IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT CINCINNATI

MICHAEL JOHNSON,	:	
	:	Case No.: 1:18-cv-138
On behalf of himself and all similarly	:	
situated individuals,	:	
	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	JUDGE
	:	
VS.	:	
	:	
CINCINNATI BELL, INC.	:	
	:	
CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE	:	
COMPANY, LLC	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Michael Johnson ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff Johnson"), on behalf of himself and other similarly situated individuals, brings this collective and class action lawsuit, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), against Defendants Cincinnati Bell, Inc. and Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") to recover for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.*, Ohio's Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act ("OMFWSA"), O.R.C. §§ 4111.01, 4111.03 and 4111.10, and the Ohio Prompt Pay Act ("OPPA"), O.R.C. § 4113.15 (the OMFWSA and the OPPA will be referred to collectively as the "Ohio Acts").

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 2 of 14 PAGEID #: 2

The following allegations are based on personal knowledge as to Plaintiff's own conduct and are made on information and belief as to the acts of others.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section
 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. This Court's jurisdiction in this matter is also predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §1367 as this Complaint raises additional claims pursuant to the laws of Ohio over which this Court maintains supplemental subject matter jurisdiction because they form a part of the same case or controversy.

3. Venue is proper in this forum pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, because Defendants have employed the Plaintiff and others similarly situated in the Southern District of Ohio, a substantial part of the events or omission giving rise to the claim have occurred in the Southern District of Ohio, and Defendants have done substantial business in the Southern District of Ohio.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Michael Johnson ("Plaintiff" or "Plaintiff Johnson") is an individual residing in Cincinnati, Ohio.

5. Plaintiff Johnson was employed by Defendants as an Outbound Sales Representative ("OSR") from approximately December 2015 to approximately May 2016.

6. Plaintiff Johnson's consent form is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

7. Defendant Cincinnati Bell Inc. is a corporation registered to do business in the State of Ohio, and can be served by and through its Registered Agent, Corporation Service Company, at 50 West Broad Street, Suite 1330, Columbus OH 43215.

8. Defendant Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company, LLC is a domestic limited liability company registered to do business in the State of Ohio with a principal business address

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 3 of 14 PAGEID #: 3

of 221 E. Fourth Street, Cincinnati Ohio 45202. Defendant can be served by and through its Registered Agent, Corporation Service Company, at 50 West Broad Street, Suite 1330, Columbus OH 43215.

FACTS

9. Defendants are in the business of providing integrated communications solutions, including local and long distance voice, data, high-speed Internet, and video entertainment services, to residential and business customers throughout Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana. *See* https://www.cincinnatibell.com/about-us/history (last visited February 22, 2018).

10. Upon information and belief, Defendants' business enterprise has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done in excess of \$500,000.00.

11. Defendants jointly employ individuals engaged in commerce or in the handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced in commerce by any person.

12. Defendants are enterprises covered by the FLSA.

13. During relevant times, Defendants have employed numerous workers in the Consumer Sales Department to provide Defendants' services, including Plaintiff and similarly situated individuals. All of these individuals make up the putative FLSA Class and Ohio Acts Class.¹ While exact job titles may differ, Plaintiff and the putative Class Members were subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work performed.

14. Plaintiff and the putative Class Members' duties did not (and currently do not) include managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent discretion or judgment.

15. The work performed by Plaintiff and the putative Class Members did not (and currently do not) require any special skill, extensive training, or expert knowledge.

¹ The terms "putative Class Members" includes potential members of both the FLSA Class (defined at $\P38$) and the Ohio Acts Class (defined at $\P47$).

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 4 of 14 PAGEID #: 4

16. Plaintiff and the putative Class Members did not (and currently do not) have the authority to hire or fire other employees, and they were not (and currently are not) responsible for making hiring or firing recommendations, nor have Plaintiff and the putative Class Members supervised two or more employees.

17. Plaintiff and the putative Class Members' duties did not (and currently do not) concern work directly related to the management or general business operations of Defendants or their customers.

18. Plaintiff and the putative Class Members were not employed by a "retail or service establishment" as defined under Section 7(i) of the FLSA, as 75% of Defendants' annual volume of sales of goods or services is not for resale.

19. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants as an Outbound Sales Representative ("OSR") out of Defendants' Consumer Sales Department from approximately December 2015 to approximately May 2016.

20. While working for Defendants, Plaintiff and putative Class Members regularly worked in excess of (40) hours per workweek, often times up to forty-five (45) hours per workweek, sometimes more.

21. While working for Defendants, Plaintiff and putative Class Members were responsible for engaging current and prospective customers in order to sell residential service for home phone, long distance, internet, wireless, and video products through outbound dialing and, to some extent, social media.

22. Plaintiff, along with all other putative Class Members, fulfilled these duties in Defendants' office located at the Atrium II building in downtown Cincinnati.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 5 of 14 PAGEID #: 5

23. Upon information and belief, Defendants used a Time Card system to track the Consumer Sales Department employees' daily hours worked at the Atrium II building, including Plaintiff's and putative Class Members' hours.

24. Defendants compensated OSRs, including Plaintiff and putative Class Members, in the form of a fixed weekly amount plus monthly commissions. For example, as an OSR, Plaintiff's compensation consisted of a fixed weekly amount of \$519.20 per week, plus monthly commissions.

25. Defendants paid commissions to OSRs, including Plaintiff and putative Class Members, at the end of the month following the month in which the commissions were earned, e.g., commissions earned in January 2016 were paid by Defendants in the final pay period of February 2016.

26. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members did not and currently do not perform work that exempts them from the overtime requirements of the FLSA and Ohio Wage Act.

27. Under the FLSA, commission payments should have been included in Plaintiff and the putative Class Members' regular rates of pay before any and all overtime multipliers were applied. 29 C.F.R. § 778.120.

28. However, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other putative Class Members the full and proper overtime compensation for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek because Defendants failed to include monthly commission payments in the regular rate calculation for purposes of overtime.

29. Defendants did not supplement the overtime payments to Plaintiff or putative Class Members with additional compensation to account for the increase in their regular rates of pay attributable to their monthly commission payments, as required under the FLSA. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 778.120(a).

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 6 of 14 PAGEID #: 6

30. As a result of failing to include commission payments in the regular rate, Defendants denied Plaintiff and the putative Class Members full and proper overtime pay as a result of a widely applicable, illegal pay practice. Plaintiff and the putative Class Members regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week but did not receive the correct overtime compensation.

31. In failing to pay Plaintiff and putative Class Members the required overtime compensation for any overtime hours worked, Defendants have acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

Facts relating to Plaintiff Johnson

32. At the time of Plaintiff's termination in May 2016, Plaintiff was expecting to receive a final commission check in the amount of approximately \$5,063.50.

33. Around approximately September 2016, Plaintiff was informed by Defendants' Human Resources Department that there would be no further earnings due to Plaintiff because the amount of deactivations surpassed his expected commission amount.

34. Plaintiff subsequently contacted Defendants' Human Resources department and requested documentation to verify the deactivations described above, but Plaintiff was ultimately unable to obtain the documents from Defendants.

35. Additionally, on October 4, 2016, Plaintiff submitted a records request to Defendants pursuant to the Ohio Constitution, Article II Section 34a and related Ohio Revised Code Provisions, requesting information relating to Plaintiff's occupations, pay rates and compensation, hours and location of work, and a copy of all itemized monthly sales documents from which Plaintiff's commissions were calculated.

36. Defendants responded to the records request on October 18, 2016; however, aside from time sheets and gross earnings reports, Defendants failed to produce any documents or

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 7 of 14 PAGEID #: 7

information relating to Plaintiff's commissions, and as of the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff has not received any payment for his final commission check.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

37. All of the preceding paragraphs are realleged as if fully rewritten herein.

38. Plaintiff brings his FLSA claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) as a representative action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated individuals of the opt-in class, consisting of:

All individuals employed by Defendants as Outbound Sales Representatives or other similar job positions who worked at least forty (40) hours per week during any time within the past three years (the "FLSA Class" or the "FLSA Class Members").

39. Plaintiff's FLSA claim should proceed as a collective action because Plaintiff and the putative FLSA Class Members, having worked pursuant to the common policies described herein, are "similarly situated" as that term is defined in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and the associated decisional law.

40. Defendants' failure to pay full and proper overtime compensation results from generally applicable policies and practices, and does not depend on the personal circumstances of the individual FLSA Class Members.

41. Thus, Plaintiff's experiences are typical of the experiences of the putative FLSA Class Members, and the specific job titles or precise job requirements of the various putative FLSA Class Members do not prevent collective treatment.

42. Absent a collective action, many members of the proposed FLSA Class likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendants will retain the proceeds of its rampant violations.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 8 of 14 PAGEID #: 8

43. Moreover, individual litigation would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of the individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

44. The precise size and identity of the proposed FLSA Class should be ascertainable from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Defendants.

45. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Proposed FLSA Class of similarly situated plaintiffs should be certified as defined above as in Paragraph 38.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

46. All of the preceding paragraphs are realleged as if fully rewritten herein.

47. Plaintiff brings his Ohio Acts claims as class actions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of all individuals employed by Defendants as Outbound Sales Representatives or other similar job positions who worked at least forty (40) hours per week during any time within the past three (3) years. ("Ohio Acts Class" or "Ohio Acts Class Members").

48. Class action treatment of Plaintiff's Ohio Acts claims is appropriate because, as alleged below, all of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23's class action requisites are satisfied.

49. The Ohio Acts Class, upon information and belief, includes over 100 individuals, all of whom are readily ascertainable based on Defendants' standard payroll records and are so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable.

50. Plaintiff is a member of the Ohio Acts Class, his claims are typical of the claims of other class members, and he has no interests that are antagonistic to or in conflict with the interests of other class members.

51. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately represent the class members and their interests.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 9 of 14 PAGEID #: 9

52. Questions of law and fact are common to all class members, because, *inter alia*, this action concerns Defendants' companywide pay policies. The legality of these policies will be determined through the resolution of generally applicable legal principles to a common set of facts.

53. Class certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I (FLSA Collective Action - Unpaid Overtime)

54. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

55. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been employers within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

56. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants have been enterprises within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

57. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the FLSA Class Members are (or were) individual employees who were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as required by 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207.

58. The FLSA requires that employees receive "time and one-half" overtime premium compensation for hours worked over 40 per week.

59. During all relevant times, Plaintiff and the FLSA Class Members were not exempt from receiving FLSA overtime benefits.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 10 of 14 PAGEID #: 10

60. Plaintiff and the FLSA Class Members worked more than forty (40) hours in workweeks during times relevant to this case, however, Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and FLSA Class Members the full and proper overtime compensation for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

61. In violating the FLSA, Defendants acted willfully, without a good faith basis and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable federal law.

62. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the putative FLSA Class Members are entitled to overtime wages for all hours worked pursuant to the FLSA in an amount equal to one-and-a-half times their regular rate of pay, plus liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT II (Ohio Acts Class – Unpaid Overtime)

63. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

64. Ohio Law requires that employees receive overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" (1.5) the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over forty (40) in one workweek, "in the manner and methods provided in and subject to the exemptions of section 7 and section 13 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1937." *See* O.R.C. § 4111.03(A); *see also* 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

65. Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members were or have been employed by Defendants within the past three (3) years, and have been covered employees entitled to the protections of the Ohio Acts.

66. Defendants are employers covered by the requirements set forth in the Ohio Acts.

67. Plaintiff and Ohio Acts Class Members have not been exempt from receiving overtime benefits under the Ohio Acts.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 11 of 14 PAGEID #: 11

68. Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members worked more than forty (40) hours in workweeks during times relevant to this case, however, Defendants violated the Ohio Acts by failing to pay Plaintiff and other Ohio Acts Class Members the full and proper overtime compensation for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

69. Plaintiff and the Ohio Act Class Members are entitled to unpaid overtime and other compensation, liquidated damages, interest and attorneys' fees, and all other remedies available as compensation for Defendants' violations of R.C. §4111.03, by which the Plaintiff and Ohio Act Class Members have suffered and continue to suffer damages.

COUNT III (Ohio Acts Class - Violations of the Ohio Prompt Pay Act, R.C. 4113.15)

70. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

71. During all relevant times, Defendants have been entities covered by the OPPA and Plaintiff and Ohio Acts Class Members have been jointly employed by Defendants within the meaning of the OPPA.

72. The OPPA requires that the Defendants pay Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members all wages, including unpaid overtime, on or before the first day of each month, for wages earned by them during the first half of the preceding month ending with the fifteenth day thereof, and on or before the fifteenth day of each month, for wages earned by them during the last half of the preceding calendar month. R.C. § 4113.15(A).

73. During all relevant times to this action, Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members were not paid all wages, including overtime wages at one and one-half times their regular rates within thirty (30) days of performing the work.

74. The wages of Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members remain unpaid for more than thirty (30) days beyond their regularly scheduled payday.

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 12 of 14 PAGEID #: 12

75. In violating the OPPAs, Defendants acted willfully, without a good faith basis and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable Ohio law.

COUNT IV (Recordkeeping Violations of the Ohio Wage Law)

76. All of the preceding paragraphs are realleged as if fully rewritten herein.

77. The Ohio Wage Law requires employers to maintain and preserve payroll or other records containing, among other things, the hours worked each workday and the total hours worked each workweek. *See* O.R.C. § 4111.08. *See also*, 29 C.F.R. §§ 516.2 *et seq.*

78. During times relevant to this action, Defendants have been covered employers, required to comply with the Ohio Wage Law's mandates.

79. During times relevant to this action Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members have been covered employees entitled to the protection of the Ohio Wage Law.

80. During times relevant to this action, Defendants have violated the Ohio Wage Act with respect to Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members by failing to properly maintain accurate records of all hours Plaintiff and the Ohio Acts Class Members worked each workday and within each workweek.

81. In violating the Ohio Wage Act, Defendants have acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable Ohio Wage Act provisions.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for declaratory relief and damages as follows:

- A. Certifying the proposed FLSA collective action;
- B. Directing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to the FLSA Class apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to timely assert their rights under the FLSA and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- C. Certifying the proposed Ohio Class under Ohio Law;
- D. Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by the Court;
- E. Awarding to the Plaintiff and the FLSA Class Members unpaid compensation, including overtime wages as to be determined at trial together with any liquidated damages allowed by the FLSA;
- F. Awarding to the Plaintiff and the Ohio Class Members unpaid compensation, including overtime wages as to be determined at trial together with any liquidated damages allowed by Ohio Law;
- G. Granting Plaintiff leave to amend to file additional claims for relief or different causes of action should information become available through investigation and discovery;
- H. Awarding Plaintiff, the FLSA Class Members and the Ohio Class Members such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper;
- I. Issuing an injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in present, ongoing and future violations of the FLSA and Ohio Law;
- J. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees; and
- K. Rendering a judgment against Defendants for all damage, relief, or any other recovery whatsoever.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint. Dated: February 23, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

BARKAN MEIZLISH HANDELMAN GOODIN DEROSE WENTZ, LLP

/s/ Robert E. DeRose Robert E. DeRose (OH Bar No. 0055214) Molly K. Tefend (OH Bar No. 0093574) 250 E. Broad Street, 10th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 T: (614) 221-4221 F: (614) 744-2300 bderose@barkanmeizlish.com mtefend@barkanmeizlish.com

-and-

MANGANO LAW OFFICES CO., L.P.A.

<u>/s/ Ryan K. Hymore</u> Ryan K. Hymore (OH Bar No. 0080750) 3805 Edwards Road, Suite 550 Cincinnati, Ohio 45209 T: (513) 255-5888 F: (216) 397-5845 rkhymore@bmanganolaw.com

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class

Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD Doc #: 1-1 Filed: 02/23/18 Page: 1 of 2 PAGEID #: 15

Exhibit A

CONSENT TO JOIN AND PARTICIPATE AS PARTY PLAINTIFF


I was employed by **Cincinnati Bell Inc.**, and I hereby consent to sue Defendant **Cincinnati Bell Inc.** for potential unpaid back wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* and any state law requiring me to opt in to the suit. I understand this lawsuit is being brought under the FLSA and applicable state laws. I agree to be bound by any judgment of the Court. I further agree to be bound by a collective and class action settlement herein negotiated by me and my attorneys and approved by the Court as fair and reasonable. I hereby designate Barkan Meizlish Handelman Goodin DeRose Wentz, LLP and Mangano Law Offices Co., LPA to represent me in this action.

2/7/2018 Date:

May

SIGNATURE Michael Johnson

NAME (Please Print Clearly)



JS 44 (Rev. 06/17) Case: 1:18-cv-00138-SJD COVER SHEEP Page: 1 of 2 PAGEID #: 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

L (a) PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL JOHNSON, or individuals,	n behalf of himself and	l all similarly situate	ed	DEFENDANTS CINCINNATI BELL COMPANY, LLC	., INC. AN	ID CINCINNAT	TI BELL TEL	EPHON	١E	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Hamilton County, O</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			hio County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Robert E. DeRose, 250 E T: (614) 221-4221 Ryan K. Hymore, 3805 E	E. Broad St, 10th FI, C	olumbus, OH 4321		Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. Cl	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box j	for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Ment Image: Second system (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4						
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State 2 2 2 1 Corporated <i>and</i> Principal Place of Business In Another State 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5						
				en or Subject of a reign Country		Foreign Nation		6	1 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) DRTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: <u>Nature (</u> KRUPTCY		escription STATUT		
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury 315 Airplane Product Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 367 Health Care/ 330 Federal Employers' Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury 340 Marine Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 380 Other Personal Property Damage Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 385 Property Damage Product Liability 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice Product Liability	Y □ 62	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 		 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 				
 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 		 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability 	 ▶ 71 □ 72 □ 72 □ 75 	 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 			
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	e 79	Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Xuralization Application So Other Immigration Actions						
		Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reir Reoj	1 11010	r District	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	l -	Multidis Litigatic Direct Fi	on -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN 29 U.S.C. Section Brief description of ca	n 216(b) nuse:		Do not cite jurisdictional stat			ations			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	_	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	C	THECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	n complai □No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE 02/23/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/Ryan K. Hyr		OF RECORD						
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD)GE			
Print	Save As	•••					Rese	t		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ex-Employee Claims Cincinnati Bell Miscalculated Overtime</u>, Withheld Final Commissions Check