### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

PATRICK JOHNSON, on his own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

V.

CASE NO.:

ANCHO'S TACO COMPANY, INC., d/b/a CAPITAL TACOSa Florida Profit Corporation, and KRISTEL HESKETT, individually,

Defendants.	

## **COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, PATRICK JOHNSON on his own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorney, sues the Defendants, ANCHO'S TACO COMPNAY, INC., d/b/a CAPITAL TACOS and KRISTEL HESKETT (collectively "Defendants") for failing to pay complete overtime wages for every hour worked, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (FLSA).

#### NATURE OF CASE

- 1. Defendant, ANCHO'S TACO COMPANY, INC., d/b/a CAPITAL TACOS (hereinafter "ATC") is a Mexican restaurant with three locations, one of which is located in Wesley Chapel, Pasco County, Florida.
- 2. As a restaurant, Defendant ATC hires kitchen staff to manage inventory, unload deliveries, and perform tasks necessary to prepare the kitchen for operations.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this case to address and correct the illegal pay practices conducted by Defendant ATC, and its owner, Kristel Heskett (collectively "Defendants").

4. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages based on his regular hourly rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Defendant ATC is a Florida for profit corporation which operates and conducts business in, among others, Pasco County, Florida and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this FLSA collective action individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, including present and former employees of Defendant ATC, to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 7. Defendant Kristel Heskett (hereinafter "Defendant") is the Owner and Director of Ancho's Taco Company, Inc.

#### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 8. This action is brought under Federal law to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
  - 9. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as they arise under the FLSA.
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this complaint as each of Defendants' violations of the FLSA complained of took place in Pasco County, Florida.

#### **GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant ATC at 27209 State Road 56, Wesley Chapel, FL 33545.
- 12. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant ATC was an enterprise subject to the FLSA's provisions requiring overtime compensation.

- 13. At all material times, Plaintiff and others working for Defendant ATC were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all material times, Defendant ATC was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and Defendant continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all material time, Defendant ATC was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. Defendants' employees handled goods such as computers, food products, paper, silverware, and restaurant equipment which had traveled in interstate commerce.
- 17. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant ATC has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00).
- 18. Defendant Kristel Heskett controlled and/or was responsible for the work of Plaintiff.
  - 19. Defendant Kristel Heskett regularly supervised Plaintiff's daily activities.
- 20. Defendant Kristel Heskett created and implemented the employer's policies and practices which violated the FLSA.
- 21. Defendant Kristel Heskett knew the employer's policies and practices violated the FLSA, but continued enforcing such policies against Plaintiff and other employees.
- 22. Plaintiff did a specific job, i.e., stocked inventory, unloaded deliveries, cut meat, and other tasks to ensure the kitchen is prepped and ready for business, which was/is an integral part of the business of Defendant ATC.
  - 23. Plaintiff was scheduled to work a 5 day work-week, 6am to close.
  - 24. By Plaintiff's estimates, he routinely worked 50 hours or more in a work week.

- 25. Plaintiff was paid in cash. For hours worked over 40 hours a week, Plaintiff was paid straight-time.
- 26. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 27. During his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay including bonuses, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 28. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 29. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraph 1-28 above.
- 30. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
- 31. During his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.
- 32. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 33. Defendants were able to circumvent overtime pay by paying Plaintiff cash for hours worked over 40 in a work-week.
  - 34. Defendants were aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to

pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).

- 35. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 36. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 37. Defendants' conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
  - 38. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
  - 39. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by him for which Defendant did not properly compensate him, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION)

- 40. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-28 above.
- 41. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as kitchen staff and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 42. Throughout their employment, those employees were similarly situated to Plaintiff and were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.
- 43. Defendants failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiff, one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in

each week, in violation of the FLSA.

44. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required

overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.

45. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, individuals

similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and

attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, demands

judgment against Defendants for unpaid overtime compensation, an additional and equal amount of

liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at

the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and

all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman

Marc R. Edelman, Esq.

Fla. Bar No. 0096342

Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700

Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: MEdelman@forthepeople.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ecket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT TAGE O	i imsro						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS PATRICK JOHNSON, on his own behalf, and on behalf of all simila situated individuals,				DEFENDANTS ANCHO'S TACO COMPANY, INC., d/b/a CAPITAL TACOSa Florida Profit Corporation, and KRISTEL HESKETT, individually,					
(b) County of Desidence of	First I isted Disintiff			County of Residence		-			
(b) County of Residence of	. First Listed Flamuit KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(FC)		County of Residence		LAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, American R. Edelman, Esq.	201 N. Fran	ıklin Ave.		Attorneys (If Known)	)				
Morgan & Morgan	Tampa, FL 3	3002							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government	→ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box fo	r Dejenaa <b>PTF</b>	int) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz	_	<b>X</b> 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		<b>□</b> 4	<b>□</b> 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		<b>6</b>	<b>1</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Annual VI ANDROVA		10 to 100 to		I DOMESTIC AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P		
COMMANDE.				)REGERENATION SEE			□ 375 False Cl		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury -	_	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	□ 422 Appo	eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 Palse Ci		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability		O Other		JSC 157	3729(a)	))	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/					400 State Re		ment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPE  ☐ 820 Copy	RIV RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrus ☐ 430 Banks a		nα
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability	-		830 Pater		☐ 450 Comme		g
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Persona	1		☐ 840 Trad		460 Deporta		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	200.00		30 KW 20 CY 20 Y 20 Y 20 Y 20 Y 20 Y 20 Y 20		☐ 470 Rackete	er Influen Organizat	
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPE		LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA		480 Consum		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	☐ 862 Blac	k Lung (923)	490 Cable/S		
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	□ 371 Truth in Lending	0 72	20 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securiti		odities/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	.	Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSII ☐ 865 RSI		Exchan  890 Other S		ctions
195 Contract Froduct Liability 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage		51 Family and Medical	D 005 RD.	(100(8))	☐ 891 Agricul	tural Acts	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Leave Act			893 Environ		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	POISONED DEFERM		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Employee Retirement	FEDER	AUTAX SUITIS	☐ 895 Freedom Act	n or mion	mation
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	- 1.	Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrat	ion	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		·		efendant)	☐ 899 Admini		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacat	e			—Third Party	1	iew or Ap	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/	Sentence  530 General	İ		200	JSC 7609	950 Constitu	Decision utionality	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION			State St		
	Employment	Other:		52 Naturalization Application	n		1		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Otl	ner   3 40	65 Other Immigration Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition	- 1		ł		}		
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	İ		1				
	!	Confinement					L		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)								
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 3	Appellate Court		pened Anoth	ferred from ter District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			are filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes uniess d	iversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIV	Brief description of ca Unpaid Overtime								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTIO 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	1		DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE 9-36	-17	SIGNATUREOFAX	ORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<del></del>	-///							
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Capital Tacos Kitchen Worker Sues Over Unpaid Overtime Claims</u>