UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA PITTSBURGH DIVISION

PETER MEDVED,	S	Docket No
individually and on behalf	Š	
of all others similarly situated,	S	
-	S	
Plaintiff,	S	
	Š	
V.	Š	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	Š	
RUBICON OILFIELD	Š	
INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC	Š	CLASS/COLLECTIVE ACTION
and TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS	Š	
USA, LLC	Š	
	Š	
Defendants.	Š	PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)/
	Š	FED. R. CIV. P. 23
	•	

ORIGINAL CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

I. SUMMARY

1. Peter Medved ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit to recover unpaid overtime wages and other damages from Rubicon Oilfield International Holdings, LLC ("Rubicon") and Tercel Oilfield Products USA, LLC ("Tercel") (together, "Defendants") under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act, O.R.C. §§4111 *et seq.*, ("the Ohio Wage Act"), the Ohio Prompt Pay Act ("OPPA"), Ohio Rev. Code §4113.15 (the Ohio Wage Act and the OPPA will be referred to collectively as "the Ohio Wage Acts") and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104.

2. In May 2016, Rubicon acquired Tercel. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a Frac Plug Technician/Field Service Technician during the relevant time period.

3. Plaintiff and the other workers like them regularly worked for Defendants in excess of forty (40) hours each week.

4. But these workers never received overtime for hours worked in excess of forty (40)

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 2 of 14

hours in a single workweek.

5. Instead of paying overtime as required by the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA, Defendants improperly classified Plaintiff and those similarly situated workers as exempt employees and paid them a salary plus a job bonus with no overtime compensation.

6. This class and collective action seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages and other damages owed to these workers.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

8. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-classes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and Division.

10. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in this District and Division.

11. Plaintiff resides in this District and Division.

12. Defendants conduct substantial business operations in this District and Division, including maintaining a corporate office in in this District and Division located at 120 South Campus Drive, Imperial, Pennsylvania 15126.

III. THE PARTIES

13. Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a Frac Plug Technician / Field Service Technician from approximately February 2018 until May 2018.

14. Plaintiff worked for Defendants in Pennsylvania and Ohio.

15. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was classified as an exempt

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 3 of 14

employee and paid a salary and a job bonus with no overtime compensation.

- 16. Plaintiff's consent to be a party plaintiff is attached as <u>Exhibit A</u>.
- 17. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated workers

who were classified as field service technicians and paid a salary and a job bonus with no overtime compensation. Defendants paid each of these workers a salary and a job bonus for each day worked on location and failed to pay them overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek in accordance with the FLSA, Ohio Wage Acts, and PMWA.

18. The class of similarly situated employees or putative class members sought to be certified is defined as follows:

ALL CURRENT AND FORMER FIELD SERVICE TECHNICIANS THAT WORKED FOR RUBICON OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC AND/OR TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC, WHO WERE PAID A SALARY AND A JOB BONUS DURING THE LAST THREE (3) YEARS. ("Putative Class Members").

19. Plaintiff also seeks class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under

the PMWA, as follows:

ALL CURRENT AND FORMER FIELD SERVICE TECHNICIANS THAT WORKED FOR **RUBICON** OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC AND/OR TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC, IN PENNSYLVANIA WHO WERE PAID A SALARY AND A JOB BONUS DURING THE LAST THREE (3) YEARS. ("Pennsylvania Class").

20. Plaintiff also seeks class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under

the Ohio Wage Acts, as follows:

ALL CURRENT AND FORMER FIELD SERVICE TECHNICIANS THAT WORKED FOR RUBICON OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC AND/OR TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC, IN OHIO WHO WERE PAID A SALARY AND A JOB BONUS DURING THE LAST THREE (3) YEARS. ("Ohio Class").

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 4 of 14

21. Defendant Rubicon Oilfield International Holdings, LLC, is a Delaware limited liability corporation doing business throughout the United States, including in Pennsylvania and Ohio. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent for service of process, The Corporation Trust Company, at the Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, or wherever it may be found.

22. Defendant **Tercel Oilfield Products USA, LLC,** is a Texas limited liability corporation doing business throughout the United States, including in Pennsylvania and Ohio. Defendant may be served by serving its registered agent for service of process, **Universal Registered Agents, Inc.**, at the **412 South Morgan Street, Granbury, Texas 76048**, or wherever it may be found.

IV. COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA

23. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been employers within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

24. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

25. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials – such as tools, cell phones, and personal protective equipment - that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that Defendants have had and have an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$1,000,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 5 of 14

26. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

V. FACTS

27. Rubicon is an oil and gas service provider operating throughout the United States and internationally, including in Pennsylvania and Ohio.

28. In May 2016, Rubicon acquired Tercel, an oilfield products company specializing in drilling and completions technologies.

29. To provide services to their clients, Defendants employ oilfield personnel, including Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians.

30. Many of the individuals who worked for Defendants, were paid a salary and job bonus, misclassified as exempt employees, and make up the proposed Putative Classes. While the exact job titles and job duties may differ, the Putative Class Members are and were subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work. These so-called exempt employees were paid a salary and job bonus for each day worked in the field, regardless of the number of hours that they worked that day (or in that workweek) without any overtime pay for hours that they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

31. For example, Plaintiff worked for Defendants as a Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians during the relevant time period (in Ohio and Pennsylvania). Throughout his employment with Defendants, he was classified as an exempt employee and paid a salary plus a job bonus with no overtime compensation.

32. As a Frac Plug Technician / Field Service Technician, Plaintiff regularly worked more than 40 hours each week without receiving overtime compensation. On average, Plaintiff estimates he worked approximately 84 hours each week.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 6 of 14

33. As a Frac Plug Technician / Field Service Technician, Plaintiff (and all other Frac Plug Technicians or Field Service Technicians) performed non-exempt job duties including rigging up, maintaining, operating, and rigging down oilfield equipment in order to place "frac plugs" into the well.

34. The job functions of Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were primarily manual labor or technical in nature, requiring little to no official training, much less a college education or other advanced degree.

35. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members perform the same or similar job duties and are subjected to the same or similar policies and procedures which dictate the day-to-day activities performed by each person.

36. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members also worked similar hours and were denied overtime as a result of the same illegal pay practice.

37. The work Plaintiff performed was an essential and integral part of Defendants' core business.

38. No advanced degree is required to become a Frac Plug Technician / Field Service Technician. In fact, Defendants regularly hired Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians who only have a high-school diploma (or less).

39. Being a Frac Plug Technician / Field Service Technician does not require specialized academic training as a standard prerequisite.

40. For example, Plaintiff does not have any advanced degree.

41. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members did not have any supervisory or management duties.

42. To the extent the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians make "decisions," such decisions do not require the exercise of independent discretion and judgment.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 7 of 14

43. Instead, the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians apply well-established techniques and procedures and use established standards to evaluate any issues.

44. Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians do not set the techniques and procedures utilized to perform their job duties and do not set quality standards.

45. Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians are not allowed to deviate from the techniques and procedures utilized to perform their job duties or from any quality standards.

46. Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians are blue collar workers who rely on their hands, physical skills, and energy to perform manual labor in the oilfield.

47. With these job duties, the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians are clearly non-exempt employees under the FLSA, Ohio Wage Laws, and PMWA.

48. Defendants do not pay their Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 in a single workweek.

49. Instead, Defendants pay these workers a base salary plus a daily job bonus for days worked in the field. This job bonus is not overtime pay, but rather a lump sum payment that must be included in the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians' regular rates of pay.

50. Plaintiff and the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians worked for Defendants in the past three years throughout the United States, including in Pennsylvania and Ohio.

51. As a result of Defendants' pay policies, Plaintiff and the Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians were denied the overtime pay required by federal, Ohio, and Pennsylvania law, because these workers are, for all purposes, employees performing non-exempt job duties.

52. Defendants keep accurate records of the hours, or at least the days, its Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians work.

53. Defendants also keep accurate records of the amount of pay its Frac Plug Technicians/ Field Service Technicians receive.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 8 of 14

54. Because Plaintiff (and Defendants' other Frac Plug Technicians / Field Service Technicians) were misclassified as exempt employees by Defendants, they should receive overtime for all hours that they worked in excess of 40 hours in each workweek.

VI. FLSA VIOLATIONS

55. As set forth herein, Defendants have violated, and are violating, Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA for workweeks longer than forty (40) hours without compensating such employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours per week at rates no less than 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ times the regular rates for which they were employed.

56. Defendants knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members overtime compensation. Defendants' failure to pay overtime compensation to these employees was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay overtime made in good faith.

57. Accordingly, Plaintiff and all those who are similarly situated are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ times their rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs.

VII. PMWA VIOLATIONS

58. Plaintiff brings this claim under the PMWA as a Rule 23 class action.

59. The conduct alleged violates the PMWA (43 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 333.104).

60. At all relevant times, Defendants were subject to the requirements of the PMWA.

61. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and each class member with Pennsylvania state law claims as an "employee" within the meaning of the PMWA.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 9 of 14

62. The PMWA requires employers like Defendants to pay employees at one and one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any one week. Plaintiff and each member of the Pennsylvania Class are entitled to overtime pay under the PMWA.

63. Defendants have and have had a policy and practice of misclassifying Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members as exempt employees and failing to pay these workers overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

64. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members seek unpaid overtime in amount equal to 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, prejudgment interest, all available penalty wages, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

65. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members also seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by Defendants, as provided by the PMWA.

VIII. OHIO WAGE ACT VIOLATIONS

66. Plaintiff brings this claim under the Ohio Wage Act as a Rule 23 class action.

67. The conduct alleged violates the Ohio Wage Act (O.R.C. §§4111).

68. At all relevant times, Defendants were and are subject to the requirements of the OhioWage Act.

69. At all relevant times, Defendants employed Plaintiff and each Class Member with Ohio state law claims as an "employee" within the meaning of the Ohio Wage Act.

70. The Ohio Wage Act requires employers like Defendants to pay employees at one and one-half (1.5) times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any one week. Plaintiff and each member of the Ohio Class are entitled to overtime pay under the Ohio Wage Acts.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 10 of 14

71. Defendant had a policy and practice of misclassifying Plaintiff and each member of the Ohio class as exempt employees and failing to pay these workers overtime for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek.

72. Plaintiff and each member of the Ohio Class seek unpaid overtime in amount equal to 1.5 times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours in a workweek, prejudgment interest, all available penalty wages, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

73. Plaintiff and each member of the Ohio Class also seek recovery of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by Defendants, as provided by the Ohio Wage Act.

IX. CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

74. Plaintiff incorporates all previous paragraphs and alleges that the illegal pay practices Defendants imposed on Plaintiff were likewise imposed on the Putative Class Members.

75. Numerous individuals were victimized by this pattern, practice, and policy which is in willful violation of the FLSA, the Ohio Wage Acts and PMWA.

76. Numerous other individuals who worked with Plaintiff indicated they were improperly classified as exempt employees, paid in the same manner, performed similar work, and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by state and federal wage laws.

77. Based on his experiences and tenure with Defendants, Plaintiff is aware that Defendants' illegal practices were imposed on the Putative Class Members.

78. The Putative Class Members were all improperly classified as exempt employees and not afforded the overtime compensation when they worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

79. Defendants' failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by state and/or federal law result from generally applicable, systematic policies, and practices which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of the Putative Class Members.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 11 of 14

80. Plaintiff's experiences are therefore typical of the experiences of the Putative Class Members.

81. The specific job titles or precise job locations of the Putative Class Members do not prevent class or collective treatment.

82. Plaintiff has no interest contrary to, or in conflict with, the Putative Class Members. Like each Putative Class Member, Plaintiff has an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed to them under state and/or federal law.

83. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

84. Absent this action, many Putative Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will reap the unjust benefits of violating the FLSA and applicable state labor laws.

85. Furthermore, even if some of the Putative Class Members could afford individual litigation against Defendants, it would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system.

86. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

87. The questions of law and fact common to the Putative Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:

- a. Whether Defendants employed the Putative Class Members within the meaning of the applicable state and federal statutes, including the FLSA, the Ohio Wage Acts and PMWA;
- b. Whether the Putative Class Members were improperly misclassified as exempt employees;

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 12 of 14

- c. Whether Defendants' decision to classify the Putative Class Members as exempt employees was made in good faith;
- d. Whether Defendants' decision to not pay time and a half for overtime to the
 Putative Class Members was made in good faith;
- e. Whether Defendants' violation of the FLSA, the Ohio Wage Acts and PMWA was willful; and
- f. Whether Defendants' illegal pay practices were applied uniformly across the nation to all Putative Class Members.

88. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Putative Class Members. Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members sustained damages arising out of Defendants' illegal and uniform employment policy.

89. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a collective or class action.

90. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detraction from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude collective and class action treatment.

X. JURY DEMAND

91. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

XI. RELIEF SOUGHT

92. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

a. An Order designating this lawsuit as a collective action and permitting the issuance of a notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated individuals with instructions to permit them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1 Filed 07/24/18 Page 13 of 14

- For an Order pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA finding Defendants liable
 for unpaid back wages due to Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members for
 liquidated damages equal in amount to their unpaid compensation;
- c. For an Order designating the state law classes as class actions pursuant to Fed.R. Civ. P. 23;
- d. For an Order appointing Plaintiff and his counsel as Class Counsel to represent the interests of the both the federal and state law classes;
- e. For an Order awarding attorneys' fees, costs and pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- f. For an Order granting such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Joshua P. Geist</u>

Joshua P. Geist PA. I.D. No. 85745 **GOODRICH & GEIST, P.C.** 3634 California Ave. Pittsburgh, PA 15212 Tel: (412) 766-1455 Fax: (412)766-0300 josh@goodrichandgeist.com

AND

<u>/s/ Michael A. Josephson</u> Michael A. Josephson PA. I.D. No. 308410 Andrew W. Dunlap Texas Bar No. 24078444 **JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM** 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050 Houston, Texas 77046 713-352-1100 – Telephone 713-352-3300 – Facsimile mjosephson@mybackwages.com adunlap@mybackwages.com

AND

Richard J. (Rex) Burch Texas Bar No. 24001807 **BRUCKNER BURCH, P.L.L.C.** 8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500 Houston, Texas 77046 713-877-8788 – Telephone 713-877-8065 – Facsimile rburch@brucknerburch.com

ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFF

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

Case 2:18-cv-00973-WEF Document 1 1 Elled 07/24/18 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
PETER MEDVED, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Allegheny County</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			RUBICON OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC and TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Michael A. Josephson, Jo Plaza, Suite 3050, 713-3		Firm, 11 Greenway	y				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State			
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and of Business In		
	-			reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		uly) PRTS	F	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: □ 463 Alien Detaince □ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	Y 0 62 I 0 69 XTY X 71 I 72 74 I 75 75 XS I 75	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other LABOR		 OTHER STATIONES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 	
	moved from \Box 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reoj	bened Anothe	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) Brief description of ca	o)	s Act	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: : X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 07/24/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT /s/ Michael A. J					
RECEIPT # AN		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU		
Print	Save As.					Reset	

JS 44AREVISED June, 2009

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PART A

This case belongs on the ($\,$ $\,$ $\,$ Erie O Johnstown • Pittsburgh) calendar.

- 1. ERIE CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean. Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 2. JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
- 3. Complete if on ERIE CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the _____resides in _____ County.
- 4. Complete if on JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR: I certify that the cause of action arose in County and that the resides in County.

PART B (You are to check ONE of the following)

1. O This case is related to Number_____ . Short Caption____

2. O This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:

CIVIL: Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit EMINENT DOMAIN: Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related. HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS: All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

PARTC

10.0

I. CIVIL CATEGORY (Select the applicable category).

- 1. O Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
- 2. O Labor-Management Relations
- 3. O Habeas corpus
- 4. **O** Civil Rights
- 5. Ŏ Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
- 6. **O** Eminent Domain
- All other federal question cases
- 7. O 8. O All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
- 9. O Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
 - Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

Date: 07/24/2018

Michael A. Josephson

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH ÔŠÞRU MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1-2 Filed 07/24/18 Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print Name: Pete Medved

- 1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Rubicon Oilfield International to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
- 3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
- 4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: Pete Medved (Jun 20, 2059)

Date Signed: Jun 20, 2018

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1-3 Filed 07/24/18 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

)

)

))

PETER MEDVED, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

RUBICON OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC and TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

)

To: (Defendant's name and address) Rubicon Oilfield International Holdings, LLC. By and through its registered agent: The Corporation Trust Company at the Corporation Trust Center 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Josephson

Andrew W. Dunlap JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050, 713-352-1100 – Telephone

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	l at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	□ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there							
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	\Box I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	□ I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0)0			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
Buter			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

Case 2:18-cv-00973-NBF Document 1-4 Filed 07/24/18 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

)

)

)

))

PETER MEDVED, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

RUBICON OILFIELD INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, LLC and TERCEL OILFIELD PRODUCTS USA, LLC

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

)

To: (Defendant's name and address) Tercel Oilfield Products USA, LLC By and through its registered agent: Universal Registered Agents, Inc. 412 South Morgan Street Granbury, Texas 76048

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Josephson

Andrew W. Dunlap JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM 11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050, 713-352-1100 – Telephone

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)						
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	l at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	□ I left the summons	I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there							
	on (date)	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	\Box I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)			, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
			on (date)	; or				
	□ I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because			; or			
	Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0)0			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:								
Buter			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Oilfield Worker Claims Rubicon, Tercel Owe Unpaid Overtime</u>