1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PACIFIC TRIAL ATTORNEYS A Professional Corporation Scott J. Ferrell, Bar No. 202091 sferrell@pacifictrialattorneys.com 4100 Newport Place, Ste. 800 Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 706-6464 Fax: (949) 706-6469 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	KATHY LIRA, individually and on behalf	Case No. 8:17-cv-1210
12	of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR:
13	Plaintiff,	1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S.
14	V.	AUTOMATIC RENEWAL LAW
15	WEB.COM GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	(BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17600·17604); AND
16	Defendants.	2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW
17		(BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200-17204)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I.	

Plaintiff Kathy Lira ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, complains and alleges as follows:

### INTRODUCTION & OVERVIEW OF CLAIMS

- 1. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of herself and a class of others similarly situated consisting of all persons in California who, within the applicable statute of limitations period up to and including the date of judgment in this action, purchased subscriptions for products (such as website support services) from Web.com, Inc. ("Defendant"). The class of others similarly situated to Plaintiff is referred to herein as "Class Members." The claims for damages, restitution, injunctive and/or other equitable relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs arise under California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code") §§ 17602, 17603, 17604) and 17200, et seq., and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers for purposes of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600-17606.
- 2. During the Class Period, Defendant made automatic renewal or continuous service offers to consumers in California and (a) at the time of making the automatic renewal or continuous service offers, failed to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1); (b) charged Plaintiff's and Class Members' credit or debit cards, or third-party account (hereinafter "Payment Method") without first obtaining Plaintiff's and Class Members' affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17602(a)(2); and (c) failed to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b). As a result, all goods, wares,

merchandise, or products sent to Plaintiff and Class Members under the automatic renewal of continuous service agreements are deemed to be an unconditional gift pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603.

3. As a result of the above, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, seeks damages, restitution, declaratory relief, injunctive relief and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17603, 17203, and 17204, and Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as amended by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 because the amount in controversy exceeds five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00), exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which some members of the class are citizens of different states than Defendant. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A).
- 5. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant currently does business in this state.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District.

### **PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff purchased a subscription plan from Defendant in California during the Class Period. Plaintiff and Class Members are consumers as defined under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(d).
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Web.com Group, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in West Jacksonville, Florida. Defendant operates in California and has done business in California at all times during the Class Period. Also during the Class Period, Defendant made, and continues to make, automatic

renewal or continuous service offers to consumers in California. Defendant operates a website which markets website support and construction services and related products.

- 9. The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.
- 10. At all relevant times, each and every Defendant was acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and/or scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the Defendants. Each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein were alleged and made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants (Web.com Group, Inc. and DOE Defendants will hereafter collectively be referred to as "Defendant").

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

### California Business Professions Code §§ 17600-17606

- 11. On December 1, 2010, sections 17600-17606 of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code came into effect. The Legislature's stated intent for this Article was to end the practice of ongoing charges to consumers' Payment Methods without consumers' explicit consent for ongoing shipments of a product or ongoing deliveries of service. *See* Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17600.
- 12. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a) makes it unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
  - (1) Fail to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual

- proximity, or in the case of an offer conveyed by voice, in temporal proximity, to the request for consent to the offer.
- (2) Charge the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's account with a third party for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms.
- (3) Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. If the offer includes a free trial, the business shall also disclose in the acknowledgment how to cancel and allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services.
- 13. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(a) defines the term "Automatic renewal" as a "plan or arrangement in which a paid subscription or purchasing agreement is automatically renewed at the end of a definite term for a subsequent term."
- 14. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(b) defines the term "Automatic renewal offer terms" as "the following clear and conspicuous disclosures: (1) That the subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels. (2) The description of the cancelation policy that applies to the offer. (3) The recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's credit or debit card or payment account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known. (4) The length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous, unless the length of the tern is chosen by the consumer. (5) The minimum purchase obligation, if any."
- 15. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(c), "clear and conspicuous" or "clearly and conspicuously" means "in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbol ls or other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language."

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 789

111213

10

14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

22

2324

2627

25

28 | ///

- 16. Section 17602(b) provides: "A business making automatic renewal or continuous service offers shall provide a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller directly bills the consumer, or another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation that shall be described in the acknowledgment specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a)."
- 17. Section 17603 of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code provides: "In any case in which a business sends any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to a consumer, under a continuous service agreement or automatic renewal of a purchase, without first obtaining the consumer's affirmative consent as described in Section 17602, the goods, wares, merchandise, or products shall for all purposes be deemed an unconditional gift to the consumer, who may use or dispose of the same in any manner he or she sees fit without any obligation whatsoever on the consumer's part to the business, including but not limited to, bearing the cost of, or responsibility for, shipping any goods, wares, merchandise, or products to the business."

### **Defendant's Business**

18. Defendant offers, at its website, found at www.register.com, various subscriptions for website support and construction services. Defendant's product and services plan constitutes an automatic renewal and/or continuous service plan or arrangement for the purposes of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601.

### **Defendant's Web.com Master Services Agreement**

19. During Class Period. Defendant's webpage. found the at section entitled "Web.com Master www.register.com, contained a Agreement". This is a lengthy document in which the information concerning the recurring nature of Defendant's subscription programs and the manner in which the subscriptions may be canceled was, at all relevant times, not set forth in clear and conspicuous language, as required by the applicable statutes and as set forth below.

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

## 

### 

## <u>Defendant's Web.com Master Services Agreement Fails to Provide Clear and Conspicuous Disclosures As Required by Law.</u>

- 20. During the Class Period, within the Web.com Master Services Agreement, Defendant failed to state in clear and conspicuous language (*i.e.*, in larger type than the surrounding text, or in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size, or set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols of other marks, in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language) that:
  - i) The subscription or purchasing agreement will continue until the consumer cancels (there is language in capitals stating that the subscription will continue after the free trial period unless canceled, but language concerning recurring charges thereafter is not so prominently displayed);
  - ii) Described the cancellation policy that applies to the offer;
  - Recurring charges that will be charged to the consumer's Payment Method account with a third party as part of the automatic renewal plan or arrangement, and that the amount of the charge may change, if that is the case, and the amount to which the charge will change, if known; and
  - iv) The length of the automatic renewal term or that the service is continuous unless the length of tile term is chosen by the consumer.

## Defendant Failed to Present the Automatic Renewal Offer Terms or Continuous Service Offer Terms in a Clear and Conspicuous Manner Before the Subscription or Purchasing Agreement was Fulfilled and in Visual Proximity to the Request for Consent to the Offer in Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(l), (2).

21. During the Class Period, Defendant made an automatic renewal offer for its subscriptions plans to Consumers in the United States, including Plaintiff and Class Members. On the pages where the subscriber essentially finalized the purchase, there was no description of that policy. Accordingly, the website did not contain automatic

renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms as defined by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17601(b).

### [Insert pages 5-7 from the 2/14/17 scrape.]

- 22. As a result, during the class period, prior to charging Plaintiff and Class Members, Defendant failed to obtain Plaintiff's and Class Members' affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms as required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1), (2).
- 23. Because of Defendant's failure to gather affirmative consent to the automatic renewal terms, all goods, wares, merchandise, or products, sent to Plaintiff and Class Members under the automatic renewal or continuous service agreement are deemed to be an unconditional gift pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603, and Plaintiff and Class Members may use or dispose of the same in any manner they see fit without any obligation whatsoever on their part to Defendant, including, but not limited to, bearing the cost of, or responsibility for, shipping any goods, wares, merchandise or products.

## <u>Defendant Failed to Provide an Acknowledgment as Required by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b)</u>

- 24. Furthermore, and in addition to the above, after Plaintiff and Class Members subscribed to one of Defendant's subscription plans, Defendant sent to Plaintiff and Class Members email follow-ups to their purchase, but has failed, and continues to fail, to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b).
- [Insert 2 emails dated 2/22/17 there are other emails but they seem to be same as the 2 on 2/22]
- 27 | / / /

28 | / / /

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

25. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The proposed Class (the "Class") that Plaintiff seeks to represent is composed of and defined as:

"All persons within California that, within the applicable statute of limitations period up to and including entry of judgment in this matter, purchased any product or service in response to an offer constituting an "Automatic Renewal" as defined by § 17601(a) from Web.com Group, Inc., its predecessors, or its affiliates, via the website www.register.com."

- 26. Excluded from the Class are governmental entities, Defendant, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and Defendant's officers, directors, affiliates, legal representatives, employees, co-conspirators, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns, and individuals bound by any prior settlement. Also excluded from the Class is any judge, justice, or judicial officer presiding over this matter.
- 27. This action is brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1)-(4) and 23(b)(1)-(3). This action satisfies the numerosity, typicality, adequacy, predominance and superiority requirements of those provisions.
- 28. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1)] The Class is so numerous that the individual joinder of all of its members is impractical. While the exact number and identities of Class members are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff is informed and believes the Class includes hundreds of thousands of members. Plaintiff alleges that the Class may be ascertained by the records maintained by Defendant.
- 29. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2)] Common questions of fact and law exist as to all members of the Class that predominate over any questions affecting only individual

members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member to class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. Whether during the Class Period Defendant failed to present the automatic renewal offer terms, or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled and in visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(l);
- ii. Whether during the Class Period Defendant charged Plaintiff's and Class Members' Payment Method for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the Plaintiff's and Class Members' affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17602(a)(2);
- iii. Whether during the Class Period Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgement that included the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members, in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3);
- iv. Whether during the Class Period Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgment that describes a cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation in violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b);
- v. Whether Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to restitution of money paid in circumstances where the goods and services

- provided by Defendant are deemed an unconditional gift in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17603;
- vi. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to restitution in accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, 17203;
- vii. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to injunctive relief under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203;
- viii. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5; and
  - ix. The proper formula(s) for calculating the restitution owed to Class Members.
- 30. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3)] Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and all members of the Class have sustained injury and are facing irreparable harm arising out of Defendant's common course of conduct as complained of herein. The losses of each member of the Class were caused directly by Defendant's wrongful conduct as alleged herein.
- 31. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4)] Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiff has retained attorneys experienced in the prosecution of class actions, including complex consumer and mass tort litigation.
- 32. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)] A class action is superior to other available methods of fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every Class member could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous issues would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the

resources of the parties and of the court system, and protects the rights of each Class member.

- 33. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A)] The prosecution of separate actions by thousands of individual Class members would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to, among other things, the need for and the nature of proper notice, which Defendant must provide to all Class members.
- 34. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(B)] The prosecution of separate actions by individual class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other Class members not parties to such adjudications or that would substantially impair or impede the ability of such non-party Class members to protect their interests.
- 35. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2)] Defendant has acted or refused to act in respects generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with regard to the members of the Class as a whole.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# FAILURE TO PRESENT AUTOMATIC RENEWAL OFFER TERMS OR CONTINUOUS SERVICE OFFER TERMS CLEARLY AND CONSPICUOUSLY AND IN VISUAL, PROXIMITY TO THE REQUEST FOR CONSENT OFFER (CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE§ 17602(a)(l))

- 36. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
  - 37. Cal. Bus. Prof. Code§ 17602(a)(1) provides:
    - (a) It shall be unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
      - (1) Fail to present the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms in a clear and conspicuous manner before the

subscription or purchasing agreement is fulfilled and in visual proximity, or in the case of an offer conveyed by voice, in temporal proximity, to the request for consent to the offer.

- 38. Plaintiff and Class Members purchased Defendant's website construction and support services and related products for personal, family or household purposes. Defendant failed to present the automatic renewal offer terms, or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner and in visual proximity the request for consent to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § \$17602(a)(l), Defendant is subject under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17604 to all civil remedies that apply to a violation of Article 9, of Chapter 1, of Part 3, of Division 7 of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code.
- 40. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, requests relief as described below.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## FAILURE TO OBTAIN CONSUMER'S AFFIRMATIVE CONSENT BEFORE THE SUBSCRIPTION IS FULFILLED

(CAL BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17602(a)(2) and 17603)

- 41. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
  - 42. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(2) provides:
    - (a) It shall be unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
      - (2) Charge the consumer's credit or debit card or the consumer's account with a third party for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining the consumer, s affirmative consent to the agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms.

1	
2	í
3	]
4	]
5	]
6	4
7	1
8	
9	
10	ι
11	
12	(
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	(
19	
20	1
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

- 43. Plaintiff and Class Members purchased Defendant's website construction and support services and related products for personal, family or household purposes. Defendant charged, and continues to charge Plaintiff's and Class Members' Payment Method for an automatic renewal or continuous service without first obtaining Plaintiff's and Class Members affirmative consent to the Web.com Master Services Agreement containing the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service offer terms.
- 44. As a result of Defendant's violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(2), Defendant is liable to provide restitution to Plaintiff and Class Members under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17603.
- 45. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, requests relief as described below.

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

## FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACKNOWLEDGMENT WITH AUTOMATIC RENEWAL TERMS AND INFORMATION REGARDING CANCELLATION POLICY

(CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17602(a)(3), 17602(b))

- 46. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
  - 47. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17602(a)(3) provides:
    - (a) It shall be unlawful for any business making an automatic renewal or continuous service offer to a consumer in this state to do any of the following:
      - (3) Fail to provide an acknowledgment that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information regarding how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by the consumer. If the offer includes a free trial, the business shall also disclose in

the acknowledgment how to cancel and allow the consumer to cancel before the consumer pays for the goods or services.

- 48. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code§ 17602(b) provides:
  - "A business making automatic renewal or continuous service offers shall provide a toll-free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller directly bills the consumer, or another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use mechanism for cancellation that shall be described in the acknowledgment specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a)."
- 49. Plaintiff and Class Members purchased Defendant's website construction and support services and related products for personal, family or household purposes. Defendant failed to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy, and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members.
- 50. As a result of Defendant's violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b), Defendant is subject to all civil remedies under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17604 that apply to a violation of Article 9, of Chapter 1 of Part 3, of Division 7 of the Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code.
- 51. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, requests relief as described below.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### VIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

(CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE§ 17200 et. seq.)

- 52. The foregoing paragraphs are alleged herein and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 53. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq*. (the "UCL") prohibits unfair competition in the form of any unlawful or unfair business act or practice. Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17204 allows "a person who has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property" to prosecute a civil action for violation of the UCL. Such a person may

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11 12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25 26

27 28 bring such an action on behalf of himself or herself and others similarly situated who are affected by the unlawful and/or unfair business practice or act.

- Since December 1, 2010, and continuing during the Class Period, Defendant has committed unlawful and/or unfair business acts or practices as defined by the UCL, by violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17602(a)(1), 17602(a)(2), 17602(a)(3) and 17602(b). The public policy which is a predicate to a UCL action under the unfair prong of the UCL is tethered to a specific statutory provision. See Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17600, 17602.
- Plaintiff has standing to pursue this claim because she suffered injury in 55 fact and has lost money or property as a result of Defendant's actions as set forth herein. Plaintiff purchased Defendant's website construction and support services and related products for personal, family, or household purposes.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful and/or unfair 56. business acts or practices described herein, Defendant has received, and continues to hold, unlawfully obtained property and money belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members in the form of payments made for subscription agreements by Plaintiff and Class Members. Defendant has profited from its unlawful and/or unfair business acts or practices in the amount of those business expenses and interest accrued thereon.
- 57. Plaintiff and similarly-situated Class Members are entitled to restitution pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203 for all monies paid by Class Members under the subscription agreements from December 1, 2010, to the date of such restitution at rates specified by law. Defendant should be required to disgorge all the profits and gains it has reaped and restore such profits and gains to Plaintiff and Class Members, from whom they were unlawfully taken.
- 58. Plaintiff and similarly situated Class Members are entitled to enforce all applicable penalty provisions pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17202, and to obtain injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203.

- 59. Plaintiff has assumed the responsibility of enforcement of the laws and public policies specified herein by suing on behalf of herself and other similarly-situated Class Members. Plaintiff's success in this action will enforce important rights affecting the public interest. Plaintiff will incur a financial burden in pursuing this action in the public interest. An award of reasonable attorneys' fees to Plaintiff is thus appropriate pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure§ 1021.5.
- 60. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, request relief as described below.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- A. That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a class action, and define the Class as requested herein;
- B. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(1) by failing to present the automatic renewal offer terms, or continuous service offer terms, in a clear and conspicuous manner and the visual proximity to the request for consent to the offer before the subscription or purchasing agreement was fulfilled;
- C. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(2) by charging Plaintiff's and Class Members' Payment Method without first obtaining their affirmative consent to the automatic renewal offer terms or continuous service terms;
- D. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(a)(3) by failing to provide an acknowledgement that includes the automatic renewal or continuous service offer terms, cancellation policy and information on how to cancel in a manner that is capable of being retained by Plaintiff and Class Members;
- E. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17602(b) by failing to provide an acknowledgment that describes a toll-

1	free telephone number, electronic mail address, a postal address only when the seller	
2	directly bills the consumer, or another cost-effective, timely, and easy-to-use	
3	mechanism for cancellation;	
4	F. That the Court find and declare that Defendant has violated the UCL and	
5	committed unfair and unlawful business practices by violating Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §	
6	17602;	
7	G. That the Court award to Plaintiff and Class Members damages and full	
8	restitution due to Defendant's UCL violations, pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§	
9	17200-17205 in the amount of their subscription agreement payments;	
10	H. That the Court find that Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to	
11	injunctive relief pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203;	
12	I. That Plaintiff and the Class be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and	
13	costs pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, and/or other applicable	
14	law; and	
15	J. That the Court award such other and further relief as this Court may deem	
16	appropriate.	
17		
18	Dated: July 16, 2017 PACIFIC TRIAL ATTORNEYS, APC	
19	By:_/s/ Scott J. Ferrell	
20	Scott. J. Ferrell	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

### **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Web.com Group Robs Subscribers Through Unclear Recurring Charges</u>