UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

EBONI KEYES) CASE NO.
1151 East 169th Street)
Cleveland, OH 44110) JUDGE
on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,))) <u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u>)
Plaintiff,) (Jury Demand Endorsed Herein)
)
VS.)
GOOD HANDS HOME CARE & ADULT)
SERVICES "LLC")
c/o Statutory Agent Dorothy Hudson)
685 East 200th Street)
Euclid, OH 44119)

Now comes Plaintiff Eboni Keyes, by and through counsel, and for her Complaint against Good Hands Home Care & Adult Services "LLC" ("Good Hands"), states and alleges the following:

1. The is a "collective action" instituted by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's practices and policies of not paying its non-exempt home health aides, including Plaintiff, overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.SC. 201-219, as well as a "class action" pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to remedy violations of the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act ("OMFWSA"), R.C. 4111.03.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 2 of 12. PageID #: 2

2. On October 1, 2013, the U.S. Department of Labor issued the Home Care Final Rule¹ ("Final Rule") to extend minimum wage and overtime protections to almost 2 million home care workers. The Final Rule was challenged in federal court, but on August 21, 2015, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals in *Home Care Association of America v. Weil*, 78 F.Supp. 3d 123 (D.C.Cir. 2015), issued a unanimous opinion affirming the validity of the Final Rule. This opinion upholding the Home Care Final Rule became effective on October 13, 2015, when the Court of Appeals issued its mandate. The Home Care Final Rule had an effective date of January 1, 2015.²

3. Under the Final Rule, companies that provide home health care services to customers are required to pay employees overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek. Despite these requirements, Defendants have failed to pay its home health aides overtime compensation since January 1, 2015.

4. Also since January 1, 2015, Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides for all of the hours they worked during their workday, including work performed between client appointments. Such work includes but is not limited to driving clients to appointments, picking up medication, and grocery shopping.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 1331 and 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

¹ Application of the Fair Labor Standards Act to Domestic Service, Fed. Reg. 60453, 60557 (Oct. 1, 2013) (amending 29 C.F.R. Part 552).

² http://www.dol.gov/whd/homecare/litigation.htm

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 3 of 12. PageID #: 3

6. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's OMFWSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367 because the claims are so related to the FLSA claims as to form part of the same case or controversy.

7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b) because Defendants' principle place of business is in Cleveland, Ohio, Defendants conduct business throughout this District and Division, and a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Division.

PARTIES

8. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was a citizen of the United States, and a resident of Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

9. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 203(e) and R.C. 4111.03(D)(3).

10. At all relevant times herein, Defendant maintained its principal place of business in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

11. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29U.S.C. 203(d) and R.C. 4111.03(D)(2).

12. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise within the meaning of29 U.S.C. 203(r).

13. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 203(s)(1).

14. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 206-207.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 4 of 12. PageID #: 4

15. Written consents to join this action as to Count One, as and when executed by other individual plaintiffs, will be filed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

16. Defendant is a home health care business.

17. Plaintiff Eboni Keyes was employed by Defendant between January 2016 and August 2017.

18. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a home health aide.

19. Other similarly-situated employees were employed by Defendant as home health aides.

20. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides were employed by Defendant as non-exempt employees under the FLSA.

21. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides were paid an hourly wage.

(Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation)

22. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides worked more than 40 hours per week, but Defendant failed to pay them overtime compensation for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

23. Rather than paying overtime compensation, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides were only paid straight time for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

(Failure to Pay For All Hours Worked)

24. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides were paid by Defendant for time spent at client appointments.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 5 of 12. PageID #: 5

25. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides performed work between client appointments, including but not limited to driving to and from client homes, taking clients to appointments and picking up medication.

26. However, Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides for all of the hours they worked between client appointments.

27. All of these activities occurred between the commencement of their first principal activity and the completion of their last principal activity during the workday, and thus, are compensable under the continuous workday rule.

28. As a result of Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides for all of the work they performed between client appointments, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides were denied significant amounts of overtime compensation.

(Failure to Keep Accurate Records)

29. Defendant failed to make, keep and preserve accurate records of the unpaid work performed by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides, including time worked between client appointments.

(Defendant Willfully Violated the FLSA)

30. Defendant knowingly and willfully engaged in the above-mentioned violations of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 6 of 12. PageID #: 6

31. Plaintiff brings Count One of this action on her own behalf pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b),³ and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated who have been, are being, or will be adversely affected by Defendant's unlawful conduct.

32. The class which Plaintiff seeks to represent and for whom Plaintiff seeks the right to send "opt-in" notices for purposes of the collective action, and of which Plaintiff herself is a member, is composed of and defined as follows:

All current and former home health aides employed by Good Hands Home Care & Adult Services "LLC" at any time between January 1, 2015 and the present.

33. The amount of some of the overtime hours Plaintiff and other similarly situated home health aides worked are reflected on their time sheets and pay stubs.

34. Plaintiff estimates that on average she worked approximately 20-40 overtime hours per week.

35. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential class, by upon information and belief, avers that is consists of at least 40 persons.

36. This action is maintainable as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) as to claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA. In addition to Plaintiff, numerous current and former employees are similarly situated with regard to their wages and claims for unpaid wages and damages. Plaintiff is representative of those other employees and is acting on behalf of their interests as well as her own in bringing this action.

37. These similarly-situated employees are known to Defendant and are readily identifiable through Defendant's payroll records. These individuals may readily be notified of

³ Plaintiff's Consent Form is attached hereto.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 7 of 12. PageID #: 7

this action, and allowed to opt in pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATION

38. Plaintiff brings Count Two of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and

(b)(3) on behalf of herself and all other members of the class ("the Ohio Class") defined as:

All current and former home health aides employed by Good Hands Home Care & Adult Services "LLC" any time between January 1, 2015 and the present.

39. The Ohio Class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.

Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential Ohio Class, but upon

information and belief, avers that it consists of at least 40 persons.

40. There are questions of law or fact common to the Ohio Class, including but not

limited to the following:

- (a) whether Defendant failed to pay overtime compensation to its home health aides for hours worked in excess of 40 each workweek; and
- (b) what amount of monetary relief will compensate Plaintiff Eboni Keyes and other members of the class for Defendant's violation of R.C. 4111.03 and 4111.10.

41. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of other members of

the Ohio Class. Named Plaintiff's claims arise out of the same uniform course of conduct by

Defendant, and are based on the same legal theories, as the claims of the other Ohio Class

members.

42. Named Plaintiff Eboni Keyes will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the

Ohio Class. Her interests are not antagonistic to, but rather are in unison with, the interests of

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 8 of 12. PageID #: 8

the other Ohio Class members. The named Plaintiff's counsel has broad experience in handling class action wage-and-hour litigation, and is fully qualified to prosecute the claims of the Ohio Class in this case.

43. The questions of law or fact that are common to the Ohio Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. The primary questions that will determine Defendant's liability to the Ohio Class, listed above, are common to the class as a whole, and predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.

44. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Requiring Ohio Class members to pursue their claims individually would entail a host of separate suits, with concomitant duplication of costs, attorneys' fees, and demands on court resources. Many Ohio Class members' claims are sufficiently small that they would be reluctant to incur the substantial cost, expense, and risk of pursuing their claims individually. Certification of this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 will enable the issues to be adjudicated for all class members with the efficiencies of class litigation.

<u>COUNT ONE</u> (Fair Labor Standards Act Violations)

45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

46. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarlysituated home health aides overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 207.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 9 of 12. PageID #: 9

47. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarlysituated home health aides for work performed between client appointments violated the FLSA,
29 U.S.C. § 207.

48. Defendant's failure to keep records of all of the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219, 29 CFR 516.2(a)(7).

49. By engaging in the above-described practices and policies, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the FLSA.

50. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarlysituated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the FLSA.

<u>COUNT TWO</u> (Violations of Ohio Revised Code 4111.03)

51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

52. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarlysituated home health aides overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the OMFWSA, R.C. 4111.03.

53. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarlysituated home health aides for work performed between client appointments violated the OMFWSA, R.C. 4111.03.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1 Filed: 08/30/17 10 of 12. PageID #: 10

54. By failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees' overtime compensation, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the OMFWSA, R.C. 4111.03.

55. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarlysituated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the OMFWSA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, collectively pray that this Honorable Court:

A. Issue an order permitting this litigation to proceed as a collective action, and certifying the class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. R. 23(a) and (b)(3);

B. Order prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), to all class members that this litigation is pending and that they have the right to "opt in" to this litigation;

C. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents actual damages for unpaid wages;

D. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid wages found due to Plaintiff and the class;

E. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate;

F. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements; and

G. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents further and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Lori M. Griffin</u> Lori M. Griffin (0085241) Chastity L. Christy (0076977) Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962) The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC 920 Rockefeller Building 614 W. Superior Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 Facsimile: 216-696-7005 lori@lazzarolawfirm.com chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all eligible claims and issues.

<u>/s/ Lori M. Griffin</u> One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

CONSENT FORM

- 1. I consent and agree to pursue my claims for unpaid overtime and/or minimum wages through the lawsuit filed against Good Hands.
- 2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act and/or state wage and hour laws. I hereby consent, agree and opt-in to become a plaintiff herein and be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action.
- 3. I intend to pursue my claim individually, unless and until the court or parties certify this case as a collective or class action. If someone else serves as the class representative(s), then I designate the class representative(s) as my agent(s) to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting the litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiff's counsel concerning fees and costs, the entering into a settlement agreement with my employer, and all other matters pertaining to this action.
- 4. In the event the case is certified and then decertified, I authorize Plaintiff's counsel to use this Consent Form to re-file my claims in a separate or related action against my employer.

Signature: Full Name: Eboni keyes Street Address: 11151east169street City, State, Zip: Cleveland Phone: 2162786399 Email: ebonitkeyes40@gmail.com

Date: Aug 24, 2017

eSign or return to: The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC 920 Rockefeller Building / 614 W. Superior Avenue / Cleveland, Ohio 44113 Phone: 216-696-5000 / Fax: 216-696-7005 Email: consent@lazzarolawfirm.com / Web: www.lazzarolawfirm.com

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 121 Eiled: 08/30/17 1 of 3. PageID #: 13

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Cuyahoga</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC, 920 Rockefeller Building, 614 W. Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44113 			DEFENDANTS GOOD HANDS HOME CARE & ADULT SERVICES "LLC"			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) I U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			ITIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only) PT zen of This State	'F DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties)	s in Item III)	zen of Another State	of Business In A		
			oreign Country	č		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
 CONTRACT CONTRACT Ito Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	PERSONAL INJURY PERSO 310 Airplane 365 Per 315 Airplane Product Pr Liability 367 He 320 Assault, Libel & Ph Slander Per 330 Federal Employers' Prc Liability 368 Ass 340 Marine Inj 345 Marine Product Liability Liability PERSOI 350 Motor Vehicle 371 Tr Product Liability 380 Oti 360 Other Personal Prc Injury 385 Prc Gabor Vehicle 371 Tr 962 Personal Injury - Prosonal Injury - Medical Malpractice Pro Medical Malpractice Sto Motor Civil Rights 441 Voting 463 Ali 442 Employment 510 Mc 443 Housing/ Ser Accommodations 530 Ge 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Ma Other 550 Civ 448 Education 550 Civ 448 Education 550 Civ	ONAL INJURY 6 rsonal Injury - 0 oduct Liability 6 ath Care/ 6 armaceutical 6 ssonal Injury 6 sonal Injury 6 yoduct Liability 6 bestos Personal 7 pyperty Poduct 7 ability 7 NAL PROPERTY 7 perty Damage 7 operty Damage 7 oduct Liability 7 ER PETITIONS 7 ien Detainee 7 tions to Vacate 7 ath Penalty 4 undamus & Other 4	Content OKE/LEVALIT Size Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other Content Content	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 830 Patent □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 OTTER STATUTES OTTER STATUTES OTTER STATUTES OTTER STATUTES OTTER STATUTES OTTER STATUTES STOP STATUTES STATUTES STATUTES	
	n One Box Only) moved from			rred from D 6 Multidistr r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Unpaid Overtime Wages		Do not cite jurisdictional state			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLA UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: X Yes □No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 08/30/2017		TURE OF ATTORNEY	OF RECORD			
	AOUNT AP	PLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE		
Print	Save As				Reset	

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 08/30/17 2 of 3. PageID #: 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

Civil Categories: (Please check one category only).



I.

General Civil Administrative Review/Social Security Habeas Corpus Death Penalty

*If under Title 28, §2255, name the SENTENCING JUDGE:

CASE NUMBER:

П. RELATED OR REFILED CASES. See LR 3.1 which provides in pertinent part: "If an action is filed or removed to this Court and assigned to a District Judge after which it is discontinued, dismissed or remanded to a State court, and subsequently refiled, it shall be assigned to the same Judge who received the initial case assignment without regardfor the place of holding court in which the case was refiled. Counsel or a party without counsel shall be responsible for bringing such cases to the attention of the Court by responding to the questions included on the Civil Cover Sheet."

This action is

RELATED to another **PENDING** civil case. This action is

REFILED pursuant to LR 3.1.

If applicable, please indicate on page 1 in section VIII, the name of the Judge and case number.

III. In accordance with Local Civil Rule 3.8, actions involving counties in the Eastern Division shall be filed at any of the divisional offices therein. Actions involving counties in the Western Division shall be filed at the Toledo office. For the purpose of determining the proper division, and for statistical reasons, the following information is requested.

ANSWER ONE PARAGRAPH ONLY. ANSWER PARAGRAPHS 1 THRU 3 IN ORDER. UPON FINDING WHICH PARAGRAPH APPLIES TO YOUR CASE, ANSWER IT AND STOP.

Resident defendant. If the defendant resides in a county within this district, please set forth the name of such (1)

county Cuyahoga COUNTY:

Corporation For the purpose of answering the above, a corporation is deemed to be a resident of that county in which it has its principal place of business in that district.

- Non-Resident defendant. If no defendant is a resident of a county in this district, please set forth the county (2) wherein the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred.
- COUNTY:
- (3) Other Cases. If no defendant is a resident of this district, or if the defendant is a corporation not having a principle place of business within the district, and the cause of action arose or the event complained of occurred outside this district, please set forth the county of the plaintiff's residence.

COUNTY:

IV. The Counties in the Northern District of Ohio are divided into divisions as shown below. After the county is determined in Section III, please check the appropriate division.

EASTERN DIVISION

	AKRON
~	CLEVELAND
	YOUNGSTOWN

(Counties: Carroll, Holmes, Portage, Stark, Summit, Tuscarawas and Wayne) (Counties: Ashland, Ashtabula, Crawford, Cuyahoga, Geauga, Lake, Lorain, Medina and Richland) (Counties: Columbiana, Mahoning and Trumbull)

WESTERN DIVISION



(Counties: Allen, Auglaize, Defiance, Erie, Fulton, Hancock, Hardin, Henry, Huron, Lucas, Marion, Mercer, Ottawa, Paulding, Putnam, Sandusky, Seneca VanWert, Williams, Wood and Wyandot)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Endered question. (2) This particular of the suits under 28 U.S.C. 1321, where invisdiction errors under the Constitution of the United States on emergement.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case: 1:17-cv-01823-DAP Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 08/30/17 1 of 2. PageID #: 16

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

Eboni Keyes)
Plaintiff)
v.)
GOOD HANDS HOME CARE & ADULT SERVICES "LLC")
Defendant)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) GOOD HANDS HOME CARE & ADULT SERVICES "LLC" c/o Statutory Agent Dorothy Hudson 685 East 200th Street Euclid, OH 44119

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC

Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC 920 Rockefeller Bldg 614 W. Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44113

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

SANDY OPACICH, CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name of individual and title,	if any)						
was ree	ceived by me on (date)							
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>)								
	on (date) ; or								
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)								
			, a person of suitable	le age and discretion who resid	des there,				
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or I served the summons on (name of individual) ,								
	designated by law t	to accept service of proc	ess on behalf of (nar	ne of organization)					
	On (<i>date</i>)				; or				
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because					; or			
	Other (<i>specify</i>):								
	My fees are \$	for travel ar	nd \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.	00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.								
Date:				Server's signature					
		Printed name and title							

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Home Health Aide Claims Good Hands Home Care Refuses to Pay Overtime</u>