IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

)
)
)
)
) Civil Action No.:
)
) CLASS ACTION
)
)
)
)
)
)

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, JWD AUTOMOTIVE, INC. d/b/a NAPA AUTO CARE OF CAPE CORAL

("Plaintiff"), brings this action on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, through its attorneys, and except as to those allegations pertaining to Plaintiff or its attorneys, which allegations are based upon personal knowledge, alleges the following upon information and belief against Defendants, IGNITE PAYMENTS, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-5 ("Defendants"):

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This case challenges Defendants' practice of sending unsolicited facsimiles.

2. The federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005, 47 USC § 227 ("JFPA" or the "Act"), and the regulations promulgated under the Act, prohibit a person or entity from faxing or having an agent fax advertisements without the recipient's prior express invitation or permission. The JFPA provides a private right of action and provides for injunctive relief and statutory damages of \$500 per violation.

Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 2 of 12 PageID 2

Upon information and belief, Defendants have sent facsimile transmissions of unsolicited advertisements to Plaintiff and the Class in violation of the JFPA, including, but not limited to, the facsimile transmission of an unsolicited advertisement on or about April 5, 2017 ("the Fax"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>, and made a part hereof. The Fax describes the commercial availability or quality of Defendants' products, goods and services. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that Defendants have sent, and continue to send, unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the JFPA, including but not limited to those advertisements sent to Plaintiff.

3. Unsolicited faxes damage their recipients. A junk fax recipient loses the use of its fax machine, paper, and ink toner. An unsolicited fax wastes the recipient's valuable time that would have been spent on something else. A junk fax interrupts the recipient's privacy. Unsolicited faxes prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized outgoing faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipients' fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message.

4. On behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case as a class action asserting claims against Defendants under the JFPA. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class including faxes sent to Plaintiff and other advertisements sent without prior express invitation or permission, whether sent to Plaintiff or not.

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that this action is based upon a common nucleus of operative facts because the facsimile transmissions at issue were and are being done in the same or similar manner. This action is based on the same legal theory, namely liability under the JFPA. This action seeks relief expressly authorized by the JFPA: (i) injunctive relief enjoining Defendants, their employees, agents, representatives, contractors, affiliates, and all persons and entities acting in concert with

them, from sending unsolicited advertisements in violation of the JFPA; and (ii) an award of statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation of the JFPA, and to have such damages trebled, as provided by § 227(b)(3) of the JFPA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C.
 § 227.

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants transact business within this judicial district, have made contacts within this judicial district, and/or have committed tortious acts within this judicial district.

8. Venue is this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff, JWD AUTOMOTIVE, INC., is a Florida corporation that does business as NAPA AUTO CARE OF CAPE CORAL.

10. On information and belief, Defendant, IGNITE PAYMENTS, LLC, is a California limited liability company.

11. JOHN DOES 1-5 will be identified through discovery, but are not presently known.

FACTS

12. On information and belief, on or about April 5, 2017, Defendants used a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to send an unsolicited facsimile to Plaintiff. A copy of the facsimile is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>.

Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 4 of 12 PageID 4

13. On information and belief, Defendants receive some or all of the revenues from the sale of the products, goods and services advertised on Exhibit A, and Defendants profit and benefit from the sale of the products, goods and services advertised on Exhibit A.

14. Plaintiff did not give prior express invitation or permission to Defendants to send the fax.

15. On information and belief, Defendants faxed the same and other unsolicited facsimiles to Plaintiff and at least 40 other recipients or sent the same and other advertisements by fax without first receiving the recipients' express invitation or permission or without having an established business relationship as defined by the TCPA and its regulations.

16. There is no reasonable means for Plaintiff (or any other class member) to avoid receiving unauthorized faxes. Fax machines are left on and ready to receive the urgent communications their owners desire to receive.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1), (b)(2) and (b)(3), Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to the JFPA and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-570c, on behalf of the following classes of persons:

All persons who (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were sent telephone facsimile messages of material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services by or on behalf of Defendants, (3) from whom Defendants did not obtain "prior express invitation or permission" to send fax advertisements, or (4) with whom Defendants did not have an established business relationship, or (5) where the fax advertisements did not include an opt-out notice compliant with 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii).

Excluded from the Classes are the Defendants, their members, managers, employees and agents, and members of the Judiciary. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes but is not limited to

Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 5 of 12 PageID 5

the fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition upon completion of class certification discovery.

18. <u>Class Size (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1))</u>: Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that the number of persons and entities of the Plaintiff Classes is numerous and joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that the number of class members is at least forty.

19. <u>Commonality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) (2))</u>: Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all class members. Common material questions of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Whether the Defendants sent unsolicited fax advertisements;

(b) Whether Defendants' faxes sent to other persons, not the Plaintiff, constitute advertisements;

(c) Whether the Defendants' faxes advertised the commercial availability or quality of property, goods, or services;

(d) The manner and method the Defendants used to compile or obtain the list of fax numbers to which they sent Exhibit A and other unsolicited faxed advertisements;

(e) Whether the Defendants faxed advertisements without first obtaining the recipient's prior express invitation or permission;

(f) Whether the Defendants sent the fax advertisements knowingly;

(g) Whether the Defendants violated the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 and the regulations promulgated thereunder and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-570c;

(h) Whether the faxes contain an "opt-out notice" that complies with the requirements of (b)(1)(C)(iii) of the JFPA, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and the effect of the failure to comply with such requirements;

(i) Whether the Defendants should be enjoined from faxing advertisements in the future;

(j) Whether the Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages; and

(k) Whether the Court should award treble damages.

20. <u>Typicality (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) (3))</u>: The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all class members. The Plaintiff received the same or similar faxes as the faxes sent by or on behalf of the Defendants advertising products, goods and services of the Defendants during the Class Period. The Plaintiff is making the same claims and seeking the same relief for itself and all class members based upon the same federal statute. The Defendants have acted in the same or in a similar manner with respect to the Plaintiff and all the class members by sending Plaintiff and each member of the classes the same or similar faxes or faxes which did not contain the proper opt-out language or were sent without prior express invitation or permission.

21. <u>Fair and Adequate Representation (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (a) (4))</u>: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class members. It is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the class.

22. <u>Need for Consistent Standards and Practical Effect of Adjudication (Fed. R. Civ.</u> <u>P. 23 (b) (1)):</u> Class certification is appropriate because the prosecution of individual actions by class members would: (a) create the risk of inconsistent adjudications that could establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendants, and/or (b) as a practical matter, adjudication of the Plaintiff's claims will be dispositive of the interests of class members who are not parties.

23. <u>Common Conduct (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b) (2))</u>: Class certification is also appropriate because the Defendants have acted in the same or similar manner with respect to all

Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 7 of 12 PageID 7

class members thereby making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate. The Plaintiff demands such relief as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227 and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-570c.

24. <u>Predominance and Superiority (Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b) (3))</u>: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy because:

(a) Proof of the claims of the Plaintiff will also prove the claims of the class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;

(b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that the Defendants may assert and attempt to prove will come from the Defendants' records and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;

(c) The Defendants have acted and are continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members;

(d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based upon common proofs; and

(e) This case is inherently manageable as a class action in that:

(i) The Defendants identified persons to receive the fax transmissions and it is believed that the Defendants' and/or Defendants' agents' computers and business records will enable the Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;

(ii) Liability and damages can be established for the Plaintiff and the class members with the same common proofs;

(iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statute and are the same for all class members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;

(iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims and it will foster economics of time, effort and expense;

(v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerning the Defendants' practices; and

(vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the classes are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

Claim for Relief for Violation of the JFPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

25. The JFPA makes it unlawful for any person to "use any telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement" 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C).

26. The JFPA defines "unsolicited advertisement" as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a) (5).

27. **Opt-Out Notice Requirements.** The JFPA strengthened the prohibitions against the sending of unsolicited advertisements by requiring, in (b)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act, that senders of faxed advertisements place a clear and conspicuous notice on the first page of the transmission that contains the following among other things (hereinafter collectively the "Opt-Out Notice Requirements"):

(1) A statement that the recipient is legally entitled to opt-out of receiving future faxed advertisements – knowing that he or she has the legal right to request an opt-out gives impetus for recipients to make such a request, if desired;

(2) A statement that the sender must honor a recipient's opt-out request within 30 days and the sender's failure to do so is unlawful – thereby encouraging recipients to opt-out, if they did not want future faxes, by advising them that their opt-out requests will have legal "teeth";

(3) A statement advising the recipient that he or she may opt-out with respect to all of his or her facsimile telephone numbers and not just the ones that receive a faxed advertisement from the sender – thereby instructing a recipient on how to make a valid opt-out request for all of his or her fax machines;

(4) The opt-out language must be conspicuous.

The requirement of (1) above is incorporated from § (b)(D)(ii) of the Act. The requirement of (2) above is incorporated from § (b)(D)(ii) of the Act and the rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC") in ¶ 31 of its 2006 Report and Order (*In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Junk Prevention Act of 2005,* 21 F.C.C.R. 3787, 2006 WL 901720, which rules and regulations took effect on August 1, 2006). The requirements of (3) above are contained in § (b)(2)(E) of the Act and incorporated into the Opt-Out Notice Requirements via § (b)(2)(D)(ii). Compliance with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements is neither difficult nor costly. The Opt-Out Notice Requirements are important consumer protections bestowed by Congress upon the owners of the telephone lines and fax machines giving them the right, and means, to stop unwanted faxed advertisements.

28. **The Fax**. Defendants sent the advertisement on or about April 5, 2017, via facsimile transmission from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to the telephone lines and facsimile machines of Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff Class. The Fax constituted an advertisement under the Act. Defendants failed to comply with the Opt-Out

Requirements in connection with the Fax. The Fax was transmitted to persons or entities without their prior express invitation or permission. By virtue thereof, Defendants violated the JFPA and the regulations promulgated thereunder by sending the Fax via facsimile transmission to Plaintiff and members of the Class. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes this fax and all others sent during the four years prior to the filing of this case through the present.

29. **Defendants' Other Violations.** Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that during the period preceding four years of the filing of this Complaint and repeatedly thereafter, Defendants have sent via facsimile transmission from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to telephone facsimile machines of members of the Plaintiff Class other faxes that constitute advertisements under the JFPA that were transmitted to persons or entities without their prior express invitation or permission. By virtue thereof, Defendants violated the JFPA. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief avers, that Defendants may be continuing to send unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the JFPA and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and absent intervention by this Court, will do so in the future.

30. The TCPA/JFPA provides a private right of action to bring this action on behalf of Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class to redress Defendants' violations of the Act, and provides for statutory damages. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). The Act also provides that injunctive relief is appropriate. *Id.*

31. The JFPA is a strict liability statute, so the Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff and the other class members even if their actions were only negligent.

32. The Defendants knew or should have known that (a) the Plaintiff and the other class members had not given prior express invitation or permission for the Defendants or anybody else to fax advertisements about the Defendants' products, goods or services; (b) the

Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM Document 1 Filed 04/07/17 Page 11 of 12 PageID 11

Plaintiff and the other class members did not have an established business relationship; (c) Defendants transmitted advertisements; (d) the Faxes did not contain the required Opt-Out Notice; and (e) Defendants' transmission of advertisements that did not contain the required optout notice or were sent without prior express invitation or permission was unlawful.

33. The Defendants' actions caused damages to the Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving the Defendants' junk faxes caused Plaintiff and the other recipients to lose paper and toner consumed in the printing of the Defendants' faxes. Moreover, the Defendants' faxes used the Plaintiff's and the other class members' telephone lines and fax machine. The Defendants' faxes cost the Plaintiff and the other class members time, as the Plaintiff and the other class members and their employees wasted their time receiving, reviewing and routing the Defendants' unauthorized faxes. That time otherwise would have been spent on the Plaintiff's and the other class members' business activities. The Defendants' faxes unlawfully interrupted the Plaintiff's and other class members' privacy interests in being left alone.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JWD AUTOMOTIVE, INC. d/b/a NAPA AUTO CARE OF CAPE CORAL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in its favor and against Defendants, IGNITE PAYMENTS, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-5, jointly and severally, as follows:

A. That the Court adjudge and decree that the present case may be properly maintained as a class action, appoint the Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint the Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;

B. That the Court award actual monetary loss from such violations or the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for each violation of the JFPA, whichever is greater, and that the Court award treble damages of \$1,500.00 if the violations are deemed "willful or knowing";

C. That Court enjoin the Defendants from additional violations of the JFPA; and

D. That the Court award pre-judgment interest, costs, and such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

JWD AUTOMOTIVE, INC. d/b/a NAPA AUTO CARE OF CAPE CORAL, individually and as the representative of a class of similarly-situated persons,

By: <u>s/Ryan M. Kelly</u> Ryan M. Kelly – FL Bar No.: 90110

> ANDERSON + WANCA 3701 Algonquin Road, Suite 500 Rolling Meadows, IL 60008 Telephone: 847-368-1500 rkelly@andersonwanca.com

EXHIBIT A

Cape Amoco Inc 1119 Cape Coral Pkwy E Cape Coral, FL 33904-9162 Phone #: (239) 542-3254

Subject: EMV® Chip Card Update Next Steps to Move Forward

Ignite Payments Paradise, a First Data Company, are experts in EMV \otimes Chip Card update for Shift4 \otimes , Fusebox \otimes and other POS Providers. We can help you set up a new merchant account to accept EMV \otimes Chip Cards. Below are the three steps in the process you will need to complete in order for your property to begin accepting EMV \otimes transactions:

Step 1: Contact your current POS Provider to complete the attached form and return to Ignite Payments Paradise. We will then set up your EMV@ ready MID# (merchant identification number).

Step 2: We will work with your POS Provider to complete an update of your devices to the latest firmware and forms. The POS Provider will coordinate time to update your device so it is EMV@ready.

Step 3: POS Provider will work with you to update the settings to activate EMV@ at your property. This is done by going to the EMV@ tab in the control panel that needs the devise serial number and API TID (Application program interface terminal identification) added to the configuration. Documentation and assistance will be provided when you are ready.

Please let us know if you have any questions. Or call us today to commence your upgrade.

Sincerely, Phil Larson Ignite Payments Paradise 480.289.6307 480.970.6560 FAX phil@fdisp.com



These materials were prepared by Ignite Payments Paradise, an independent sales agent for Ignite Payments, LLC. All trademarks, service marks and trade names referenced in this material are the property of their respective owners. Ignite Payments, LLC is a registered ISO of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Walnut Creek, CA"

To get out from future faxes go to www.removemyfaxnumber.com and enter PIN# 16541, or call 800-321-4433. The recipient may make a request to the sender not to send any future faxes and that failure to comply with the request within 30 days is unlawful.

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) Case 2:17-cv-00191-UA-CM CPOR FILE COVER FILE 04/07/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
JWD Automotive, Inc. d/b/a NAPA Auto Care of Cape Coral				IGNITE PAYMENTS, LLC and John Does 1-5		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lee				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)		
Anderson + Wanca 3701 Algonquin Road, Su	847-368-19 uite 500	500				
Rolling Meadows, IL 600						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government ⊠ 3 Federal Question				PI		PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	en of This State	1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 7	
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citiz	en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and a of Business In .	
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	<u>PRTS</u> PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 	 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product 	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	□ 367 Health Care/	L' 0;	o other		430 Banks and Banking
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	□ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation
 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			 830 Patent 840 Trademark 	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	□ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	TY 🗆 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 	□ 72	Act 20 Labor/Management	 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 	Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	□ 380 Other Personal Property Damage		Relations 10 Railway Labor Act	864 SSID Title XVI	 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters
□ 196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage		51 Family and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	D 79	Leave Act 00 Other Labor Litigation		Act 896 Arbitration
REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	NS □ 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting	□ 463 Alien Detainee			or Defendant)	Agency Decision
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	□ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	;		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 	 530 General 535 Death Penalty 		IMMIGRATION		
1 5	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration		
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions		
	□ 448 Education	 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - 				
		Conditions of Confinement				
		Remanded from [Appellate Court		istated or D 5 Transfe pened Anothe	rred from D 6 Multidistr r District Litigation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	itute under which you a		(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state	6	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use:	.			
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	revention Act of 2005	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2				JURY DEMAND	-
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD		
04/07/2017 For office use only		s/ Ryan M. Kell	у			
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
Print	Save As					Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Eaderel question. (3) This refers to suite under 28 U.S.C. 1341, where invisidiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Junk Fax Lawsuit Filed Against Ignite Payments