UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Xiaoyan Liu, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin Tu

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Defendants.

Plaintiff Xiaoyan Liu ("Plaintiff") on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her undersigned attorneys, Hang & Associates, PLLC, hereby files this complaint against the Defendants Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin Tu (collectively "Defendants"), alleges and shows the Court the following:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff on her own behalf and on behalf of similarly situated employees, alleging violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA") and the New York Labor Law, arising from Defendants' various willful and unlawful employment policies, patterns and/or practices.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed widespread violations of the FLSA and NYLL by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek.

- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that they are entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) unpaid overtime compensation, (2) liquidated damages, (3) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (4) attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4. Plaintiff further allege pursuant to New York Labor Law § 650 et seq. and 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations §§ 146 ("NYCRR") and New York Common law that they are entitled to recover from the Defendants: (1) overtime compensation, (2) unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium, (3) unpaid agreed upon wages, (4) compensation for failure to provide wage notice at the time of hiring and failure to provide paystubs in violation of the NYLL, (5) liquidated damages equal to the sum of unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium, and unpaid overtime compensation pursuant to the NY Wage Theft Prevention Act, (6) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; and (7) attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this controversy under 29 U.S.C. §216(b), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the New York Labor Law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), because Defendants conduct business in this District, and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims herein alleged took place in this District.

PLAINTIFF

7. Plaintiff Xiaoyan Liu is a resident of the Queens and was employed to prepare and cook dumplings, by Defendants Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, with its principal place of business at 148 Lawrence St., Brooklyn, NY 11201, from November 15, 2015 to May 7, 2016.

CORPORATE DEFENDANT

- 8. Upon information and belief, Corporate Defendant, Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, is a domestic business corporation organization and existing under the laws of the State of New York and maintains its principal place of business at 148 Lawrence St., Brooklyn, NY 11201.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, is a business or enterprise engaged in interstate commerce employing more than two (2) employees and earning gross annual sales over Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000).
- 10. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times hereto, Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao have been and continue to be "employers" engaged in interstate "commerce" and/or in the production of "goods" for "commerce", within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C § 203.
- 11. Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao constitutes an enterprise within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C § 203(r).
- 12. Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao has been Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the New York State Labor Law ("NYLL") § 2, 190, and 651.

INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ye Zhang is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao at 148 Lawrence St., Brooklyn, NY 11201 and participated in its day-to-day operations, acted intentionally and maliciously, is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated

thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Ye Zhang owns the stock of Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. (See Exhibit 2).
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Zhangxin Tu is the owner, officer, director and/or managing agent of Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao at 148 Lawrence St., Brooklyn, NY 11201 and participated in its day-to-day operations, acted intentionally and maliciously, is an employer pursuant to FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203d, and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, NYLL §2 and the regulations thereunder, and is jointly and severally liable with Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Zhangxin Tu owns the stock of Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao and manages and makes all business decisions including but not limited to the amount in salary the employee will receive and the number of hours employees will work. (See Exhibit 2).
- 17. At all times relevant herein, Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 18. At all relevant times, the work performed by Plaintiff was directly essential to the business operated by Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao.
- 19. At all relevant times, Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff her lawfully earned overtime compensation, and failed to provide her a wage notice at the time of hiring in violation of the NYLL.

20. Plaintiff has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action and/or conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 21. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 22. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime pay, unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium, and failure to provide the required wage notice at the time of hiring would financially injure Plaintiff and similarly situated employees and violate state and federal laws.

Plaintiff Xiaoyan Liu

- 23. From November 15, 2015 to May 7, 2016, Plaintiff Xiaoyan Liu was hired by Defendants to prepare and cook dumplings for Defendants' restaurant at 148 Lawrence St., Brooklyn, NY 11201.
- 24. Starting November 15, 2015 until approximately December 31 2015, Plaintiff worked the following schedule; Tuesday thru Saturday with an alternating Monday or Sunday, from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., during this period Plaintiff worked six (6) days per week, was provided with a 30 minute break, and worked approximately sixty-nine (69) hours per week.
- 25. From approximately January 1, 2016 until May 7, 2016 Plaintiff worked the following schedule; Tuesday thru Saturday with an alternating Monday or Sunday, from 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., during this period Plaintiff worked six (6) days per week, was provided with a 30 minute break, and worked approximately sixty-nine (69) hours per week.
- 26. Plaintiff was paid a fixed monthly rate of \$3,500.00 throughout her entire employment, with no overtime compensation.
 - 27. Plaintiff was paid in check throughout her employment with the Defendants.

- 28. Defendants did not compensate Plaintiff overtime compensation according to state and federal laws. Plaintiff was not compensated for New York State's "spread of hours" premium for shifts that lasted longer than ten (10) hours, one day each week.
 - 29. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a wage notices at the time of her hiring.
- 30. Defendants committed the following alleged acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully.
- 31. Defendants knew that the nonpayment of overtime and the "spread of hours" premium would economically injure Plaintiff and the Collective Members by their violation of federal and state laws.
- 32. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff and other Collective Action members' New York's "spread of hours" premium for every day in which they worked over 10 hours.
- 33. While employed by Defendants, Plaintiff was not exempt under federal and state laws requiring employers to pay employees overtime.
 - 34. Defendants failed to keep full and accurate records of Plaintiff's hours and wages.
- 35. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members with written notices about the terms and conditions of their employment upon hire in relation to their rate of pay, regular pay cycle and rate of overtime pay. These notices were similarly not provided upon Plaintiff and other Collective Members' pay increase(s).

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

36. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees either the FLSA overtime rate (of time and one-half), or the New York State overtime rate (of time and one-half), in violation of the FLSA

and New York Labor Law and the supporting federal and New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

- 37. Defendants knowingly and willfully operated their business with a policy of not paying the New York State unpaid "Spread of Hours" premium to Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees.
- 38. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all other and former non-exempt employees who have been or were employed by the Defendants at each of their four finishing locations for up to the last three (3) years, through entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period") and whom failed to receive minimum wages, spread-of-hours pay, and/or overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week (the "Collective Action Members"), and have been subject to the same common decision, policy, and plan to not provide required wage notices at the time of hiring, in contravention to federal and state labor laws.
- 39. Upon information and belief, the Collection Action Members are so numerous the joinder of all members is impracticable. The identity and precise number of such persons are unknown, and the facts upon which the calculations of that number may be ascertained are presently within the sole control of the Defendants. Upon information and belief, there are more than ten (10) Collective Action Members, who have worked for or have continued to work for the Defendants during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not likely file individual suits because they fear retaliation, lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys, or knowledge of their claims. Therefore, Plaintiff submits that this case should be certified as a collection action under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

- 40. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members, and have retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the field of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that are contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 41. This action should be certified as collective action because the prosecution of separate action by individual members of the collective action would risk creating either inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members of this collective that would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interest of the other members not party to the adjudication, or subsequently impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 42. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it virtually impossible for the members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as collective action.
- 43. Questions of law and fact common to members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the questions of fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:
 - a. Whether the Defendants employed Collective Action members within the meaning of the FLSA;

- b. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members overtime wages for all hours worked above forty (40) each workweek in violation of the FLSA and the regulation promulgated thereunder;
- c. Whether the Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members spread of hours payment for each day an employee worked over 10 hours;
- d. Whether the Defendants failed to provide the Collective Action Members with a wage notice at the time of hiring as required by the NYLL;
- e. Whether the Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA; and,
- f. Whether the Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive, and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees.
- 44. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.
- 45. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have been substantially damaged by Defendants' unlawful conduct.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

COUNT I

[Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act—Overtime Wage Brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective]

- 46. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. The FLSA provides that no employer engaged in commerce shall employ a covered employee for a work week longer than forty (40) hours unless such employee receives

9

compensation for employment in excess of forty (40) hours at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he or she is employed, or one and one-half times the minimum wage, whichever is greater. 29 USC §207(a).

- 48. The FLSA provides that any employer who violates the provisions of 29 U.S.C. \$207 shall be liable to the employees affected in the amount of their unpaid overtime compensation, and in an additional equal amount as liquidated damages. 29 USC \$216(b).
- 49. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the FLSA.
- 50. At all relevant times, Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation at the statutory rate of time and a half to Plaintiff and Collective Action Members for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek, which violated and continues to violate the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§207(a)(1) and 215(a).
- 51. The FLSA and supporting regulations required employers to notify employees of employment law requires employers to notify employment law requirements. 29 C.F.R. §516.4.
- 52. Defendants willfully failed to notify Plaintiff and FLSA Collective of the requirements of the employment laws in order to facilitate their exploitation of Plaintiff's and FLSA Collectives' labor.
- 53. Defendants knowingly and willfully disregarded the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiff and Collective Members the statutory overtime rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week when they knew or should have known such was due and that failing to do so would financially injure Plaintiff and Collective Action Members.

COUNT II [Violation of New York Labor Law—Overtime Pay]

- 54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. Pursuant to the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act, an employer who fails to pay proper overtime compensation shall be liable, in addition to the amount of any underpayments, for liquidated damages equal to the total of such under-payments found to be due the employee.
- 56. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective their overtime pay violated the NYLL.
 - 57. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective was not in good faith.

COUNT III [Violation of New York Labor Law—Spread of Hour Pay]

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. The NYLL requires employers to pay an extra hour's pay for every day that an employee works an interval in excess of ten hours pursuant to NYLL §§190, et seq., and §§650, et seq., and New York State Department of Labor regulations §146-1.6.
- 60. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff's and FLSA Collective spread-of-hours pay was not in good faith.

COUNT VI

[Violation of New York Labor Law—Time of Hire Wage Notice Requirement]

- 61. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
 - 62. The Defendants failed to furnish to the Plaintiff at the time of hiring a notice

containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL, § 195(1).

63. Due to the defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(1) each Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$50 for each workday that the violation occurred or continued to occur, up to \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law. N.Y. Lab. Law §198(1-b).

COUNT V [Violation of New York Labor Law—New York Pay Stub Requirement]

- 64. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. The NYLL and supporting regulations require employers to provide detailed paystub information to employees every payday. NYLL §195-1(d).
- 66. Defendants have failed to make a good faith effort to comply with the New York Labor Law with respect to compensation of each Plaintiff, and did not provide the pay stub on or after each Plaintiff's payday.
- 67. Due to Defendants' violations of New York Labor Law, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, \$250 for each workday of the violation, up to \$5,000 for Plaintiff for costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to New York Labor Law N.Y. Lab. Law

§198(1-d).

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA collective members, respectfully requests that this court enter a judgment providing the following relief:

- a) Authorizing Plaintiff at the earliest possible time to give notice of this collective action, or that the court issue such notice, to all persons who are presently, or have been employed by defendants as non-exempt tipped or non-tipped employees. Such notice shall inform them that the civil notice has been filed, of the nature of the action, of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper hourly compensation and overtime wages;
 - b) Certification of this case as a collective action pursuant to FLSA;
- c) Issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims and state claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Plaintiff and her counsel to represent the Collective Action Members;
- d) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- e) An injunction against Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them as provided by law, from engaging in each of unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
- f) An award of unpaid overtime wages due under FLSA and New York Labor Law, plus compensatory and liquidated damages in the amount of twenty five percent under NYLL

§§190 et seq., §§650 et seq., and one hundred percent after May 1, 2011 under NY Wage Theft

Prevention Act, and interest;

g) An award of unpaid "spread of hours" premium due under the New York Labor

Law;

h) An award of damages for Defendants' failure to provide wage notice at the time of

hiring as required under the New York Labor Law.

i) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' knowing

and willful failure to pay "spread of hours" premium and overtime compensation pursuant to 29

U.S.C. §216;

j) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of Defendants' willful

failure to pay "spread of hours" premium, and overtime compensation pursuant to New York Labor

Law;

k) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys'

and expert fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and NYLL §§198 and 663;

1) The cost and disbursements of this action;

m) An award of prejudgment and post-judgment fees;

n) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days

following the issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no

appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically

increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL §198(4); and

Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just,

and proper.

o)

Dated: Flushing, New York

HANG & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

14

October 12, 2017

By: /s/ Jian Hang
Jian Hang, Esq.
136-18 39th Ave., Suite #1003
Flushing, New York 11354
Telephone: (718) 353-8588
Email: jhang@hanglaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

EXHIBIT 1

CONSENT TO SUE UNDER FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

I am an employee currently or formerly employed by Yaso Hospitality Group LLC d/b/a Yaso Tangbao and/or related entities. I consent to be a plaintiff in an action to collect unpaid wages. I agree that I am bound by the terms of the Contingent Fee Retainer signed by the named plaintiff in this case.

Full Legal Name (Print)

Signature

Date

EXHIBIT 2

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY FOR SERVICES RENDERED

To: Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin Tu

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Xiaoyan Liu, and others similarly situated intend to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders of Yaso Hospitality Group LLC for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them or the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

Dated: October 12, 2017

EXHIBIT 3

DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND MINUTES PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW

TO:

Yaso Hospitality Group LLC 148 Lawrence St. Brooklyn, NY 11201

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Xiaoyan Liu, and others similarly situated as employees of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

HEREBY DEMAND the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts there from on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: October 12, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern Dist	rict of New York						
Xiaoyan Liu, individually and on behalf all other employees similarly situated,)))						
Plaintiff(s))						
v.	Civil Action No.						
Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin Tu)))						
Defendant(s)	Ś						
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address) Yaso Hospitality Group L Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin 148 Lawrence St., Brook	Tu						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.							
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	2						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will b You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.						
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT						
Date:							
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk						

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)						
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·						
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)								
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)								
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	te), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)								
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I returned the sum	☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because							
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:		_							
			Server's signature						
		_	Printed name and title						
		_	Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

Case 1:17-cv-05984 Document 1-2 VEIR SHEELT Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 24

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil de	t. This form, approved by t	he Judicial Conference of the	ne United States in September 1 HIS FORM.)	1974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Xiaoyan Liu			DEFENDANTS Yaso Hospitality Group LLC, d/b/a Yaso Tangbao, Ye Zhang, and Zhangxin Tu			
(b) County of Residence of (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff CAXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Queens (SES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Brooklyn (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Hang & Associates, PLL 136-18 39th Ave., Suite Flushing, NY 11354 (71	C 1003	r)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)	IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 the Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court	Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transfer Anothe (specify, lling (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	er District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca		WORK			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes ※No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 10/11/2017		signature of attor s/ Jian Hang	RNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	

Print

Save As...

Reset

Case 1:17-cv-05984 Document 1-2 Filed 10/12/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 25

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. $I, \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$, counsel for $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, П the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)** Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain) Yes

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Dumpling Cook Sues Another Former Employer Over Alleged Labor Law Violations</u>