IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NOTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

EVERARDO FERMIN LORENZO)
and JESUS FULGAR SANDOVAL,)
Individually and on Behalf of)
All Those Similarly Situated,)
·) Case No.:
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
UCI KITCHEN & BATH, INC.,)
SOHYLA NAYEBOSADRI, and)
TOURAJ NAYEBOSADRI, Jointly and Severally,)
)
Defendants.)

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT (Jury Trial Demanded)

Plaintiffs, Everardo Fermin Lorenzo and Jesus Fulgar Sandoval (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, upon personal knowledge as to themselves and upon information and belief as to other matters, and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Defendants are a full-service vendor of natural, synthetic, and exotic stone countertops and surfaces in the southeast region of the United States that cater to commercial clients, home builders, multifamily residential properties, corporations, and the hospitality industry in Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Tennessee, and Alabama. Defendants operate out of their headquarters/wholesale facility in Norcross, GA.
- 2. Plaintiff, Everardo Fermin Lorenzo, worked for UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc. as a granite polisher, and his job duties included polishing and finishing slabs of granite for countertops and other household fixtures.
- 3. Plaintiff, Jesus Fulgar Sandoval, worked for UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc. as a marble fabricator, and his job duties included creating countertops and other household fixtures.
- 4. Plaintiffs were paid straight-time for all hours worked, despite working in excess of 40 hours per week throughout their employment.
- 5. The exact number of similarly situated current and former employees is unknown at this time.

6. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated employees of Defendants, to recover unpaid overtime premium pay, owed to them pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq, and supporting regulations.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, 1343. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions leading to this claim occurred while Plaintiffs performed work for UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc., located at 6555 Jimmy Carter Blvd, Norcross, GA, 30071, USA, which is in Gwinnett County.
- 9. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiffs:

10. Plaintiff, Everardo Fermin Lorenzo, was at all relevant times, an adult

individual residing at 6800 Peachtree Industrial, Doraville, Georgia, 30360, which is in DeKalb County.

11. Plaintiff, Jesus Fulgar Sandoval, was at all relevant times, an adult-individual residing at 2275 Oakland Chase Court, Lawrenceville, Georgia 30044, which is in Gwinnett County.

Defendants:

- 12. Defendant UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc., is an active Georgia corporation. Its principal place of business is 2175 Beaver Ruin Road, Suite 100, Norcross, Georgia 30071, which is in Gwinnett County.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Sohyla Nayebosadri is an owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc. Ms. Nayebosadri's residential address is unknown at this time.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Touraj Nayebosadri is an owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc. Mr. Nayebosadri's residential address is unknown at this time.
- 15. Sohyla Nayebosadri, and Touraj Nayebosadri (the "Individual Defendants") participated in the day-to-day operations of the UCI Kitchen & Bath corporation,

and acted intentionally and maliciously. Each individual is considered an "employer" pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), and the regulations promulgated under 29 C.F.R. § 791.2, and are therefore, jointly and severally liable with UCI Kitchen & Bath (the "Corporate Defendant").

- 16. Upon information and belief, the Individual Defendants jointly set the unlawful payroll policies complained of in this complaint.
- 17. At all relevant times, Defendants have been employers of Plaintiffs, and/or joint employers within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 18. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(ii).
- 19. Additionally, upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had employees working on goods that have been moved or produced for commerce. Defendants produce stone countertops and fixtures with materials that are obtained from from various parts of the United States, and sell these products to customers in various states, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1)(A)(i).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

20. At all relevant times, Defendants have been in the custom stone

countertop/surfaces industry, supplying customers with countertops while also offering commercial renovations and other remodeling services.

- 21. Upon information and belief, the Individual Defendants handle payroll and record keeping for the Corporate Defendants, and are actively involved with the Corporate Defendants' day-to-day operations.
- 22. Plaintiff Lorenzo was employed as a granite polisher. Mr. Lorenzo's job duties involved polishing and finishing slabs of granite for countertops and other household fixtures. Mr. Lorenzo was employed at UCI Kitchen Bath, Inc., at 6555 Jimmy Carter Blvd, Norcross, GA, 30071, and worked for Defendants for over five years, from December 15, 2011 until February 28, 2017. Mr. Lorenzo was paid \$14 per hour, and worked 55 hours per week, at UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc. Mr. Lorenzo was generally paid \$770 per week by check.
- 23. Plaintiff Sandoval was employed by Defendants as a marble fabricator. His job duties included creating countertops and other household fixtures. Mr. Sandoval was employed at UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc., at 6555 Jimmy Carter Blvd, Norcross, GA, 30071, and worked for approximately nine years, from June 1, 2008 until June 16, 2017. Mr. Sandoval was paid \$18 per hour, and generally worked 55 hours per

week. For his 55 hours of work per week, Mr. Sandoval was paid \$990 by check.

- 24. Plaintiffs were paid straight-time for all hours worked, despite working well in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 25. This failure to pay overtime wages to these blue-collar hourly employees can only be considered a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

26. Mr. Lorenzo worked 55 hours per week, which includes 40 regular hours and 15 overtime hours. Mr. Lorenzo was paid straight-time for all hours worked. His rate of pay was \$14 per hour, so his "half-rate" is \$7 per hour, for the purposes of computing overtime. 15 overtime hours multiplied by \$7 half-rate, equals \$105 unpaid overtime per week. Mr. Lorenzo was employed 134 weeks by Defendants. 134 weeks multiplied by \$105 unpaid overtime per week, equals \$14,070 in unpaid overtime wages. If the Court grants liquidated damages in this case, pursuant to 29 U.S. Code § 216(b), then the total damages are \$14,070 plus \$14,070, which equals \$28,140.

¹ The half-rate is determined by dividing the regular rate of pay by 2.

- 27. Mr. Sandoval worked 55 hours per week, which includes 40 regular hours and 15 overtime hours. Mr. Sandoval was paid straight-time for all hours worked. His rate of pay was \$18 per hour, so his "half-rate" is \$9 per hour, for the purposes of computing overtime. 15 overtime hours multiplied by \$9 half-rate, equals \$135 unpaid overtime per week. Mr. Sandoval was employed 147 weeks by Defendants. 147 weeks multiplied by \$135 unpaid overtime per week, equals \$19,845 in unpaid overtime wages. If the Court grants liquidated damages in this case, pursuant to 29 U.S. Code § 216(b), then the total damages are \$19,845 plus \$19,845, which equals \$39,690.
- 28. Therefore, since Plaintiff Lorenzo is owed \$28,140, and Plaintiff Sandoval is owed \$39,690, Plaintiffs are owed a total of \$67,830.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

29. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 207 & 216(b), Plaintiffs bring their First Cause of Action as a collective action under the FLSA on behalf of themselves and the following collective:

All persons employed by Defendants, at any time since October 4, 2014, and through the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") who worked as marble fabricators, granite polishers, granite

installers, granite fabricators, and all other hourly employees (the "Collective Action Members").

- 30. A collective action is appropriate in this circumstance because Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members are similarly situated, in that they were all subjected to Defendants' illegal policy of failing to pay overtime premiums for work performed in excess of 40 hours per week. As a result of this policy, Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members did not receive the legally-required overtime premium payments for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 31. There is a large class of current and former employees of UCI Kitchen & Bath who have suffered the same unpaid overtime wage injury, and have yet to receive redress. The exact number of employees is unknown at this time.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT – UNPAID OVERTIME

- 32. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, and the Collective Action Members, repeat and reallege each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. As a result of Defendants' failure to compensate its employees, including Plaintiffs and Collective Action Members, at a rate of not less than one and one-half

times their regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of 40 hours per week, Defendants have violated and continue to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, including 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1) and 215(a), for which Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members are entitled to relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

- 34. Defendants have failed to pay overtime to these hourly employees, with no colorable argument as to why these workers are exempt. This constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 35. The failure to pay overtime has caused Plaintiffs to suffer loss of wages and interest thereon. Plaintiffs and Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime premium compensation, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Therefore, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. An order tolling the relevant statutes of limitations;
- b. An order declaring that Defendants violated the FLSA;

c. An award of unpaid overtime wages due under the FLSA;

d. An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendant's willful

failure to pay overtime wages

e. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

f. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with attorney's fees;

g. Such other and further relief and this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: October 4, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Brandon A. Thomas BRANDON A. THOMAS GA BAR NO.: 742344

The Law Offices of Brandon A. Thomas, PC 1800 Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30309

Tel: (404) 343-2441

Fax: (404) 352-5636

brandon@brandonthomaslaw.com

CONSENTIMIENTO PARA SER UNA DEMANDANTE

A través de mi firma a continuación, autorizo la presentación y enjuiciamiento de reclamos en mi nombre y en representación del fracaso de UCI Kitchen and Bath y de sus respectivos dueños, afiliados, subsidiarias, contratistas, directores, funcionarios o franquiciados Y los salarios mínimos requeridos por las leyes estatales y / o federales, y también autorizar la presentación de este consentimiento en la (s) acción (es) en contra de dicha conducta.

Yo autorizo ser nombrado como **demandante representivo** en esta accion legal para tomar decisiones en nombre de otros demandantes a quienes pueda concernir el resultado de este proceso, el metodo y la manera en como debe llevarse a cabo este litigio, y la decision de llegar a un acuerdo dentro de la causa y todo lo que concierna a los honorarios profesionales y costas del proceso y cualesquiera otras decisiones relacionadas con este litigo.

Entiendo que estaré representado por The Law Offices de Brandon A. Thomas, P.C., sin pago anticipado de costos o honorarios de abogados. Entiendo que si los demandantes tienen éxito, los costos gastados por los abogados en mi nombre serán deducidos de mi acuerdo o juicio primero. Entiendo que mis abogados solicitarán al tribunal la concesión de honorarios y costos a pagar por los acusados en mi nombre. Entiendo que los honorarios retenidos por los abogados serán los honorarios del abogado recibidos de los acusados o aproximadamente 1/3 (33.33%) de mi total acuerdo o juicio (incluyendo honorarios y costos), el que sea mayor.

Everardo fermin lorenzo.

Nombre Escrito

Firma

O9-16-17

Fecha

CONSENTIMIENTO PARA SER UNA DEMANDANTE

A través de mi firma a continuación, autorizo la presentación y enjuiciamiento de reclamos en mi nombre y en representación del fracaso de UCI Kitchen and Bath y de sus respectivos dueños, afiliados, subsidiarias, contratistas, directores, funcionarios o franquiciados Y los salarios mínimos requeridos por las leyes estatales y / o federales, y también autorizar la presentación de este consentimiento en la (s) acción (es) en contra de dicha conducta.

Yo autorizo ser nombrado como demandante representivo en esta accion legal para tomar decisiones en nombre de otros demandantes a quienes pueda concernir el resultado de este proceso, el metodo y la manera en como debe llevarse a cabo este litigio, y la decision de llegar a un acuerdo dentro de la causa y todo lo que concierna a los honorarios profesionales y costas del proceso y cualesquiera otras decisiones relacionadas con este litigo.

Entiendo que estaré representado por The Law Offices de Brandon A. Thomas, P.C., sin pago anticipado de costos o honorarios de abogados. Entiendo que si los demandantes tienen éxito, los costos gastados por los abogados en mi nombre serán deducidos de mi acuerdo o juicio primero. Entiendo que mis abogados solicitarán al tribunal la concesión de honorarios y costos a pagar por los acusados en mi nombre. Entiendo que los honorarios retenidos por los abogados serán los honorarios del abogado recibidos de los acusados o aproximadamente 1/3 (33.33%) de mi total acuerdo o juicio (incluyendo honorarios y costos), cual sea la suma mas alta.

Nombre Escrito Firma

7/18/17

Case 1:17-cv-03895-WSD Document 1-3 Filed 10/04/17 Page 1 of 2

JS44 (Rev. 6/2017 NDGA)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)	DEFENDANT(S)			
Everardo Fermin Lorenzo and Jesus Fulgar Sandoval	UCI Kitchen & Bath, Inc., Sohyla Nayebosadri, and Touraj Nayebosadri			
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF DeKalb	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT GWINNETT			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND			
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)			
The Law Offices of Brandon A. Thomas, P.C. 1800 Peachtree Street, Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 343-2441/brandon@brandonthomaslaw.com	Elizabeth L. Bentley Carlock, Copeland & Stair, LLP 191 Peachtree Street, Suite 3600 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 522-8220/bbentley@carlockcopeland.com			
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT PLAINTIFF 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN TIEM III) 1 DIFFUSE OF THIS STATE 1 DIFFUSE OF THIS STATE 1 DIFFUSE OF THIS STATE 2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 3 CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 FOREIGN NATION				
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM STATE COURT APPELLATE OF TRANSFERRED FROM (Specify District) 6 LITIGATION TRANSFER TO TRAN				
MULTIDISTRICT 8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE				
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE- DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) Failure to pay overtime wages under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 201 et seq. Defendants have failed to pay overtime wages for all hours worked.				
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)				
□ 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. □ 7. □ 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex □ 8. □ 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. □ 9.	oblems locating or preserving evidence ending parallel investigations or actions by government. Fulltiple use of experts. Geed for discovery outside United States boundaries. Additional content of the content of th			
CONTINUED ON REVERSE				
	LYING IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP) FURE OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION			

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 441 VOTING 442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 448 EDUCATION	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	
CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE 220 FORECLOSURE 220 FORT LEASE & EJECTMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY 340 MARINE 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE MALPRACTICE 366 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 422 APPEAL 28 USC 157	IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 463 HABEAS CORPUS - Alien Detainee 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 530 HABEAS CORPUS 531 HABEAS CORPUS 532 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 21 USC 881 690 OTHER LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION 791 EMPL RED. INC. SECURITY ACT PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 830 PATENT 830 PATENT 835 PATENT-ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDA) - a/k/a Hatch-Waxman cases	FEDRAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609 OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT 376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a) 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT 430 BANKS AND BANKING 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC. 460 DEPORTATION 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS 480 CONSUMER CREDIT 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT / REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 410 ANTITRUST 850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 896 ARBITRATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify) * PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3	
☐ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND S JURY DEMAND ☐ YES ☐ NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)			
VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO			
CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):			
☐ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. DISMISSED. This case ☐ IS ☐ IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE			
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD	/ <u>()</u> /4	<i>4117</i>	

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Seeks to Polish Up UCI Kitchen & Bath's Overtime Policy</u>