Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206-816-6603 / Fax: 206-319-5450

Plaintiff Douglas Lacy, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, alleges the following against Comcast Corporation ("Comcast" or "Defendant").

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Since January, 2018, Comcast has repeatedly called Mr. Lacy's cellular phone about a Comcast account that does not belong to him. Comcast used an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") and an artificial or prerecorded voice to make these calls.
- 2. Plaintiff began receiving Comcast's calls shortly after he got a new cellular phone number in January, 2018. Mr. Lacy has not been a Comcast customer since 2013 and did not consent to receive calls from Comcast five years after he terminated his Comcast subscription. Plaintiff informed Comcast that it has the wrong number and asked Comcast to stop calling him. Comcast, nevertheless, continues to make calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone without his consent.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this class action for damages and other equitable and legal remedies resulting from Defendant's violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because they present a federal question.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Comcast because it directed the call that is the subject of this action to Plaintiff's cellular phone. Plaintiff's cellular phone uses a Washington area code and was, at all relevant times, located in Washington. Comcast continuously and systematically conducts business in Washington.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Douglas Lacy resides in Spanaway, Washington.

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION - 2

8. Defendant Comcast is a Pennsylvania corporation with headquarters at One Comcast Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2838.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- A. Comcast Made Non-Emergency Calls to the Cellular Phones of Plaintiff and Other Consumers Without Their Prior Express Consent.
- 9. Plaintiff's telephone number, (XXX) XXX-6397, is assigned to a cellular telephone service. Plaintiff first acquired this cellular phone number in January, 2018.
- 10. Since January 2018, Comcast has called Plaintiff's cellular phone at least 143 times and left at least 55 voicemails.
- 11. Plaintiff received at least 142 calls from the number 1-800-266-2278. Plaintiff received at least one call from 1-855-692-3151.
 - 12. Comcast's calls pertain to a Comcast account that does not belong to Plaintiff.
- 13. Comcast's calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone are intended for some person other than Plaintiff.
 - 14. Plaintiff has not been a Comcast customer or subscriber since 2013.
- 15. Plaintiff did not consent to receive calls from Comcast after he terminated his subscription in 2013. Plaintiff never consented to receive Comcast calls intended for other Comcast customers.
- 16. Plaintiff received at least 54 voicemails from the number 1-800-266-2278. All of these voicemails are identical. Each voicemail delivers the following message with an artificial or prerecorded voice: "Hi, this is Comcast calling with an important message. Please call us back at 1-800-266-2278 to learn more."
- 17. The voicemail plaintiff received from 1-855-692-3151 also delivers a message with an artificial or prerecorded voice. The message directs Plaintiff to return Comcast equipment. Plaintiff is not in possession of any Comcast equipment. He returned the only Comcast equipment that he has ever possessed when he terminated his subscription in 2013.

- 18. Plaintiff called back the 1-855-692-3151 number and learned that Comcast was looking for someone named Andrew Hansen. Plaintiff does not know anybody named Andrew Hansen and has never had any sort of relationship with anyone named Andrew Hansen. Plaintiff informed Comcast that it had the wrong number.
- 19. The content of Comcast's messages demonstrates that Comcast's calls were not made for an emergency purpose.
- 20. Plaintiff answered at least two calls placed by Comcast, informed Comcast that he was not the intended recipient of the calls, and requested that Comcast stop calling him.
- 21. Plaintiff continued to receive calls from Comcast after asking Comcast to stop calling him.
- 22. Comcast has been sued multiple times for calling behavior similar to the behavior described in this complaint.
- 23. Comcast is aware of the TCPA's prohibitions against the use of automatic dialing systems and artificial or prerecorded voices to make calls to cellular phones without the prior express consent of the called party. Comcast therefore knowingly or willfully caused autodialed calls to be made to the cellular phones of Plaintiff and other consumers without their prior express consent.
- 24. In addition, each call Comcast made to Plaintiff's cellular phone after he informed Comcast that it was calling the wrong number was made knowingly or willfully.

B. Comcast Used an ATDS and an Artificial or Pre-recorded Voice.

- 25. Each time that it called his cellular phone, Comcast called Plaintiff using an ATDS. Plaintiff noted a pause before the start of each message he received when he did not answer, and before being connected to the call when he did answer, which is characteristic of a call placed by an ATDS.
- 26. Comcast also called Plaintiff using a prerecorded or artificial voice, as evidenced by the tone and cadence of the voice on the calls and voicemails.

27. Comcast is a publicly traded company with a market cap exceeding \$153 billion. With approximately 60 million customers, the scale of Comcast's business requires the use of a sophisticated dialing system capable of storing phone numbers and dialing them automatically, as well as delivering messages without requiring the involvement of human agents.

28. The equipment used to call Plaintiff and others not only had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator, but was programmed to sequentially or randomly access stored telephone numbers to automatically call such numbers for the calls that are the subject of this case. The equipment generated, and then stored, a sequence of telephone numbers for calling, and then automatically called those numbers. The calls were part of a campaign that made numerous phone numbers in a short period of time without human intervention.

C. Comcast's Violations of the TCPA Harmed Plaintiff

- 29. During the relevant period, Plaintiff has carried his cellular phone with him at most times so that he can be available to family, friends, and his employer.
- 30. Comcast's repeated calls invaded Plaintiff's privacy and intruded upon his right to seclusion. The calls frustrated and upset Plaintiff by constantly interrupting his daily life and wasted his time.
- 31. Comcast's calls and voicemails intruded upon and occupied the capacity of Plaintiff's cellular phone and depleted the battery of Plaintiff's cellular phone. The calls temporarily seized and trespassed upon Plaintiff's use of his cellular phone, and caused him to divert attention away from other activities to address the calls and voicemails. The clutter of Comcast's calls and voicemails also impaired the usefulness of the call log feature of Plaintiff's cellular phone.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

32. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) as a representative of the following class:

5

7

6

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

TCPA;

2526

27

28

Each person within the United States who (1) received a non-emergency call to his or her cellular telephone; (2) from Comcast; (3) through the use of an ATDS and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice; and (4) who was not a Comcast customer or subscriber at the time of the call(s).

Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition following an appropriate period of discovery.

- 33. Excluded from the Class are Comcast, its employees, agents and assigns, and any members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their respective court staff, and Plaintiff's counsel. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class; it does not seek recovery for personal injury, wrongful death, or emotional distress.
- 34. Because auto-dialing equipment maintains records of each contact, members of the above-defined Class can be identified through Comcast's records.

Numerosity

- 35. At the time of filing, Plaintiff does not know the exact number of Class Members. But the volume of cellular telephone numbers reassigned on a daily basis¹ and the number of Comcast customers indicate that Class Members likely number in the hundreds of thousands, if not millions, and are geographically disbursed throughout the country.
- 36. The alleged size and geographic disbursement of the Class makes joinder of all Class Members impracticable.

Commonality and Predominance

- 37. Common questions of law and fact exist with regard to each of the claims and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Questions common to the Class include:
 - a. Whether Comcast's dialing system(s) constitute an ATDS under the

¹ According to the Federal Communications Commission, as many as 100,000 cell numbers are reassigned every day. *In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991*, 30 F.C.C. Rcd. 7961 (July 10, 2015).

- b. Whether Comcast used an ATDS to place non-emergency calls on the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members without their prior express consent;
- c. Whether Comcast used an artificial or prerecorded voice in connection with the placement of non-emergency calls on the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members without their prior express consent;
 - d. Whether Comcast's telephone calls were made knowingly or willfully;
- e. Whether Plaintiff and Class members were damaged by receiving such calls; and
- f. Whether Comcast should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.

Typicality

38. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, in that Plaintiff, like all Class Members, has been injured by Comcast's uniform misconduct—the placement of calls to cellular telephones for non-emergency purposes without the prior express consent of the called parties.

Adequacy of Representation

39. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in class action litigation and matters involving TCPA violations.

Superiority

40. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Because the amount of each individual Class member's claim is small relative to the complexity of the litigation, and because of Comcast's financial resources, class members are unlikely to pursue legal redress individually for the violations detailed in this complaint. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Comcast to comply with Federal law. Individualized litigation would significantly increase the delay and expense to all parties and to the Court and would create the potential for inconsistent and contradictory

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION - 7

rulings. By contrast, a class action presents fewer management difficulties, allows claims to be heard which would otherwise go unheard because of the expense of bringing individual lawsuits, and provides the benefits of adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Negligent Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates the above allegations by reference.
- 42. Comcast used an automatic telephone-dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to make non-emergency calls to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members, without their prior express consent.
- 43. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute negligent violations of the TCPA, including, but not limited to, violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) and 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1200(a)(1)(iii).
- 44. Under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), and as a result of the alleged negligent violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call placed in violation of the TCPA.
- 45. Plaintiff and Class members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting future violations of the TCPA.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Knowing or Willful Violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates the above allegations by reference.
- 47. Comcast used an automatic telephone-dialing system and/or an artificial or prerecorded voice to make non-emergency calls to the cellular telephones of Plaintiff and Class members, without their prior express consent.

- 48. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including, but not limited to, violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) and 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1200(a)(1)(iii).
- 49. Under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C), and as a result of the alleged knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages for each and every call placed in violation of the TCPA.
- 50. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting future violations of the TCPA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class defined above, respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Determine that the claims alleged herein may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, and issue an order certifying the Class defined above and appointing Plaintiff as the Class representative;
- B. Award \$500 in statutory damages for each and every call that Comcast negligently placed in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;
- C. Award \$1,500 in statutory damages for each and every call that Comcast willfully or knowingly placed in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1) of the TCPA;
- D. Grant appropriate injunctive and declaratory relief, including, without limitation, an order requiring Comcast to implement measures to stop future violations of the TCPA; and
 - E. Grant such further relief as the Court deems proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Case 3:19-cv-05007 Document 1 Filed 01/04/19 Page 10 of 10

1	Dated: January 4, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
2		TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC
3		
4		By: /s/ Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759
5		Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com
6		By: /s/ Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983
7		Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983 Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com
8		936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869
9		Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Facsimile: (206) 319-5450
10		· · ·
11		Daniel C. Girard*
12		Email: dgirard@girardsharp.com Simon S. Grille*
		Email: sgrille@girardsharp.com
13		GIRARD SHARP LLP
14		601 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94108
15		Telephone: (415) 981-4800
16		Facsimile: (415) 981-4846
17		*Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming
18		Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	COMPLAINT – CLASS ACTION - 9	Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC

28

Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC 936 N. 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98103 Tel: 206-816-6603 / Fax: 206-319-5450

Case 3:19-cv-05007 Pectagort ^{1}S Filed $^{01/04/19}$ Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do					774, is requi	red for the use of	the elerk of court for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
DOUGLAS LACY				COMCAST CORPORATION			
(b) County of Residence of (EX) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A) Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #2 North 34th Street, Suite 3 Washington, 98103; Tele	Address, and Telephone Numbe 26759, Terrell Marshal 300, Seattle, Washingt	r) I Law Group PLLC on, 98103-8869, S		County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. PI	LAINTIFF CASES O	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			Γ F DEF 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
			1	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely)	FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of KRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	1 69 69 69 69 69 69 69 6	CABOR LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations Act Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION VIEW NATION VIEW	422 Apper 423 With 28 US 423 With 28 US 424 With 28 US 425 Copyr 830 Paten 840 Trade 840 Trade 862 Black 863 DIWC 864 SSID 865 RSI (al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) SL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ▼ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you a	Reo	stated or 5 Transfer Spened Another (specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Telephone Consu CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	umer Protection Ac IS A CLASS ACTION		ons EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE 01/04/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Beth E. Ter					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

 PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

United States District Court

for the

Western District of Washington

Western Distri	or or washington
DOUGLAS LACY, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)))
Plaintiff(s)	
V.	Civil Action No.
COMCAST CORPORATION,	
)
)
Defendant(s))
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) COMCAST CORPORATION c/o CT Corporation System Philadelphia Regional Ser Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street, 5th Find Philadelphia, Pennsylvani	m Philadelphia rvice Office loor
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an office	6759 shall.com ite 300 i3-8869
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name	e of individual and title, if any)						
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	·						
	☐ I personally served t	the summons on the individua	al at (place)					
			on (date)	; or				
	r usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or							
☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)								
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on be	on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because						
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.					
Date:								
			Server's signature					
			Printed name and title					
			Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org	s searchable <u>class action lawsuit database</u>
---	---