptly pay" minimum wages due to Plaintiff constitutes a minimum wage violation under the FLSA. *Olson v. Superior Pontiac-GMC, Inc.*, 765 F.2d 1570, 1579 (11th Cir. 1985), modified

77 F.2d 265 (11th Cir. 1985); see also Biggs v. Wilson, 1 F.3d 1537, 1530-40 (9th Cir. 1993).

65. Hume Enterprises knew of and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA because Hume Enterprises knew or should have known that Plaintiff's wages did not amount to a lawful minimum wage considering his 48 hours worked each week.

66. Hume Enterprises willfully and intentionally failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly-situated employees their full minimum wages by making the conscious decision to pay Plaintiff a salary which failed to compensate Plaintiff at the applicable minimum wage. Hume Enterprises also failed to timely pay portions of Plaintiff's last month of hourly pay and tips.

67. Hume Enterprises did not have a reasonable objective belief that it was not required to pay Plaintiff's minimum wages.

68. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for her loss.

<u>COUNT V</u> <u>MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATIONS (PROMPT PAYMENT)</u> AGAINST A.H.

69. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty eight (28) above.

70. The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises pay Plaintiff a required minimum wage per hour; The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises, have a regular pay period and make reasonably prompt payments in issuing pay for the work performed in the pay period. The failure to "promptly pay" minimum wages due to Plaintiff constitutes a minimum wage violation under the FLSA. *Olson v. Superior Pontiac-GMC, Inc.*, 765 F.2d 1570, 1579 (11th Cir. 1985), modified 77 F.2d 265 (11th Cir. 1985); see also *Biggs v. Wilson*, 1 F.3d 1537, 1530-40 (9th Cir. 1993).

71. A.H. knew of and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA because A.H. knew or should have known that Plaintiff's wages did not amount to a lawful minimum wage considering his 48 hours worked each week.

72. A.H. willfully and intentionally failed to pay Plaintiff and those similarly-situated employees their full minimum wages by making the conscious decision to pay Plaintiff a salary which failed to compensate Plaintiff at the applicable minimum wage. A.H. also failed to timely pay portions of Plaintiff's last month of hourly pay and tips.

73. A.H. did not have a reasonable objective belief that it was not required to pay Plaintiff's minimum wages.

74. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for her loss.

<u>COUNT V</u> <u>MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATIONS (PROMPT PAYMENT)</u> <u>AGAINST K.H.</u>

75. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs one (1) through twenty-eight (28) above.

76. The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises pay Plaintiff a required minimum wage per hour; The FLSA requires that Hume Enterprises, have a regular pay period and make reasonably prompt payments in issuing pay for the work performed in the pay period. The failure to "promptly pay" minimum wages due to Plaintiff constitutes a minimum wage violation under the FLSA. *Olson v. Superior Pontiac-GMC, Inc.*, 765 F.2d 1570, 1579 (11th Cir. 1985), modified 77 F.2d 265 (11th Cir. 1985); see also *Biggs v. Wilson*, 1 F.3d 1537, 1530-40 (9th Cir. 1993).

77. K.H. knew of and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the

FLSA because K.H. knew or should have known that Plaintiff's wages did not amount to a lawful minimum wage considering his 48 hours worked each week.

78. K.H. willfully and intentionally failed to pay Plaintiff and those

similarly-situated employees their full minimum wages by making the conscious decision to pay Plaintiff a salary which failed to compensate Plaintiff at the applicable minimum wage. K.H. also failed to timely pay portions of Plaintiff's last month of hourly pay and tips.

79. K.H. did not have a reasonable objective belief that it was not required to pay Plaintiff's minimum wages.

80. As a result, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to be compensated for her loss.

PLAINTIFF'S DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

81. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial of all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Cassie Milosevic, respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against Defendant, Hume Enterprises, as follows:

(a) Declaring pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206(a), 28 U.S.C §2201 and §2202, that the acts and practices of the Defendants complained of herein are in violation of the minimum and overtime wages provisions of the FLSA;

(b) Permanently enjoining the Defendants, their agents, officers and employees from engaging in all practices found by this court to be in violation of the minimum and overtime wages provisions of the FLSA;

(c) Awarding Plaintiff damages against Defendants, for lost and withheld compensation, minimum wages, and overtime wages compensation for all hours that she worked for Defendants over forty (40) hours per week, but for which he was not compensated at the required minimum and overtime rate;

- (d) Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees, costs, I nterest, and expenses of

this litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);

(f) Ordering any other further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of October, 2018.

By: <u>/s/ Henry Hernandez</u> Florida Bar No. 542601

Law Office of Henry Hernandez, P.A. Attorney for Plaintiff 2655 Le Jeune Road, Suite 802 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 T.: 305.771.3374 e.: Henry@HHLAWFLORIDA.com

By: <u>/s/ Monica Espino</u> Florida Bar No. 834491

Espino Law, PL Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs 2655 S. LeJeune Road, Suite 802 Coral Gables, Florida 33134 Email: <u>me@espino-law.com</u> Tel.: 305.704.3172 Fax: 305.722.7378

Case 1:18-cv-24231-RNS Document 1-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/15/2018 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

~

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	2				<u> </u>
Cassie Milosevic									
Cassig Milosgaic				HUME Enterprises, LLC; Aaron Hume; and Kevin Hume					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Miami-Dade County</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
.,	· ·								
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C)ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIF	AL PARTIES			
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 1 4 4 of Business In This State					DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	J 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A				⊡້5	D 5
				en or Subject of a 🛛 🗇 reign Country	3 0 3	3 Foreign Nation		06	06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ıly)			Click he	re for: Nature of Su	it Code Descrip	otions.	
CONTRACT	ТС	RTS		DRFEITURE/PENALITY		NKRUPTCY		STATUT	ES
 I10 Insurance I20 Marine I30 Miller Act I40 Negotiable Instrument I50 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment I51 Medicare Act I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Leans (Excludes Veterans) I53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 340 Marine 350 Motor Vehicle	 PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERI 370 Other Fraud 	0 69	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other <u>LABOR</u> 0 Fair Labor Standards Act	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)		 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketcor Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 		
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	ontract Product Liability Product Liability I 360 Other Personal Injury Injury I 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice ROPERTY Indemnation I 440 Other Civil Rights		0 741 0 75	0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 		 \$50 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange \$90 Other Statutory Actions \$91 Agricultural Acts \$93 Environmental Matters \$95 Freedom of Information Act \$96 Arbitration 		
 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Acconnendations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 □ 463 Alien Detaince □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Othe □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement 	□ 462	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 871 IRS 26	Defeudant) — Third Party USC 7609		view or App Decision utionality o	peal of
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Onto)				1		1		
🗙 1 Original 🛛 🗆 2 Rei	noved from 🛛 3 🛛	Remanded from C Appellate Court	I 4 Reins Rcop		r District	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigatior Direct Fil	1 -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	I 29 U.S.C. § 216/b)	e filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat.	utes unless à	liversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DF	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complain D No	t:
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		\sim		ET NUMBER			
DATE 10/15/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATT	okytet o	FRECORD		For of	the	Heri	offic mandez
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					/ _				-
RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE	DGE	~	

Case 1:18-cv-24231-RNS Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/15/2018 Page 1 of 1

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action



To: (Defendant's name and address) HUME ENTERPRISES, LLC 3902 NW 58th CT Miami, FL 33166

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-24231-RNS Document 1-3 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/15/2018 Page 1 of 1

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Cassie Milosevic, and all others similarly situated all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C 206(B)

> Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

HUME Enterprises, LLC; Aaron Hume, Individually; and Kevin Hume, Individually

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Aaron Hume 3902 NW 58th CT Miami, FL 33166

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:18-cv-24231-RNS Document 1-4 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/15/2018 Page 1 of 1

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Cassie Milosevic, and all others similarly situated all others similarly situated under 29 U.S.C 206(B)

> Plaintiff(s) V.

Civil Action No.

HUME Enterprises, LLC; Aaron Hume, Individually; and Kevin Hume, Individually

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

)

To: (Defendant's name and address) Kevin Hume 3902 NW 58th CT Miami, FL 33166

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Toms NFL Sports Bar Waitress Sues Over Allegedly Unpaid Minimum, Overtime Wages</u>