IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Matthew P. Manes, on behalf of himself and : Civil Action

those similarly situated,

No.

Plaintiff

VS.

9dots Management Corp., LLC and John L.

Florio

Defendant:

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Matthew P. Manes ("Named Plaintiff"), individually, and on behalf of himself and those similarly situated ("Collective Plaintiffs"), hereby complains as follows against Defendant 9dots Management Corp., LLC and John L. Florio ("Defendants").

Introduction

1. This action is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), Pennsylvania's Minimum Wage Act, 43 P.S. § 333.101 et seq. ("PMWA"), and the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law, 43 P.S. § 260.1 et seq. ("WPCL"). Named Plaintiff asserts that Defendants failed to pay Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs compensation in violation of said laws. As a result of the aforesaid unlawful actions, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs have suffered harm.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. This Court has original jurisdiction to hear this action and adjudicate the claims herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked with regard to Named Plaintiff's state law claims.

3. Venue is appropriate in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania because the events or omissions giving rise to Named Plaintiff's claims occurred therein and because Defendants are doing business and/or may be served with process therein.

The Parties

- 4. Named Plaintiff Matthew P. Manes is an adult individual and is a citizen and resident of the United States. Plaintiff resides in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant 9dots Management Corp., LLC ("9dots") is a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business at 1100 East Hector Street, Suite 245, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428.
- 6. 9dots is an employer pursuant to the FLSA because it generates over \$500,000.00 in gross revenue annually or because it engages in interstate commerce.
 - 7. 9dots is an employer within the meaning of the PMWA and the WPCL.
 - 8. 9dots is a computer software company.
 - 9. In 2016, 9dots employed approximately fifteen individuals.
- 10. Defendant John L. Florio ("Florio") has a principal place of business at 1100 East Hector Street, Suite 245, Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428. Florio is President and Chief Executive Officer of 9dots.
 - 11. At all relevant times hereto, Florio acted as an agent of and on behalf of 9dots.
- 12. Florio is an employer pursuant to the FLSA because he exercises significant control over 9dots' operations, he exercised supervisory authority over Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs, and he was responsible in whole or part for the alleged violations set forth herein.

13. Florio is an employer within the meaning of the PMWA and the WPCL because he is policy decision maker for 9dots in all areas of business, including but not limited to, compensation of employees.

FLSA Collective Action Allegations

- 14. Named Plaintiff brings this action for violations of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons presently and formerly employed by Defendants as exempt employees subject to Defendants' unlawful pay practices and policies described herein and who worked for Defendants at any point in the three years preceding the date the instant action was initiated (the members of this putative class are referred to as "Collective Plaintiffs").
- 15. Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs have been and are subject to the same unlawful wage policies and practices of Defendants discussed herein.
- 16. Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs are similarly situated, have substantially similar pay provisions, and are all subject to Defendants' unlawful policies and practices as described herein.
- 17. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who were not paid minimum wage and/or overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA and who would benefit from the issuance of a Court Supervised Notice of the instant lawsuit and the opportunity to join in the present lawsuit.
- 18. Similarly situated employees are known to Defendants, are readily identifiable by Defendants, and can be located through Defendants' records.
- 19. Therefore, Named Plaintiff should be permitted to bring this action as a collective action for and on behalf of himself and those employees similarly situated, pursuant to the "optin" provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Factual Background

- 20. On December 29, 2015, 9dots made an offer of employment to Named Plaintiff to work as a Senior Manager, which offer Named Plaintiff accepted. A true and correct copy of 9dots' December 29, 2015 offer letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A"**.
- 21. Pursuant to the terms of 9dots' offer of employment, 9dots agreed to compensate Named Plaintiff with a base annual salary of \$70,000.00 payable in equal bi-weekly installments, "Great Game of Business" bonuses of approximately 14-18% of Named Plaintiff's salary, and 120 hours of paid time off. See Exhibit "A".
- 22. On January 11, 2016, Named Plaintiff began working for 9dots as a Senior Manager at its office in office Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.
- 23. Defendants designated Named Plaintiff as an exempt employee under federal law.
- 24. Defendants designated Collective Plaintiffs as exempt employees under federal law.
- 25. Each paycheck issued to Named Plaintiff provides that he was compensated as an exempt salaried employee.
- 26. Upon information and belief, each paycheck issued to Collective Plaintiffs provides that they were compensated as exempt salaried employees.
- 27. As a Senior Manager, Named Plaintiff worked approximately 42-43 hours per week.
 - 28. Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked/work over 40 hours per week.
- 29. As a Senior Manager, Named Plaintiff's job duties included interfacing with 9dots' clients and providing them with status updates, tracking 9dots' client projects, and managing tasks associated with 9dots' client projects.

- 30. 9dots did not pay any wages to Named Plaintiff from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016 (four pay periods).
- 31. Named Plaintiff estimates that 9dots failed to pay \$10,769.24 in salary from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
- 32. 9dots also failed to pay Named Plaintiff's "Great Game of Business" bonuses from January through June 2016. Named Plaintiff estimates that 9dots failed to pay \$3,500.00 in "Great Game of Business" bonuses from January through June 2016.
- 33. 9dots also failed to pay Named Plaintiff for 88 hours of unused paid time off ("PTO") in 2016.
- 34. Named Plaintiff estimates that 9dots failed to pay \$2,692.00 in unused PTO in 2016.
- 35. On August 15, 2016, Named Plaintiff resigned from his employment with 9dots due to 9dots' failure to pay his salary and bonuses from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
- 36. By letter dated August 29, 2016, Named Plaintiff, through his counsel, demanded that 9dots pay all unpaid salary and bonuses due, unused PTO, interest, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees.
- 37. By letter dated September 12, 2016, Defendants admitted that they had not paid Named Plaintiff all wages, including bonuses and paid time off, due to him. A true and correct copy of 9dots' September 12, 2016 letter to Plaintiff is attached hereto as **Exhibit "B"**.
- 38. On October 4, 2016, 9dots directly deposited \$1,760.98 into Named Plaintiff's bank account.
- 39. According to the pay stub associated with the October 4, 2016 direct deposit, the \$1,760.98 deposit was for the pay period June 19, 2016 through July 2, 2016. According to the

pay stub, \$1,793.07 was for salary, \$583.33 was for bonuses, and \$218.97 was for PTO. 9dots deducted \$50.53 for health benefits and withheld \$783.86 for taxes.

- 40. The paystub is not accurate because Named Plaintiff earned \$2,692.31 per pay period. Further, Named Plaintiff is no longer covered under 9dots' health plan.
- 41. On November 1, 2016, 9dots directly deposited \$1,760.98 into Named Plaintiff's bank account.
- 42. According to the pay stub associated with the November 1, 2016 direct deposit, the \$1,760.98 deposit was for the pay period July 17, 2016 through July 30, 2016. According to the pay stub, \$1,795.43 was for salary, \$583.33 was for bonuses, and \$218.97 was for PTO. 9dots deducted \$50.53 for health benefits and withheld \$786.86 for taxes.
- 43. The paystub is not accurate because Named Plaintiff earned \$2,692.31 per pay period. Further, Named Plaintiff is no longer covered under 9dots' health plan.
- 44. On December 6, 2016, 9dots directly deposited \$1,760.98 into Named Plaintiff's bank account.
- 45. According to the pay stub associated with the December 6, 2016 direct deposit, the \$1,760.98 deposit was for the pay period November 20, 2016 through December 3, 2016, even though Named Plaintiff resigned on August 15, 2016. According to the pay stub, \$1,795.77 was for salary, \$583.33 was for bonuses, and \$218.97 was for PTO. 9dots deducted \$50.53 for health benefits and withheld \$786.86 for taxes.
- 46. The paystub is not accurate because Named Plaintiff earned \$2,692.31 per pay period. Further, Named Plaintiff is no longer covered under 9dots' health plan.
- 47. To date, 9dots has failed to pay all wages due for the pay periods June 19, 2016 through July 2, 2016 and July 17, 2016 through July 30, 2016.

- 48. To date, 9dots has failed to pay any wages due for the pay periods July 3, 2016 through July 16, 2016 and July 31, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
 - 49. Named Plaintiff calculates that 9dots owes him \$5,384.97 in unpaid salary.
- 50. To date, 9dots has also failed to pay the monthly and quarterly bonuses owed to Named Plaintiff. Named Plaintiff calculates that 9dots owes him approximately \$1,750.01 in unpaid bonuses.
- 51. To date, 9dots has also failed to pay unused paid time off (88 hours). Named Plaintiff calculates that 9dots owes him approximately \$2,035.09 in unpaid paid time off.
- 52. Upon information and belief, Defendants have also failed to pay Collective Plaintiffs wages, including bonuses, during the last three years.

Count I FLSA – Failure to Pay Minimum Wage Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs vs. Defendants

- 53. Paragraphs 1 through 52 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
 - 54. As averred above, 9dots is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
 - 55. As averred above, Florio is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 56. Al all relevant times, Defendants were responsible for paying wages to Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs.
- 57. At all relevant times, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs were exempt employees of Defendants.
 - 58. In 2016, Pennsylvania's minimum wage was \$7.25 per hour.
- 59. As averred above, in 2016, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs worked over 40 hours per week.

- 60. As averred above, 9dots failed to pay Named Plaintiff from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
- 61. As averred above, 9dots also failed to pay Collective Plaintiffs during the last three years.
- 62. Accordingly, 9dots failed to pay Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs minimum wage.
- 63. From at least June 19, 2016 through at least August 13, 2016, 9dots made improper deductions to Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs' wages.
- 64. Upon information and belief, from at least June 19, 2016 through at least August 13, 2016, 9dots improperly reduced the salaries of Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs.
 - 65. Florio was responsible for taking the improper salary deductions.
- 66. Defendants do not have a clearly communicated policy that permits or prohibits improper deductions.
- 67. Since Defendants have an actual practice of making improper deductions from its employees' pay, the salary basis rule cannot be met during the time period in which improper deductions were made. Therefore, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs were not paid on a salary basis as required for exemption during the relevant time period.
- 68. Pursuant to the FLSA, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to compensation going back three years from the date of this Complaint.
 - 69. Defendants' actions constitute willful violations of the FLSA.
- 70. Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs seek all remedies permitted under the FLSA, including unpaid wages, interest, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

Count II FLSA – Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs vs. Defendants

- 71. Paragraphs 1 through 70 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
- 72. As averred above, from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016, Named Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours each week for 9dots, but was not compensated at a rate of time and one half of his hourly wage.
- 73. From June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016, Named Plaintiff estimates that he worked 2-3 hours of overtime per week.
- 74. As averred above, Collective Plaintiffs worked more than 40 hours each week for 9dots, but were not compensated at a rate of time and one half of his hourly wage.
- 75. Since Defendants have an actual practice of making improper deductions from its employees' pay, the salary basis rule cannot be met during the time period in which improper deductions were made. Therefore, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs were not paid on a salary basis as required for exemption during the relevant time period.
- 76. Pursuant to the FLSA, Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs are entitled to overtime compensation going back three years from the date of this Complaint.
 - 77. Defendants' actions constitute willful violations of the FLSA.
- 78. Named Plaintiff and Collective Plaintiffs seek all remedies permitted under the FLSA, including unpaid overtime, interest, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

Count III PMWA – Failure to Pay Minimum Wage Named Plaintiff vs. Defendants

- 79. Paragraphs 1 through 78 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
- 80. As averred above, from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016, Defendants failed to pay minimum wage to Named Plaintiff.
- 81. Defendants' failure to pay Named Plaintiff at all constitutes a violation of the PMWA because Defendants did not pay Named Plaintiff minimum wage.
 - 82. Pursuant to the PMWA, Named Plaintiff is entitled to compensation.
- 83. Named Plaintiff seeks all remedies permitted under the PMWA, including unpaid wages, interest, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

Count IV PMWA – Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation Named Plaintiff vs. Defendants

- 84. Paragraphs 1 through 83 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
- 85. As averred above, Defendants failed to pay overtime to Named Plaintiff from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
 - 86. Defendants' failure to pay overtime also constitutes a violation of the PMWA.
- 87. Pursuant to the PMWA, Named Plaintiff is entitled to overtime compensation from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016.
- 88. Named Plaintiff seeks all remedies permitted under the PMWA, including unpaid overtime, interest, liquidated damages, and attorney's fees and costs.

Count V Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law Named Plaintiff vs. Defendants

- 89. Paragraphs 1 through 88 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
 - 90. As averred above, Named Plaintiff was an employee of 9dots.
 - 91. As averred above, 9dots is an employer under the WPCL.
- 92. As averred above, Florio is a policy decision maker for 9dots in all areas of business, including but not limited to, compensation of employees.
- 93. Because of his policy-making role, Florio is also an employer under Pennsylvania's Wage Payment and Collection Law, 43 P.S. § 260.2(a) ("WPCL").
- 94. As averred above, from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016, Defendants failed to pay the agreed-upon salary to Named Plaintiff.
- 95. As averred above, Defendants failed to pay the agreed-upon bonuses to Named Plaintiff.
- 96. As averred above, Defendants failed to pay the agreed-upon PTO to Named Plaintiff.
 - 97. Florio made the decision to not pay Named Plaintiff.
 - 98. Named Plaintiff did not authorize Defendants to withhold wages.
- 99. Defendants, upon information and belief, never sought or obtained the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry's approval for the pay deductions.
- 100. Defendants took unauthorized wage deductions for their convenience and not for the convenience of Named Plaintiff.
- 101. The WPCL requires employers to pay covered employees for every hour worked in a workweek and that they pay wages on regular paydays. 43 P.S. § 260.3.

- 102. The WPCL prohibits pay deductions except for those explicitly permitted by law or regulation, none of which apply to this lawsuit. 43 P.S. § 260.3, 32 Pa. Code § 9.1.
 - 103. Payment of the unpaid wages is more than 60-days overdue.
- 104. Defendants do not have a good faith basis to defend, assert a counterclaim or set off against the wages due and owing to Named Plaintiff.
- 105. Defendants violated the WPCL by making unauthorized deductions from Named Plaintiff's pay.
- 106. In addition to the wages owed, Named Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages in the amount of 25% of the wages owed or \$500.00, whichever is greater. 43 P.S. § 260.10.
 - 107. Named Plaintiff is also entitled to attorneys' fees and costs. 43 P.S. § 260.90a(f).
- 108. Under Pennsylvania's Wage Payment and Collection Law, Defendants are statutory employers for these purposes and as such, are jointly and separately liable for all wages owed to Named Plaintiff, for liquidated damages, and for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.

Count VI Breach of Contract Named Plaintiff vs. 9dots

- 109. Paragraphs 1 through 108 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
- 110. As averred above, Named Plaintiff entered into an oral employment contract with 9dots wherein 9dots agreed to compensate Named Plaintiff with a base annual salary of \$70,000.00 payable in equal bi-weekly installments, quarterly and monthly bonuses, and 120 hours of paid time off.
 - 111. Named Plaintiff complied with the terms of the contract entered into with 9dots.
 - 112. As averred above, 9dots failed to perform according to the contract.
 - 113. As a result of 9dots's breach of contract, Named Plaintiff has suffered damages.

Count VII Unjust Enrichment Named Plaintiff vs. 9dots

- 114. Paragraphs 1 through 113 are incorporated herein by reference as if set forth at length.
- 115. As averred above, from January 11, 2016 through August 13, 2016, Named Plaintiff worked for 9dots.
 - 116. 9dots benefited from Named Plaintiff's work.
- 117. As averred above, 9dots failed to pay Named Plaintiff his agreed-upon salary from June 19, 2016 through August 13, 2016, bonuses, and earned paid time off.
 - 118. As averred above, 9dots still owes Named Plaintiff wages.
- 119. By not paying Named Plaintiff all wages owed when due, Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs seek the following relief in Counts I-II:

- (1) An order permitting this lawsuit to proceed as a collective action;
- (2) Prompt notice of this lawsuit be given to all potential collective members;
- (3) Declaratory Judgment declaring that Defendants' actions, as set forth in this Complaint, are unlawful under the FLSA;
 - (4) Unpaid compensation damages;
 - (5) Liquidated damages;
 - (6) Interest;
 - (7) Litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and
 - (8) Such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

WHEREFORE, Named Plaintiff seeks the following relief in Counts III-VII:

- (1) Declaratory Judgment declaring that Defendants' actions, as set forth in this Complaint, are unlawful under the PMWA and the WPCL.
 - (2) Unpaid compensation damages;
 - (3) Liquidated damages;
 - (4) Interest;
 - (5) Litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and
 - (6) Such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

JURY DEMAND

Named Plaintiffs and Collective Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury to try all claims triable by jury.

Dated: December 14, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Stephanie J. Mensing (PA ID No. 89625)

Mensing Law LLC

The Philadelphia Building 1315 Walnut Street - Suite 917

Philadelphia, PA 19107

(215) 586-3751; (215) 359-2741 fax

Exhibit "A"



December 29, 2015

Mr. Matthew Manes 716 Saint Albans Begride Hills, NY 14864 Philadelphia, PA 14147

Dear Matthew:

It is our pleasure to offer you the position of Senior Manager with 9Dots Management Corp, LLC ("9Dots"). Your employment commencement date will be on January 11, 2016. Amy Wright will be your direct supervisor. Your annualized salary of \$70,000, less any required taxes and elected deductions, will be paid bi-weekly. You will immediately be able to participate in the Company's Great Game of Business ("GGOB") which makes you eligible to earn a bonus of approximately 14-18% of your gross annual salary. Additionally, in order to have the potential to reach a Gross Annual Compensation of \$90,000 in 2016, you will also be able to earn an individual differential bonus. By accomplishing various goals, to be determined at a later date with your input, you can earn the additional amount needed to reach gross compensation of \$90,000 after consideration of your gross annual salary and GGOB bonus available to be earned. In order to receive the differential bonus for 2016, you must still be employed by the Company as of March 31, 2017.

You will be entitled to participate in all company benefits including medical, dental, vision, flexible spending and short /long term disability. You will be entitled to 120 hours Paid Time Off ("PTO") annually. The Company is in the process of establishing a 401k retirement plan. Upon commencement of the plan, you will be eligible to participate once you've completed 90 days of employment.

All businesses experience changing conditions. Either you or 9Dots can terminate the employment relationship "at will". This means that there is not a guarantee of employment for any specific period, and both you and/or 9Dots have the right to terminate the employment relationship at any time for any reason. The first 90 days of your employment will be under a probationary period. During this probationary period, your performance will be evaluated to assess your potential for continued employment.

Should you decide to accept this offer, it will be necessary for you to submit documentation to Human Resources within three days of your hire date evidencing your employment authorization and identity. This requirement is in accordance with the Immigration Reform Act of 1986.

Documents accepted include, but are not limited to:

- A valid driver's license and social security card, or
- A United States passport (current or expired)
- Immigration and Naturalization Service documents (if applicable)

To accept this offer, please return a signed original to me within two days of receipt. If you have any questions, please contact me at (610) 684-6223.

Finally, I would like to personally take this moment to welcome you to the 9Dots family. We look forward to working with you as a critical member of the Team!!

Sincerely,

John Florio President & CEO

Accepted:

Matthew Monse
Signature

Date

Exhibit "B"



9Dots Management Corp, LLC 1100 E. Hector St., Ste 245 Conshohocken, PA 19428 610.684.6232 office www.ixdots.com

September 12, 2016

Breakout Results

Mr. Matthew Manes 716 St. Albans Street Philadelphia, PA 19147

Dear Mr. Manes,

Following your voluntary termination on the morning of August 15, 2016, you are owed the Net Amounts listed below.

Missed Pay Periods	Gross Amount	Net Amount Due
July 2, 2016	\$2,692.31	\$1,857.63
July 16, 2016	\$2,692.31	\$1,857.63
July 30, 2016	\$2,692.31	\$1,857.63
August 13, 2016	\$2,692.31	\$1,857.63
Total	\$10,769.24	\$7,430.52

Great Game of Business Bonuses Earned	Gross Amount	Net Amount Due
January	\$700.00	\$422.17
February	\$350.00	\$211.07
March	\$700.00	\$422.17
1st Quarter	\$350.00	\$211.07
April	\$350.00	\$211.07
May	\$350.00	\$211.07
June	\$350.00	\$211.07
2 nd Quarter	\$350.00	\$211.07
Total	\$3,500.00	\$2,110.76



Paid Time Off Pay Out- Accrued and Unused Time

Hire Date: January 11, 2016

Termination Date: August 12, 2016

Annual Salary: \$70,000

Breakout Results

Hours Accrued Per Pay Period:	4.62
Pay Periods Employed:	15.5
Hours Accrued: c=a*b	71.54
Hours Used: see exhibit A	32.50
Hours Accrued and Unused: e=c-d	39.04
Hourly Rate:	33.65
Accrued and Unused PTO owed: g=e*f	\$1,313.79
Net after taxes:	\$1,024.63
	Hours Accrued: c=a*b Hours Used: see exhibit A Hours Accrued and Unused: e=c-d Hourly Rate: Accrued and Unused PTO owed: g=e*f

Total Net Amounts Owed: \$10,565.91

Due to the fully disclosed unstable financial condition of the company, below is a payment schedule to remit the amounts owed to you.

Payment Date	Payment
September 30, 2016	\$1,760.98
October 28, 2016	\$1,760.98
November 30, 2016	\$1,760.98
December 30, 2016	\$1,760.98
January 31, 2016	\$1,760.98
February 28, 2016	\$1,761.01
Total	\$10,565.91

These payments will be processed through the payroll system and included in your form W2. Please note: actual payments may vary slightly once processed.



Should something change in the Company's financial condition, positively or negatively, that will impact this payment schedule, we will advise you immediately. Thank you for your patience an understanding during this trying and uncertain time.

Breakout Results

Sincerely,

John Florio

President & CEO



EXHIBIT A

Matt Manes			Breakout Results
Hire Date	1/11/2016		
Term Date	8/12/2016		
Annual Hours available for 2016	120		
Hours Earned on bi-weekly basis	4.62		
Hours Earned based on pay periods:		Hours Used:	Hours Remaining:
1/16/2016	2.31		2.31
1/30/2016	6.92		6.92
2/13/2016	11.54		11.54
2/27/2016	16.15		16.15
3/12/2016	20.77		20.77
3/26/2016	25.38	2	23.38
4/9/2016	30.00		28.00
4/23/2016	34.62		32.62
5/7/2016	39.23		37.23
5/21/2016	43.85		41.85
6/4/2016	48.46	8	38.46
6/18/2016	53.08		43.08
7/2/2016	57.69		47.69
7/16/2016	62.31	8	44.31
7/30/2016	66.92	6	42.92
8/13/2016	71.54	8.5	39.04
		32.5	
Dates used:	Hours		
15-Mar-16	2		
27-May-16	8		
5-Jul-16	8		
18-Jul-16	3		
20-Jul-16	3		
8-Aug-16	2		
11-Aug-16	4		
12-Aug-16	2.5		
	32.5		

Case 2:16-cv-06427-CJS/ID@COVERISHERT 12/14/16 Page 1 of 3

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. ISSE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.

purpose of initiating the civil o	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC)км.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Matthew P. Manes			9dots Management Corp., LLC and John L. Florio			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Stephanie J. Mensing / N Philadelphia, PA 19107;		•	7,	Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	Ine Box Only)	III. CI		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	•	Principal Place 5 5 5 Another State
				en or Subject of a oreign Country	3	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		n(y)	T E/	ODERITHDE/DENIAL TW	BANIVDUIDTOV	OTHER STATISTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Y 0 62	ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))
 □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 367 Health Care/ Phannaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud	TY 3 7	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act	PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	□ 74 □ 75	20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI☐ 865 RSI (405(g))☐	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General		91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		1MMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes
	in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from [Appellate Court		nstated or	r District Litigatio	n - Litigation -
	Cite the U.S. Civil St.	arge Page Spicker	ection 2	De not cite jurisdictional state 201 et Seq.	utes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of callure to pay miles	ause: nimum wage and o	vertime	compensation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUPGE,			DOCKET NUMBER _	
DATE 12/14/16		SIGNATURE OF AT	RNEY	OFRECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			2	<i>1</i>		
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

Case 2:16-cv-06427-TJS Document 1-T Filed 12/14/16 Page 2 of 3

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

assignment to appropriate calendar.	or are any countries in marcaic the category of the case for the purpose
Address of Plaintiff: 716 St. Albans Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147	7
Address of Defendant: 1100 East Hector Street, Suite 245, Conshoh	ocken, PA 19428
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1100 East Hector Street, Suite 2	45, Conshohocken, PA 19428
(Use Reverse Side For A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Ycs□ NoØ
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	<u> </u>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No☑ Suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	provided year provided y to minutes
3. Door this case involve the validity or infilm amount of a second by the second	Yes□ No⊡
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r terminated action in this court?	
communica action in una court:	Ycs□ NoØ
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ts case filed by the same individual?
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes□ No☑
CIVIL: (Place in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
•	(Please specify)
11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Labor Standards Act	
ARBITRATION CERTI	IEICA TION
(Check Appropriate Ca	
, counsel of record do hereby certif	ỳ:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and 1 \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
- Koner other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 12/13/16 YFJ VI	89625
Attorncy-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	y py terminated action in this court
DATE: 12/13/16 8 4 3 Way	89625
Attorney-at-Law	
	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Attorney I.D.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Matthew P. Manes	:	CIVIL ACTION		
٧.	: :			
9dots Management Corp. and John Florio	., LLC	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the o designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designare we a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does no shall, with its first appearance,	Reduction Plan of this court, counse ation Form in all civil cases at the tire e § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- bit agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and server bit Designation Form specifying the ed.	ne of verse said ve on	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ring claims for personal injury of	or property damage from	()	
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed of	or intense management by	()	
(f) Standard Management –	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	()	
12/13/16	Stephanie J. Mersing	Matthew P. Manes		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
215-586-3751	215-359-2741	stephanie@mensinglaw.co	m	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Unpaid Wage Class Action Filed Against 9dots Management Corp.</u>, <u>LLC</u>