Case 8:17-cv-01608-VMC-AAS Document 1 Filed 07/03/17 Page 1 of 10 PageID 1

FILED

2017 JUL -3 PH 3: 29

CLERK. US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

TAMPA, FLORIDA

ž

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

LUIS MASSARI, on behalf of himself, and those similarly situated,

Case No.:

Plaintiff,

8:17 CV1608 733 AAR

٧.

GOLD RING CATERING, INC., a Florida corporation, JOSE A. BACALLAO, and SANDRA BACALLAO, individuals,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, LUIS MASSARI ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned counsel and on behalf of himself and those similarly situated, hereby brings this action against Defendants, GOLD RING CATERING, INC. ("Gold Ring"), JOSE A. BACALLAO ("Jose"), and SANDRA BACALLAO ("Sandra") (collectively referred to as "Defendants"), and in support of his claims states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for damages in excess of \$15,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* Plaintiff files this Complaint as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

2. This Court has original jurisdiction over these claims, as they arise under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.

Les - 44425

3. Venue is proper in this Court because one or more Defendants reside in this district and all Defendants are Florida residents and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff is a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida.

5. Gold Ring is a corporation conducting business in Hillsborough County, Florida, with a principal address of 2510 N. Tampa St., Tampa, Florida 33602.

6. Jose is a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida, and is the Vice President of Gold Ring.

7. Sandra is a resident of Hillsborough County, Florida, and is the President and Registered Agent of Gold Ring.

8. At all times material to this action, Jose was an individual who owned and/or operated Gold Ring and who exercised the authority to: (a) supervise and control employee work schedules, job duties, and/or conditions of employment; (b) control significant aspects of Gold Ring's day-to-day operations, including compensation of employees; (c) maintain employment records; and/or (d) hire and/or terminate employees.

9. At all times material to this action, Sandra was an individual who owned and/or operated Gold Ring and who exercised the authority to: (a) supervise and control employee work schedules, job duties, and/or conditions of employment; (b) control significant aspects of Gold Ring's day-to-day operations, including compensation of employees; (c) maintain employment records; and/or (d) hire and/or terminate employees.

10. The similarly situated individuals on whose behalf this Complaint is filed are those who have been employees of Defendants at any time during the past three (3) years and who had similar job duties and pay provisions to those of Plaintiff and who were subject to similar violations of the FLSA.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent to bring this action, or such conditions have been waived.

12. Plaintiff has hired undersigned counsel and agreed to pay them a fee

13. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.

14. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA.

15. At all times material hereto, Gold Ring was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

16. At all times material hereto, Jose was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

17. At all times material hereto, Sandra was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.

18. At all times material hereto, Defendants were an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

19. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

20. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was not exempt from the requirements of the FLSA.

21. At all times material hereto, the similarly situated employees were "employees" of Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA.

22. At all times material hereto, the similarly situated employees were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA.

23. At all times material hereto, the similarly situated employees were not exempt from the requirements of FLSA.

FACTS

21. Gold Ring is a restaurant offering dine-in, take-out, and catering services and goods.

22. Plaintiff worked for Defendants from July 2014 through March 23, 2017.

23. At all times during Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff was compensated on an hourly basis, rather than a salary basis.

24. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff's regular job duties included working as a cashier and/or assisting the cashier, taking customer orders at the front counter, cooking the food and/or assisting the cooks, obtaining produce and/or ingredients for Gold Ring, and making catering deliveries.

25. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted Plaintiff to regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week, but failed to pay him at least the applicable overtime rate for all such overtime hours worked.

26. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted Plaintiff to work hours, but failed to pay him at least the applicable minimum wage for all such hours worked.

27. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted the similarly situated employees to regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week, but failed to pay such employees at least the applicable overtime rate for all such overtime hours worked.

28. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted the similarly situated employees to work hours, but failed to pay such employees at least the applicable minimum wage for all such hours worked.

29. By failing to accurately record all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees, Defendants have failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of their employees in a manner sufficient to determine such employees' wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, in violation of the FLSA.

30. Defendants' unlawful actions, as alleged herein, were willful and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff brings this action as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

32. The similarly situated employees are any and all individuals Defendants employed at any time during the past three (3) years, who had similar job duties and pay provisions to those of Plaintiff and who were subject to similar violations of the FLSA.

33. The total number and identities of the similarly situated employees may be determined from Defendants' records and through other forms of discovery; and the similarly situated employees may easily and quickly be notified of the pendency of this action.

34. Plaintiff is similar to the similarly situated employees because some or all of the job duties he regularly performed during his employment with Defendants are similar to those regularly performed by the similarly situated employees during the past three (3) years.

35. Plaintiff is similar to the similarly situated employees because, during the past three (3) years, Defendants unlawfully denied Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees full payment of their minimum wage and/or overtime wages mandated by the FLSA.

36. Plaintiff's experience with Defendants' payroll and compensation practices is typical of the experiences of the similarly situated employees.

37. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff at least the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked is common to the similarly situated employees.

38. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff all overtime wages due at a rate that was at least equal to the applicable overtime rate is common to the similarly situated employees.

<u>COUNT I - FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS</u> (All Plaintiffs against Defendants)

39. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 38, as fully set forth herein.

40. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.

41. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees to regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week, but failed to pay such employees at least the applicable overtime rate for all such overtime hours worked.

42. The practice described in the foregoing paragraph violated the provisions of the FLSA.

43. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged and described above, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

44. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action pray this Court award the following relief:

a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the prospective similarly situated employees that he seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;

b. Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

c. Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

d. Judgement against Defendants for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages, at the applicable overtime rate, of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees;

e. Judgement against Defendants for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages, at the applicable overtime rate, of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees;

f. Declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

g. To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;

h. All reasonable costs and attorneys' fees incurred prosecuting these claims; and

i. Any additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II- FLSA MINIMUM WAGE VIOLATION (All Plaintiffs against Defendants)

45. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 38, as fully set forth herein.

46. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.

47. During the statutory period, Defendants directed or permitted Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees to hours but failed to pay such employees at least the applicable minimum wage for all such hours worked.

48. The practice described in the foregoing paragraph violated the provisions of the FLSA.

49. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged and described above, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

50. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the similarly situated employees have suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action pray this Court award the following relief:

a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of Plaintiff and the prospective similarly situated employees that he seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;

b. Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

c. Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

d. Judgement against Defendants for an amount equal to the unpaid wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees;

e. Judgement against Defendants for liquidated damages in an amount equal to the unpaid wages of Plaintiff and all opt-in similarly situated employees;

f. Declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;

g. To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;

h.

All reasonable costs and attorneys' fees incurred prosecuting these claims;

and

i. Any additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Trenton H. Cotney Florida Bar No. 176214 Benjamin S. Briggs Florida Bar No. 113814 **TRENT COTNEY, P.A.** 8621 E. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd. Tampa, Florida 33610 Telephone: 813-579-3278 Fax: 813-902-7612 tcotney@trentcotney.com bbriggs@trentcotney.com courtfilings@trentcotney.com *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MASSARI, LUIS, on beh	•	DEFENDANTS GOLD RING CATERING, INC., a Florida corporation, and BACALLAO, JOSE A. and BACALLAO, SANDRA, individuals,								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hillsborough (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hillsborough (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attomcys (Firm Name, Benjamin Briggs, Trent C 8621 E. Dr. Martin Luthe (813) 579-3278	Cotney, P.A.			Attomcys (If Known)	I					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in (Ine Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box (or Plaintif	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or P of Business in	and One Box J rincipal Place			
2 U.S. Government Image: A state of the			Citize	n of Another State	2 0 2	2 O 2 Incorporated and Principal Place O 5 O 5 of Business In Another State				
					3 0 3	Forcign Nation		D 6	06	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box O	ntv)	<u> </u>	eign Country						
CONTRACT		DRTS	FO	RFEITURF/PENALTY	BA	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	-	5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS		 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antiguast 			
& Enforcement of Judgment I 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 		 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and 		
 (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 	 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	Liability PERSONAL PROPER O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management	0 861 HIA 0 862 Blac	k Lung (923)	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 50 Securities/Commodities/			
 I 190 Other Contract I 195 Contract Product Liability I 196 Franchise 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury -	 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 	() 74 () 75	Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI ∩ 865 RSI (405(g))		So Securities Commonities Exchange Sequence Statutory Actions Sequence Stat			
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	PRISONER PETITION		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	FFDFP	AL TAX SHITS	B95 Freedo Act	m of Inform	nation	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 220 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habcas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General		Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application		FEDERAL TAX SUITS O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) O 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		 Att 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of 		
290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 	er (7 465					State Statutes		
		 555 Prisci Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement 				JS DIST ISTRIC IPA. FL		ECE		
	moved from 🛛 3	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop		r District		PH 3:	VED		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC		utte under which you ar 201 nuse: 1 violation of FLSA	e filing <i>(D</i>	o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes uniess di	iversity): XIO	29			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	i Di	EMAND S		HECK YES only URY DEMAND		n complair	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE 07/03/2017		SIGNATURE OF APT	ORNEYO	FRECORD					<u> </u>	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	·····								<u> </u>	
RECEIPT # AX	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	DGE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>FLSA Class Action Filed Against Gold Ring Catering, Owners</u>