FILED

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

2017 JUL 17 PM 3: 52

CLERIS, US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA, FLORIDA

YOLANDA LOCKETT, And all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

٧.

Case Number: 8:17 cv 1707 136 +8 m

RIVERHEIGHTS, CLEANERS, INC., PROGRESSIVE EMPLOYER MANAGEMENT LLC

Defendant.	
	1

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. Plaintiff, was an employee of Defendant's, and brings this action for unpaid wages, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff worked as a laborer for Defendant and performed related, nonexempt activities for Defendant in Hillsborough County, Florida.
- 3. Plaintiff was not paid overtime for all of the hours she worked beyond 40 in a single workweek.
  - 4. Plaintiff was engaged by Defendant to work as a laborer in March 2014.
- 5. Plaintiff was to be paid an hourly wage. Plaintiff is not subject to any exemptions under the FLSA.
  - 6. Plaintiff did not supervise any subservient employees.
  - 7. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Hillsborough County, Florida.

In 142,48

- 8. Instead of paying overtime wages, Defendant circumvented the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff wages, though Plaintiff habitually worked up to 45 hours a week or more over the past eight months prior to the institution of this action.
- 9. Plaintiff was not paid time and a half for the hours that he worked over forty in any given week.
- 10. Plaintiff was not paid at least minimum wage for all hours worked. Defendant failed to maintain accurate time records for Plaintiff in direct violation of the FLSA and its regulations. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff for the total amount of time she spent working. Rather, Defendant only paid Plaintiff for a portion of the time worked.
- 11. As of this date, Plaintiff has still not been paid the entirety of her wages and has not been compensated for the full extent of his damages and wage loss under the FLSA.
- 12. It is believed that there are similarly situated employees who were also not paid the full extent of their overtime at the correct rate of pay and who were also subject to the exact same unlawful pay practices, i.e., the failure to pay wages in accordance with the law.
- 13. Plaintiff seeks full compensation, including liquidated damages because Defendant's conduct in directing her to work off the clock in a calculated attempt to extract more additional work out of Plaintiff for the benefit of Defendant's, as the expense of Plaintiff, who was being paid less than premium wages under the FLSA.
- 14. Defendant is a for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Hillsborough County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction

of the Court. John Hernandez and Betty Hernandez are the sole owners of Riverheights, Cleaners, Inc.

- 15. Defendant, at all relevant times to this amended complaint, was Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Plaintiff performed duties and responsibilities that involved commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce in the real estate industry as Defendant operates as "Riverheights, Cleaners, Inc" and as "Progressive Employer Insurance Management LLC" dealing with interstate customers and using computers and credit cards and data transmission lines. This also would include using materials and other resources that do not originate within the State of Florida to operate a facility that is designed to cater to tourist from out of state.
- 16. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages in the form of overtime wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.
- 17. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as all material events transpired in Hillsborough County, including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and the FLSA.
- 18. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s). Upon information and belief, including Plaintiff's experience with Defendant as well as the sheer size of Defendant's organization suggest that the Defendant are a multi-million-dollar operation that has considerable expertise in the real estate industry. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges that enterprise coverage is present in this case because Defendant has an

annual volume of at least \$500,000.00 in revenue and has two or more employees that handle goods in commerce, including materials and supplies, whom also use telephones, fax machines and other instrumentalities of commerce.

- 19. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff in her capacity as an employee was individually covered by the FLSA. This would include to doing hourly work as a laborer, without managerial responsibility. Plaintiff did not bear supervisory responsibility for any other employees. Plaintiff did not direct the hiring and firing of any employees. Plaintiff did not participate in the creation of budgets or maintain the production of sales nor did Plaintiff plan or control the budget of the Defendant's in any way. Plaintiff did not implement legal compliance measures.
- U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff performed services for Defendant for which no provisions was made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for all hours worked during his employment. Plaintiff worked over 40 hours per nearly every week during his employment with Defendant. The off the clock work that Plaintiff was directed to do was intentional and was designed to extract additional hours of labor out of Plaintiff for the benefit of the Defendant, who then refused to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated premium wages. Notably, Defendant is in exclusive possession of the *majority* of relevant records in this case, including payroll records and schedules and other documentation that might reasonably assist Plaintiff with providing even greater specificity regarding the precise weeks that Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours. Plaintiff alleges that he routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week, including time for which Defendant made no provisions to properly record.

21. Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff's, and others similarly situated to him, true hours of work.

#### **COUNT I – RECOVERY OVERTIME WAGES COMPENSATION**

- 22. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-21, above.
- 23. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of pay for each hour worked per work week as well as premium wages for those hours worked over forty. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to his, regularly worked hours for each week in which they were not paid at the correct rate of pay. In Plaintiff's case, he routinely performed labor, at Defendant's specific request for the sole benefit of Defendant, and was not paid for the hours he worked.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, their correct premium rate of pay for each hour worked beyond 40 in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to his, have suffered damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages under Florida law, as well as liquidated damages under the FLSA.
  - 26. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, including, but not limited to, reimbursement of an amount equal to the loss of wages and liquidated damages, together with costs and attorney's fees pursuant to the FLSA, and such other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 14th day of July 2016,

/s/ W. John Gadd

W. John Gadd Fl Bar Number 463061 Bank of America Building 2727 Ulmerton Rd. Ste. 250 Clearwater, FL 33762 Tel – (727)524-6300 Email – wjg@mazgadd.com

/S/ Kyle J. Lee

Kyle J. Lee, Esq. FLBN: 105321 LEE LAW, PLLC P.O. Box 4476

Brandon, FL 33509-4476 Telephone: (813) 343-2813 Kyle@KyleLeeLaw.com JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

1 L	CONTROL (DDD MIDIROC	TRAIS ON NEAT TRUE O	r imsra	KM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS									
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAD	of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attomeys (If Kn							
()		•			,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	)F PR	INCI	PAL P	ARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box	for Plaintif
O I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF Citizen of This State				porated or Pr Business In T	and One Box fincipal Place		
1 2 U.S. Government			Citize	n of Another State	<b>D</b> 2	2 D 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State					O 5
·						0	3 Fore	ign Nation		<b>O</b> 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	uly)				ÇI	lick here	for: Nature o	of Suit Code De	escription	ns.
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	Y	S Drug Related Seizum of Property 21 USC 0 Other 21 USC 0 US	881 C	3 422 A 3 423 W 2 PRO 3 830 P. 3 835 P. 3 840 T. 5 861 H 9 862 B 9 864 S 9 865 R	ANKRUI Appeal 28 UVithdrawal 28 USC 15 PERTYR Copyrights atent - Abl lew Drug A rademark ALSEGI IIA (1395 IIAC Lung DIWC/DIW SID Title 1 SI (405(g) ERAL TA axes (U.S.	JSC 158  7  IGHTS  Previated Application  (923) (923) (W (405(g)) (W)  Plaintiff  Part	375 False C   376 Qui Tar 3729(a)   400 State Re   410 Antitrus   430 Banks a   450 Comme   460 Deporta   460 Deporta   470 Rackete   Corrupt   480 Consum   490 Cable/S   850 Securiti   Exchan   890 Other S   891 Agricul   893 Erverdon   895 Freedon   Act   840 Consum   895 Freedon   895 Freed	laims Act m (31 USC) )) eapportion st and Bankin ree eapportion st lind Bankin ree stition ster Influenc Organizat ner Credit at TV es/Commo ige tatutory At tural Acts mental Ma n of Inform strative Pro riew or Ap Decision tionality Tatutes	ment  gg ced and cities/ ctions mation  occdure
	moved from	Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	ened A	ransferre nother [			Multidistr Litigation Transfer	rict 08	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		tute under which you ar	re filing (D			s unles	s diversity)	. 7.	USC 20		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION		MAND S				YES only	if demanded in	complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOC	KET NU	MBER			_
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	F RECORD				<u> </u>			<del></del>
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<del> </del>			-							
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE			MAG. JUD	GE		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Class Action Says RiverHeights Cleaners</u>, <u>One Other Owe Unpaid Overtime</u>