FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION 2017 DEC - 1 PM 12: 48

MARIA KLEEKLAMP, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated,

CLERK. US DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS FLORIDA

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. 2'. 17. CV. 660. 34M

HOME PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation,

Defendant.		
		/

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, MARIA KLEEKLAMP, on behalf of herself and other employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through the undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, HOME PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE, INC. (hereinafter "HPA") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended 29 U.S.C. §201., et seq. (hereinafter the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime wages, minimum wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

PARTIES

- 3. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was, and continues to be a resident of Lee County, Florida.
 - 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, HOME PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE,

INC., is a Florida Profit Corporation, with a principle place of business located at 1780 102nd Avenue North, Suite 500, St Petersburg, FL 33716 and was engaged in business in Lee County, Florida.

- 5. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant was the "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
 - 7. Defendant was, and continues to be "employer" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce including but not limited to: storm windows and doors that are moving or will move in interstate commerce.
- 12. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

- 14. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were non-exempt employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one or more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive pay at one and one-half times their regular rate for their hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 15. At all times material hereto, the work performed by the Plaintiff was directly essential to the business performed by Defendant.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 16. From on or about March 1, 2017 Defendant hired Plaintiff to work performing door to door sales and paid Plaintiff an hourly rate of \$10.00 per hour plus commission.
 - 17. On or about June 27, 2017, Plaintiff was terminated and denied her final pay.
- 18. At various material times hereto, Plaintiff worked for Defendant in excess of forty (40) hours within a work week.
- 19. From at least March 1, 2017 and continuing through June 27, 2017, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff at rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single work week. Plaintiff should be compensated at the rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as required by the FLSA.
- 20. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §206 and 207 from at least March 1, 2017 and continuing through June 27, 2017 in that:
 - a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period of employment with Defendant;
 - b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to

- properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times

 Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours

 per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- c. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 21. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A. to represent her in the litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its Services.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 22. Plaintiff realleges and reincorporates all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1—21as if incorporated herein.
- 23. From at least March 1, 2017 and continuing through June 27, 2017, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.
- 24. Rather, throughout her employment Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff, and all employees similarly situated, for any hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.
- 25. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 26. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 27. Defendant's actions were willful and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when it knew, or should have known, such was, and

is due.

- 28. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff of her rights under the FLSA.
- 29. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 30. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 31. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 and United States Department of Labor Regulations, 29 C.F.R. §§516.2 and 516.4, with respect to those similarly situated to the named Plaintiff by virtue of the management policy, plan or decision that intentionally provided for inadequate overtime compensation of such employees at a rate less than time and a half for their overtime hours.
- 32. Based upon information and belief, Defendant have failed to properly pay
 Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, proper overtime wages at time and a half
 their regular rate of pay for such hours.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered in her favor against Defendant, HOME PERFORMANCE ALLIANCE, INC. as follows:

- a. Declaring, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the maximum hour and minimum wage provisions of the FLSA;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff overtime compensation in the amount due to her for Plaintiff's time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week;

- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages in an amount equal to the overtime award;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of the litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest;
- f. Granting Plaintiff an Order, on an expedited basis, allowing her to send Notice of this action, pursuant to 216(b) and/or FRCP 23, to those similarly situated to Plaintiff; and
- g. Ordering any other further relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated this 27th day of November 2017,

BERKE LAW FIRM, P.A.

By:

Bill B. Berke, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0558011 berkelaw@yahoo.com 4423 Del Prado Blvd. S. Cape Coral, FL 33904 Telephone: (239) 549-6689 Attorneys for Plaintiff JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

RECEIVED

The IS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as

provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	This form, approved by the cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTION OF THE COURT O	ie Judicial Conference of TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	of the Uni F THIS FO	ted States in Septembe RM)	r 1974, is requir	ed for the use of	the Clerk of	Court for the	i c
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Maria Kleekamp				DEFENDANT Home Performan		nc. 2017 D	EC - I	PM 12:	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Collier (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				CLERK. US DISTRICT COURT County of Residence of First Listed Deformant EDISTRICT OF FLORIDA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES TO PERS FLORIDA NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Bill B. Berke, Esq., BERK Cape Coral, Florida 3390	E LAW FIRM,. P.A., 4		d. S.,	Attorneys (If Know	n)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" m ()	ne Box (Inly)		TIZENSHIP OF		L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State D D I Incorporated or Principal Place D 4 D 4 of Business In This State						
2 U.S. Government		p of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	en of Another State	02 02	Incorporated and Post Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	03 03	Foreign Nation		5 1 6	5 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Om					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		D. Com A rest loans	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPES 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 736 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 555 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	Y	5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other 1 ABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 1 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 MMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 3 Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Apper ☐ 423 Withd 28 US ☐ 820 Copyi ☐ 840 Trade ☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black ☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (☐ 870 Taxes ☐ 871 Taxes ☐ 871 Taxes ☐ 871 Taxes ☐ 26 US	TY RIGHTS ights mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) 7DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 105(g)) LTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	375 False 400 State 410 Antii 430 Bank 450 Com 450 Com 480 Cons 480 Cons 480 Cons 890 Othe 890 Secu Excl 890 Othe 891 Agri 895 Free 896 Arbi 899 Adm Act/ Ager 950 Cons 9	Reapportions trust ts and Bankin, merce ortation teteer Influence upt Organizati unter Credit e/Sat TV trities/Commo hange or Statutory Ac cultural Acts ronmental Ma donn of Inforn	ment ng ced and cions addities/ ctions atters mation ocedure
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Fair Labor Standa Brief description of ca Unpaid wages	Appellate Court tute under which you a ards Act, as amend tuse:	re filing (I led 29 U	pened Anol (specific purisdictional) S.C. §201., et sec	itatutes unless div				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	Y D	EMAND S		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded ☐ Yes	•	at:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 11/27/2017		signature of at /s/ Bill B. Berke		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	<i>A</i> OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

8:17.C1.660-74M-38MQN

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Home Performance Alliance Hit with FLSA Lawsuit</u>