Louis Pechman
Gianfranco J. Cuadra
Gregory S. Slotnick
Pechman Law Group PLLC
488 Madison Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Tel.: (212) 583-9500
pechman@pechmanlaw.com
cuadra@pechmanlaw.com
slotnick@pechmanlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff & the
Putative FLSA Collective

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARBEN KRASNIQI, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff, : COLLECTIVE ACTION

-against-

COMPLAINT

RAPI INC. d/b/a BRIOSO RISTORANTE, PIETRO DIMAGGIO, and RAFFAELE DIMAGGIO,

Defendants. :

Plaintiff Arben Krasniqi ("Plaintiff" or "Krasniqi"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by his attorneys Pechman Law Group PLLC, complaining of defendants Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante ("Brioso"), Pietro DiMaggio, and Raffaele DiMaggio (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Brioso Ristorante, an Italian restaurant in Staten Island, New York, failed to pay Plaintiff and the rest of its waiters, runners, and bartenders any wages whatsoever. These employees worked only for tips left by Brioso's customers. Busboys at Brioso were paid \$10.00 per shift, regardless of the number of hours they actually worked per workday. Brioso's owners also retained portions of the tips that customers

left for the waitstaff on a nightly basis, failed to provide Plaintiff and the waitstaff with wage notices upon hiring and when their rates of pay changed, and did not provide them with accurate wage statements at the end of each pay period.

2. Upon these facts, Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and New York Labor Law § 190 et seq. ("NYLL") seeking injunctive and declaratory relief against Defendants' unlawful actions and to recover unpaid minimum and overtime wages, spread-of-hours pay, misappropriated gratuities, liquidated damages, statutory damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337, and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as Brioso is located in the Eastern District of New York.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 5. Krasniqi resides in Richmond County, New York.
- 6. Defendants employed Krasniqi as a waiter from approximately January 1, 2013, through the end of April 2017.
- 7. As a waiter, Krasniqi took orders from and served Brioso customers and was also responsible for stocking the bar, taking customer reservations, organizing chairs before opening and after closing, and cleaning the refrigerator, bar, and office.
 - 8. Krasniqi is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA.

Defendants

- 9. Defendant Rapi Inc. is a New York corporation that owns, operates, and does business as Brioso Ristorante, an Italian restaurant located at 174 New Dorp Lane, Staten Island, New York 10306.
- 10. Brioso is an "enterprise engaged in interstate commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 11. Brioso has employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.
 - 12. Brioso's annual gross volume of sales exceeds \$500,000.
 - 13. Defendant Pietro DiMaggio is an owner of Brioso.
- 14. Pietro DiMaggio exercises sufficient control over Brioso's operations to be considered the Brioso waitstaff's employer under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 15. Throughout Krasniqi's employment, Pietro DiMaggio disciplined, hired, and fired Brioso waitstaff, including Krasniqi.
- 16. During the same period, Pietro DiMaggio determined the tip distributions of Brioso waitstaff from the restaurant's tip pool.
- 17. During the same period, Pietro DiMaggio was regularly present at the Staten Island, New York Brioso location six days per week.
 - 18. Defendant Rafaelle DiMaggio is an owner of Brioso.
- 19. Raffaele DiMaggio exercises sufficient control over Brioso's operations to be considered the Brioso waitstaff's employer under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 20. From approximately January 1, 2013, through approximately January 2016, Raffaele DiMaggio disciplined employees, hired and fired employees, determined

tip distribution amounts from the tip pool, and otherwise controlled the terms and conditions of their employment.

- 21. During the same period, Raffaele DiMaggio decided the pay rates of Brioso waitstaff, including Krasniqi's.
- 22. Raffaele DiMaggio was regularly present at the Staten Island, New York
 Brioso location 4 days per week until approximately January 2016. Since then, Raffaele
 DiMaggio has been present at Brioso occasionally.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Krasniqi brings the claims in this Complaint arising out of the FLSA on behalf of himself and all similarly situated waiters, bussers, runners, and bartenders (the "waitstaff") who are current and former employees of Defendants since the date three years prior to the filing of this action who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").
- 24. The FLSA Collective consists of approximately sixty waitstaff who have been victims of Defendants' common policy and practices that have violated their rights under the FLSA by, *inter alia*, willfully denying them minimum and overtime wages, spread-of-hours pay, tips, and other monies.
- 25. As part of their regular business practices, Defendants have intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly harmed the FLSA Collective by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA and NYLL. This policy and pattern or practice includes, *inter alia*, the following:
 - a. failing to keep accurate records of hours worked by the FLSA Collective as required by the FLSA and the NYLL;
 - b. failing to pay the FLSA Collective minimum wages for all hours worked;

- c. failing to pay the FLSA Collective overtime pay for all hours worked over forty;
- d. depriving the FLSA Collective of tips that they earned;
- e. failing to pay the FLSA Collective spread-of-hours compensation for each day in which their workdays spanned more than ten hours;
- f. failing to provide the FLSA Collective with accurate wage statements at the end of each pay period; and
- g. failing to provide the FLSA Collective with wage notices at the time of hiring or whenever their rates of pay changes.
- 26. Defendants have engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees their compensation.
- 27. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been intentional, willful, and in bad faith and has caused significant monetary damage to the FLSA Collective.
- 28. The FLSA Collective would benefit from the issuance of a court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Brioso and are readily identifiable and locatable through its records. Those similarly situated employees should be notified of and allowed to opt into this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Throughout his employment at Brioso, Defendants did not pay Krasniqi any wages whatsoever. Krasniqi worked only for tips left by Brioso's customers.
- 30. From approximately January 1, 2013, through approximately December 31, 2016, Krasniqi regularly worked six days per workweek, Tuesday through Sunday, from approximately 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 a.m., totaling approximately 81 hours per week.
- 31. From approximately January 1, 2017, through the end of April 2017, Krasniqi regularly worked five days per workweek, including two shifts from

approximately 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 a.m., and three shifts from approximately 3:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., totaling approximately fifty-four hours per week.

- 32. Occasionally, Krasniqi also worked on Mondays.
- 33. Throughout his employment, Raffaele and Pietro DiMaggio retained portions of the tips that customers left Krasniqi and the rest of the waitstaff.
- 34. Whenever the register at Brioso was short, Defendants required Krasniqi and the waitstaff to leave some of their tip money in the register.
- 35. Defendants retained a uniform 5% charge on all customer credit card charges, which was a higher percentage than the credit card company fees for purchases.
- 36. Whenever customers paid using gift cards at Brioso, Defendant Pietro DiMaggio pocketed the amounts on the gift cards in excess of customers' bills, even though customers intended to leave those extra amounts as tips for the waitstaff.
- 37. Defendants required the waitstaff to pay for breakages (e.g., of dishware) and for mistakes and returns (e.g., customers returning orders) out of their tips.
 - 38. Defendants did not furnish Krasniqi with wage notices upon hiring.
- 39. Defendants did not furnish Krasniqi with an accurate statement of wages at the end of every pay period.

FIRST CLAIM (Fair Labor Standards Act – Unpaid Minimum Wage)

- 40. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 41. Defendants are employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 206(a), and employed Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

- 42. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the applicable minimum wage rate.
- 43. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the minimum wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.
- 44. Defendants were aware or should have been aware that the practices described in this Complaint were unlawful and have not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.
- 45. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective suffered damages by being denied minimum wages in accordance with the FLSA in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs of this action, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Unpaid Minimum Wage)

- 46. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. Defendants are employers within the meaning of the NYLL §§ 190, 651(5), 652, and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, and employed Plaintiff.
- 48. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the minimum hourly wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL.
- 49. Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective minimum hourly wages.

50. As a result of Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover unpaid wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, liquidated damages, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CLAIM (Fair Labor Standards Act – Unpaid Overtime)

- 51. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective one and one-half (1½) times their regular hourly rates for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek pursuant to the overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, et seq.
- 53. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA.
- 54. Defendants have willfully violated the FLSA by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective overtime wages.
- 55. Due to Defendants' violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

FOURTH CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Unpaid Overtime)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Under the NYLL and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective one and one half (1½) times their regular hourly rates for all hours worked in excess of forty per week.

- 58. Defendants have failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the overtime wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL.
- 59. Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective overtime wages.
- 60. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover unpaid overtime wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, liquidated damages, and pre- and post-judgment interest.

FIFTH CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Spread-of-Hours Pay)

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective additional compensation of one hour's pay at the basic minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which they worked more than ten hours.
- 63. By Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective spread-of-hours pay, Defendants willfully violated the NYLL Article 19, §§ 650, et seq., and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, including, but not limited to the Wage Hospitality Industry Wage Order, 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146-1.6.
- 64. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover an amount prescribed by statute, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, pre- and post-judgment interest, and liquidated damages.

SIXTH CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Misappropriation of Gratuities)

65. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 66. Defendants unlawfully demanded or accepted, directly or indirectly, part of the gratuities received by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective in violation of NYLL, Article 6, § 196-d and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 67. Defendants required Plaintiff and the Brioso waitstaff to share a portion of the gratuities they received with employees other than waiters, bussers, runners, bartenders, or similar employees, in violation of NYLL, Article 6, § 196-d and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 68. By Defendants' knowing or intentional demand for, acceptance of, and/or retention of a portion of the gratuities received by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL, Article 6, § 196-d and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.
- 69. Due to Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover from Defendants' unpaid gratuities, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and preand post-judgment interest.

SEVENTH CLAIM (New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Wage Notices)

- 70. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 71. Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective a notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL § 191, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of NYLL § 195(1).

72. Due to Defendants' violation of NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover from the Defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per workday that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000.00, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to NYLL § 198(1-b).

EIGHTH CLAIM

(New York Labor Law - Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements)

- 73. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 74. Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, with each wage payment, a statement accurately listing: rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of NYLL § 195(3).
- 75. Due to Defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(3), Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are entitled to recover from the defendants liquidated damages of \$250.00 per workday, up to a maximum of \$5,000.00, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-d).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court:

a. authorize the issuance of notice at the earliest possible time to all potential FLSA Collective members, composed of persons who were employed by Defendants as waitstaff (*i.e.*, waiters, bussers, runners, and bartenders) during the three years immediately preceding the filing of this action. This notice should inform them

that this action has been filed, describe the nature of the action, and explain their right to opt into this lawsuit;

- b. declare that Defendants have violated the minimum and overtime wage provisions of the FLSA and the NYLL;
- c. declare that Defendants have violated the spread-of-hours provisions of the NYLL and the New York State Department of Labor Regulations;
- d. declare that Defendants misappropriated gratuities in violation of the NYLL and the New York State Department of Labor Regulations;
- e. declare that Defendants violated the notice and record keeping provisions of the Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- f. declare that Defendants' violations of the FLSA and NYLL were willful;
 - g. enjoin future violations of the FLSA and NYLL;
- h. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective damages for unpaid minimum and overtime wages, misappropriate gratuities, and spread-of-hours pay;
- i. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and NYLL;
- j. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish wage notices upon their hiring or whenever their rates of pay changed pursuant to the NYLL;
- k. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective statutory damages as a result of Defendants' failure to furnish them with accurate wage statements at the end of each pay period pursuant to the NYLL;
- l. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective pre- and post-judgment interest under the FLSA and the NYLL;

m. award Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant the FLSA and the NYLL; and

n. award such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York

June 30, 2017

By:

Louis Pechman

PECHMAN LAW GROUP PL

Gianfranco J. Ċuadra Gregory S. Slotnick

488 Madison Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, New York 10022

Tel.: (212) 583-9500

pechman@pechmanlaw.com cuadra@pechmanlaw.com slotnick@pechmanlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff & the Putative FLSA Collective JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Arben Krasniqi, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated				Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante, Pietro DiMaggio, and Raffaele DiMaggio					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Richmond				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)				LAINTIFF CASES O			
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, a	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Pechman Law Group PLI	LC, 488 Madison Aver	nue, New York, NY	10022						
(212) 583-9500									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
🗇 I U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question	i		(For Diversity Cases Only) P.	TF DEF		and One Box fo	or Defenac	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 0 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		□ 4	D 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗗 2	Incorporated and I of Business In a		I 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		Ø 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			here for: Nature (
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	375 False Cl	STATUT	ES
☐ 120 Marine	310 Airplanc	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal	☐ 376 Qui Tan	n (31 USC	!
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	☐ 69	00 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a)) 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				RTY RIGHTS	🗗 410 Antitrus	t	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Pater		 430 Banks ar 450 Commer 		g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal	·		☐ 835 Pater	nt - Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportation		and and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			☐ 840 Trade	Drug Application emark		Organizati	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL 861 HIA	SECURITY (1305ff)	 480 Consum 490 Cable/Sa 		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	ŀ	Act	☐ 862 Black	k Lung (923)	3 850 Securition	es/Commo	dities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	0 72	20 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	Exchange 890 Other St		ctions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (☐ 891 Agricult	ural Acts	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Environs ☐ 895 Freedon		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO: Habeas Corpus:		00 Other Labor Litigation 01 Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	Act D 896 Arbitrati	ion	
210 Land Condennation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	"	Income Security Act	or D	efendant)	☐ 899 Adminis	strative Pro	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;			-Third Party ISC 7609		iew or App Decision	peal of
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General] ""	.de 1105	3 950 Constitu	itionality o	of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application	╣		State Sta	itutes	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights		5 Other Immigration					
	1 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
		Confinement	!						
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is							_		
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reo _l		erred from er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -
			re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional stat		versity);			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	iuse:	oc and a	other menics					
This action is to recover unpaid wages and other monies. VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:									
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		<i>y</i> =)		URY DEMAND:		XNo	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	_IUDGE	H	•	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 6-30-/	7	SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY (DE RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		t							
DECEMPT# AA	MOUNT	ADDI VINC IED		HIDGE		MAC IIII	VCE		

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. I, Louis Pechman, counsel for Plaintiff, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, \mathbf{X} the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1 Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: None. RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form) Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2) 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County: No. 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No. b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes. If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain) I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature:

United States District Court

for the

Eastern District of New York

Arben Krasniqi, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)))				
)				
Plaintiff(s)					
V.	Civil Action No. 17-cy-3945				
Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante, Pietro DiMaggio, and Raffaele DiMaggio,))))				
Defendant(s)))				
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante 174 New Dorp Lane, Staten Island, New York 10306					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Louis Pechman, Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022					
Tel.: (212) 583-9500	antono di oppino e con con che anchi of dono en de di en che a consolicine				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT				
Date:					
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individua	al at (place)				
			on (date)				
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
		, a per	son of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,			
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , wi						
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on be	ehalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sumn	; or					
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Editorii District o	THEW TOIK				
Arben Krasniqi, on behalf of himself and all others) similarly situated,)					
Plaintiff(s)					
v.	Civil Action No.	17-cv-3945			
Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante, Pietro DiMaggio, and Raffaele DiMaggio,)					
SUMMONS IN A C	CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) Pietro DiMaggio c/o Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Risto 174 New Dorp Lane, Staten Isl					
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.					
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer	r to the attached compla	int or a motion under Rule 12 of			
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion n	nust be served on the pla	aintiff or plaintiff's attorney,			
whose name and address are: Louis Pechman, Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 Tel.: (212) 583-9500					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	DOUGLAS CLERK OF CO	S C. PALMER _{URT}			
Date:					
Date:	Signat	ture of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (neeived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind					
	on (date)						
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)						
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion v	who resides the	ere,		
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , wh						
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		_		
			on (date)	; or	; or		
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because			; or		
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	nl of \$().00 .		
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
			Server's signature				
		_	Printed name and title	,			
		_	Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

Arben Krasniqi, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,)))				
)				
Plaintiff(s))				
v.	Civil Action No.	17-cv-3945			
Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante, Pietro DiMaggio, and Raffaele DiMaggio,)))				
Defendant(s))				
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) Raffaele DiMaggio c/o Rapi Inc. d/b/a Brioso Ristorante 174 New Dorp Lane, Staten Island, New York 10306					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answ the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion	er or employee of the Unite wer to the attached complain	d States described in Fed. R. Civ. int or a motion under Rule 12 of			
whose name and address are: Louis Pechman, Pechman L	whose name and address are: Louis Pechman, Pechman Law Group PLLC 488 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. DOUGLAS C. PALMER					
	CLERK OF COL				
Date:	_				
	Signat	ure of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

		ne of individual and title, if ar	ny)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)		·					
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)					
		; or						
	on (date) ; or I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)							
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,				
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	\square I served the summons on (name of individual),							
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or				
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e	; or				
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.							
Date:		-		_				
			Server's signature					
		_	Printed name and title					
		_	Server's address					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Suit Says Brioso Ristorante Pays Employees No Wages</u>