1. Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of SMART ENERGY SOLAR INC. dba

27

28

5

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

SMART ENERGY USA ("Defendant") in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff and on Plaintiff's cellular telephone in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47. U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy.

## **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- Jurisdiction is proper as Plaintiff seeks redress under a federal statute, 2. thus this Court has jurisdiction as this matter involves questions of federal law.
- Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1391(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1441(a) because Defendant does business within the state of California and the Southern District of California, in Escondido, California.

## **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff, KEVIN LEMIEUX ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing 4. in San Diego County, California and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- Defendant is a California corporation engaged in the business of solar 5. energy contracting and installation with multiple offices throughout the State of California and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was a citizen of the State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, "persons" as defined by 47 U.S.C § 153 (39).
- Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as 7. defined by 47 U.S.C. §153 (39).
- Sometime prior to November 2, 2012 Plaintiff was assigned, and became the owner of, a cellular telephone number from his wireless provider.
- On or about November 2, 2016, Plaintiff received a telephone call on his cellular telephone from Defendant, in which Defendant utilized an automatic

telephone dialing system ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), using an "artificial or prerecorded voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

- 10. This November 2 call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number ending in 6796, was placed by Defendant from phone number (619) 324-4749, at 4:05 p.m.
- 11. During this call from Defendant to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, an artificial or prerecorded voice said, "This is an urgent public announcement" and at the end of the recording, it directed Plaintiff to "'press 1' to 'stop the electricity bill increase."
- 12. After pressing 1, a live woman came on the phone and identified herself to Plaintiff as a representative from the "Clean Air Project." ["CAP Representative # 1]. Plaintiff asked CAP Representative # 1 what the nature of the "Clean Air Project" was and CAP Representative # 1 stated to Plaintiff that the "Clean Air Project" was a community organization. Plaintiff asked the CAP Representative #1 she was a telemarketer, and she responded "No."
- 13. CAP Representative # 1 proceeded to ask Plaintiff a series of questions, including, but not limited to: whether he was a homeowner; and, how much he paid for his electrical bill, etc. Once Plaintiff fully responded to each of these questions, CAP Representative # 1 stated to Plaintiff that "he qualifies and would definitely benefit from our program." Shortly thereafter, CAP Representative # 1 terminated the call with Plaintiff.
- 14. On November 3, 2016, Plaintiff called the contact number for "Clean Air Project" and spoke with another CAP Representative. [CAP Representative # 2]. CAP Representative # 2 posed the same questions to Plaintiff that were posed to him the day before by CAP Representative # 1, and was again told by CAP Representative #2 that he was "qualified." Thereafter, CAP Representative # 2 made an appointment with Plaintiff for Smart Energy USA to come to his home address.
  - 15. After further consideration, later the same day, on November 3, 2016,

1617

18 19

20

21 22

2324

2526

2728

Plaintiff called the "Clean Air Project" phone number back to cancel the appointment with Smart Energy USA and to request that his number be removed from their dialing list.

- 16. On November 14, 2016, at 4:17 p.m., Plaintiff again received the same pre-recorded call from Defendant that he received on November 2, 2016 (as referenced in ¶¶ 9 & 10 *supra*), this time from telephone number (949) 332-1093. Plaintiff pressed '1' and was connected to "Melissa." Thereafter, Plaintiff eventually terminated this call with Melissa.
- 17. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by  $47 U.S.C. \$  227(a)(1) to place its calls to Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant used an artificial or prerecorded voice to place its calls to Plaintiff.
- 19. The voicemail messages Plaintiff received were created using a prerecorded and/or artificial voice. As it is highly unlikely that Defendant's representative manually dialed Plaintiff's telephone number and subsequently placed a prerecorded message when Plaintiff did not answer, Defendant's use of an artificial or prerecorded message indicates that Defendant's call was placed with an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 20. The ATDS used by Defendant has the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 21. The ATDS used by Defendant also has the capacity to, and does, call telephone numbers from a list of databases of telephone numbers automatically and without human intervention.
- 22. The telephone number Defendant called was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurred a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- 23. Plaintiff at no time provided "prior express consent" for Defendant to place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone with an artificial or

prerecorded voice utilizing an ATDS as proscribed under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

- 24. Plaintiff had not provided his cellular telephone number to Defendant. Plaintiff was not a customer of Defendant.
- 25. Plaintiff had no "established business relationship" with Defendant, as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(2). 24. These telephone calls made by Defendant or its agents were in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1). Accordingly, Defendant did not have Plaintiff's "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system on his cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 26. As a result of Defendant's alleged violations of law by placing these automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without prior express consent, Defendant caused Plaintiff harm and/or injury such that Article III standing is satisfied in at least the following, if not more, ways:
  - a. Invading Plaintiff's and the putative class' privacy;
  - b. Electronically intruding upon Plaintiff's and the putative class' seclusion;
  - c. Intrusion into Plaintiff's and the putative class' use and enjoyment of their cellular telephones;
  - d. Impermissibly occupying minutes, data, availability to answer another call, and various other intangible rights that Plaintiff and the putative class have as to complete ownership and use of their cellular telephones;
  - e. Causing Plaintiff and the putative class to expend needless time in receiving, answering, and attempting to dispose of Defendant's unwanted calls.

# **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

27. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, as a member of the proposed class (hereafter "The Class") defined as

follows:

- a. All persons within the United States who had or have a number assigned to a cellular telephone service, who received at least one call using an ATDS and/or an artificial prerecorded voice from Defendant, or its agents, calling on behalf of Defendant, between the date of filing this action and the four years preceding, where such calls were placed for marketing purposes, to noncustomers of Defendant, at the time of the calls.
- 28. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 29. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant or their agents, during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 30. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

20

19

22

23

24

21

25

27

26 28

- The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of 31. their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the Court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records and/or Defendant's agent's records.
- There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law 32. and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
- Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of the Complaint, Defendant made any call(s) (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to the Class members using any ATDS or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;
- ii. Whether Defendant called non-customers of Defendant for marketing purposes;
- Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged iii. thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation(s); and,
- iv. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 33. As a person that received numerous calls from Defendant in which Defendant used an ATDS or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and

Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. The size of Class member's individual claims causes, few, if any, Class members to be able to afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

- 35. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 36. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those that would be presented in numerous individual claims.
- 37. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

# **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-32.
- 39. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 40. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
  - 41. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek

injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

## **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# **Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection**

#### Act

### 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.

- 42. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-36.
- 43. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 44. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 45. Plaintiff and the Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests judgment against Defendant for the following:

# **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Negligent Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. \$227(b)(1), Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to and request \$500 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B).
- Injunctive relief.
- Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 1 Knowing and/or Willful Violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection 2 3 Act 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq. 4 • As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 5 U.S.C.  $\S 227(b)(1)$ , Plaintiff and the Class members are entitled to 6 and request treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500, for 7 each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B) and 47 8  $U.S.C. \S 227(b)(3)(C).$ 9 • Injunctive relief. 10 • Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper. 11 12 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 13 Please take notice that Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action. 14 15 16 Date: April 5, 2017 MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC 17 18 By:/s/ Nicholas J. Bontrager Nicholas J. Bontrager 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

# $_{JS~44~(Rev.~12/12)}$ ase 3:17-cv-00694-DMS-BLNC1Document 1-1 Filed 04/05/17 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	PRM.)	, 1								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS KEVIN LEMIEUX, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC 6464 W. Sunset Blvd., Ste. 960, Los Angeles, CA 90028 T: (323) 940-1700				DEFENDANTS SMART ENERGY SOLAR, INC. dba SMART ENERGY USA,  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Corona, CA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)  17 CV0694 DMS BLM									
							II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
							☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  DEF  and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF  izen of This State  □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place  of Business In This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State									
IV NATURE OF SUIT	F SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country									
CONTRACT		ORTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES							
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability     367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability     PERSONAL PROPER     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability     PRISONER PETITION     Habeas Corpus:     463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty Other:     540 Mandamus & Oth     550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	LABOR  O Fair Labor Standards Act  Labor/Management Relations  Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act  O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION So Naturalization Application So Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  3 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes							
	moved from te Court 3  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court atte under which you at et seq. ("TCPA") ause:	1		r District Litigation								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		1 D	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   Yes □ No										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE				DOCKET NUMBER									
DATE 04/05/2017	signature of attorney of record /s/ Nicholas J. Bontrager												
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	torn m												
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE							

Reset

**Print** 

Save As...

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included nere. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Smart Energy Solar Named in Class Action Over Robocalls</u>