# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.

FARHOD KARIMOV, and all others similarly situated

Plaintiff,

VS.

OBK CENTER CORPORATION d/b/a Bahor Restaurant, a Florida Corporation, and IRINA ELIUTINA, individually,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW Plaintiff, FARHOD KARIMOV, by and through his undersigned attorney, and hereby sues Defendants, OBK CENTER CORPORATION d/b/a Bahor Restaurant (hereinafter referred to as "BAHOR"), and IRINA ELIUTINA, and as grounds alleges:

#### JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is an action to recover monetary damages, liquidated damages, interests, costs and attorney's fees for willful violations of overtime wages under the laws of the United States, the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§201-219) ("the FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff is a resident of Broward County, Florida within the jurisdiction of the Southern District of Florida.
- 3. The Defendant corporation operated a restaurant located within Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 because this action involves the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. §§201, *et seq.*, a federal statute.

- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(c) and (d).
- 6. BAHOR is a Florida corporation which regularly conducted business within the Southern District of Florida by operating a restaurant within Miami-Dade County, Florida. BAHOR serves Russian and Uzbek dishes.
- 7. BAHOR is and, at all times pertinent to this Complaint, was engaged in interstate commerce. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, BAHOR operated as an organization which sells and/or markets and/or handles its services and/or goods and/or materials to customers from throughout the United States and also provides its services for goods sold and transported from across state lines of numerous other states, and BAHOR obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida, and otherwise regularly engages in interstate commerce, particularly with respect to its employees. BAHOR purchased food ingredients, food preparation tools, and materials which travelled in interstate commerce. Two or more kitchen employees of BAHOR used food ingredients
- 8. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of BAHOR was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum. Upon information and belief, the gross annual revenue for BAHOR was in excess of \$500,000.00 during the years 2015 and 2016. Upon information and belief, based upon the prior gross income of BAHOR, the gross annual revenue for BAHOR is expected to be in excess of \$500,000.00 for the year 2017.
- 9. By reason of the foregoing, BAHOR is and was, during all times hereafter mentioned, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §3(r) and 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and 203(s) and/or Plaintiff is within interstate commerce.

10. The individual Defendant, ELIUTINA, is an "employer," as defined in 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), as she has operational control over the Defendant corporation and is directly involved in decisions affecting employee compensation and hours worked by employees such as Plaintiff and opt-in plaintiffs. Defendant ELIUTINA controlled the purse strings for the corporate Defendant. Defendant ELIUTINA hired and fired employees, determined the rate of compensation and was responsible for ensuring that employees were paid the wages required by the FLSA.

#### **COUNT I: UNPAID OVERTIME WAGES**

- 11. Plaintiff re-alleges and re-aver paragraphs 1 through 10 as fully set forth herein.
- 12. FARHOD KARIMOV worked as a kitchen cook who was employed from October 1, 2015 through January 4, 2017. KARIMOV was paid a rate of \$18/hr. during the period of October 1, 2015 through April 2016, at which time his rate was reduced to \$16/hr. KARIMOV estimates that he worked approximately 430 overtime hours where he was paid a straight time rate, and is owed an additional half-time rate for these hours. KARIMOV estimates that he worked approximately 400 overtime hours for which he was not paid any wages, and is owed wages at a rate of time-and-one half the regular rate.
- 13. Defendants were required to pay Plaintiff overtimes wages. Plaintiff was not paid overtime wages at a rate of time and one half, when he worked more than 40 hours per week.
- 14. The FLSA requires that employees be paid overtime hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours weekly at a rate of time-and-one-half the regular rate. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. § 201-219 and 29 C.F.R. §516.2 and §516.4 et seq. in that Plaintiff performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the FLSA but no provision was made by the Defendants to properly pay him at the rate of time and one-half for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek as provided in the FLSA.

- 15. Defendants knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the FLSA concerning the payment of overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's work schedules and further aware that Plaintiff was working more than 40 hours per week. Defendants were aware of Plaintiff's pay records and the rate that he was being paid for his hours. Defendants would manipulate the time records to reduce the appearance of overtime hours worked. Further, Defendants would pay their employees' wages, in part, by cash, in order to reduce the appearance of overtime hours. Despite Defendants' having knowledge of Plaintiff hours and their failure to pay overtime wages, Defendants did not change its pay practices and continued to fail to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, the overtime wages he was due. In addition, Defendants have been previously been sued for overtime wages, but did not correct their practices with respect to the payment of overtime wages.
- 16. The similarly situated individuals are those individuals whom were employed by the Defendants as restaurant employees like the Plaintiff, and whom were not paid overtime wages.
- 17. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorneys to represent him in this action and is entitled to award of reasonable attorney's fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests compensatory and liquidated damages, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs from Defendants, jointly and severally, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act as cited above, to be proven at the time of trial for overtime owing from Plaintiff's entire employment period with Defendants, or as much as allowed by the Fair Labor Standards Act, whichever is greater, along with court costs. In the event that Plaintiff does not recover liquidated damages, then Plaintiff will seek an award of prejudgment interest for the unpaid overtime, and any and all other relief which this Court deems reasonable under the circumstances.

Dated: January 24, 2017

Law Office of Daniel T. Feld, P.A. *Co-Counsel for Plaintiff* 2847 Hollywood Blvd.
Hollywood, Florida 33020
Tel: (305) 308 - 5619
Email: DanielFeld.Esq@gmail.com
/s Daniel T. Feld
Daniel T. Feld, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 37013

Mamane Law LLC

Co-counsel for Plaintiff

1150 Kane Concourse, Fourth Floor
Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154

Telephone (305) 773 - 6661

E-mail: mamane@gmail.com

s/ Isaac Mamane

Isaac Mamane, Esq.

Florida Bar No. 44561

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

#### PLAINTIFFS FARHOD KARIMOV I. (a)

**DEFENDANTS** OBK CENTER CORP, IRINA ELIUTINA

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami Dade County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad Mamane Law LLC, 115 Islands, FL 33154. Tel:	0 Kane Concourse, Fo		Attorneys (If Known,	THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLV	VED.
(d) Check County Where Action	n Arose: 🌠 MIAMI- DADE	☐ MONROE ☐ BROWARD ☐	PALM BEACH  MARTIN ST. I	LUCIE   INDIAN RIVER   OKEECHO	DBEE  HIGHLANDS
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)			Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)
☐ 1 U.S. Government	Fede	eral Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)  I	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government l	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Prin of Business In This	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	<del></del>	ersity ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and Proof Business In A	
			Citizen or Subject of a [ Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	, ,	* '			
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES  375 False Claims Act
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product     Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &     Slander □ 330 Federal Employers'     Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal   28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark	□ 400 State Reapportionment     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and     □ Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□         850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange           □         890 Other Statutory Actions           □         891 Agricultural Acts           □         893 Environmental Matters           □         895 Freedom of Information Act           □         896 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Other:		or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Agency Decision
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee – ☐ Conditions of Confinement	IMMIGRATION  ☐ 462 Naturalization Applicatio ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		Appeal to
1 Original 2 Rem	n "X" in One Box Only) oved from 3 Re-file c Court VI bel	d (See	or 5 Transferred fror another district (specify)	n ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7 M	Appeal to District Judge from B Magistrate Judgment  Appellate Court
VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S)	(See instructions): JUDGE	iled Case □YES <b>☑</b> N	,	DOCKET NUMBER	
VII. CAUSE OF ACTIO		ages; FLSA	ling and Write a Brief Stateme for both sides to try entire case	ent of Cause ( <i>Do not cite jurisdict</i> .  e)	ional statutes unless diversity):
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   → Yes   No	
ABOVE INFORMATION IS T	TRUE & CORRECT TO T				
January 24, 2017		signature of at /s/ Isaac Ma	TTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			www.c=		
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	IFP	JUDGE	MAG JUDGE	

Save As...

Print

Reset

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Florida

FARHOD KARIMOV, and all others similarly situated	
Plaintiffs,	
VS.	
OBK CENTER CORP d/b/a Bahor Restaurant, a Florida Corporation, and IRINA ELIUTINA, individually,	
Defendants.	
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL A	ACTION
To: OBK CENTER CORP. ISHUTINA EKATERINA, registered agent 3933 NE 163 <sup>rd</sup> Street North Miami Beach, Florida 33160	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (a 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, where the served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, where the served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, where the served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, where the served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney.	y, or an officer or employee of the United ust serve on the plaintiff an answer to the Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or
MAMANE LAW LL 1150 Kane Concourse, Four Bay Harbor Islands, FL 3	rth Floor
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be enter the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the	
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Southern District of Florida

FARHOD KARIMOV, and all others similarly situated
Plaintiffs,
VS.
OBK CENTER CORP d/b/a Bahor Restaurant, a Florida Corporation, and IRINA ELIUTINA, individually,
Defendants.
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: IRINA ELIUTANA 3933 NE 163 <sup>rd</sup> Street North Miami Beach, Florida 33160
A lawsuit has been filed against you.
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:
MAMANE LAW LLC 1150 Kane Concourse, Fourth Floor Bay Harbor Islands, FL 33154
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.
CLERK OF COURT
Date:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Bahor Restaurant Hit with Lawsuit Citing OT Pay Violations</u>