FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer Armando A. Ortiz, *admission forthcoming* 28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor New York, New York 10005 Telephone: (212) 300-0375

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CHIMON'E KILLINGS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

PANERA, LLC,

Defendant.

No: 1:22-cv-108

CLASS & COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Chimon'e Killings ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, as class representative, upon personal knowledge as to herself, and upon information and belief as to other matters, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This lawsuit seeks to recover unpaid overtime compensation and other damages for Plaintiff and similarly situated non-exempt hourly positions such as baristas, counter workers, associates, cashiers, cleaners, bakers, sandwich/salad makers, and other cooks (collectively, "Hourly Workers") who work or have worked for Panera LLC at their "Panera Bread" cafes in New York (collectively, "Panera" or "Defendant").
- 2. Headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri, Panera owns and operates several hundred cafes around the United States. According to its website, Panera operates at least 115 Panera Cafes

in New York State alone.1

- 3. Defendant maintains and policy and practice whereby Plaintiff and other Hourly Workers are subject to time shaving at the hands of their store managers. This time shaving causes Plaintiff and other Hourly Workers to not be paid the appropriates overtime wages when they in fact work over 40 hours in a workweek in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and New York Labor Law ("NYLL").
- 4. In weeks where Plaintiff and Hourly Workers do not pass the 40-hour per week mark, the NYLL mandates that Plaintiff and Hourly Workers be paid at their agreed upon wage rates.
- 5. Additionally, at all relevant times Defendant compensated Plaintiff and all other Hourly Workers in New York on a bi-weekly basis.
- 6. Despite being manual workers, Defendant failed to properly pay Plaintiff and other Hourly Workers in New York their wages within seven calendar days after the end of the week in which these wages were earned.
- 7. As such, Defendant failed to provide timely wages to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated Hourly Workers in New York.
- 8. As a result of untimely wage payments, Defendant has harmed Plaintiff and similarly situated Hourly Workers in New York.
- 9. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated Hourly Workers who elect to opt in to this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), and specifically, the collective action provision of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
 - 10. Plaintiff also brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated

¹ See 115 Panera Bread Locations in New York, Panera Website, (available at https://locations.panerabread.com/ny.html) (last accessed February 3, 2022).

Hourly Workers in New York pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23") to remedy violations of the New York Labor Law, Article 6, §§ 190 *et seq.* ("NYLL"), and Article 19, §§ 650 *et seq.*, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations

11. Plaintiff, individually, also brings this action to remedy her illegal termination by Defendant. In this regard, Plaintiff made a complaint to Panera's corporate line in approximately November 2021 about the time shaving she faced during her employment. In December 2021, her employment was terminated by her store manager who specifically referenced her good faith complaint of the wage theft she experienced. As such, Plaintiff brings a retaliation claim under both the FLSA and NYLL.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

Chimon'e Killings

- 12. Chimon'e Killings ("Killings") is an adult individual who is a resident of the State of New York.
- 13. Killings was employed by Panera as an Hourly Worker from on or about August 2021 through approximately December 2021.
 - 14. Killings is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA and the NYLL.
 - 15. A written consent form for Killings is being filed with this Class Action Complaint.

Defendant

Panera, LLC

- 16. Panera, LLC is a foreign business corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware.
 - 17. Panera, LLC's principal executive office is located at 3630 S. Geyer Road, St.

Louis, Missouri 63127.

- 18. Panera, LLC was and is a covered employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL, and at all times relevant, employed Plaintiff and similarly situated employees.
 - 19. Panera, LLC is the corporate payor listed on Plaintiff's wage statements.
- 20. Panera, LLC has maintained control, oversight, and direction over Plaintiff and similar employees, including timekeeping, payroll, and other employment practices that applied to them.
- 21. Panera, LLC applies the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Hourly Workers in its operation, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to payment of wages.
- 22. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Panera, LLC has had an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 23. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 24. This Court also has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 25. This Court also has original jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), codified at 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because the amount in controversy against the Defendant in this matter exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and Plaintiff and the members of the proposed class are citizens of states different from that of Defendant.
 - 26. There are over 100 members in the proposed class.

- 27. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in New York.
- 28. Venue is proper in the Western District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and Defendant conducts business in this District.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 29. Plaintiff brings the First Cause of Action, an FLSA claim, on behalf of herself and all similarly situated persons who work or have worked as Hourly Workers for Panera in New York who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").
- 30. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for, *inter alia*, failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective for their overtime hours worked.
- 31. Consistent with Defendants' policies and patterns or practices, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective were not paid the proper premium overtime compensation of 1.5 times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.
- 32. All of the work that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have performed has been assigned by Defendants, and/or Defendants have been aware of all of the work that Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have performed.
- 33. As part of their regular business practice, Defendants have intentionally, willfully, and repeatedly engaged in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective. This policy and pattern or practice includes, but is not limited to, willfully shaving their employees time in order to avoid paying for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.
- 34. An employer "willfully violates the FLSA when it either new or showed reckless disregard for the matter of whether its conduct was prohibited by the [FLSA]." *See Young v.*

Cooper Cameron Corp., 586 F. 3d 201, 207 (2d Cir. 2009).

- 35. According to *Whiteside v Hover-Davis*, "a claim is facially plausible 'when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.' *See* 995 F.3d 315, 323 (2d Cir. 2021) (quoting *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009)). "For a plaintiff to nudge their claim 'across the line from conceivable to plausible,' [they] must 'raise a reasonable expectation that discovery will reveal evidence' of the wrongdoing alleged, 'even if it strikes a savvy judge that actual proof of those facts is improbable." *See Id.* (quoting *Citizens United v. Schneiderman*, 882 F.3d 374, 380 (2d Cir. 2018); *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (1995)).
- 36. Defendants' willful violation of the FLSA is evidenced by their knowledge that their policies violated the FLSA, and their intentional and/or reckless choice to continue to operate in violation of the FLSA's requirements. Here, as evidenced below, discovery will certainly reveal evidence that Defendants blatantly ignored the FLSA's explicit requirement that employees must be paid for *all* hours suffered or permitted to be worked and that time-shaving is an illegal practice.
- 37. Defendants have been faced with multiple wage and hour actions for their failure to pay overtime without correcting their payment policies to be in compliance with the FLSA. *See e.g.*, *Meyers v. Panera*, *LLC*, No. 17-cv-02575 (D.D.C. Nov. 29, 2017) (failure to pay overtime compensation); *Friscia v. Panera*, *LLC*, 2:16-cv-03754 (D. N.J. June 25, 2016) (failure to pay overtime compensation); *Daneil v. Panera*, *LLC*, No. 5:15-cv-486 (M.D. Ga. Dec. 31, 2015) (alleging off the clock and failure to pay overtime compensation).
- 38. As such, Defendants' failure to pay proper overtime constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA. *See Rojas v. Splendor Landscape Designs, Ltd.*, 268 F. Supp. 3d 405, 410-411 (E.D.N.Y. 2017) (finding that employer's FLSA violation was willful where the Department of

Labor had previously informed the employer that their pay policies violated the FLSA).

NEW YORK CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

39. Plaintiff brings the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Causes of Action, NYLL claims, under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and a class of persons consisting of:

All persons who work or have worked as Hourly Workers for Panera in New York between June 24, 2015 and the date of final judgment in this matter (the "New York Class").²

- 40. The members of the New York Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a class will benefit the parties and the Court.
 - 41. There are more than one hundred members of the New York Class.
- 42. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims that could be alleged by any member of the New York Class, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each member of the New York Class in separate actions.
- 43. Plaintiff and the New York Class have all been injured in that they have been uncompensated, under-compensated, or untimely compensated due to Defendants' common policies, practices, and patterns of conduct. Defendants' corporate-wide policies and practices affected everyone in the New York Class similarly, and Defendants benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each member of the New York Class.
 - 44. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the New York Class

² This class period is due to Governor Cuomo's Executive Order that tolled the applicable NYLL statute of limitations during the COVID-19 pandemic for 228 days. *See Brash v. Richards*, 195 A.D. 3d 582, 2021 WL 2213786, 2021 N.Y. Slip Op. 03436 (App. Div. 2d Dep't June 2, 2021) (holding executive order tolled rather than suspended statutes of limitations under New York law).

and has no interests antagonistic to the New York Class.

- 45. Plaintiff is represented by attorneys who are experienced and competent in both class action litigation and employment litigation and have previously represented many plaintiffs and classes in wage and hour cases.
- 46. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similar persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender.
- 47. Common questions of law and fact exist as to the New York Class that predominate over any questions only affecting Plaintiff and/or each member of the New York Class individually and include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) whether Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and the New York Class for all hours worked at their regular rate(s) of pay;
 - (b) whether Defendants correctly compensated Plaintiff and the New York Class for hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek;
 - (c) whether Defendants correctly compensated Plaintiff and the New York Class on a timely basis;
 - (d) whether Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff and the New York Class with a proper time of hire wage notice, as required by the NYLL; and
 - (e) whether Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff and the New York Class with accurate statements with every payment of wages, as required by the NYLL.

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

48. Consistent with their policies and patterns or practices as described herein, Defendant harmed Plaintiff, individually, as follows:

Chimon'e Killings

- 49. Killings was employed at Defendant's Panera restaurant located at 1593 Niagara Falls Boulevard, Amhurst, New York 14226 from approximately August 2021 through December 2021.
- 50. During her employment, unless she missed time for vacation, sickness, or personal leave, Plaintiff generally worked the following schedule:
 - a. Tuesday to Saturday, from between approximately 6:00am to 8:00am and between 3:00pm to 5:00pm.
- 51. Despite working the above schedule, Plaintiff received wage statements that reflect less hours than she actually worked.
- 52. During her employment, one of Plaintiff's supervisors showed Plaintiff management's ability to manipulate time records and shave time.
- 53. Furthermore, during her employment, over twenty-five percent of Killings' duties were physical tasks, including but not limited to: (1) making drinks for customers; (2) restocking supplies; (3) sweeping and vacuuming the dining room areas; (4) cleaning the bathrooms; (5) cleaning the restaurant windows; (6) wiping down tables; and (7) bussing dirty dishes from the dining areas to the dishwashing area, among other tasks.
- 54. Despite regularly spending more than twenty-five percent of her shift performing these physical tasks, Killings was compensated by Defendant on a bi-weekly basis.
 - 55. As a result of Defendant's untimely wage payments, Killings was underpaid.

- 56. Defendants failed to provide Killings with a proper time of hire wage notice as required by the NYLL.
- 57. Throughout her employment, Defendants failed to provide Killings with accurate wage statements with each payment of wages as required by the NYLL that demonstrated her true hours worked.
- 58. Plaintiff made several complaints to management about the time shaving practices she believed occurred at Panera. In this regard, Plaintiff complained to her store manager Michael (l/n/u) of the time shaving issues.
- 59. In November 2021, Plaintiff made another verbal complaint via telephone to Panera's corporate office about the time shaving she believed to be occurring.
 - 60. Plaintiff's complaints were made in good faith.
- 61. In December 2021, Plaintiff was terminated due to her complaints about the illegal pay practices she suffered.
 - 62. Any non-retaliatory rationale for Plaintiff's termination is pretext.
 - 63. Due to Defendants' unlawful termination, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Fair Labor Standards Act – Overtime Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective)

- 64. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 65. The overtime wage provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq.*, and the supporting federal regulations, apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.
 - 66. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective worked in excess of 40 hours during workweeks

in the relevant period.

- 67. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the FLSA at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rates of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 per workweek.
- 68. As a result of Defendant's willful violations of the FLSA, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective have suffered damages by being denied proper overtime compensation in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 *et seq*.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Pay Agreed Upon Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 69. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 70. The wage provisions of Article 6 of the NYLL and its supporting regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and the New York Class.
- 71. Pursuant to NYLL, Article 6 § 191, Defendant is required to pay Plaintiff and the New York Class the wages they have earned in accordance with the agreed terms of their employment.
- 72. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the New York Class the earned wages to which they are entitled under the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, pursuant to the agreed-upon terms of their employment.
- 73. Upon information and belief, Defendant shaved part of Plaintiff and the New York Class Members' work time in order to save on labor costs.
 - 74. Due to Defendants' violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the New York Class are

entitled to recover from Defendants their agreed-upon earned wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Overtime Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 75. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 76. The overtime wage provisions of Article 19 of the NYLL and its supporting regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and the New York Class.
- 77. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the New York Class the premium overtime wages to which they were entitled under the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked beyond 40 per workweek.
- 78. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the New York Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages as provided for by the NYLL, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Pay Timely Wages (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 79. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 80. The timely payment of wages provisions NYLL § 191 and its supporting regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and the New York Class.

- 81. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the New York Class on a timely basis as required by NYLL § 191(1)(a).
- 82. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the New York Class are entitled to recover from Defendant the amount of their untimely paid wages as liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided for by NYLL § 198.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Proper Time of Hire Notice (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

- 83. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 84. Defendant have failed to supply Plaintiff and the New York Class with a proper time of hire wage notice, as required by NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), in English or in the language identified as their primary language, at the time of hiring, containing, among other items: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with section one hundred ninety-one of this article; overtime rate; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer; plus such other information as the commissioner deems material and necessary.
- 85. Due to Defendant's violations of NYLL, Article 6, § 195(1), Plaintiff and the New York Class are entitled to statutory penalties of fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants failed to provide them with wage notices, or a total of five thousand dollars each, as well as

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6, § 198(1-b).

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

New York Labor Law – Failure to Provide Accurate Wage Statements (Brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the New York Class)

86. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding

paragraphs.

87. Defendant failed to supply Plaintiff and the New York Class with an accurate

statement of wages with every payment of wages as required by NYLL, Article 6, § 195(3), listing:

dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address

and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour,

shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any,

claimed as part of the minimum wage; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay

if applicable; the number of hours worked per week, including overtime hours worked if

applicable; deductions; and net wages.

88. Due to Defendant's violations of NYLL § 195(3), Plaintiff and the New York Class are

entitled to statutory penalties of two hundred fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants failed to

provide them with accurate wage statements, or a total of five thousand dollars each, as well as

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided for by NYLL, Article 6, § 198.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FLSA – Retaliation

(Brought on behalf of Plaintiff individually)

89. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding

paragraphs.

90. Plaintiff made good faith complaints about time shaving practices occurring at

Defendant's restaurant.

- 91. Defendant ultimately terminated Plaintiff's employment due to her complaints.
- 92. Defendant's termination constitutes retaliation in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).
- 93. Plaintiff has suffered damages due to Defendant's retaliation, including but not limited to, intimidation, harm to her reputation, legal costs, and delay.
- 94. Due to Defendant's violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant back pay, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION New York Labor Law – Retaliation

(Brought on behalf of Plaintiff individually)

- 95. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 96. Plaintiff made good faith complaints about time shaving practices occurring at Defendant's restaurant.
 - 97. Defendant ultimately terminated Plaintiff's employment due to her complaints.
 - 98. Defendant's termination constitutes retaliation in violation of NYLL § 215.
- 99. Plaintiff has suffered damages due to Defendant's retaliation, including but not limited to, intimidation, harm to her reputation, legal costs, and delay.
- 100. Due to Defendant's violation of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant back pay, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually, and on behalf of all other similar persons, respectfully request that this Court grant the following relief:

- A. That, at the earliest possible time, Plaintiff be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that the Court issue such notice, to all Hourly Workers in New York who are presently, or have at any time during the three years immediately preceding the filing of this suit, up through and including the date of this Court's issuance of court-supervised notice, worked for Panera. Such notice shall inform them that this civil action has been filed, of the nature of the action, and of their right to join this lawsuit if they believe they were denied proper wages;
- B. Unpaid overtime wages, and an additional and equal amount as liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA and the supporting United States Department of Labor Regulations;
- C. Certification of this case as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- D. Designation of Plaintiff as representative of the NY Rule 23 Class and counsel of record as Class Counsel;
- E. Unpaid agreed upon wages, overtime wages, and liquidated damages permitted by law pursuant to the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations;
- F. Liquidated damages in the amount of the untimely wage payments pursuant to the NYLL;
- G. Statutory penalties of fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff and the NY Rule 23 Class with proper time of hire wage notices, or a total of five thousand

dollars each, as provided for by NYLL, Article 6 § 198;

H. Statutory penalties of two hundred fifty dollars for each workday that Defendants

failed to provide Plaintiff and the NY Rule 23 Class with accurate wage statements, or a total of five

thousand dollars each, as provided for by NYLL, Article § 198;

I. Back pay, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and liquidated

damages relating to Plaintiff's unlawful termination;

J. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest;

K. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action; and

L. Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York February 7, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian S. Schaffer

Brian S. Schaffer

FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP

Brian S. Schaffer Armando A. Ortiz, *Admission Request Forthcoming* 28 Liberty Street, 30th Floor New York, NY 10005

Telephone: (212) 300-0375

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class & Collective

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT CONSENT

- 1. I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against PANERA and/or related entities and individuals in order to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 2. By signing and returning this consent form, I hereby designate FITAPELLI & SCHAFFER, LLP ("the Firm") to represent me and make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation and any settlement. I understand that reasonable costs expended on my behalf will be deducted from any settlement or judgment amount on a pro rata basis among all other plaintiffs. I understand that the Firm will petition the Court for attorney's fees from any settlement or judgment in the amount of the greater of: (1) the "lodestar" amount, calculated by multiplying reasonable hourly rates by the number of hours expended on the lawsuit, or (2) 1/3 of the gross settlement or judgment amount. I agree to be bound by any adjudication of this action by a court, whether it is favorable or unfavorable.

Chimon'e Killings
Chimon'e Killings (Feb 3, 2022 00:59 EST)

Signature

Chimon'e Killings

Full Legal Name (Print)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil di	ocket sheet. (BEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT TAGE O	T IIIIST C	navi.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S		Water the second		
Chimon'e Killings, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,				Panera, LLC					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Niagra (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attomeys (Firm Name, 2) Fitapelli & Schaffer, LLP 28 Liberty Street, 30th Fig. 1			i	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF I		PAL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			PTF DE ≯1 □			PTF	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	J 2	2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		5	≯ 5
				en or Subject of a (foreign Country	J 3 D	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I EV	ADDEEDTIDE DENIAL TV		lick here for: Nature	The second secon	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I	The second name of the local division in the
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 536 Alien Detainec 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	X	CABOR LABOR Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Chabor/Management Relations Chabor Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note Turning Tation Actions	422 A 423 V 2 423 V 2 423 V 2 423 V 2 423 V 423	Appeal 28 USC 158 Vithdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Vatent Vatent - Abbreviated Vatent - Vatent - Vatent Vatent - Vatent Vatent - Vatent Vatent - Vatent Vate	480 Consume 485 Telephor 490 Cable/Sa 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other Sta 891 Agricultu 893 Environs 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati	aims Act (31 USC) apportion t d Bankin ce cion er Influen Organizat ne Consur on Act at TV ss/Commo ge atutoty Ac ural Acts mental Ma a of Inform on trative Pr Gew or Ap Decision tionality of	ament ang aced and ations amer actions atters mation accedure appeal of
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN	Cite the U.S. Civil Star 29 U.S.C. s. 207, Brief description of car Failure to pay over	Appellate Court atute under which you a 215; Class Action ause: ertime wages IS A CLASS ACTION	Reo re filing (I Fairnes	nstated or	ner District	t Liftgation Transfer	if demanded in		on - file
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY						JURY DEMAND:	∵ ☐ Yes	⊠No	
DATE 02/07/2022	JUDGE								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Julian		majji					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		ЛUDGE		MAG. JUE	OGE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of New York							
Chimon'e Killings, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated)))						
Plaintiff(s)	_)						
v.	Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-108						
Penere II C)						
Panera, LLC)))						
Defendant(s)							
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION							
To: (Defendant's name and address) Panera, LLC c/o Corporation Servi 80 State Street Albany, New York 12:							
A lawsuit has been filed against you.							
are the United States or a United States agency, or an P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff a	h Floor						
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.							
	CLERK OF COURT						
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk						

Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-108

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (nanceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if any)								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individu	on (data)	; or						
	☐ I left the summons		or usual place of abode with (name)	- [·]						
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there, on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
		I served the summons on (name of individual) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)								
			on (date)	; or						
	☐ I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because		; or						
	☐ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00						
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this informat	ion is true.							
Date:			Server's signature							
			Printed name and title							
			Server's address							

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Former Employee Accuses Panera of Time Shaving, Failing to Properly Pay New York Workers