

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

KEN JOHANSEN on behalf of himself	:	
and others similarly situated,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Case No. 2:17-cv-00587
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
NATIONAL GAS & ELECTRIC, LLC	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	
/		

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Preliminary Statement

1. Plaintiff Ken Johansen (“Plaintiff” or “Mr. Johansen”) brings this action to enforce the consumer-privacy provisions of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, a federal statute enacted in 1991 in response to widespread public outrage about the proliferation of intrusive, nuisance telemarketing practices. *See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 745 (2012).

2. In violation of the TCPA, National Gas & Electric, LLC (“National Gas & Electric”) placed telemarketing calls to a telephone number Mr. Johansen had registered on the National Do Not Call Registry for the purposes of advertising its goods and services.

3. Mr. Johansen never consented to receive these calls, and they were placed to him for telemarketing purposes. Because telemarketing campaigns generally place calls to hundreds of thousands or even millions of potential customers *en masse*, Mr. Johansen brings this action on behalf of a proposed nationwide class of other persons who received illegal telemarketing calls from or on behalf of the Defendant.

4. A class action is the best means of obtaining redress for the Defendant's wide scale illegal telemarketing and is consistent both with the private right of action afforded by the TCPA and the fairness and efficiency goals of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Parties

5. Plaintiff Ken Johansen is a resident of the state of Ohio.

6. Defendant National Gas & Electric, LLC is a Texas corporation that has its principal office in Houston, Texas and a registered agent of CT Corporation System, 4400 Easton Commons Way, Suite 125 in Columbus, Ohio 43219.

Jurisdiction & Venue

7. The Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over these TCPA claims. *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012).

8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2) because the Defendant is a resident of this district, and because Plaintiff is a resident of this district, which is where he received the illegal telemarketing calls that are the subject of this putative class action lawsuit.

TCPA Background

9. In 1991, Congress enacted the TCPA to regulate the explosive growth of the telemarketing industry. In so doing, Congress recognized that “[u]nrestricted telemarketing . . . can be an intrusive invasion of privacy [.]” Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-243, § 2(5) (1991) (codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227).

10. The National Do Not Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone numbers and thereby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2). A listing on the Registry “must be honored indefinitely, or until

the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator.” *Id.*

11. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Registry. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).

12. A person whose number is on the Registry, and who has received more than one telephone call within any twelve-month period by or on behalf of the same entity in violation of the TCPA, can sue the violator and seek statutory damages. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(5).

13. The regulations exempt from liability a caller who has obtained the subscriber’s signed, written agreement to receive telephone solicitations from the caller. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2)(ii). That agreement must also include the telephone number to which the calls may be placed. *Id.*

Factual Allegations

14. Mr. Johansen’s home telephone number, (614) 791-XXXX, has been on the National Do Not Call Registry for more than seven years prior to receipt of the calls from the Defendant.

15. Mr. Johansen has never removed his residential telephone number from the National Do Not Call Registry.

16. On June 13, 14, and 15, 2017, the Plaintiff received telephone calls from telemarketing representatives from National Gas & Electric.

17. The Caller ID number for several of the calls was (708) 318-4984.

18. The purpose of these calls was to generate sales for National Gas & Electric.

19. In order to confirm the identity of the calling party, the Plaintiff listened to the telemarketing pitch and confirmed that it was for the “Energy Choice” program offered by National Gas & Electric.

20. Plaintiff’s privacy has been violated by the above-described calls from National Gas & Electric, which constituted a nuisance as they are annoying and harassing.

21. Plaintiff and all members of the class, defined below, have been harmed by the acts of National Gas & Electric because their privacy has been violated and they were subjected to annoying and harassing calls that constitute a nuisance. The calls also occupied Plaintiff’s telephone line from legitimate communication.

22. Plaintiff is not a customer of Defendant and has not provided Defendant with his prior written consent to place telemarketing calls to him.

Class Action Allegations

23. As authorized by Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of a class of all other persons or entities similarly situated throughout the United States.

24. The class of persons Plaintiff proposes to represent is tentatively defined as all persons within the United States whose phone numbers were registered on the Do Not Call Registry, and who, within the four years before the filing of the initial Complaint, through the date of class certification, received more than one telemarketing call within any twelve-month period from, or on behalf of, Defendant.

25. Excluded from the class is the Defendant, and any entities in which the Defendant has a controlling interest, the Defendant’s agents and employees, any judge to whom this action is assigned, and any member of such judge’s staff and immediate family.

26. The class as defined above is identifiable through phone records and phone number databases.

27. The potential class members number at least in the thousands. Individual joinder of these persons is impracticable.

28. Plaintiff is a member of the class.

29. There are questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and to the proposed class, including but not limited to the following:

a. Whether Defendant violated the TCPA by calling individuals on the National Do Not Call Registry;

b. Whether Defendant placed calls without obtaining the recipients' prior consent for the call;

c. Whether the Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to statutory damages as a result of Defendant's actions.

30. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of class members.

31. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the class, he will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class, and he is represented by counsel skilled and experienced in class actions, including TCPA class actions.

32. The actions of the Defendant are generally applicable to the class as a whole and to Plaintiff.

33. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and a class action is the superior method for fair and efficient

adjudication of the controversy. The only individual question concerns identification of class members, which will be ascertainable from records maintained by Defendant and/or its agents.

34. The likelihood that individual members of the class will prosecute separate actions is remote due to the time and expense necessary to prosecute an individual case.

35. Plaintiff is not aware of any litigation concerning this controversy already commenced by others who meet the criteria for class membership described above.

Legal Claims

Count One: Violation of the TCPA's Do Not Call provisions

36. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations from all previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

37. The Defendant violated the TCPA by (a) initiating telephone solicitations to persons and entities whose telephone numbers were listed on the Do Not Call Registry, or (b) by the fact that others made those calls on its behalf. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(c); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2).

38. The Defendant's violations were negligent and/or knowing.

Relief Sought

For himself and all class members, Plaintiff requests the following relief:

A. Injunctive relief prohibiting such violations of the TCPA by the Defendant;

B. Because of the Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C.

§ 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each class member treble damages, as provided by statute, of up to \$1,500 for every call that violated the TCPA;

C. Because of Defendant's statutory violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each class member \$500 in statutory damages for every call that violated the TCPA;

D. Because of Defendant's violations of 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c), Plaintiff seeks for himself and the other class members whose telephone numbers were called at least twice within any 12-month period despite being registered with the National Do Not Call Registry, up to \$500 in statutory damages for every call that violated such regulations, or—where such regulations were willfully or knowingly violated—up to \$1,500 for each and every call in violation;

E. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to counsel for Plaintiff and the class;

F. An order certifying this action to be a proper class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, establishing any appropriate classes the Court deems appropriate, finding that Plaintiff is a proper representative of the class, and appointing the lawyers and law firms representing Plaintiff as counsel for the class; and

G. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Plaintiff requests a jury trial as to all claims of the complaint so triable.

PLAINTIFF,

By his attorneys

/s/ Brian K. Murphy

Brian K. Murphy (0070654), Trial Attorney

Jonathan P. Misny (0090673)

Murray Murphy Moul + Basil LLP

1114 Dublin Road

Columbus, OH 43215

(614) 488-0400

(614) 488-0401 *facsimile*

E-mail: murphy@mmb.com

misny@mmb.com

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
KEN JOHANSEN, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Franklin County, Ohio
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Brian K. Murphy, Jonathan P. Misny, Murray Murphy Moul + Basil LLP,
1114 Dublin Road, Columbus, OH 43215, 614.488.0400

DEFENDANTS
NATIONAL GAS & ELECTRIC, LLC
County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.
Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
PTF DEF
Citizen of This State
Citizen of Another State
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country
Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State
Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State
Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
CONTRACT
PERSONAL INJURY
REAL PROPERTY
CIVIL RIGHTS
PRISONER PETITIONS
FORFEITURE/PENALTY
LABOR
IMMIGRATION
BANKRUPTCY
SOCIAL SECURITY
FEDERAL TAX SUITS
OTHER STATUTES

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
47 U.S.C. § 227
Brief description of cause:
Class action for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ at least \$500 per violation to each putative class member
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY
(See instructions):
JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 07/07/2017 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Brian K. Murphy

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Ohio

KEN JOHANSEN on behalf of himself and others
similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

NATIONAL GAS & ELECTRIC, LLC

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00587

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) National Gas & Electric, LLC
c/o CT Corporation System, Registered Agent
4400 Easton Commons Way
Suite 125
Columbus, OH 43219

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Brian K. Murphy
Jonathan P. Misny
Murray Murphy Moul + Basil LLP
1114 Dublin Road
Columbus, OH 43215

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00587

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [National Gas and Electric Faces TCPA Lawsuit Over 'Illegal' Robocalls](#)
