Case 8:17-cv-02826-JSM-MAP Document 1 Filed 11/22/17 Page 1 of 8 PageID 1

FILED

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA STARY 22 Pi: 1:23

HECTOR HERNANDEZ, on his own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO .: 8:17 CV 2825 30 MAP

PLASTIPAK PACKAGING, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation,

\_\_\_\_Defendant.\_\_\_\_/

#### NATIONWIDE COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, HECTOR HERNANDEZ, on his own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), was an employee of Defendant, PLASTIPAK PACKAGING, INC., ("PLASTIPAK" or "Defendant"), and brings this action for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, declaratory relief and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the "FLSA").

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

2. The Fair Labor Standards Act was passed in 1938. Its principle purpose was to protect all covered workers from substandard wages and oppressive working hours, labor conditions that are detrimental to the maintenance of minimum standards of living necessary for health, efficient, and the general well-being of workers. *Barrentine v. Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc.*, 450 U.S. 728, 739, 101 S.Ct. 1437, 1444 (1981).

-en-472299 #400

3. Section 7(a) of the FLSA requires payment of time-and-one-half an employee's regular hourly rate whenever a covered employee works in excess of forty (40) hours per work week. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a).

4. The liquidated damages provision of the FLSA constitutes a congressional recognition that failure to pay the statutory minimum on time may be so detrimental to the maintenance of the minimum standard of living necessary for health, efficiency, and the general well-being of workers and to the free flow of commerce, that double payment must be made in the event of a delay in order to insure restoration of the worker to that minimum standard. *Brooklyn Sav. Bank v. O'Neil*, 324 U.S. 697, 707-08, 65 S.Ct. 895, 902 (1945).

5. This action seeks to recover judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for all unpaid overtime amounts due to Plaintiff as a result of underpayment/non-payment of overtime wages, as well as all remaining damages, including but not limited to liquidated damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. *Id.* 

6. The Defendant in this case, a plastic packaging company, violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated maintenance mechanics time and one-half compensation for all of their hours worked over forty (40) each week.

7. This action is intended to include each and every maintenance mechanic who worked for Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years, who was eligible for and/or received shift differential pay in one or more workweeks during the relevant time period.

#### PARTIES

8. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated individuals ("class members"), were nonexempt "maintenance mechanics" who performed services for Defendant.

9. Defendant, PLASTIPAK, is a Foreign Profit Corporation.

10. Defendant, a worldwide company headquartered in the United States in Michigan, is a plastic packaging company with one of many manufacturing sites located at 4211 Amberjack Blvd., Plant City, Florida 33566 *See* Defendant's website, *available at* <u>http://www.plastipak.com</u>.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as they arise under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* 

12. Defendant, PLASTIPAK, conducts business in, among others, Hillsborough County, Florida, therefore venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) & (c).

#### **COVERAGE**

13. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203 (s).

14. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant was an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

15. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

16. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 203(s)(1) of the FLSA, in that the company had two or more employees:

a. Engaged in commerce; or

b. Engaged in the production of goods for commerce; or

c. Handling, selling or working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce. (i.e. tools, machinery, and/or office supplies).

17. At all material times, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA, because they regularly and customarily produced goods for commerce.

#### FACTUAL AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

18. Defendant, PLASTIPAK, is a plastic packaging company.

19. Defendant has a common pay policy and/or pay practice which fails to pay certain employees at a rate of time and one-half times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.

20. Plaintiff, HECTOR HERNANDEZ, performed non-exempt mechanical duties for Defendant.

21. Plaintiff worked in this capacity from approximately March 2011 to May 2016.

22. In exchange for his work performed, Defendant paid Plaintiff and those similarly situated a base salary, plus additional "shift differential" shift pay.

23. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, received additional pay allocated as a "shift differential" in exchange for working less desirable shifts, such as evening shifts.

24. Defendant failed to include the "shift differentials" paid to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, in the regular rate of pay for purposes of calculating Plaintiff's overtime rate of pay, and those similarly situated.

25. The "shift differentials" paid to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, were required to be included in the regular rate of pay for purposes of calculating the proper overtime rate and overtime pay due. *See, e.g., O'Brien v. Town of Agawam*, 350 F.3d 279 (1st Cir. 2003);

*Heder v. City of Two Rivers, Wisconsin,* 295 F.3d 777 (7th Cir. 2002); *Herman v. City of St. Petersburg, FL, Police Dept.,* 131 F.Supp.3d 1329, 1334 (M.D. Fla. 2001) ("It is well settled that once an employer agrees to pay a shift differential, the overtime rate, which compensates an employee at one and one-half his regular rate after forty hours of work in a workweek, includes these shift differentials in calculating overtime.").

26. Plaintiff and those similarly situated to him routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as part of their regular job duties.

27. Despite working more than forty (40) hours per week, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, proper overtime compensation at a rate of time and a half of their regular rate of pay for hours worked over forty (40) in a workweek.

28. The manner in which Defendant paid Plaintiff was the same manner in which Defendant paid all of its maintenance mechanics.

29. Upon information and belief, Defendant had/has a company-wide policy of failing to pay all of its maintenance mechanics proper overtime compensation for all of their overtime hours worked.

30. The policies or practices complained of herein were applicable to Plaintiff and the class members.

31. Application of these policies or practices does/did not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit. Rather, the same policy or practice which resulted in the non-payment of overtime and/or minimum wages to Plaintiff applies to all class members.

32. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay proper overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and those similarly situated to him.

33. Defendant did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law, (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, (c) Department of Labor Wage & Hour Opinion Letters or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.

34. Defendant has acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, in accordance with the law.

#### **COUNT I - RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION**

35. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs1-34 above.

36. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, are/were entitled to be paid time and one-half of their regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek.

37. During his/their employment with Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, regularly worked overtime hours but was/were not paid proper time and one-half compensation for same.

38. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, time and one-half of their regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek in one or more workweeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, has/have suffered damages, plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

39. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, is/arc entitled to liquidated damages.

40. Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C.

§216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated employees, demand judgment

against Defendant for:

a) Designation of this action as a collective action under the terms of 29 U.S.C. §216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA Opt-in Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert their FLSA claims in this action through individual consent;

b) The payment of all overtime hours at time and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by him/them for which Defendant did not properly compensate him/them;

- c) Liquidated damages;
- d) Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Pre- and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- f) Trial by jury on all issues so triable;

g) Any and all further relief that this Court determines to be appropriate.

### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated: 11 20 17.

Respectfully submitted by,

#0077459

Kimberly De Arcangelis, Esq. Bar No.: 025871 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 20 N. Orange Ave., 14th Floor Orlando, Florida 32801 Telephone: (407) 420-1414 Facsimile: (407) 245-3383 Email: <u>kimd@forthepeople.com</u>

Andrew R. Frisch, Esq. Florida Bar No.: 27777 MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 600 North Pine Island Road Suite 400 Plantation, Florida 33324 Tel: (954) WORKERS Fax: (954) 327-3013 E-Mail: <u>afrisch@forthepeople.com</u>

Trial Counsel for Plaintiff and Class Members

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
HECTOR HERNANDEZ, on his own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated,				PLASTIPAK PACKAGING, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff HILLSBOROUGH (EXCEPT IN US. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant HILLSBOROUGH		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kimberly De Arcangelis, Esq. Morgan & Morgan, P.A., 20 North Orange Avenue, 14th Floor, Orlando, Florida 32801, 407-420-1414				Attorneys (If Known)		
						202
Avenue, 14th Floor, Orla	ndo, Florida 32801, 40	)7-420-1414				in the second
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION	n n and a		TIZENSHIP OF P	DINCIDAL PARTIES	CPlace an "X" in Due Box for Plann
II. DASIS OF JUNISDI		ne parcomy		For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
7 1 U.S Government Plaintiff			PTF DEF PTF DEF Cuizen of This State X 1 O 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 0 4 O 4 of Business In This State			
7 2 U.S Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizei	n of Another State	2	
				n or Subject of a 👘 🗇	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	36 36
V. NATURE OF SUIT					BANDINGOU	OTHED OT MITTER
	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY     422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
1 110 Insurance 1 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	O 365 Personal Injury +		of Property 21 USC 881	CI 423 Withdrawal	🗇 376 Qui Tam (31 USC
1 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	<b>()</b> 690	Other	28 USC 157	3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment
<ol> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> </ol>	Liability O 320 Assault, Libel &	D 367 Health Care/ Phannaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 State Reapportionment
& Enforcement of Judgment	-	Personal Injury			3 B20 Copyrights	430 Banks and Banking
1 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability	.		<b>7</b> 830 Patent	I 450 Commerce
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loope	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1		B40 Trademark	<ul> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Compt Organizations
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	RTY 🕱 710	Fair Labor Standards	🗇 861 HIA (1395ff)	3 480 Consumer Credit
of Veteran's Benefits	7 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud		Act	862 Black Lung (923)     862 Diwe (105(a))	490 Cable/Sat TV
1 160 Stockholders' Suits 1 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<ul> <li>371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>380 Other Personal</li> </ul>	5 720	Labor/Management Relations	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	<b>D</b> 740	Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	1 890 Other Statutory Actions
3 196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage	CT 751	Family and Medical		891 Agricultural Acts     802 Environmental Mattern
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation		<ul> <li>893 Environmental Matters</li> <li>895 Freedom of Information</li> </ul>
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act
7 210 Land Condemnation	🗇 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Income Security Act	🗇 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	3 896 Arbitration
220 Foreclosure	I 441 Voting	<b>J</b> 463 Alten Detainee			or Defendant)	3 899 Administrative Procedure
3 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 3 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment     443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	۲		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision
3 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	□ 530 General			50 UGC 7007	□ 950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	🗆 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 🗊 535 Death Penalty			IMMIGRATION	1	State Statutes
	Employment	Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth		Naturalization Application Other Immigration		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Maildamus & On 550 Civil Rights		Actions		
	C 448 Education	D 555 Prison Condition			1	
		560 Civil Detainee • Conditions of				ł
		Continement				
. ORIGIN (Place an "X" n	n One Box Onlyj					
	moved from	Appellate Court	I + Reins Reop	ened Anothe	er District Litigatio	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str 29 U.S.C., Section	tute under which you a n 216(b)	re filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless diversity):	
I. CAUSE OF ACTION		nuse: ards Act, Overtime				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N ĐI	EMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint D: XYes ⊐ No
/III. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	19 Ma
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY O	F HECOMP		<u>)</u>
$11^{\prime}L011$			····-	man		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				18/		
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JCDGE	MAG. JU	DGE
RECEIPT # AY	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	· .	JCDGE	MAG. JU	100E

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit: Plastipak Packaging Miscalculates Overtime Pay