1	KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL G. SHAY				
2	Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (249203)	Daniel G. Shay, Esq.				
3	ak@kazlg.com Matthow M. Lakor, Egg. (270020)	danielshay@tcpafdcpa.com				
	Matthew M. Loker, Esq. (279939) ml@kazlg.com	409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B San Diego, CA 92108				
4	245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1	Telephone: (619) 222-7249				
5	Costa Mesa, CA 92626	Facsimile: (866) 431-3292				
6	Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523					
7						
8	HYDE & SWIGART					
9	Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (225557) josh@westcoastlitigation.com					
	Yana A. Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499)					
10	yana@westcoastlitigation.com					
11	2221 Camino Del Rio South, Ste. 101 San Diego, CA 92108					
12	Telephone: (619) 233-7770					
13	Facsimile: (619) 297-1022					
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
17	JANE HUDSON, AND,	Case No.:				
18	CHARISSA LEWIS,	Case 110				
	BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS	<b>CLASS ACTION</b>				
19	SIMILARLY SITUATED,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES				
20	Plaintiffs,	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR				
21	v.	<b>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR</b>				
22	I EVICNEVIC DICIZ	CREDIT REPORTING ACT, 15				
23	LEXISNEXIS RISK SOLUTIONS INC.,	U.S.C. § 1681, <i>ET SEQ</i> .				
24	Defendent	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED				
25	Defendant.					
26						
27						
28	Case #	Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis Risk Solutions Inc.				

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

#### Introduction

- 1. The United States Congress has also found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq. ("FCRA"), to insure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy. The FCRA seeks that consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy because consumer reporting agencies have assumed such a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other information on consumers.
- 2. Plaintiffs JANE HUDSON; and, CHARISSA LEWIS ("Plaintiffs"), through Plaintiffs' attorneys, brings this Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Defendant LEXISNEXIS RISK SOLUTIONS INC. ("Defendant") with regard to Defendant's reporting of inaccurate information regarding Plaintiffs.
- 3. Defendant is a "consumer reporting agency" under the FCRA that provides consumers with their credit reports.
- 4. The FCRA governs content of these credit reports is determined by the secretion of consumer reporting agencies such as Defendant.
- 5. Defendant continuously misrepresents the source of public record information Defendant publishes on credit reports, specifically the source of information regarding consumer's bankruptcies in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 168lg(a)(2).

Case No.: 1 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

- 6. This practice deceives consumers and limits consumers' access to the true Public Record Information, therefore preventing consumers from directly addressing the source of Public Record Information at the correct location in order to ameliorate any errors if they should occur.
- 7. Defendant has negligently and willfully failed to employ reasonable procedures—including procedures readily available to them of which they are aware—to ensure maximum possible accuracy of their credit reports.
- 8. Plaintiffs make these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of allegations that pertain to Plaintiffs, or to Plaintiffs' counsel, which Plaintiffs alleges on personal knowledge.
- 9. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- 10.Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendant occurred in California.
- 11. Any violations by Defendant were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.
- 12.Unless otherwise indicated, the use of any Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendant.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 13. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 14. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq. ("FCRA").
- 15.Because Defendant is a corporation incorporated in the State of Georgia and conducting business in California, personal jurisdiction is established.

Case No.: 2 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

16. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) because Defendant, at all times herein mentioned, was doing business in the County of San Diego, State of California. Further, venue is proper in this district because Plaintiffs have resided in this district at all times herein mentioned such that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

- 17. Plaintiffs are each a natural person who reside in the County of San Diego, in the State of California.
- 18.In addition, Plaintiffs are each a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 19. Defendant is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and authorized to do business in the State of California.
- 20.Defendant is regularly engaged in the practice of assembling and evaluating consumer credit information for the purpose of furnishing to third parties reports of consumers' credit histories, commonly referred to as "credit reports," and defined as "consumer reports" under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a (hereinafter, "Credit Reports").
- 21. Defendant uses means and facilities of interstate commerce for the purpose of preparing and furnishing Credit Reports and, hence, is each a "consumer reporting agency" within the meaning of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f).
- 22. The FCRA and the facts alleged in this Complaint relate to inaccurate and materially misleading credit information that was allowed to be reported by Defendant regarding specific transactions and/or experiences pertaining to Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' credit worthiness, credit standing, and credit capacity. Such credit information was used or was expected to be used, or collected in whole or in part, for the purposes of serving as a factor in establishing

Case No.: 3 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

Plaintiffs' eligibility for, among other things, credit to be used primarily for personal, family, household and employment purposes.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 23.At all times relevant to this matter, Plaintiffs were both individuals residing within the State of California.
- 24. Furthermore, Defendant conducted business within the State of California at all times relevant.
- 25.Defendant is a FCRA-governed "consumer reporting agency" or "CRA" that publishes incorrect information to consumers that request the FCRA-governed information in Defendant's possession.
- 26. Plaintiffs obtained a credit report from Defendant.
- 27.In reviewing this credit report, Plaintiffs determined that Defendant was reporting information regarding a previous bankruptcy under in a portion called "Bankruptcy Section."
- 28. Thereafter, Defendant included a discussion under the heading "How to Read your Banko Consumer Disclosure Report."
- 29.Defendant explained that the "Bankruptcy Information" section "contains identifying information on the bankruptcy case that was obtained directly from the Court and the name, address & phone number of the Court from which the information was obtained."
- 30. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a), Defendant must identify the immediate supplier of the information reported in order to accurately identify the source of the information on a credit report.
- 31.On information and belief; however, the Bankruptcy Information was not supplied to Defendant by the Southern District of California's Bankruptcy Court.

Case No.: 4 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

- 32. Furthermore, Plaintiffs contend that Defendant did not obtain this information from any other Court.
- 33.It is imperative that consumers know the true source of the Public Record Information and location of the entity that possesses the reported information in their credit reports.
- 34. Consumers must have the ability to directly dispute inaccurate information with Defendant and to determine who Defendant's immediate supplier of the reported information was without being misled and sent on a "wild goose chase" regarding this information.
- 35.Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681g by not identifying the actual source of the information contained within Plaintiff's credit report.
- 36.In addition, Defendant also violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by reporting objectively inaccurate information.
- 37.Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) occurred as a result of Defendant's failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning Plaintiff.
- 38. Through this conduct, Plaintiffs suffered an information injury.
- 39. Moreover, Plaintiffs also suffered frustration and emotional distress as a result of being denied basic information regarding the contents of Plaintiffs' respective credit reports.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 40.Plaintiffs brings this action on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all others similarly situated (the "Class").
- 41. Plaintiffs represent, and is a member of the Class, consisting of:

Case No.: 5 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

All persons with an address within the United States whose consumer credit report obtained from Defendant identifies a Bankruptcy Court as the source of information

- 42.Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiffs does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the thousands, if not more. This matter should therefore be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 43. Plaintiffs reserves the right to redefine the Class and to add subclasses as appropriate based on discovery and specific theories of liability.
- 44. Plaintiffs and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, engaged in illegal and deceptive practices, when it reported a monthly payment obligation for accounts that have a zero balance and are closed and/or paid in full under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, Plaintiffs and the Class members were damaged thereby.
- 45. This suit seeks only recovery of actual and statutory damages on behalf of the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiffs reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 46. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.

Case No.: 6 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

- 47. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
  - i. Whether Defendant misrepresented the true source and location of the information published in the Bankruptcy Section of its credit reports;
  - ii. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violations;
  - iii. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members suffered actual damages as a result of Defendant's conduct;
  - iv. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to statutory damages as a result of Defendant's conduct;
  - v. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to injunctive relief;
  - vi. Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
  - vii. Whether Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class; and,
  - viii. Whether Plaintiffs' counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class.
- 48. As a person who has suffered an inaccurate reporting by Defendant on his credit report, Plaintiffs is asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interest of the Class in that Plaintiffs have no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.

Case No.: 7 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

- 49.Plaintiffs and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 50. Plaintiffs have retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and claims involving violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act.
- 51.A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for FCRA violations are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 52.Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

# CAUSE OF ACTION FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1681 ET SEQ.

- 53. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 54. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FCRA.
- 55. As a credit reporting agency, Experian is required to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a)(2) of the FCRA.

Case No.: 8 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

- 56. Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a)(2) by failing to clearly and accurately disclose to Plaintiffs and the Class the source and true location of the court that supplied any information to the credit-reporting agency about Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 57. Plaintiffs are informed and believes that Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681e(a)&(b) of the FCRA by maintaining the very inaccurate information Plaintiffs disputed.
- 58. As a result of each and every violation of the FCRA, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2).
- 59. As a result of each and every willful violation of the FCRA, Plaintiffs and the Class are also entitled to and seek actual damages of \$100.00 to \$1,000.00 per violation and such amount as the court may allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); punitive damages as the court may allow, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs and the Class members pray for judgment as follows against Defendant:

- Certify the Class as requested herein;
- Appoint Plaintiffs to serve as the Class Representative in this matter;
- Appoint Plaintiffs' Counsel as Class Counsel in this matter;
- Provide such further relief as may be just and proper.

In addition, Plaintiffs and the Class members pray for further judgment as follows against Defendant:

Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1);

Case No.: 9 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

1

2

3

- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 per violation per plaintiff, per month of reporting, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1);
- Punitive damages as the court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2);
- Injunctive relief to command Defendant to correct the information furnished on Plaintiffs' and the Class's credit reports and prohibit them from engaging in future violations;
- Attorney fees and costs to maintain the instant action, pursuant to 15
   U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(3) and 1681o(a)(2);
- Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper including interest.

#### TRIAL BY JURY

60. Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Dated: December 11, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

#### KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: /s/ Matthew M. Loker

MATTHEW M. LOKER, ESQ.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

Case No.: 10 of 10 Hudson, et al. v. LexisNexis

### $_{\rm JS~44~(Rev.~06/1)} \text{fase 3:18-cv-02793-BEN-BLM-Decument 1-Filed 12/11/18} \quad \text{Page ID.12} \quad \text{Page 12 of 13}$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de			HIS FORM.)	•	the elerk of court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
JANE HUDSON, AND, C All Others Similarly Situa	ited,	•	lf of LEXISNEXIS RISH	SOLUTIONS INC.,		
<b>(b)</b> County of Residence of	_	SAN DIEGO	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Kazerouni Law Group, A 245 Fischer Avenue, Uni (800) 400-6808	PC; Matthew M. Loker	r, Esq. (279939)	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	One Box Only)	. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	IF DEF  1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF incipal Place  1 4 1 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place	
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY   □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other   LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3  the Court  Cite the U.S. Civil State Violation of 15 U.  Brief description of car  The Court of	Appellate Court tutte under which you are fi S.C. § 1681 on a class use:	(specify, ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state	er District Litigation Transfer		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Inaccurate credit reporting  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  ▼ Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE						
12/11/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Matthew M. Lo	ker			
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP		APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

Print Save As...

Reset

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- **III. Residence** (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- **V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

    PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>LexisNexis Risk Solutions Hit with Class Action Over Allegedly Inaccurate Bankruptcy Info in Credit Reports</u>