1	Charles C. Weller (SBN: 207034) legal@cweller.com CHARLES C. WELLER, APC		
2			
3	11412 Corley Court San Diego, California 92126		
4	Tel: 858.414.7465		
5	Fax: 858.300.5137		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Deana Lozano		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	DEANA LOZANO, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated,)	
11))	
12	Plaintiff,) No)	
13	v.) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
14	SPORTS RESEARCH CORPORATION, a California corporation,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
15	Defendant.)	
16	Dejenuum.))	
17			
18	Deana Lozano ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other consumers in the United States similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby brings this action		
19			
20	against Sports Research Corporation ("Sports Research"), alleging that its Keto+ dietary		
21			
22	supplement, raspberry lemonade flavor ("the Products"), which are manufactured, packaged,		
23	labeled, advertised, distributed, and sold by Defendant, are misbranded and falsely advertised,		
24	and upon information and belief and investigation of counsel alleges as follows:		
25	PARTIES		
26	1. Plaintiff Deana Lozano is and at all times relevant was a citizen of the state of		
27	California, domiciled in Los Angeles, California.		
28			
	·		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

4

10

11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

2. Defendant Sports Research Corporation is a California corporation with its principal place of business and headquarters in San Pedro, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, Pub. L. 109-2, 119 Stat. 4 (codified in scattered sections of Title 28 of the United States Code); specifically, under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), which provides for the original jurisdiction of the federal district courts over "any civil action in which the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and [that] is a class action in which . . . any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).
- Plaintiff seeks to represent Class members who are citizens of states or countries 4. different from the Defendant.
- 5. The matter in controversy in this case exceeds \$5,000,000 in the aggregate, exclusive of interests and costs.
- 6. In addition, "the number of members of all proposed plaintiff classes in the aggregate" is greater than 100. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(5)(B).
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because this action arises out 7. of and relates to Defendant's contacts with this forum.
- 8. Those contacts include but are not limited to sales of the Products directly to commercial and individual consumers located in this district, including Plaintiff; shipping the Products to commercial and individual consumers in this district, including Plaintiff; knowingly directing advertising and marketing materials concerning the Products into this district through wires and mails, both directly and through electronic and print publications that are directed to commercial and individual consumers in this district; and operating an e-commerce web site

- that offers the Products for sale to commercial and individual consumers in this district, as well as offering the Products for sale through third-party e-commerce websites, through both of which commercial and individual consumers residing in this district have purchased the Products.
- 9. Defendant knowingly directs electronic activity and ships the Products into this district with the intent to engage in business interactions for profit, and it has in fact engaged in such interactions, including the sale of the Products to Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant also sells the Products to retailers and wholesalers in this district for the purpose of making the Products available for purchase by individual consumers in this district.
 - 11. Plaintiff's losses and those of other Class members were sustained in this district.
- 12. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within this district.
- 13. Venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(2) because this Court maintains personal jurisdiction over Defendant.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Consumers Pay A Premium for "Clean Labels."

- 14. Across the globe, consumers are increasingly attuned to claims that foods are "all-natural," minimally processed, or otherwise free of artificial flavors and preservatives.
- 15. For example, a 2018 survey by L.E.K. Consulting found that overwhelming numbers of consumers were committed or casual adherents to so-called "clean label" food attributes: "No artificial ingredients" (69 percent); "No preservatives" (67 percent); or "Allnatural" (66 percent). These were the three most attractive attributes in the consumer survey. Roughly 60 to 70 percent of consumers reported a willingness to pay a price premium for "clean label" foods. *See* https://www.lek.com/insights/ei/next-generation-mindful-food-consumption.

- 16. This consumer preference has led to an explosion in the category of "clean label" foods and beverages. Leading analyst Allied Market Research estimated that the "natural foods and drinks" category would grow by an estimated compound annual growth rate of 13.7 percent from 2016 to 2023, reaching \$191 billion in annual sales by 2023. *See* https://www.alliedmarketresearch.com/natural-food-and-drinks-market.
- 17. Ms. Lozano purchased the Products (raspberry lemonade flavor) from Amazon.com on or about May 18, 2023.
- 18. Ms. Lozano is a health care administrator who eats with intentionality and for health. She carefully reviews labels, including the Products' labels, because she prefers to consume natural ingredients and avoid artificial flavors and ingredients.

B. Defendant's Use of Synthetic Flavorings and Deceptive Labels.

- 19. Defendant Sports Research formulates, manufactures, and sells ketogenic dietary supplements in multiple flavors under the brand name Keto+.
- 20. The front label (or "principal display panel") of the Products prominently state they are "Naturally Flavored":



- 21. These natural flavoring claims are false. The Products are flavored using an artificial flavoring, DL malic acid, that is derived from petrochemicals.
 - 22. The Products state, on the back label, that they contain "malic acid."
- 23. While there is a naturally occurring form of malic acid, it is extremely expensive to formulate in large quantities and is almost never used in mass-produced food products. Instead, testing conducted by an independent third-party laboratory confirmed the presence of the "D" isomer in the malic acid used in the Products. These testing results confirm that the malic acid that Defendant uses in these Products is DL malic acid, a synthetic substance derived from petrochemicals. The DL malic acid in the Products was found in concentrations that indicate the purpose of the DL malic acid is for flavoring and not any other purpose. This method

¹ DL malic acid is also called d-hydroxybutanedioic acid or (R)-(+)-2-Hydroxysuccinic acid.

of testing for the "D" isomer is an industry-standard method for determining whether malic acid used in food products is natural or artificial.

- 24. This type of malic acid is manufactured in petrochemical plants from benzene or butane—components of gasoline and lighter fluid, respectively—through a series of chemical reactions, some of which involve highly toxic chemical precursors and byproducts.
- 25. Federal regulations note explicitly that "DL-malic acid does not occur naturally." 21 C.F.R. § 184.1069(a).
- 26. Fruit flavors in a food are imparted by the interactions between sugars, acids, lipids, and various volatile compounds. The sweetness or tartness of a fruit flavor is determined by the ratio between the sugars (mainly glucose and fructose) and acids, such as citric and malic acid.
- 27. The quality and consumer acceptability of fruit flavors is based on their perceived sweetness and tartness, which in turn is driven by the ratio between sugars and acids. Fruits have their own natural ratio of sugars and acids.
- 28. The DL malic acid used in the Products is used to create, simulate, and/or reinforce the sweet and tart taste that consumers associate with the fruit flavors stated on the labels.
- 29. Defendant uses the petrochemical-derived DL malic acid in its Products to create a sweet and tart flavor but pretends otherwise, conflating natural and artificial flavorings, misbranding the Products and deceiving consumers.

C. Requirements for Labelling

30. Federal regulations promulgated pursuant to the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act ("FDCA") require that a food's label accurately describe the nature of the food product and its characterizing flavors. 21 C.F.R. § 102.5(a).

16

14

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27

- 31. Artificial flavor is defined as "any substance, the function of which is to impart flavor, which is not derived from a spice, fruit or fruit juice, vegetable or vegetable juice, edible yeast, herb, bark, bud, root, leaf or similar plant material, meat, fish, poultry, eggs, dairy products, or fermentation products thereof." 21 C.F.R § 101.22(a)(1).
- 32. Natural flavor is defined as "essential oil, oleoresin, essence or extractive, protein hydrolysate, distillate, or any product of roasting, heating or enzymolysis, which contains the flavoring constituents" from fruits or vegetables, "whose significant function in food is flavoring rather than nutritional." 21 C.F.R § 101.22(a)(3).
- 33. Any recognizable primary flavor identified directly or indirectly on the front label of a food product, whether by word, vignette, depiction of a fruit, or other means is referred to as a "characterizing flavor." 21 C.F.R. § 101.22.
 - 34. Here, the Products' labels both state the characterizing flavors.
- If a food product's characterizing flavor is not created exclusively by the named 35. flavor ingredient, the product's front label must state that the product's flavor was simulated or reinforced with either natural or artificial flavorings or both. If any artificial flavor is present which "simulates, resembles or reinforces" the characterizing flavor, the front label must prominently inform consumers that the product is "Artificially Flavored." 21 C.F.R. § 101.22(i)(2).
- 36. A food product's label also must include a statement of the "presence or absence of any characterizing ingredient(s) or component(s) . . . when the presence or absence of such ingredient(s) or component(s) in the food has a material bearing on price or consumer acceptance . . . and consumers may otherwise be misled about the presence or absence of the ingredient(s) or component(s) in the food." 21 C.F.R. § 102.5.

- 37. Such statement must be in boldface print on the front display panel and of sufficient size for an average consumer to notice.
- 38. California's Sherman Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Law, Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 109875, *et seq.*, incorporates all food flavoring and additive regulations of the FDCA, enacting them independently as substantive requirements of state law.
- 39. By changing the ratio between sugars and acids that is naturally found in fruits, the DL malic acid used in the Products reinforces, simulates, or creates the characterizing flavors, regardless of any other effect it may have or purpose for which it was included.
- 40. DL malic acid is not a "natural flavor" as this term is defined by federal and state regulations and is not derived from a fruit or vegetable or any other natural source. The Products therefore contain artificial flavorings.
- 41. Because the Products contain artificial flavoring, California law requires the Products to display both front- and back-label disclosures to inform consumers that the Products are artificially flavored.
- 42. The Products have none of the required disclosures regarding the use of artificial flavors.
- 43. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to add further products that contain similar label misrepresentations as testing continues.
- 49. Labels are the chief means by which food product manufacturers convey critical information to consumers, and consumers have been conditioned to rely on the accuracy of the claims made on these labels. As the California Supreme Court stated in a case involving alleged violations of the UCL and FAL, "Simply stated: labels matter. The marketing industry is based on the premise that labels matter, that consumers will choose one product over another similar product based on its label." *Kwikset Corp. v. Superior Court*, 51 Cal.4th 310, 328 (2011).

- 50. Plaintiff reviewed the labels on the Products prior to her purchase, and reviewed the natural flavoring claims being made on those labels. Consumers such as Plaintiff who viewed the Products' labels reasonably understood Defendant's "No Artificial Flavors" statement, as well as its failure to disclose the use of artificially derived malic acid, to mean that the Products contain only natural flavorings. This representation was also false.
- 51. Consumers including Plaintiff reasonably relied on Defendant's statements such that they would not have purchased the Products from Defendant if the truth about the Products was known, or would have only been willing to pay a substantially reduced price for the Products had they known that Defendant's representations were false and misleading.
- 52. In the alternative, because of its deceptive and false labelling statements, Defendant was enabled to charge a premium for the Products relative to key competitors' products, or relative to the average price charged in the marketplace.
- 53. Consumers including Plaintiff especially rely on label claims made by food product manufacturers such as Sports Research, as they cannot confirm or disprove those claims simply by viewing or even consuming the Products.
- 54. Plaintiff suffered economic injury by Defendant's fraudulent and deceptive conduct as stated herein, and there is a causal nexus between Defendant's deceptive conduct and Plaintiff's injury.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

55. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as representative of all those similarly situated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all consumers in the United States who purchased the Products within four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.

- 56. Excluded from the Class are Defendant and its affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, employees, officers, agents, and directors. Also excluded are any judicial officers presiding over this matter and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff.
- 57. Plaintiff reserves the right to alter the Class definition, and to amend this Complaint to add Subclasses, as necessary to the full extent permitted by applicable law.
- 58. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of the claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as individual Class members would use to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.
- 59. Numerosity Rule 23(a)(1): The size of the Class is so large that joinder of all Class members is impracticable. Plaintiff believes and avers there are thousands of Class members geographically dispersed throughout the United States.
- 60. Existence and Predominance of Common Questions of Law and Fact Rule 23(a)(2), (b)(3): There are questions of law and fact common to the Class. These questions predominate over any questions that affect only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions and issues include but are not limited to:
 - a. Whether the marketing, advertising, packaging, labeling, and other promotional materials for Defendant's Products is misleading and deceptive;
 - Whether a reasonable consumer would understand Defendant's natural flavorings
 claim to indicate that the Products contained only natural flavorings, and
 reasonably relied upon those representations;
 - c. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched at the expense of the Plaintiff and Class members;
 - d. the proper amount of damages and disgorgement or restitution;

- e. the proper scope of injunctive relief; and
- f. the proper amount of attorneys' fees.
- 61. Defendant engaged in a common course of conduct in contravention of the laws Plaintiff seeks to enforce individually and on behalf of the Class. Similar or identical violations of law, business practices, and injuries are involved. Individual questions, if any, pale by comparison, in both quality and quantity, to the numerous common questions that predominate this action. The common questions will yield common answers that will substantially advance the resolution of the case.
- 62. In short, these common questions of fact and law predominate over questions that affect only individual Class members.
- 63. **Typicality Rule 23(a)(3)**: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class members because they are based on the same underlying facts, events, and circumstances relating to Defendant's conduct.
- 64. Specifically, all Class members, including Plaintiff, were harmed in the same way due to Defendant's uniform misconduct described herein; all Class members suffered similar economic injury due to Defendant's misrepresentations; and Plaintiff seeks the same relief as the Class members.
- 65. There are no defenses available to Defendant that are unique to the named Plaintiff.
- 67. Adequacy of Representation Rule 23(a)(4): Plaintiff is a fair and adequate representative of the Class because Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with the Class members' interests. Plaintiff will prosecute this action vigorously and is highly motivated to seek redress against Defendant.

- 68. Furthermore, Plaintiff has selected competent counsel who are experienced in class action and other complex litigation. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel are committed to prosecuting this action vigorously on behalf of the Class and have the resources to do so.
- 69. Superiority Rule 23(b)(3): The class action mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy for at least the following reasons
 - a. the damages individual Class members suffered are small compared to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation needed to address Defendant's conduct such that it would be virtually impossible for the Class members individually to redress the wrongs done to them. In fact, they would have little incentive to do so given the amount of damage each member has suffered when weighed against the costs and burdens of litigation;
 - the class procedure presents fewer management difficulties than individual litigation and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economies of scale, and supervision by a single Court;
 - c. the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a
 risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which would establish incompatible
 standards of conduct for Defendant; and
 - d. the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would be dispositive of the interests of other Class members or would substantively impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 70. Unless the Class is certified, Defendant will retain monies received as a result of its unlawful and deceptive conduct alleged herein.

- 71. Unless a class-wide injunction is issued, Defendant will likely continue to advertise, market, promote, and sell its Products in an unlawful and misleading manner, as described throughout this Complaint, and members of the Class will continue to be misled, harmed, and denied their rights under the law. Plaintiff will be unable to rely on the Products' advertising or labeling in the future, and so will not purchase the Products or other food products produced by Defendant although she would like to.
- 72. **Ascertainability**. To the extent ascertainability is required, the Class members are readily ascertainable from Defendant's records and/or its agents' records of retail and online sales, as well as through public notice.
- 73. Defendant has acted on grounds applicable to the Class as a whole, thereby making appropriate final injunctive and declaratory relief concerning the Class as a whole.

COUNT 1 VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 et seq. — "UNFAIR" CONDUCT

- 74. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 75. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth herein.
- 76. Defendant's actions as alleged in this Complaint constitute "unfair" conduct within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq*.
- 77. Defendant's business practices, as alleged herein, are "unfair" because it fails to disclose accurately the synthetic flavoring used in the Products and mispresents the flavoring on the Product's labels.
- 78. As a result of this "unfair" conduct, Plaintiff expended money and engaged in activities it would not otherwise have spent or conducted.

- 79. Defendant's wrongful business practices alleged herein constituted, and continue to constitute, a continuing course of unfair competition since it continues to market and sell its products in a manner that offends public policy and/or in a fashion that is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to its customers.
- 80. Defendant publicly disseminated untrue or misleading representations regarding the flavoring label claims of its Products, which it knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, were untrue or misleading.
- 81. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order of this court enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage in "unfair" business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those acts set forth in this Complaint, and further seek all other relief allowable under Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq*.

COUNT 2 VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 et seq. — "FRAUDULENT" CONDUCT

- 82. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, plead this cause of action in the alternative.
- 83. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth above.
- 84. Defendant's actions as alleged in this Complaint constitute "fraudulent" conduct within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 *et seq*.
- 85. Defendant's business practices, as alleged herein, are "fraudulent" because it fails to disclose accurately the synthetic flavoring used in the Products and mispresents the flavoring on the Product's labels.
- 86. As a result of this "fraudulent" conduct, Plaintiff expended money and engaged in activities it would not otherwise have spent or conducted.

- 87. Defendant's wrongful business practices alleged herein constituted, and continue to constitute, a continuing course of unfair competition since it continues to market and sell its products in a manner that offends public policy and/or in a fashion that is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to its customers.
- 88. Defendant publicly disseminated untrue or misleading representations regarding the flavoring label claims of its Products, which it knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, were untrue or misleading.
- 89. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order of this Court enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage in "fraudulent" business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those acts set forth in this Complaint, and further seeks all other relief allowable under Business and Professions Code Section 17200, et seq.

COUNT 3 VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 et seq. — "UNLAWFUL" CONDUCT

- 90. Plaintiff reallege the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 91. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth above.
- 92. Defendant's actions as alleged in this Complaint constitute "unlawful" conduct within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq*.
- 93. Defendant's business practices, as alleged herein, are "unlawful" because it fails disclose accurately the synthetic flavoring used in the Products and mispresents the flavoring on the Product's labels.

- 94. As a result of this "unlawful" conduct, Plaintiff expended money and engaged in activities she would not otherwise have spent or conducted.
- 95. Defendant's business practices alleged herein constituted, and continue to constitute, a continuing course of unfair competition since it continues to market and sell its products in a manner that offends public policy and/or in a fashion that is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and/or substantially injurious to its customers.
- 96. Defendant publicly disseminated untrue or misleading representations regarding the flavoring label claims of its Products, which it knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, were untrue or misleading.
- 97. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiff seeks an order of this court enjoining Defendant from continuing to engage in "unlawful" business practices and any other act prohibited by law, including those acts set forth in this Complaint, and further seeks all other relief allowable under Business and Professions Code Section 17200, *et seq*.

COUNT 4 VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17500 et seq.

- 98. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 99. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth above.
- 100. Defendant engaged in advertising and marketing to the public and offered for sale advertising services on a nationwide basis, including in California.
- 101. Defendant engaged in the advertising and marketing alleged herein with the intent to directly or indirectly induce the sale of the Products to consumers.

- 102. Defendant's advertisements and marketing representations regarding the characteristics of the Products were false, misleading, and deceptive as set forth above.
- 103. At the time it made and disseminated the statements alleged herein, Defendant knew or should have known that the statements were untrue or misleading, and acted in violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17500, *et seq*.
- 104. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and all other relief allowable under Business and Professions Code Section 17500, *et seq*.

COUNT 5 VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT, CIVIL CODE § 1750 et seg.

- 105. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 106. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act ("CLRA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(d).
- 107. The sale of Defendant's Products to Plaintiff and Class members was a "transaction" within the meaning of the CLRA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(e).
- 108. The Products purchased by Plaintiff and Class members are "goods" within the meaning of the CLRA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(a).
- 109. As alleged herein, Defendant's business practices are a violation of the CLRA because Defendant deceptively failed to reveal facts that are material in light of the flavoring representations that were made by Defendant on the labels of its Products.
- 110. Defendant's ongoing failure to provide material facts about its Products on its labels violates the following subsections of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a) in these respects:
 - Defendant's acts and practices constitute misrepresentations that its Products have characteristics, benefits, or uses which they do not have;

- b. Defendant misrepresented that its Products are of a particular standard, quality, and/or grade, when they are of another;
- Defendant's acts and practices constitute the advertisement of goods, without the intent to sell them as advertised;
- d. Defendant's acts and practices fail to represent that transactions involving its
 Products involve actions that are prohibited by law, particularly the use of misleading nutritional labelling; and
- e. Defendant's acts and practices constitute representations that its Products have been supplied in accordance with previous representations when they were not.
- 111. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Class have been irreparably harmed, entitling them to injunctive relief, disgorgement, and restitution.
- 112. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1782, Plaintiff notified Defendant in writing of the particular violations of the CLRA described herein and demanded Defendant rectify the actions described above by providing complete monetary relief, agreeing to be bound by their legal obligations and to give notice to all affected customers of their intent to do so. Plaintiff sent this notice by certified mail to Defendant, at least 30 days before the filing of this Complaint.
- 113. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1770 and 1780, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover actual damages sustained as a result of Defendant's violations of the CLRA. Such damages include, without limitation, monetary losses and actual, punitive, and consequential damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 114. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1770 and 1780, Plaintiff is entitled to enjoin publication of misleading and deceptive nutritional labels on Defendant's Products and to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 6 UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 115. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 116. Defendant, through its marketing and labeling of the Products, misrepresented and deceived consumers regarding the flavoring in the Products.
- 117. Defendant did so for the purpose of enriching itself and it in fact enriched itself by doing so.
- 118. Consumers conferred a benefit on Defendant by purchasing the Products, including an effective premium above their true value. Defendant appreciated, accepted, and retained the benefit to the detriment of consumers.
- 119. Defendant continues to possess monies paid by consumers to which Defendant is not entitled.
- 120. Under the circumstances it would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the benefit conferred upon it and Defendant's retention of the benefit violates fundamental principles of justice, equity, and good conscience.
- 121. Plaintiff seeks disgorgement of Defendant's ill-gotten gains and restitution of Defendant's wrongful profits, revenue, and benefits, to the extent, and in the amount, deemed appropriate by the Court, and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper to remedy Defendant's unjust enrichment.
- 122. Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim as Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth above.

COUNT 8 BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 123. Plaintiff realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and, to the extent necessary, pleads this cause of action in the alternative.
- 124. Defendant, as the designer, manufacturer, marketer, distributor, and/or seller, expressly warranted that the Products are "Naturally Flavored."
- 125. Defendant's express warranties, and its affirmations of fact and promises made to Plaintiff and the Class and regarding the Products, became part of the basis of the bargain between Defendant and Plaintiff and the Class, which creates an express warranty that the Products would conform to those affirmations of fact, representations, promises, and descriptions.
- 126. The Products do not conform to the express warranty that the Products are "Naturally Flavored," because they are flavored by and contain ingredients that are unnatural and synthetic, *i.e.*, DL malic acid.
- 127. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's breach of express warranty, Plaintiff and Class members have been injured and harmed because: (a) they would not have purchased the Products on the same terms if they knew the truth about the Products' unnatural ingredients; (b) they paid a price premium based on Defendant's express warranties; and (c) the Products do not have the characteristics, uses, or benefits that were promised.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request the Court grant the following relief against Defendant:

- a. Certifying the Class;
- b. Declaring that Defendant violated the CLRA, UCL, and FAL and/or was unjustly enriched and/or breached an express warranty;

1	c.	Awarding actual and other damages as permitted by law or equity;
2	d.	Ordering an awarding of injunctive relief as permitted by law or equity, including
3		enjoining Defendant from continuing the unlawful practices as set forth herein, and
4		ordering Defendant to engage in a corrective advertising campaign;
5	e.	Ordering Defendant to pay attorneys' fees and litigation costs to Plaintiff;
6	f.	Ordering Defendant to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any amounts
7	1.	
8		awarded; and
9	g.	Such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
10	Т	RIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED ON ANY COUNTS SO TRIABLE.
11		Respectfully submitted,
12		/s/ Charles C. Weller Charles C. Weller (Cal. SBN: 207034)
13		Attorney for Plaintiff
14 15		CHARLES C. WELLER, APC 11412 Corley Court
16		San Diego, California 92126 Tel: 858.414.7465
17		Fax: 858.300.5137
18		October 16, 2023
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	i .	21

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Sports Research Raspberry Lemonade Keto+ Dietary Supplement Falsely Advertised as 'Naturally Flavored,' Class Action Alleges