	Case 3:24-cv-02746-JCS Docum	ent 1 Filed 05/08/24 Page 1 of 65	
1	SCOTT EDELSBERG		
2	CA Bar No. 330990 scott@edelsberglaw.com		
3	EDELSBERG LAW, P.A. 1925 Century Park E #1700		
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 305.975.3320		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff and Proposed Clas		
6	(additional counsel listed on signature pa	ge)	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8	DANIEL HMENEZ ID individually	Case No	
9	DANIEL JIMENEZ JR , individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
10	Plaintiff,	1. Negligence	
11	VS.	 Negligence <i>per se</i> Breach of Implied Contract 	
12	OE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION,	4. Invasion of Privacy5. Breach of Fiduciary Duty6. Violation of the California Unfair	
13	Defendant.	Competition Law 7. Violation of the California	
14		Consumer Privacy Act 8. Violation of the California	
15		Consumer Records Act	
16		9. Declaratory Judgement	
17		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
18	Plaintiff Daniel Iimenez Ir individ	lually, and on behalf of all others similarly	
19			
20	situated, brings this Class Action Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant OE		
21	-1-		
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

Federal Credit Union ("OEFCU" or "Defendant"), to obtain damages, restitution,
and injunctive relief for the Class, as defined below, from Defendant. Plaintiff
makes the following allegations on information and belief, except as to his own
actions, which are made on personal knowledge, the investigation of counsel, and
the facts that are a matter of public record.

INTRODUCTION

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1. This class action arises out of the recent targeted ransomware attack and data breach ("Data Breach") on Defendant's network that resulted in unauthorized access to the highly sensitive data. As a result of the Data Breach, Class Members suffered ascertainable losses in the form of the benefit of their bargain, out-of-pocket expenses, and the value of their time reasonably incurred to remedy or mitigate the effects of the attack, emotional distress, and the present risk of imminent harm caused by the compromise of their sensitive personal information.

2. Upon information and belief, the specific information compromised in the Data Breach includes, but is not limited to, personally identifiable information ("PII"), such as full name, Social Security number, driver's license or government

> -2-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1 ID number¹.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3. Upon information and belief, up to and through April 2024, Defendant obtained the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members and stored that PII, unencrypted, in an Internet-accessible environment on Defendant's network, from which unauthorized actors used an extraction tool to retrieve sensitive PII belonging to Plaintiff and Class Members.

4. Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII—which were entrusted to Defendant, their officials, and agents—were compromised and unlawfully accessed due to the Data Breach.

5. Plaintiff brings this class action lawsuit on behalf of those similarly situated to address Defendant's inadequate safeguarding of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII that Defendant collected and maintained, and for Defendant's failure to provide timely and adequate notice to Plaintiff and other Class Members that their PII had been subject to the unauthorized access of an unknown, unauthorized party.

6. Defendant maintained the PII in a negligent and/or reckless manner.In particular, the PII was maintained on Defendant's computer system and network

¹ Notice of Data Breach ("Notice") attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

in a condition vulnerable to cyberattacks. Upon information and belief, the mechanism of the cyberattack and potential for improper disclosure of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII was a known risk to Defendant, and thus Defendant was 4 on notice that failing to take steps necessary to secure the PII from those risks left that property in a dangerous condition.

6 In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant and its employees 7. 7 failed to properly monitor the computer network, IT systems, and integrated service 8 that housed Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

Defendant's failure to safeguard its clients PII is particularly heinous 8. in light of the fact that Defendant suffered the data breach between August 19, 2023 and October 29, 2023, a period lasting more than two months, about which it notified its customers several months later in April 2024.

13 Plaintiff's and Class Members' identities are now at risk because of 9. 14 Defendant's negligent conduct because the PII that Defendant collected and 15 maintained is now in the hands of malicious cybercriminals. The risks to Plaintiff 16 and Class Members will remain for their respective lifetimes.

10. Defendant failed to provide timely, accurate and adequate notice to Plaintiff and Class Members. Plaintiff and Class Members' knowledge about the PII Defendant lost, as well as precisely what type of information was unencrypted

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

and in the possession of unknown third parties, was unreasonably delayed by
Defendant's failure to warn impacted persons immediately upon learning of the
Data Breach.

11. As remediation for allowing Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII to be acquired by an unauthorized third-party, Defendant has stated that "we are providing you with access to a complimentary 12-month membership of Experian IdentityWorks."² To date, Defendant has not contacted or offered any remediation to the victims of this Data Breach, but this assurance serves as tacet acknowledgement of the harm and elevated risk that Plaintiff and class members now face as a result of Defendant's acts and omissions.

12. Indeed, armed with the PII accessed in the Data Breach, data thieves can commit a variety of crimes including opening new financial accounts in Class Members' names, taking out loans in Class Members' names, using Class Members' names to obtain medical services, using Class Members' information to target other phishing and hacking intrusions using Class Members' information to obtain government benefits, filing fraudulent tax returns using Class Members' information, obtaining driver's licenses in Class Members' names but with another

20

 2 Id.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

person's photograph, and giving false information to police during an arrest.

13. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff and Class Members have been exposed to a present, heightened and imminent risk of fraud and identity theft.
Plaintiff and Class Members must now closely monitor their financial accounts to guard against identity theft for the rest of their lives.

14. Plaintiff and Class Members may also incur out of pocket costs for purchasing credit monitoring services, credit freezes, credit reports, or other protective measures to deter and detect identity theft.

15. By his Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy these harms on behalf of himself and all similarly situated individuals whose PII was accessed during the Data Breach.

16. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings claims on behalf of himself and the Class for: (i) negligence, (ii) negligence *per se*, (iii) breach of implied contract (iv) invasion of privacy (v) breach of fiduciary duty, (vi) violations of the California Unfair Competition Law, (vii) violations of the California Consumer Privacy Act, (viii) violations of the California Consumer Records Act and (ix) declaratory judgment. Through these claims, Plaintiff seeks, *inter alia*, damages and injunctive relief, including improvements to Defendant's data security systems and integrated services, future annual audits, and adequate credit monitoring services.

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

-6-

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

PARTIES

17. Plaintiff Daniel Jimenez Jr. is a natural person, resident, and citizen of California where he intends to remain. He is a Data Breach victim, being a customer of Defendant.

18. Defendant OE Federal Credit Union is a corporation, incorporated under the laws of Nevada, with its principal place of business at 250 North Canyons Parkway, Livermore, California 94551.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2). The amount in controversy exceeds \$5 millions, exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than 100 members in the proposed class, and at least one member of the class is a citizen of a state different from Defendant³.

20. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is headquartered in this District and does substantial business in

³ According to the breach report submitted to the Massachusetts state government, 71 Massachusetts residents were impacted in the Data Breach at OE Federal Credit Union. See <u>https://www.mass.gov/doc/data-breach-report-2024/download</u>

¹ California.

21. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because
Defendant's principal places of business is in this District and a substantial part of
the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this
District.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

5

BACKGROUND FACTS

A. Defendant's Businesses

22. According to Defendant's website "OE Federal is the country's largest labor-based credit union."⁴

23. On information and belief, Defendant maintain the PII of current and former customers, including but not limited to:

a. name;

b. Social Security number;

c. Driver's license number or government IDs; and

d. other information that Defendant may deem necessary to provide its services.

24. Plaintiff and Class Members directly or indirectly entrusted Defendant

⁴ A Union Proud History, https://oefederal.org/about/about-oe/history/ (last accessed May 8, 2024)

with sensitive and confidential PII, which includes information that is static, does not change, and can be used to commit myriad financial crimes.

25. Because of the highly sensitive and personal nature of the information Defendant acquires, stores, and has access to, Defendant, upon information and belief, promised to, among other things: keep PII private; comply with industry standards related to data security and PII; inform individuals of their legal duties and comply with all federal and state laws protecting PII; only use and release PII for reasons that relate to medical care and treatment; and provide adequate notice to impacted individuals if their PII is disclosed without authorization.

26. By obtaining, collecting, using, and deriving a benefit from Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, Defendant assumed legal and equitable duties and knew or should have known that it was responsible for protecting Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII from unauthorized disclosure.

27. Plaintiff and the Class Members have taken reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of their PII.

28. Plaintiff and the Class Members relied on Defendant to implement and follow adequate data security policies and protocols, to keep their PII confidential and securely maintained, to use such PII solely for business purposes, and to prevent the unauthorized disclosures of the PII.

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

/

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

B.

Defendant Fails to Safeguard Consumer PII

29. On or around April 30, 2024, Defendant began sending Data Breach

Victims a Notice of Data Security Breach letter ("Notice") informing them that:

What Happened?

On or about October 28, 2023, OEFCU detected unauthorized access to our network as a result of a cybersecurity incident that resulted in the potential exposure of the data we maintain.

What We Are Doing.

Upon learning of this issue, we immediately began efforts to secure our network and commenced a prompt and thorough investigation. As part of our investigation, we have been working very closely with external cybersecurity professionals experienced in handling these types of incidents. After an extensive forensic investigation and document review, we discovered on April 1, 2024, that between approximately August 19, 2023 and October 29, 2023, certain impacted files containing personal information may have been accessed and/or acquired by an unauthorized party.

What Information Was Involved?

The impacted information includes your full name, and Social Security number, driver's license or government ID number.⁵

30. It is likely the Data Breach was targeted at Defendant due to its status

20

21

⁵ Exhibit A.

as a financial services provider that collects, creates, and maintains sensitive PII.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

31. Upon information and belief, the cyberattack was expressly designed to gain access to private and confidential data of specific individuals, including (among other things) the PII of Plaintiff and the Class Members.

32. Upon information and belief, and based on the type of cyberattack, it is plausible and likely that Plaintiff's PII was stolen in the Data Breach. Plaintiff further believes his PII was likely subsequently sold on the dark web following the Data Breach, as that is the *modus operandi* of cybercriminals.

33. The notorious ransomware group NoEscape claimed responsibility for the cyberattack.

OE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION	DELETE POST AND DATA	
250 North Canyons Parkway Livermore, CA 94551	TOTAL DATA: I,I TB	
e <u>oefederalor</u> y		NEXT UPDATE: 9D 21H 06M
§ 800.877.4444		
Built on a foundation of union pride, OE Federal is the country's largest labor-based credit union. We understand the unique needs of		
union workers and their families in ways the big banks can't. With more than 100,000 members nationwide across six states and 125 union		
groups, we're here to help because we're union family, and our family deserves the best.		
The company network was successfully encrypted and compromised.		
The company's management apparently does not understand the current situation, and decided to remain silent, but we are ready to		
provide comprehensive evidence that the management is lying, we were on the network for a long time and were able to steal some of		
the company's most confidential data, for example, we have more than 1.13TB of such data as:		
Backups and more than ITB SQL databases!		
Personal data and contacts of employees and management!		
Personal data of clients SSN, ID CARD, DL, as well as access to their credit and debit cards CC+EXP+CVV!		
The entire history of clients' financial movements from 2020 to September 2023, including daily and monthly reports!		
Projects, taxes, loans, contracts, agreements, reports, accounting, data from Branch Sales and hundreds of thousands of other confidential and critically important data.		
We advise you not to bring the situation to a critical level and contact us soon is possiple.		
It's interesting to watch the OFCU blog as they do their best to ensure that their clients are not deceived and that they do not trust the		
scammers while we have compromised a staggering amount of confidential data directly from their servers:) Guys, you have no other path,		
or you will contact with us and we will make a deal or we will inflict such damage on you from which you will no longer be able to		
recover. And believe us, we know what we are talking about.		
Assign a peson to the postition of negotiator, and tell him to contact us, we will explain evrithing and help you solve this		
problem.		
Time is running out.		

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

34. Defendant had a duty to adopt reasonable measures to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII from involuntary disclosure to third parties.

35. Because of the Data Breach, data thieves were able to gain access to Defendant's private systems between approximately August 19, 2023 and October 29, 2023, a period of time lasting more than two months, and were able to compromise, access, and acquire the protected PII of Plaintiff and Class Members.

36. Defendant had obligations created by contract, industry standards, common law, and its own promises and representations made to Plaintiff and Class Members to keep their PII confidential and to protect them from unauthorized access and disclosure.

37. Plaintiff and the Class Members reasonably relied (directly or indirectly) on Defendant's sophistication to keep their sensitive PII confidential; to maintain proper system security; to use this information for business purposes only; and to make only authorized disclosures of their PII.

38. Plaintiff's and Class Members' unencrypted, unredacted PII was compromised due to Defendant's negligent and/or careless acts and omissions, and due to the utter failure to protect Class Members' PII. Criminal hackers obtained their PII because of its value in exploiting and stealing the identities of Plaintiff and Class Members. The risks to Plaintiff and Class Members will remain for their

21

1 respective lifetimes.

> C. The Data Breach was a Foreseeable Risk and Defendant were on Notice 39. Defendant's data security obligations were particularly important given the substantial increase in cyberattacks and/or data breaches in industries holding significant amounts of PII preceding the date of the breach.

> 40. In light of recent high profile data breaches at other financial services companies, Defendant knew or should have known that their electronic records and PII they maintained would be targeted by cybercriminals and ransomware attack groups.

2

3

4

5

41. Defendant knew or should have known that these attacks were common and foreseeable.

In 2021, a record 1,862 data breaches occurred, resulting in 42. approximately 293,927,708 sensitive records being exposed, a 68% increase from 2020.⁶ The 330 reported breaches reported in 2021 exposed nearly 30 million sensitive records (28,045,658), compared to only 306 breaches that exposed nearly 10 million sensitive records (9,700,238) in 2020.⁷

Therefore, the increase in such attacks, and attendant risk of future 43.

-13-

⁶ See 2021 Data Breach Annual Report (ITRC, Jan. 2022) (available at https://notified.idtheftcenter.org/s/), at 6. 7 Id.

attacks, was widely known to the public and to anyone in Defendant's industry,
including Defendant.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

D.

Defendant Fails to Comply with FTC Guidelines

44. The Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") has promulgated numerous guides for businesses which highlight the importance of implementing reasonable data security practices. According to the FTC, the need for data security should be factored into all business decision-making.

45. In 2016, the FTC updated its publication, *Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business*, which established cyber-security guidelines for businesses. The guidelines note that businesses should protect the personal customer information that they keep; properly dispose of personal information that is no longer needed; encrypt information stored on computer networks; understand its network's vulnerabilities; and implement policies to correct any security problems.⁸ The guidelines also recommend that businesses use an intrusion detection system to expose a breach as soon as it occurs; monitor all incoming traffic for activity indicating someone is attempting to hack the system; watch for large amounts of data being transmitted from the system; and have a response plan

¹⁹ ⁸ Protecting Personal Information: A Guide for Business, Federal Trade Commission (2016). Available at https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/pdf-0136_proteting-personal-information.pdf (last visited May 8, 2024). ¹ || ready in the event of a breach.⁹

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

46. The FTC further recommends that companies not maintain PII longer than is needed for authorization of a transaction; limit access to sensitive data; require complex passwords to be used on networks; use industry-tested methods for security; monitor for suspicious activity on the network; and verify that thirdparty service providers have implemented reasonable security measures.

47. The FTC has brought enforcement actions against businesses for failing to adequately and reasonably protect customer data, treating the failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to confidential consumer data as an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTCA"), 15 U.S.C. § 45. Orders resulting from these actions further clarify the measures businesses must take to meet their data security obligations.

48. These FTC enforcement actions include actions against financial institutions like Defendant.

49. Defendant failed to properly implement basic data security practices.
50. Defendant's failure to employ reasonable and appropriate measures to protect against unauthorized access to customers and other impacted individuals'

20 9 *Id.*

PII constitutes an unfair act or practice prohibited by Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15
U.S.C. § 45.

51. Defendant was at all times fully aware of their obligation to protect the PII. Defendant was also aware of the significant repercussions that would result from their failure to do so.

E. Defendant Fails to Comply with Industry Standards

52. As shown above, experts studying cyber security routinely identify financial institutions as being particularly vulnerable to cyberattacks because of the value of the PII which they collect and maintain.

53. Several best practices have been identified that at a minimum should be implemented by financial institutions like Defendant, including but not limited to: educating all employees; strong passwords; multi-layer security, including firewalls, anti-virus, and anti-malware software; encryption, making data unreadable without a key; multi-factor authentication; backup data; and limiting which employees can access sensitive data.

54. Other best cybersecurity practices that are standard in the financial industry include installing appropriate malware detection software; monitoring and limiting the network ports; protecting web browsers and email management systems; setting up network systems such as firewalls, switches and routers;

21

20

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

monitoring and protection of physical security systems; protection against any 2 possible communication system; training staff regarding critical points.

55. Defendant failed to meet the minimum standards of any of the 4 following frameworks: the NIST Cybersecurity Framework Version 1.1 (including without limitation PR.AC-1, PR.AC-3, PR.AC-4, PR.AC-5, PR.AC-6, PR.AC-7, 6 PR.AT-1, PR.DS-1, PR.DS-5, PR.PT-1, PR.PT-3, DE.CM-1, DE.CM-4, DE.CM-7, DE.CM-8, and RS.CO-2), and the Center for Internet Security's Critical Security Controls (CIS CSC), which are all established standards in reasonable cybersecurity readiness.

56. These foregoing frameworks are existing and applicable industry standards in the financial industry, and Defendant failed to comply with these accepted standards, thereby opening the door to the cyber incident and causing the data breach.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

F. **Defendant's Breach**

57. Defendant breached its obligations to Plaintiff and Class Members and/or was otherwise negligent and reckless because it failed to properly maintain and safeguard its computer systems and website's application flow. Defendant's unlawful conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following acts and/or omissions:

1	a.	failing to maintain an adequate data security system to reduce
2		the risk of data breaches and cyber-attacks;
3	b.	failing to adequately protect PII;
4	с.	failing to properly monitor their own data security systems for
5		existing intrusions;
6	d.	failing to ensure that their vendors with access to their computer
7		systems and data employed reasonable security procedures;
8	e.	failing to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of electronic
9		PII it created, received, maintained, and/or transmitted;
10	f.	failing to implement technical policies and procedures for
11		electronic information systems that maintain electronic PII to
12		allow access only to those persons or software programs that
13		have been granted access rights;
14	g.	failing to implement policies and procedures to prevent, detect,
15		contain, and correct security violations;
16	h.	failing to implement procedures to review records of
17		information system activity regularly, such as audit logs, access
18		reports, and security incident tracking reports;
19	i.	failing to protect against reasonably anticipated threats or
20		
21		-18-
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

hazards to the security or integrity of electronic PII;

2	j. failing to train all members of their workforces effectively on	
3	the policies and procedures regarding PII;	
4	k. failing to render the electronic PII it maintained unusable,	
5	unreadable, or indecipherable to unauthorized individuals;	
6	l. failing to comply with FTC guidelines for cybersecurity, in	
7	violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act;	
8	m. failing to adhere to industry standards for cybersecurity as	
9	discussed above; and,	
10	n. otherwise breaching their duties and obligations to protect	
11	Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.	
12	//	
13	58. Defendant negligently and unlawfully failed to safeguard Plaintiff's	
14	and Class Members' PII by allowing cyberthieves to access Defendant's online	
15	insurance application flow, which provided unauthorized actors with unsecured and	
16	unencrypted PII.	
17	59. Accordingly, as outlined below, Plaintiff and Class Members now face	
18	a present, increased risk of fraud and identity theft. In addition, Plaintiff and the	
19	Class Members also lost the benefit of the bargain they made with Defendant.	
20		
21	-19-	

G. Data Breaches Cause Disruption and Increased Risk of Fraud and Identity Theft

60. Cyberattacks and data breaches at financial institutions like Defendant are especially problematic because they can negatively impact the overall daily lives of individuals affected by the attack.

61. The United States Government Accountability Office released a report in 2007 regarding data breaches ("GAO Report") in which it noted that victims of identity theft will face "substantial costs and time to repair the damage to their good name and credit record."¹⁰

62. That is because any victim of a data breach is exposed to serious ramifications regardless of the nature of the data. Indeed, the reason criminals steal personally identifiable information is to monetize it. They do this by selling the spoils of their cyberattacks on the black market to identity thieves who desire to extort and harass victims, take over victims' identities in order to engage in illegal financial transactions under the victims' names. Because a person's identity is akin to a puzzle, the more accurate pieces of data an identity thief obtains about a person, the easier it is for the thief to take on the victim's identity, or otherwise harass or

¹⁰ See U.S. GOV. ACCOUNTING OFFICE, GAO-07-737, Personal Information: Data Breaches Are Frequent, but Evidence of Resulting Identity Theft Is Limited; However, the Full Extent Is Unknown (2007) https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d07737.pdf.

track the victim. For example, armed with just a name and date of birth, a data thief
can utilize a hacking technique referred to as "social engineering" to obtain even
more information about a victim's identity, such as a person's login credentials or
Social Security number. Social engineering is a form of hacking whereby a data
thief uses previously acquired information to manipulate individuals into disclosing
additional confidential or personal information through means such as spam phone
calls and text messages or phishing emails.

63. The FTC recommends that identity theft victims take several steps to protect their personal and financial information after a data breach, including contacting one of the credit bureaus to place a fraud alert (consider an extended fraud alert that lasts for 7 years if someone steals their identity), reviewing their credit reports, contacting companies to remove fraudulent charges from their accounts, placing a credit freeze on their credit, and correcting their credit reports.¹¹

64. Identity thieves use stolen personal information such as Social Security numbers for a variety of crimes, including credit card fraud, phone or utilities fraud, and bank/finance fraud.

65. Identity thieves can also use Social Security numbers to obtain a

18

19

20

21

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

¹¹ See IdentityTheft.gov, FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, https://www.identitytheft.gov/Steps (last accessed May 8, 2024).

driver's license or official identification card in the victim's name but with the
thief's picture; use the victim's name and Social Security number to obtain
government benefits; or file a fraudulent tax return using the victim's information.
In addition, identity thieves may obtain a job using the victim's Social Security
number, rent a house or receive medical services in the victim's name, and may
even give the victim's personal information to police during an arrest resulting in
an arrest warrant being issued in the victim's name.

66. Moreover, theft of PII is also gravely serious because PII is an extremely valuable property right.¹²

67. Its value is axiomatic, considering the value of "big data" in corporate America and the fact that the consequences of cyber thefts include heavy prison sentences. Even this obvious risk to reward analysis illustrates beyond doubt that PII has considerable market value.

68. It must also be noted there may be a substantial time lag – measured in years -- between when harm occurs and when it is discovered, and also between when PII is stolen and when it is used.

17

18

21

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

 ¹² See, e.g., John T. Soma, et al, Corporate Privacy Trend: The "Value" of Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") Equals the "Value" of Financial Assets, 15 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 11, at *3-4 (2009) ("PII, which companies obtain at little cost, has quantifiable value that is rapidly reaching a level comparable to the value of traditional financial assets.") (citations omitted).

Case 3:24-cv-02746-JCS Document 1 Filed 05/08/24 Page 23 of 65

69. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, which conducted a study regarding data breaches:

[L]aw enforcement officials told us that in some cases, stolen data may be held for up to a year or more before being used to commit identity theft. Further, once stolen data have been sold or posted on the Web, fraudulent use of that information may continue for years. As a result, studies that attempt to measure the harm resulting from data breaches cannot necessarily rule out all future harm.¹³

70. PII is such a valuable commodity to identity-thieves that once the information has been compromised, criminals often trade the information on the "cyber black-market" for years.

71. There is a strong probability that entire batches of stolen information have been dumped on the black market and are yet to be dumped on the black market, meaning Plaintiff and Class Members are at an increased risk of fraud and identity theft for many years into the future.

72. Thus, Plaintiff and Class Members must vigilantly monitor their financial and medical accounts for many years to come.

73. PII can sell for as much as \$363 per record according to the Infosec

20 || ¹³ GAO Report, at p. 21.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Institute.¹⁴ PII is particularly valuable because criminals can use it to target victims
with frauds and scams. Once PII is stolen, fraudulent use of that information and
damage to victims may continue for many years.

74. For example, the Social Security Administration has warned that identity thieves can use an individual's Social Security number to apply for additional credit lines.¹⁵ Such fraud may go undetected until debt collection calls commence months, or even years, later. Stolen Social Security Numbers also make it possible for thieves to file fraudulent tax returns, file for unemployment benefits, or apply for a job using a false identity.¹⁶ Each of these fraudulent activities is difficult to detect. An individual may not know that their Social Security Number was used to file for unemployment benefits until law enforcement notifies the individual's employer of the suspected fraud. Fraudulent tax returns are typically discovered only when an individual's authentic tax return is rejected.

¹⁴ 75. Moreover, it is not an easy task to change or cancel a stolen Social
¹⁵ Security number.

16

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

76. An individual cannot obtain a new Social Security number without

¹⁵ *Identity Theft and Your Social Security Number*, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION (2018) at 1, https://www.ssa.gov/pubs/EN-05-10064.pdf (last accessed May 8, 2024). ¹⁶ *Id* at 4.

21

¹⁷

 ¹⁴ See Ashiq Ja, Hackers Selling Healthcare Data in the Black Market, INFOSEC (July 27, 2015), https://resources.infosecinstitute.com/topic/hackers-selling-healthcare-data-in-the-blackmarket/. (last accessed May 8, 2024).

significant paperwork and evidence of actual misuse. Even then, a new Social
Security number may not be effective, as "[t]he credit bureaus and banks are able
to link the new number very quickly to the old number, so all of that old bad
information is quickly inherited into the new Social Security number."¹⁷

77. This data, as one would expect, demands a much higher price on the black market. Martin Walter, senior director at cybersecurity firm RedSeal, explained, "[c]ompared to credit card information, personally identifiable information and Social Security Numbers are worth more than 10x on the black market."¹⁸

78. Because of the value of its collected and stored data, the financial industry has experienced disproportionally higher numbers of data theft events than other industries.

¹³ 79. For this reason, Defendant knew or should have known about these
¹⁴ dangers and strengthened its data and email handling systems accordingly.
¹⁵ Defendant was put on notice of the substantial and foreseeable risk of harm from a
¹⁶ data breach, yet Defendant failed to properly prepare for that risk.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

¹⁷

¹⁷ Brian Naylor, *Victims of Social Security Number Theft Find It's Hard to Bounce Back*, NPR (Feb. 9, 2015), http://www.npr.org/2015/02/09/384875839/data-stolen-by-anthem-s-hackers-has-millions-worrying-about-identity-theft.
¹⁸ Time Constant and Participation for the Constant of Constant and Participation for the Constant of Constant and Participation for the Constant of Const

 ¹⁹ 1⁸ Tim Greene, Anthem Hack: Personal Data Stolen Sells for 10x Price of Stolen Credit Card Numbers, COMPUTER WORLD (Feb. 6, 2015), http://www.itworld.com/article/2880960/anthem 20 hack-personal-data-stolen-sells-for-10x-price-of-stolen-credit-card-numbers.html.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

H.

Plaintiff's and Class Members' Damages

80. To date, Defendant has done nothing to provide Plaintiff and the Class Members with relief for the damages they have suffered as a result of the Data Breach.

81. Defendant has merely offered Plaintiff and Class Members complimentary fraud and identity monitoring services for up to twelve months, but this does nothing to compensate them for damages incurred and time spent dealing with the Data Breach.

82. Plaintiff and Class Members have been damaged by the compromise of their PII in the Data Breach.

83. Plaintiff and Class Members' PII was compromised in the Data Breach and are now in the hands of the cybercriminals who accessed Defendant's software maintaining PII. This PII was acquired by some unauthorized, unidentified thirdparty threat actor.

84. Since learning of the Data Breach, Plaintiff has spent time dealing with the impact of the Data Breach, valuable time Plaintiff otherwise would have spent on other activities, including but not limited to work and/or recreation.

85. Due to the Data Breach, Plaintiff anticipates spending considerable time and money on an ongoing basis to try to mitigate and address harms caused

by the Data Breach. This includes changing passwords, cancelling credit and debit cards, and monitoring their accounts for fraudulent activity.

86. Plaintiff's PII was compromised as a direct and proximate result of the Data Breach.

87. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have been placed at a present, imminent, immediate, and continuing increased risk of harm from fraud and identity theft.

88. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members have been forced to expend time dealing with the effects of the Data Breach.

89. Plaintiff and Class Members face substantial risk of out-of-pocket fraud losses such as loans opened in their names, medical services billed in their names, tax return fraud, utility bills opened in their names, credit card fraud, and similar identity theft.

90. Plaintiff and Class Members face substantial risk of being targeted for future phishing, data intrusion, and other illegal schemes based on their PII as potential fraudsters could use that information to more effectively target such schemes to Plaintiff and Class Members.

91. Plaintiff and Class Members may also incur out-of-pocket costs for

protective measures such as credit monitoring fees, credit report fees, credit freeze fees, and similar costs directly or indirectly related to the Data Breach.

92. Plaintiff and Class Members also suffered a loss of value of their PII when it was acquired by cyber thieves in the Data Breach. Numerous courts have recognized the propriety of loss of value damages in related cases.

93. Plaintiff and Class Members were also damaged via benefit-of-thebargain damages. Plaintiff and Class Members overpaid for a service that was intended to be accompanied by adequate data security that complied with industry standards but was not. Part of the price Plaintiff and Class Members paid to Defendant was intended to be used by Defendant to fund adequate security of Defendant's systems and Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII. Thus, Plaintiff and Class Members did not get what they paid for and agreed to.

94. Plaintiff and Class Members have spent and will continue to spend significant amounts of time to monitor their financial accounts and sensitive information for misuse.

95. Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered or will suffer actual injury as a direct result of the Data Breach. Many victims suffered ascertainable losses in the form of out-of-pocket expenses and the value of their time reasonably incurred to remedy or mitigate the effects of the Data Breach relating to:

21

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	a.	reviewing and monitoring sensitive accounts and finding
2		fraudulent insurance claims, loans, and/or government benefits
3		claims;
4	b.	purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft prevention;
5	с.	placing "freezes" and "alerts" with reporting agencies;
6	d.	spending time on the phone with or at financial institutions,
7		healthcare providers, and/or government agencies to dispute
8		unauthorized and fraudulent activity in their name;
9	e.	contacting financial institutions and closing or modifying
10		financial accounts; and
11	f.	closely reviewing and monitoring Social Security numbers,
12		medical insurance accounts, bank accounts, and credit reports
13		for unauthorized activity for years to come.
14	96. Moreover, Plaintiff and Class Members have an interest in ensuring	
15	that their PII, which is believed to remain in the possession of Defendant, is	
16	protected from further breaches by the implementation of adequate security	
17	measures and safeguards, including but not limited to, making sure that the storage	
18	of data or documents containing PII is not accessible online and that access to such	
19	data is password protected.	
20		
21	-29-	

97. Further, as a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and Class Members are forced to live with the anxiety that their PII may be disclosed to the entire world, thereby subjecting them to embarrassment and depriving them of any right to privacy whatsoever.

98. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions and inactions,
Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered anxiety, emotional distress, and loss of
privacy, and are at an increased risk of future harm.

Plaintiff Jimenez's Experience

99. Plaintiff Jimenez provided his information to Defendant as a condition of becoming a customer of Defendant.

100. Plaintiff Jimenez is very careful about sharing his sensitive Private Information. Plaintiff Jiminez has never knowingly transmitted unencrypted sensitive PII over the internet or any other unsecured source.

101. Plaintiff Jiminez first learned of the Data Breach after receiving a Notice of Data Breach letter from Defendant dated April 30, 2024.

102. Based on the information he provided to Defendant, Plaintiff Jiminez has reason to believe that his PII including, but not limited to, his full name, Social Security number, driver's license or government ID number were compromised in this Data Breach.

20

21

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

103. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff Jimenez made reasonable efforts to mitigate the impact of the Data Breach after receiving notice of the Data Breach, including but not limited to researching the Data Breach, reviewing credit reports, financial account statements, and/or medical records for any indications of actual or attempted identity theft or fraud.

104. Plaintiff Jiminez has spent significant time and will continue to spend valuable hours for the remainder of his life, that he otherwise would have spent on other activities, including but not limited to work and/or recreation.

105. Plaintiff Jiminez suffered actual injury from having his PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach including, but not limited to (a) damage to and diminution in the value of his PII, a form of property that Defendant maintained belonging to Plaintiff Jiminez; (b) violation of his privacy rights; (c) the theft of his PII; and (d) present, imminent and impending injury arising from the increased risk of identity theft and fraud.

106. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff Jiminez has also suffered emotional distress as a result of the release of his PII, which he believed would be protected from unauthorized access and disclosure, including anxiety about unauthorized parties viewing, selling, and/or using his PII for purposes of identity theft and fraud. Plaintiff Jiminez is very concerned about identity theft and fraud,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

as well as the consequences of such identity theft and fraud resulting from the Data
Breach.

3 107. As a result of the Data Breach, Plaintiff Jiminez anticipates spending 4 considerable time and money on an ongoing basis to try to mitigate and address 5 harms caused by the Data Breach. In addition, Plaintiff will continue to be at 6 present, imminent, and continued increased risk of identity theft and fraud for the 7 remainder of his life. 8 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS** 9 108. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all 10 other persons similarly situated ("the Class"). 11 109. Plaintiff proposes the following Class definition, subject to 12 amendment as appropriate: 13 All persons identified by Defendant (or its agents or affiliates) as being among those individuals impacted by the 14 Data Breach, including all who were sent a notice of the Data Breach (the "Class"). 15 \parallel 16 110. Excluded from the Class are Defendant's officers, directors, and 17 employees; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; and the 18 affiliates, legal representatives, attorneys, successors, heirs, and assigns of 19 Defendant. Excluded also from the Class are members of the judiciary to whom this 20 21 -32-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

case is assigned, their families and Members of their staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

111. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify the Class definitions as this case progresses.

112. <u>Numerosity</u>. The Members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all of them is impracticable. While the exact number of Class Members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, based on information and belief, the Class consists of thousands of individuals whose sensitive data was compromised in the Data Breach.

113. <u>Commonality</u>. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class, which predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:

- a. if Defendant unlawfully used, maintained, lost, or disclosed
 Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII;
- b. if Defendant failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature and scope of the information compromised in the Data Breach;
- c. if Defendant's data security systems prior to and during the Data Breach complied with applicable data security laws and regulations;

-33-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	d.	if Defendant's data security systems prior to and during the Data
2		Breach were consistent with industry standards;
3	e.	if Defendant owed a duty to Class Members to safeguard their
4		PII;
5	f.	if Defendant breached their duty to Class Members to safeguard
6		their PII;
7	g.	if Defendant knew or should have known that their data security
8		systems and monitoring processes were deficient;
9	h.	if Defendant should have discovered the Data Breach sooner;
10	i.	if Plaintiff and Class Members suffered legally cognizable
11		damages as a result of Defendant's misconduct;
12	j.	if Defendant's conduct was negligent;
13	k.	if Defendant's breach implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class
14		Members;
15	1.	if Defendant were unjustly enriched by unlawfully retaining a
16		benefit conferred upon them by Plaintiff and Class Members;
17	m.	if Defendant failed to provide notice of the Data Breach in a
18		timely manner, and;
19		
20		
21		-34-
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

n. if Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages, civil penalties, punitive damages, treble damages, and/or injunctive relief.

114. <u>Typicality</u>. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of other Class Members because Plaintiff's information, like that of every other Class Member, was compromised in the Data Breach.

115. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u>. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Members of the Class. Plaintiff's Counsel are competent and experienced in litigating class actions.

116. <u>Predominance</u>. Defendant has engaged in a common course of conduct toward Plaintiff and Class Members, in that all the Plaintiff's and Class Members' data was stored on the same computer system and unlawfully accessed in the same way. The common issues arising from Defendant's conduct affecting Class Members set out above predominate over any individualized issues. Adjudication of these common issues in a single action has important and desirable advantages of judicial economy.

117. <u>Superiority</u>. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Class treatment of common questions of law and fact is superior to multiple individual actions or piecemeal

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 litigation. Absent a class action, most Class Members would likely find that the cost 2 of litigating their individual claims is prohibitively high and would therefore have 3 no effective remedy. The prosecution of separate actions by individual Class 4 Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect 5 to individual Class Members, which would establish incompatible standards of 6 conduct for Defendant. In contrast, the conduct of this action as a Class action 7 presents far fewer management difficulties, conserves judicial resources and the 8 parties' resources, and protects the rights of each Class Member.

118. Defendant has acted on grounds that apply generally to the Class as a whole, so that Class certification, injunctive relief, and corresponding declaratory relief are appropriate on a Class-wide basis.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

119. Likewise, particular issues under Rule 42(d)(l) are appropriate for certification because such claims present only particular, common issues, the resolution of which would advance the disposition of this matter and the parties' interests therein. Such particular issues include, but are not limited to:

 a. if Defendant failed to timely notify the public of the Data Breach;

1	b.	if Defendant owed a legal duty to Plaintiff and the Class to	
2		exercise due care in collecting, storing, and safeguarding their	
3		PII;	
4	с.	if Defendant's security measures to protect their data systems	
5		were reasonable in light of best practices recommended by data	
6		security experts;	
7	d.	if Defendant's failure to institute adequate protective security	
8		measures amounted to negligence;	
9	e.	if Defendant failed to take commercially reasonable steps to	
10		safeguard consumer PII; and	
11	f.	if adherence to FTC data security recommendations, and	
12		measures recommended by data security experts would have	
13		reasonably prevented the Data Breach.	
14	120. Fin	ally, all members of the proposed Class are readily ascertainable.	
15	Defendant has access to Class Members' names and addresses affected by the Data		
16	Breach. Class Members have already been preliminarily identified and sent notice		
17	of the Data Breach by Defendant.		
18	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
19	Negligence (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)		
20			
21		-37-	
		CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
	1		

121. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

122. Plaintiff and the Class entrusted Defendant with their PII on the premise and with the understanding that Defendant would safeguard their information, use their PII for business purposes only, and/or not disclose their PII to unauthorized third parties.

123. Defendant has full knowledge of the sensitivity of the PII and the types of harm that Plaintiff and the Class could and would suffer if the PII were wrongfully disclosed.

124. By collecting and storing this data in their computer system and network, and sharing it and using it for commercial gain, Defendant owed a duty of care to use reasonable means to secure and safeguard their computer system—and Class Members' PII held within it—to prevent disclosure of the information, and to safeguard the information from theft. Defendant's duty included a responsibility to implement processes by which it could detect a breach of their security systems in a reasonably expeditious period of time and to give prompt notice to those affected in the case of a data breach.

125. Defendant owed a duty of care to Plaintiff and Class Members to provide data security consistent with industry standards and other requirements

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

-38-

discussed herein, and to ensure that their systems and networks, and the personnel
responsible for them, adequately protected the PII.

126. Defendant's duty of care to use reasonable security measures arose as a result of the special relationship that existed between Defendant and individuals who entrusted them with PII, which is recognized by laws and regulations, as well as common law. Defendant was in a superior position to ensure that their systems were sufficient to protect against the foreseeable risk of harm to Class Members from a data breach.

127. Defendant's duty to use reasonable security measures required Defendant to reasonably protect confidential data from any intentional or unintentional use or disclosure.

128. In addition, Defendant had a duty to employ reasonable security measures under Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, which prohibits "unfair . . . practices in or affecting commerce," including, as interpreted and enforced by the FTC, the unfair practice of failing to use reasonable measures to protect confidential data.

129. Defendant's duty to use reasonable care in protecting confidential data arose not only as a result of the statutes and regulations described above, but also because Defendant are bound by industry standards to protect confidential PII.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

130. Defendant breached its duties, and thus was negligent, by failing to use reasonable measures to protect Class Members' PII. The specific negligent acts 3 and omissions committed by Defendant include, but are not limited to, the 4 following:

- 5 failing to adopt, implement, and maintain adequate security a. 6 measures to safeguard Class Members' PII; 7 failing to adequately monitor the security of their networks and b. 8 systems; 9 d. failing to have in place mitigation policies and procedures; 10 allowing unauthorized access to Class Members' PII; e. 11 f. failing to detect in a timely manner that Class Members' PII had 12 been compromised; and 13 failing to timely notify Class Members about the Data Breach g. 14 so that they could take appropriate steps to mitigate the potential 15 for identity theft and other damages. 16 131. Defendant owed to Plaintiff and Class Members a duty to notify them 17 within a reasonable timeframe of any breach to the security of their PII. Defendant 18 also owed a duty to timely and accurately disclose to Plaintiff and Class Members 19 the scope, nature, and occurrence of the data breach. This duty is required and
- 2

1

21

necessary for Plaintiff and Class Members to take appropriate measures to protect
their PII, to be vigilant in the face of an increased risk of harm, and to take other
necessary steps to mitigate the harm caused by the data breach.

132. Plaintiff and Class Members are also entitled to injunctive relief requiring Defendant to, *e.g.*, (i) strengthen their data security systems and monitoring procedures; (ii) submit to future annual audits of those systems and monitoring procedures; and (iii) continue to provide adequate credit monitoring to all Class Members.

133. Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class Members by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

134. Defendant owed these duties to Plaintiff and Class Members because they are members of a well-defined, foreseeable, and probable class of individuals whom Defendant knew or should have known would suffer injury-in-fact from Defendant's inadequate security protocols. Defendant actively sought and obtained Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

135. The risk that unauthorized persons would attempt to gain access to the PII and misuse it was foreseeable. Given that Defendant holds vast amounts of PII, it was inevitable that unauthorized individuals would attempt to access

21

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Defendant's databases containing the PII—whether by malware or otherwise.

136. PII is highly valuable, and Defendant knew, or should have known, the risk in obtaining, using, handling, emailing, and storing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members and the importance of exercising reasonable care in handling it.

137. Defendant breached its duties by failing to exercise reasonable care in supervising their agents, contractors, vendors, and suppliers, and in handling and securing the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members—which actually and proximately caused the Data Breach and injured Plaintiff and Class Members.

138. Defendant further breached its duties by failing to provide reasonably timely notice of the data breach to Plaintiff and Class Members, which actually and proximately caused and exacerbated the harm from the data breach and Plaintiff and Class Members' injuries-in-fact. As a direct and traceable result of Defendant's negligence and/or negligent supervision, Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered or will suffer damages, including monetary damages, increased risk of future harm, embarrassment, humiliation, frustration, and emotional distress.

139. Defendant's breach of its common-law duties to exercise reasonable care and their failures and negligence actually and proximately caused Plaintiff and Class Members actual, tangible, injury-in-fact and damages, including, without limitation, the theft of their PII by criminals, improper disclosure of

19 20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

their PII, lost benefit of their bargain, lost value of their PII, and lost time and money incurred to mitigate and remediate the effects of the data breach that resulted from and were caused by Defendant's negligence, which injury-in-fact and damages are ongoing, imminent, immediate, and which they continue to face.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence *per se* (On behalf of the Plaintiff and the Class)

140. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

141. Pursuant to the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, Defendant had a duty to provide fair and adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' Private Information.

142. Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff and Class members under the FTC Act by failing to provide fair, reasonable, or adequate computer systems and data security practices to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' Private Information.

143. Defendant's failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations constitutes negligence *per se*.

144. The injuries to Plaintiff and Class members resulting from the Data Breach were directly and indirectly caused by Defendant's violation of the statutes

1 described herein.

145. Plaintiff and Class members were within the class of persons the Federal Trade Commission Act intended to protect and the type of harm that resulted from the Data Breach was the type of harm these statues were intended to guard against.

146. But for Defendant's wrongful and negligent breach of its duties owed to Plaintiff and Class members, Plaintiff and Class members would not have been injured.

147. The injuries and harms suffered by Plaintiff and Class members were the reasonably foreseeable result of Defendant's breach of its duties. Defendant knew or should have known that it was failing to meet its duties and that Defendant's breach would cause Plaintiff and Class members to experience the foreseeable harms associated with the exposure of their Private Information.

148. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligent conduct, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered injuries and are entitled to compensatory, consequential, and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

> **<u>THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION</u>** Breach of Implied Contract (On behalf of the Plaintiff and the Class)

> > CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

-44-

149. Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

150. Plaintiff and the Class entrusted their PII to Defendant as a condition of receiving Defendant's services. In so doing, Plaintiff and the Class entered into implied contracts with Defendant by which Defendant agreed to safeguard and protect such information, to keep such information secure and confidential, and to timely and accurately notify Plaintiff and the Class if their data had been breached and compromised or stolen.

151. At the time Defendant acquired the PII of Plaintiffs and the Class, there was a meeting of the minds and a mutual understanding that Defendant would safeguard the PII and not take unjustified risks when storing the PII.

152. Implicit in the agreements between Plaintiff and Class Members and Defendant to provide PII, was the latter's obligation to: (a) use such PII for business purposes only, (b) take reasonable steps to safeguard that PII, (c) prevent unauthorized disclosures of the PII, (d) provide Plaintiff and Class Members with prompt and sufficient notice of any and all unauthorized access and/or theft of their PII, (e) reasonably safeguard and protect the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members from unauthorized disclosure or uses, and (f) retain the PII only under conditions that kept such information secure and confidential.

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

153. Plaintiff and the Class would not have entrusted their PII to Defendant had they known that Defendant would make the PII internet-accessible, not encrypt sensitive data elements, and not delete the PII that Defendant no longer had a reasonable need to maintain it.

154. Plaintiff and the Class fully performed their obligations under the implied contracts with Defendant.

155. Defendant breached the implied contracts they made with Plaintiff and the Class by failing to safeguard and protect their personal information, by failing to delete the information of Plaintiff and the Class once the relationship ended, and by failing to provide timely and accurate notice to them that personal information was compromised as a result of the Data Breach.

156. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described breach of implied contract, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered (and will continue to suffer) ongoing, imminent, and impending threat of identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; actual identity theft crimes, fraud, and abuse, resulting in monetary loss and economic harm; loss of the confidentiality of the stolen confidential data; the illegal sale of the compromised data on the dark web; expenses and/or time spent on credit monitoring and identity theft insurance; time spent scrutinizing bank statements, credit card statements, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

credit reports; expenses and/or time spent initiating fraud alerts, decreased credit
 scores and ratings; lost work time; and other economic and non-economic harm.

³ 157. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's above-described
⁴ breach of implied contract, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to recover actual,
⁵ consequential, and nominal damages to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Invasion of Privacy (On behalf of the Plaintiff and the Class)

8 158. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this
9 Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

10 159. Plaintiff and Class Members had a legitimate expectation of privacy
11 regarding their PII and were accordingly entitled to the protection of this
12 information against disclosure to unauthorized third parties.

13 160. Defendant owed a duty to Plaintiff and Class Member to keep their PII
14 confidential.

161. The unauthorized disclosure and/or acquisition (*i.e.*, theft) by a third party of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII is highly offensive to a reasonable person.

162. Defendant's reckless and negligent failure to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII constitutes an intentional interference with Plaintiff's and the

21

20

15

16

17

18

19

6

Class Members' interest in solitude or seclusion, either as to their person or as to 2 their private affairs or concerns, of a kind that would be highly offensive to a 3 reasonable person.

163. Defendant's failure to protect Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII acted with a knowing state of mind when it permitted the Data Breach because it knew its information security practices were inadequate.

164. Defendant knowingly did not notify Plaintiff and Class Members in a timely fashion about the Data Breach.

165. Because Defendant failed to properly safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII, Defendant had notice and knew that its inadequate cybersecurity practices would cause injury to Plaintiff and the Class.

166. As a proximate result of Defendant's acts and omissions, the private and sensitive PII of Plaintiff and the Class Members was stolen by a third party and is now available for disclosure and redisclosure without authorization, causing Plaintiff and the Class to suffer damages.

167. Defendant's wrongful conduct will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to Plaintiff and the Class since their PII is still maintained by Defendant with their inadequate cybersecurity system and policies.

21

20

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

168. Plaintiff and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law for the 2 injuries relating to Defendant's continued possession of their sensitive and 3 confidential records. A judgment for monetary damages will not end Defendant's 4 inability to safeguard the PII of Plaintiff and the Class.

169. Plaintiff, on behalf of themselves and Class Members, seeks injunctive relief to enjoin Defendant from further intruding into the privacy and confidentiality of Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII.

170. Plaintiff, on behalf of themselves and Class Members, seeks compensatory damages for Defendant's invasion of privacy, which includes the value of the privacy interest invaded by Defendant, the costs of future monitoring of their credit history for identity theft and fraud, plus prejudgment interest, and costs.

14

//

//

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

21

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION **Breach of Fiduciary Duty** (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

171. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this 17 Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein. 18

172. In providing their PII, directly or indirectly, to Defendant, Plaintiffs 19 and Class members justifiably placed a special confidence in Defendant to act in 20

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

good faith and with due regard to interests of Plaintiffs and class members to
safeguard and keep confidential that PII.

173. Defendant accepted the special confidence Plaintiffs and Class members placed in it, as evidenced by its assertion that it is committed to protecting the privacy of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' personal information as detailed in its Privacy Policy.

174. In light of the special relationship between Defendant and Plaintiffs and Class members, whereby Defendant became a guardian of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII, Defendant became a fiduciary by its undertaking and guardianship of the PII, to act primarily for the benefit of its customers, including Plaintiff and Class members, for the safeguarding of Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII.

175. Defendant has a fiduciary duty to act for the benefit of Plaintiffs and Class members upon matters within the scope of its relationship with Defendants' customers, in particular, to keep secure the PII of its customers.

176. Defendant breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs and Class members by failing to protect the integrity of the systems containing Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII.

177. Defendant breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiffs and class members by otherwise failing to safeguard Plaintiffs' and Class members' PII.

20 21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

-50-

178. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of its fiduciary duties, Plaintiffs and class members have suffered and will suffer injury, including but not limited to: (i) invasion of privacy; (ii) lost or diminished value of PII; (iii) lost time and opportunity costs associated with attempting to mitigate the actual consequences of the Data Breach; (iv) loss of benefit of the bargain; and (v) the continued and certainly increased risk to their PII, which: (a) remains unencrypted and available for unauthorized third parties to access and abuse; and (b) remains backed up in Defendant's possession and is subject to further unauthorized disclosures so long as Defendant fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII.

179. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches of its fiduciary duties, Plaintiffs and Class members have suffered and will continue to suffer other forms of injury and/or harm, and other economic and non-economic losses.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law [Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. – Unlawful Business Practices] (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

180. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

181. Defendant violated Cal. Bus. and Prof. Code § 17200, et seq., by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

engaging in unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business acts and practices and unfair,
deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising that constitute acts of "unfair
competition" as defined in Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200 with respect to the services
provided to the Class.

182. Defendant engaged in unlawful acts and practices with respect to the services by establishing the sub-standard security practices and procedures described herein; by soliciting and collecting Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII with knowledge that the information would not be adequately protected; and by storing Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII in an unsecure electronic environment in violation of California's data breach statute, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.81.5, which requires Defendant to take reasonable methods for safeguarding the PII of Plaintiff and the Class Members.

183. In addition, Defendant engaged in unlawful acts and practices by failing to disclose the Data Breach in a timely and accurate manner, contrary to the duties imposed by Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.82.

184. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful practices and acts, Plaintiff and Class Members were injured and lost money or property, including but not limited to the price received by Defendant for the products and services, the loss of Plaintiff's and Class Members' legally protected interest in the

confidentiality and privacy of their PII, nominal damages, and additional losses as
 described herein.

185. Defendant knew or should have known that its computer systems and data security practices were inadequate to safeguard Plaintiff's and Class Members' PII and that the risk of a data breach or theft was highly likely. Defendant's actions in engaging in the above-named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiff and Class Members.

186. Plaintiff, on behalf of the Class, seeks relief under Cal. Bus. & Prof.
Code § 17200, et seq., including, but not limited to, restitution to Plaintiff and Class
Members of money or property that Defendant may have acquired by means of its
unlawful, and unfair business practices, disgorgement of all profits accruing to
Defendant because of its unlawful and unfair business practices, declaratory relief,
attorneys' fees and costs (pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5), and injunctive
or other equitable relief.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the California Consumer Privacy Act ("CCPA") Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.150 (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

187. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

21

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

188. Defendant violated California Civil Code § 1798.150 of the CCPA by failing to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information to protect the nonencrypted PII of Plaintiffs and the California Subclass. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiffs and the California Subclass's nonencrypted and nonredacted PII was subject to unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure.

189. Defendant is a "business" under the meaning of Civil Code § 1798.140 because Defendant is a "corporation, association, or other legal entity that is organized or operated for the profit or financial benefit of its shareholders or other owners" that "collects consumers' personal information" and is active "in the State of California" and "had annual gross revenues in excess of twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000) in the preceding calendar year." Civil Code § 1798.140(d).

190. Plaintiff and Class Members seek injunctive or other equitable relief to ensure Defendant hereinafter adequately safeguards PII by implementing reasonable security procedures and practices. Such relief is particularly important because Defendant continues to hold PII, including Plaintiffs and California Subclass members' PII. Plaintiff and Class members have an interest in ensuring that their PII is reasonably protected, and Defendant has demonstrated a pattern of failing to adequately safeguard this information.

1

2

3

4

5

191. Pursuant to California Civil Code § 1798.150(b), Plaintiff mailed a CCPA notice letter to Defendant's registered service agents, detailing the specific 3 provisions of the CCPA that Defendant has violated and continues to violate. If 4 Defendant cannot cure within 30 days-and Plaintiff believes such cure is not 5 possible under these facts and circumstances—then Plaintiff intends to promptly 6 amend this Complaint to seek statutory damages as permitted by the CCPA.

192. As described herein, an actual controversy has arisen and now exists as to whether Defendant implemented and maintained reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of the information so as to protect the personal information under the CCPA.

193. A judicial determination of this issue is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances to prevent further data breaches by Defendant.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the California Consumer Records Act Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.80, et seq. (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

194. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein. 195. Under the California Consumer Records Act, any "person or business

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

//

//

that conducts business in California, and that owns or licenses computerized data 2 that includes personal information" must "disclose any breach of the system 3 following discovery or notification of the breach in the security of the data to any 4 resident of California whose unencrypted personal information was, or is 5 reasonably believes to have been, acquired by an unauthorized person." Cal. Civ. 6 Code § 1798.82. The disclosure must "be made in the most expedient time possible 7 and without unreasonable delay" but disclosure must occur "immediately following 8 discovery [of the breach], if the personal information was, or is reasonable believes 9 to have been, acquired by an unauthorized person." Id. (emphasis added).

10 196. The Data Breach constitutes a "breach of the security system" of 11 Defendant.

197. An unauthorized person acquired the personal, unencrypted information of Plaintiff and the Class.

198. Defendant knew that an unauthorized person had acquired the personal, unencrypted information of Plaintiff and the Class but waited almost eleven months to notify them. Given the severity of the Data Breach, this is an unreasonable delay.

199. Defendant's unreasonable delay prevent Plaintiff and the Class from taking appropriate measures from protecting themselves against harm.

20 21

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	200. Because Plaintiff and the Class were unable to protect themselves,
2	they suffered incrementally increased damages that they would not have suffered
3	with timelier notice.

4 201. Plaintiff and the class are entitled to equitable relief and damages in
5 an amount to be determined at trial.

202. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 120 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

<u>NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Declaratory Judgement (On Behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

203. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 119 of this Complaint and incorporates them by reference herein.

204. Under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, *et seq.*, this Court is authorized to enter a judgment declaring the rights and legal relations of the parties and to grant further necessary relief. Furthermore, the Court has broad authority to restrain acts, such as those alleged herein, which are tortious, and which violate the terms of the federal and state statutes described above.

205. An actual controversy has arisen in the wake of the Data Breach at
issue regarding Defendant's common law and other duties to act reasonably with
respect to employing reasonable data security. Plaintiff alleges Defendant's actions
in this respect were inadequate and unreasonable and, upon information and belief,

21

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

remain inadequate and unreasonable. Additionally, Plaintiff and the Class continue
to suffer injury due to the continued and ongoing threat of new or additional fraud
against them or on their accounts using the stolen data.

⁴ 206. Under its authority under the Declaratory Judgment Act, this Court
⁵ should enter a judgment declaring, among other things, the following:

- a. Defendant owed, and continues to owe, a legal duty to employ
 reasonable data security to secure the PII it possesses, and to
 notify impacted individuals of the Data Breach under the
 common law and Section 5 of the FTC Act;
 - b. Defendant breached, and continues to breach, its duty by failing to employ reasonable measures to secure its customers' personal and financial information; and
 - c. Defendant's breach of its legal duty continues to cause harm toPlaintiff and the Class.

207. The Court should also issue corresponding injunctive relief requiring Defendant to employ adequate security protocols consistent with industry standards to protect its customers' (i.e., Plaintiff and the Class') data.

208. If an injunction is not issued, Plaintiff and the Class will suffer irreparable injury and lack an adequate legal remedy in the event of another breach

21

20

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

of Defendant's data systems. If another breach of Defendant's data systems occurs,
Plaintiff and the Class will not have an adequate remedy at law because many of
the resulting injuries are not readily quantified in full and they will be forced to
bring multiple lawsuits to rectify the same conduct. Simply put, monetary damages,
while warranted to compensate Plaintiff and the Class for their out-of-pocket and
other damages that are legally quantifiable and provable, do not cover the full extent
of injuries suffered by Plaintiff and the Class, which include monetary damages that

209. The hardship to Plaintiff and the Class if an injunction is not issued exceeds the hardship to Defendant if an injunction is issued.

210. Issuance of the requested injunction will not disserve the public interest. To the contrary, such an injunction would benefit the public by preventing another data breach, thus eliminating the injuries that would result to Plaintiff, the Class, and the public at large.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and Class Members, requests judgment against Defendant and that the Court grant the following:

 For an Order certifying the Class, and appointing Plaintiff and his Counsel to represent the Class;

-59-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- B. For equitable relief enjoining Defendant from engaging in the wrongful conduct complained of herein pertaining to the misuse and/or disclosure of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
- C. For injunctive relief requested by Plaintiff, including but not limited to, injunctive and other equitable relief as is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiff and Class Members, including but not limited to an order;
 - prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the wrongful and unlawful acts described herein;
 - ii. requiring Defendant to protect, including through encryption, all data collected through the course of its business in accordance with all applicable regulations, industry standards, and federal, state or local laws;
- iii. requiring Defendant to delete, destroy, and purge the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class
 Members unless Defendant can provide to the Court reasonable justification for the retention and use of such information when weighed against the privacy interests of Plaintiff and Class Members;

iv.	requiring Defendant to provide out-of-pocket expenses
	associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery
	from identity theft, tax fraud, and/or unauthorized use of
	their PII for Plaintiff's and Class Members' respective
	lifetimes;

- v. requiring Defendant to implement and maintain a comprehensive Information Security Program designed to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members;
 - vi. prohibiting Defendant from maintaining the PII of Plaintiff and Class Members on a cloud-based database;
- vii. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party security auditors/penetration testers as well as internal security personnel to conduct testing, including simulated attacks, penetration tests, and audits on Defendant's systems on a periodic basis, and ordering Defendant to promptly correct any problems or issues detected by such third-party security auditors;

viii. requiring Defendant to engage independent third-party

security auditors and internal personnel to run automated security monitoring;

- ix. requiring Defendant to audit, test, and train its security personnel regarding any new or modified procedures;
- x. requiring Defendant to segment data by, among other things, creating firewalls and access controls so that if one area of Defendant's network is compromised, hackers cannot gain access to other portions of Defendant's systems;
 - xi. requiring Defendant to conduct regular database scanning and securing checks;
- xii. requiring Defendant to establish an information security training program that includes at least annual information security training for all employees, with additional training to be provided as appropriate based upon the employees' respective responsibilities with handling personal identifying information, as well as protecting the personal identifying information of Plaintiff and Class Members;

xiii.	requiring Defendant to routinely and continually conduct
	internal training and education, and on an annual basis to
	inform internal security personnel how to identify and
	contain a breach when it occurs and what to do in
	response to a breach;

- xiv. requiring Defendant to implement a system of tests to assess its respective employees' knowledge of the education programs discussed in the preceding subparagraphs, as well as randomly and periodically testing employees' compliance with Defendant's policies, programs, and systems for protecting personal identifying information;
 - xv. requiring Defendant to implement, maintain, regularly review, and revise as necessary a threat management program designed to appropriately monitor Defendant's information networks for threats, both internal and external, and assess whether monitoring tools are appropriately configured, tested, and updated;

xvi. requiring Defendant to meaningfully educate all Class

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Members about the threats that they face as a result of the loss of their confidential personal identifying information to third parties, as well as the steps affected individuals must take to protect themselves; and

xvii. requiring Defendant to implement logging and monitoring programs sufficient to track traffic to and from Defendant's servers; and for a period of 10 years, appointing a qualified and independent third-party assessor to conduct a SOC 2 Type 2 attestation on an annual basis to evaluate Defendant's compliance with the terms of the Court's final judgment, to provide such report to the Court and to counsel for the class, and to report any deficiencies with compliance of the Court's final judgment;

D. For an award of damages, including actual, nominal, statutory, consequential, and punitive damages, as allowed by law in an amount to be determined;

E. For an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowed by law;

-64-

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	F.	For prejudgment a	and post-judgement interest on all amounts awarded;		
2	G.	Granting Plaintiff	f and the Class leave to amend this Complaint to		
3		conform to the ev	idence produced at trial; and		
4	H.	Such other and fu	rther relief as this Court may deem just and proper.		
5	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED				
6	Plaintiff hereby demands that this matter be tried before a jury.				
7	Dated: May	-			
8	Dated. May	7 8, 2024	Respectfully Submitted,		
9			By: <u>/s/ Scott Edelsberg</u> Scott Edelsberg (CA Bar No. 330990)		
10			EDELSBERG LAW, P.A. 1925 Century Park E #1700		
11			Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel: (305) 975-3320		
12			Email: scott@edelsberglaw.com		
13					
14			Attorney for Plaintiff and Proposed Class		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21			-65-		
		(CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

Case 3:24-cv-02746-JCS Document 1-1 Filed 05/08/24 Page 1 of 2

EXHIBIT A

Case 3:24-cv-02746-JCS Document 1-1 Filed 05/08/24 Page 2 of 2

OEFEDERAL

CREDIT QUNION

Return mail will be processed by: IBC PO Box 847 • Holbrook, NY 11741



NOTICE OF DATA BREACH

the stand of the second of the

blippen blipling ling ling ling ling blippen b

April 30, 2024

Dear Daniel Jimenez Jr:

The privacy and security of the personal information we maintain is of the utmost importance to OE Federal Credit Union ("OEFCU"). We are writing with important information regarding a recent security incident that may have impacted some of your information. We want to provide you with information about the incident, tell you about the services that we are providing to you, and let you know that we continue to take significant measures to protect your information.

What Happened?

On or about October 28, 2023, OEFCU detected unauthorized access to our network as a result of a cybersecurity incident that resulted in the potential exposure of the data we maintain.

What We Are Doing.

Upon learning of this issue, we immediately began efforts to secure our network and commenced a prompt and thorough investigation. As part of our investigation, we have been working very closely with external cybersecurity professionals experienced in handling these types of incidents. After an extensive forensic investigation and document review, we discovered on April 1, 2024, that between approximately August 19, 2023 and October 29, 2023, certain impacted files containing personal information may have been accessed and/or acquired by an unauthorized party.

What Information Was Involved?

The impacted information includes your full name, and Social Security number, driver's license or government ID number.

What You Can Do.

We have no evidence that any of your information has been used for identity theft or financial fraud as a result of this incident. Nevertheless, out of an abundance of caution, we want to make you aware of the incident. To protect you from potential misuse of your information, we are providing you with access to a complimentary 12-month membership of Experian IdentityWorksSM. For more information on identity theft prevention and IdentityWorks Credit 3B, including instructions on how to activate your complimentary 12-month membership, please see the additional information provided in this letter.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>OE Federal Credit Union Hit with Class</u> <u>Action Lawsuit Over 2023 Data Breach</u>