UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

FORT LAUDE Case No	RDALE DIVISION
LEILA GONZALEZ, and other similarly situated individuals,	)
Plaintiff(s),	)
V.	)
THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone, MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA CALLEGARO,	) ) )

Defendants.

# COMPLAINT (OPT-IN PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C § 216(B))

Plaintiffs LEILA GONZALEZ ("Plaintiff") and other similarly situated individuals sue defendants THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone, MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA CALLEGARO (collectively, the "Defendants") and allege:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This is an action to recover money damages for unpaid minimum and overtime wages, and retaliatory discharge under the laws of the United States.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201-219 (Section 216 for jurisdictional placement) ("the Act").

#### **VENUE**

3. Plaintiff is a resident of Broward County, Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Plaintiff is a covered employee for purposes of the Act.

4. THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone (individually the "Corporate Defendant"), MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA CALLEGARO (individually the "Individual Defendants"), are a Florida company and Florida residents, respectively, having their main place of business in Broward County, Florida, where Plaintiff worked for Defendants, and at all times material hereto were and are engaged in interstate commerce. The Individual Defendants, upon information and belief, reside in Broward, Florida.

# COUNT I: WAGE AND HOUR VIOLATION BY THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone (OVERTIME)

- 5. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-4 above as if set out in full herein.
- 6. This action is brought by Plaintiff and those similarly situated to recover from the Corporate Defendant unpaid minimum wages and overtime compensation, as well as an additional amount as liquidated damages, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and specifically under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207. 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1) states, "No employer shall employ any of his employees . . . for a work week longer than 40 hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above-specified at a rate not less than one and a half times the regular rate at which he is employed."
- 7. 29 U.S.C. § 206 (a) (1) states "... an employer must pay a minimum wage of \$5.15/hr to an employee who is engaged in commerce..." On July 24, 2007, Federal minimum wage was raised to \$5.85/hr. On July 24, 2008, Federal minimum wage was raised to \$6.55/hr. On July 24, 2009, Federal minimum wage was raised to \$7.25/hr.
- 8. The Act provides minimum standards that may be exceeded, but cannot be waived or reduced. Employers must comply, for example, with any Federal, State or municipal

laws, regulations or ordinances establishing a higher minimum wage or lower maximum workweek than those established under the Act. 29 C.F.R. § 541.4.

- 9. In Florida, the minimum wage in 2016 was \$8.05 per hour.
- U.S.C. § 216(b). The Corporate Defendant is and, at all times pertinent to this Complaint, was engaged in interstate commerce. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the Corporate Defendant operates as an organization which sells and/or markets its services and/or goods to customers from throughout the United States and also provides its services for goods sold and transported from across state lines of other states, and the Corporate Defendant obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida, and otherwise regularly engages in interstate commerce, particularly with respect to its employees. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of the Corporate Defendant was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000 per annum, and/or Plaintiff and those similarly situated, by virtue of working in interstate commerce, otherwise satisfy the Act's requirements.
- 11. By reason of the foregoing, the Corporate Defendant is and was, during all times hereafter mentioned, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §§ 3 (r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s) and/or Plaintiff and those similarly situated were and/or are engaged in interstate commerce for the Corporate Defendant. The Corporate Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Act applies. The Corporate Defendant is a Pasta Factory that cooks and delivers products made in Italy and out of Florida. Through its business activity, the Corporate Defendant affects

interstate commerce. The Plaintiff's work for the Corporate Defendant likewise affects interstate commerce. Plaintiff was employed by the Corporate Defendant as a Cook and Production Line worker for the Corporate Defendant's business.

- 12. While employed by the Corporate Defendant, Plaintiff worked approximately an average of 60-70 hours per week without being compensated at the rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which she was employed.
- 13. In addition, when Plaintiff was first employed, she was paid \$7.00 per hour and for a couple of months she was paid \$8.00 per hour.
- 14. Plaintiff was employed as a Cook performing the same or similar duties as that of those other similarly situated Cook(s) whom Plaintiff observed getting paid less than minimum wage and working in excess of 40 hours per week without overtime compensation.
- 15. Plaintiff worked for the Corporate Defendant from approximately 01/13/2016 to 08/18/2017. In total, Plaintiff worked approximately 84 compensable weeks under the Act, or 84 compensable weeks if we count 3 years preceding the date of the filing of the instant action.
- 16. The Corporate Defendant paid Plaintiff on average approximately \$7.00 per hour at the beginning, then \$8.00 per hour until approximately March of 2016, then \$9.00 per hour from March 2016 through December of 2016, then \$10.00 per hour from January 2017 through July of 2017, and then \$12.00 per hour from July 2017 until her unlawful termination.
- 17. However, the Corporate Defendant never paid Plaintiff for hours that Plaintiff worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 18. Plaintiff seeks to recover unpaid minimum and overtime wages accumulated from the date of hire and/or from 3 (three) years preceding the date of the filing of this Complaint.

19. Prior to the completion of discovery and to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, Plaintiff's good faith estimate of unpaid minimum and overtime wages is as follows:

## a. Actual Damages: \$14,402.50

- i. Minimum Wages
  - a. 2 Weeks in 2016:  $\$8.05 \$7.00 = \$1.05 \times 40 = \$42 \times 2$  (weeks) = \$84
  - b. From January to March of 2016:  $\$8.05 \$8.00 = \$0.05 \times 40 = \$2 \times 8$  (weeks) = \$16

#### ii. Overtime Wages

- a. 2 Weeks in 2016:  $\$8.05 \times 1.5 \times 30$  (overtime hours)  $\times 2$  (weeks) = \$724.50
- b. From January to March of 2016: \$8.05 x 1.5 x 30 (overtime hours) x 8 (weeks) = \$2,898
- c. From March of 2016 to December of 2016: \$9.00 x .5 x 30 (overtime hours) x 40 weeks) = \$5,400
- d. From January 2017 to July 2017:  $10.00 \times .5 \times 30$  (overtime hours) x 28 weeks) = 4.200
- e. From July 2017 through August 2017: \$12.00 x .5 x 30 (overtime hours) x 6 weeks) = \$1,080

### b. Liquidated Damages: \$14,402.50

- c. Total Damages: \$28,805 plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
- 20. At all times material hereto, the Corporate Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 and 29 C.F.R. § 516.2 and § 516.4 et seq. in that Plaintiff and those similarly situated performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by

the Act but no provision was made by the Corporate Defendant to properly pay them at the rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty hours (40) per workweek as provided in the Act. In addition, the Corporate Defendant completely ignored minimum wage laws. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are weekly-paid employees and/or former employees of the Corporate Defendant who are and who were subject to the unlawful payroll practices and procedures of the Corporate Defendant and were not paid all of their minimum wages, or time and one half of their regular rate of pay for all overtime hours worked in excess of forty.

- 21. The Corporate Defendant knew and/or showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the Act concerning the payment of minimum and overtime wages and remains owing Plaintiff and those similarly situated these minimum and overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's and those similarly situated employees' employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above, and Plaintiff and those similarly situated are entitled to recover double damages. The Corporate Defendant never posted any notice, as required by Federal Law, to inform employees of their federal rights to overtime and minimum wage payments.
- 22. The Corporate Defendant willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff minimum and overtime wages as required by the laws of the United States as set forth above and remains owing Plaintiff these minimum and overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above.
- 23. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent her in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and those similarly situated request that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff and other similarly situated and against the Corporate Defendant on the basis of the Corporate Defendant's willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. and other Federal Regulations; and
- B. Award Plaintiff actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid wages and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief, as this Court deems equitable and just.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and those similarly situated demand trial by jury of all issues so triable as of right.

# COUNT II: WAGE AND HOUR VIOLATION BY MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA CALLEGARO (OVERTIME)

- 24. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1-24 above as if set out in full herein.
- 25. At the times mentioned, the Individual Defendants were, and are now, the Owners and/or Officers of the Corporate Defendant. The Individual Defendants were an employer of Plaintiff and others similarly situated within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the Act [29 U.S.C. § 203(d)], in that these defendants acted directly or indirectly in the interests of the Corporate Defendant in relation to the employees of the Corporate Defendant, including Plaintiff and others similarly situated. The Individual Defendants had operational control of the Corporate Defendant, were involved in the day-to-day functions of the Corporate Defendant, provided Plaintiff with her work schedule, and are jointly liable for Plaintiff's damages.

- 26. The Individual Defendants were and are, at all times relevant, persons in control of the Corporate Defendant's financial affairs and can cause the Corporate Defendant to compensate (or not to compensate) its employees in accordance with the Act.
- 27. The Individual Defendants willfully and intentionally caused Plaintiff not to receive minimum wages and overtime compensation as required by the laws of the United States as set forth above and remain owing Plaintiff these minimum and overtime wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with the Corporate Defendant as set forth above.
- 28. Plaintiff has retained the law offices of the undersigned attorney to represent her in this action and is obligated to pay a reasonable attorneys' fee.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and those similarly situated request that this Honorable Court:

- A. Enter judgment for Plaintiff and other similarly situated and against the Individual Defendant on the basis of the Defendants' willful violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. and other Federal Regulations; and
- B. Award Plaintiff actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid wages and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty weekly; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- E. Grant such other and further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and those similarly situated demand trial by jury of all issues so triable as of right.

COUNT III: FEDERAL STATUTORY VIOLATION PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. 215 (a)(3)

#### RETALIATORY DISCHARGE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 29. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1 through 28 above as if set out in full herein.
- 30. The Defendants willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff her legally owed overtime wages as required by the laws of the United States and remain owing Plaintiff these wages as set forth above.
- 31. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(3) states that it shall be unlawful for any person "to discharge or in any manner discriminate against any employee because such employee has filed any complaint or instituted or caused to be instituted any proceeding under or related to this chapter, or has testified or is about to testify in such proceeding . . . ."
- 32. Plaintiff complained about her unpaid overtime wages to the Defendants on or about January and February of 2017.
  - 33. On or about 08/18/2017, Plaintiff was terminated.
- 34. The motivating factors, which caused Plaintiff's discharge as described above, were the complaints seeking the payment of overtime wages from the Defendants. In other words, Plaintiff would not have been fired but for her complaints about unpaid overtime wages.
- 35. The Defendants' termination of Plaintiff was in direct violation of 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3) and, as a direct result, Plaintiff has been damaged.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court:

A. Enter a judgment against the Defendants for all back wages from the date of discharge to the present date and an equal amount of back wages as liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and;

B. Reinstatement and promotion and injunctive relief prohibiting the Defendants from discriminating in the manner described above, emotional distress and humiliation, and pain and suffering, front wages, as well as other damages recoverable by law under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff and those similarly situated demand trial by jury of all issues so triable as of right.

Dated: September 26, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ R. Martin Saenz R. Martin Saenz, Esquire Fla. Bar No.: 0640166

Email: msaenz@saenzanderson.com SAENZ & ANDERSON, PLLC 20900 NE 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ste. 800 Aventura, Florida 33180

Telephone: (305) 503-5131 Facsimile: (888) 270-5549

## JS 44 (Rev. Case 0:17-cv-61873-WPD Document 1-2 Entered on FLSD Docket 09/26/2017 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	IIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	PRM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				THE PASTA GUYS MASSIMO TUNDO County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Liste (IN U.S. Pi (NDEMNATIO	SABETTA CAL ed Defendant	LEGARO,
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)	Of Early IIV	TVOEVED.	
R. Martin Saenz, Esq.; Sate. 800, Aventura, FL 33		.C, 20900 NE 30th	Ave.,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plai
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pri	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
NATURE OF CUIT	Par am a a			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY    310 Airplane   315 Airplane Product   Liability   320 Assault, Libel &   Slander   330 Federal Employers'   Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product   Liability   350 Motor Vehicle   Product Liability   360 Other Personal   Injury   362 Personal Injury -   Medical Malpractice   CIVIL RIGHTS   440 Other Civil Rights   441 Voting   442 Employment   443 Housing/   Accommodations   445 Amer. w/Disabilities -   Employment   446 Amer. w/Disabilities -   Other   448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR'  Bersonal Injury Product Liability  Groduct Liability  Bersonal Injury Product Liability  Personal Injury Product Liability  Bersonal Injury Product Liability  Bersonal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER  Group 370 Other Praud  Truth in Lending  Bersonal Property Damage  Bersonal Property Damage  Bersonal Property Damage  Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus:  Habeas Corpus	X	JAPEN PENALTY  15 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other  LABOR  10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 10 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 12 Naturalization Application 15 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U  PROPES □ 820 Copy 830 Paten □ 840 Trade  SOCIAL □ 861 HIA 0 □ 862 Black □ 863 DIW □ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI 0  FEDERA □ 870 Taxes or Do □ 871 IRS—	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157  RTY RIGHTS rights tt tmark  SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g))  AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	375 False Claims Act     400 State Reapportionment     410 Antitrust     430 Banks and Banking     450 Commerce     460 Deportation     470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations     480 Consumer Credit     490 Cable/Sat TV     850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange     890 Other Statutory Actions     891 Agricultural Acts     893 Environmental Matters     895 Freedom of Information Act     896 Arbitration     899 Administrative Procedur Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision     950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3	Remanded from [Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reoj		r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	I Fair Labor Standa	ards Act, 29 U.S.C.	re filing (1 § 201-2	Do not cite jurisdictional stat 119	utes unless div	versity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<del></del>	IS A <b>CLASS ACTION</b> 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
DATE September 26, 2017		signature of att s/ R. Martin Sa					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **L(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional** statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

Southern District of Florida					
LEILA GONZALEZ, and other similarly situated individuals,	) ) )				
DI : .:C(( )	)				
Plaintiff(s)	) Giril Ardina Na				
V.	Civil Action No.				
THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone, MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA CALLEGARO,	) ) )				
Defendant(s)	, )				
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del Maccherone c/o TUNDO, MASSIMO 212 N Federal Highway # 206 Dania Beach, FL 33004					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  R. Martin Saenz, Esq.  Saenz & Anderson, PLLC  20900 NE 30th Ave., Ste. 800  Aventura, FL 33180					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nat	me of individual and title, if a	my)	
was rec	eeived by me on (date)		<u> </u>	
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)	
	_		on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's resid	lence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who resi	des there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summe	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	nons unexecuted because	se	; or
	☐ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this infe	formation is true.	
Date:		-	Server's signature	
		-	Printed name and title	
		-	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida					
LEILA GONZALEZ, and other similarly situated individuals, )					
Plaintiff(s)					
v. )	Civil Action No.				
THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del ) Maccherone, MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA ) CALLEGARO, )					
Defendant(s)					
SUMMONS IN A CI	IVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) TUNDO, MASSIMO 212 N Federal Highway # 206 Dania Beach, FL 33004					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  R. Martin Saenz, Esq.  Saenz & Anderson, PLLC  20900 NE 30th Ave., Ste. 800  Aventura, FL 33180					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Data					
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (na. ceived by me on (date)	me of individual and title, if any)		
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the indivi	on (data)	; or
	☐ I left the summons		ee or usual place of abode with (name)	- <sup>·</sup>
	on (date)		person of suitable age and discretion who res py to the individual's last known address; or	ides there,
		ons on (name of individual) accept service of process or	n behalf of (name of organization)	, who is
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this inform	nation is true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida					
LEILA GONZALEZ, and other similarly situated individuals, )					
Plaintiff(s)					
v. )	Civil Action No.				
THE PASTA GUYS, INC. d/b/a La Bottega del ) Maccherone, MASSIMO TUNDO and ELISABETTA ) CALLEGARO, )					
Defendant(s)					
SUMMONS IN A C	CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) ELISABETTA CALLEGARO 212 N Federal Highway # 206 Dania Beach, FL 33004					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:  R. Martin Saenz, Esq.  Saenz & Anderson, PLLC  20900 NE 30th Ave., Ste. 800  Aventura, FL 33180					
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
Date:	CLERK OF COURT				
<u> </u>	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				
	digitation of cities of Deputy Cities				

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if a	ny)		
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	-	·		
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the inc	dividual at (place)		
		on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)				
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who is	
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted becaus	se	; or	
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.		
ъ.					
Date:		-	Server's signature		
		-	Printed name and title		
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>The Pasta Guys Faces Lawsuit Over Unpaid Wage, Retaliation Claims</u>