UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SAMUEL & STEIN

David Stein (DS 2119) 38 West 32nd Street Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 dstein@samuelandstein.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

Victor Reyes Gomez, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- vs. -

ABC Corp. d/b/a Che Bella Pizza, Jimmy Berrer, and John Does #1-10,

Defendants.

DOCKET NO. 17-cv-657

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Victor Reyes Gomez, by and through his undersigned attorneys, for his complaint against defendants ABC Corp. d/b/a Che Bella Pizza, Jimmy Berrer, and John Does #1-10, alleges as follows, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff Victor Reyes Gomez alleges on behalf of himself and on behalf of other similarly situated current

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 2 of 22

and former employees of defendants ABC Corp. d/b/a Che Bella Pizza, Jimmy Berrer, and John Does #1-10, who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), that they are entitled to: (i) compensation for wages paid at less than the statutory minimum wage, (ii) unpaid wages from defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay as required by law, and (iii) liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., because defendants' violations lacked a good faith basis.

2. Mr. Reyes Gomez further complains that he is entitled to (i) compensation for wages paid at less than the statutory minimum wage; (ii) back wages for overtime work for which defendants willfully failed to pay overtime premium pay as required by the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations; (iii) compensation for defendants' violations of the "spread of hours" requirements of New York Labor Law; (iv) liquidated damages pursuant to New York Labor Law for these violations; and (v) statutory damages for defendants' violations of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Mr. Reyes Gomez is an adult individual residing in the Bronx, New York.

4. Mr. Reyes Gomez consents in writing to be a party to this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); his written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.

5. Upon information and belief, defendant ABC Corp. d/b/a Che Bella Pizza ("Che Bella") is a New York corporation whose corporate name is unknown, but which does business under the name Che Bella Pizza, with a principal place of business at 1215 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, New York.

6. At all relevant times, defendant Che Bella has been, and continues to be, an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

7. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant Che Bella has had gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.

8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times herein, defendant Che Bella has used goods and materials produced in interstate commerce, and has employed

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 4 of 22

at least two individuals who handled such goods and materials.

9. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, defendant Che Bella has constituted an "enterprise" as defined in the FLSA.

10. Upon information and belief, defendant Jimmy Berrer is an owner or part owner and principal of Che Bella, who has the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and maintain their records.

11. Defendant Jimmy Berrer was involved in the dayto-day operations of Che Bella and played an active role in managing the business.

12. For example, defendant Jimmy Berrer set Mr. Reyes Gomez's pay.

13. Upon information and belief, defendants John Does #1-10 represent the other owners, officers, directors, members, and/or managing agents of Che Bella, whose identities are unknown at this time, who participated in the day-to-day operations of Che Bella, who have the power to hire and fire employees, set wages and schedules, and retain their records.

14. Defendants constituted "employers" of Mr. Reyes Gomez as that term is used in the Fair Labor Standards Act and New York Labor Law.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and supplemental jurisdiction over Mr. Reyes Gomez's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, the Court has jurisdiction over Mr. Reyes Gomez's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

16. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because defendants' business is located in this district.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

17. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 206 and § 207, Mr. Reyes Gomez seeks to prosecute his FLSA claims as a collective action on behalf of a collective group of persons defined as follows:

All persons who are or were formerly employed by defendants in the United States at any time since January 17, 2014, to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt restaurant employees within the meaning of the FLSA, and who were not paid statutory minimum wages and/or overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

18. The Collective Action Members are similarly situated to Mr. Reyes Gomez in that they were employed by defendants as non-exempt restaurant employees, and were

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 6 of 22

denied payment at the statutory minimum wage and/or were denied premium overtime pay for hours worked beyond forty hours in a week.

19. They are further similarly situated in that defendants had a policy and practice of knowingly and willfully refusing to pay them the minimum wage or overtime.

20. Plaintiffs and the Collective Action Members perform or performed the same or similar primary duties, and were subjected to the same policies and practices by defendants.

21. The exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but is known by defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.

FACTS

22. At all relevant times herein, defendants owned and operated a pizzeria in Manhattan.

23. Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed at Che Bella from approximately December 2015 through December 2016.

24. Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed as a food preparer at Che Bella.

25. Mr. Reyes Gomez's work was performed in the normal course of defendants' business and was integrated

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 7 of 22

into the business of defendants, and did not involve executive or administrative responsibilities.

26. At all relevant times herein, Mr. Reyes Gomez was an employee engaged in commerce and/or in the production of goods for commerce, as defined in the FLSA and its implementing regulations.

27. Mr. Reyes Gomez's regular schedule was six days per week, every day except Saturday. He generally worked 10 to 11 hours each day, for a total of between 60 and 66 hours per week, each week of his employment with defendants.

28. Defendants did not provide a time clock, sign in sheet, or any other method for employees to track their time worked.

29. Mr. Reyes Gomez was paid a weekly salary throughout his employment at Che Bella.

30. When his employment started, he was paid \$400 per week; he received a raise to \$420 per week after approximately two months, and a final raise to \$450 per week in roughly October 2016.

31. These amounts did not vary based on the precise number of hours that Mr. Reyes Gomez worked in a day or week.

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 8 of 22

32. As a result, Mr. Reyes Gomez's effective rate of pay was always below the statutory federal and state minimum wages in effect at relevant times.

33. Defendants' failure to pay plaintiff an amount at least equal to the federal or New York state minimum wages in effect during all relevant time periods was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.

34. Mr. Reyes Gomez was paid in cash throughout his employment, and he received no paystubs or wage statements of any sort with his pay.

35. In addition, defendants failed to pay Mr. Reyes Gomez any overtime "bonus" for hours worked beyond 40 hours in a workweek, in violation of the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

36. Defendants' failure to pay Mr. Reyes Gomez the overtime bonus for overtime hours worked was willful, and lacked a good faith basis.

37. Mr. Reyes Gomez worked several shifts each week that lasted in excess of ten hours from start to finish, yet defendants willfully failed to pay him one additional hour's pay at the minimum wage for each such day, in violation of the New York Labor Law and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations.

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 9 of 22

38. Defendants' payment of a weekly salary to Mr. Reyes Gomez, rather than paying him on an hourly basis, also violated 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146-2.5.

39. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Reyes Gomez with written notices providing the information required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act - including, *inter alia*, defendants' contact information, his regular and overtime rates, and intended allowances claimed - and failed to obtain his signatures acknowledging the same, upon his hiring or at any time thereafter, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act in effect at the time.

40. Defendants failed to provide Mr. Reyes Gomez with weekly records of his compensation and hours worked, in violation of the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

41. Upon information and belief, throughout the period of Mr. Reyes Gomez's employment, both before that time (throughout the Collective Action Period) and continuing until today, defendants have likewise employed other individuals like Mr. Reyes Gomez (the Collective Action Members) in positions at defendants' pizzeria that required little skill, no capital investment, and with duties and responsibilities that did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 10 of 22

42. Defendants applied the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all Collective Action Members, including policies, practices, and procedures with respect to the payment of overtime.

43. Upon information and belief, defendants have failed to pay these other individuals at a rate at least equal to the minimum wage, in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

44. Upon information and belief, these other individuals have worked in excess of forty hours per week, yet defendants have likewise failed to pay them overtime compensation of one-and-one-half times their regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

45. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not paid a "spread of hours" premium on days when they worked shifts lasting in excess of ten hours from start to finish.

46. Upon information and belief, these other individuals were not provided with required wage notices or weekly wage statements as specified in New York Labor Law §§ 195.1, 195.3, and the Wage Theft Prevention Act.

47. Upon information and belief, while defendants employed Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action members, and through all relevant time periods, defendants failed to

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 11 of 22

maintain accurate and sufficient time records or provide accurate records to employees, and failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA or New York Labor Law.

COUNT I

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Minimum Wage)

48. Mr. Reyes Gomez, on behalf of himself and all Collective Action Members, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

49. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.

50. Defendants failed to pay a salary greater than the minimum wage to Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members for all hours worked.

51. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members at a rate at least equal to the federal minimum wage for each hour worked, defendants have violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 206.

52. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 12 of 22

U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.

53. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid compensation plus liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT II

(New York Labor Law - Minimum Wage)

54. Mr. Reyes Gomez repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

55. At all relevant times, Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

56. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Reyes Gomez's rights by failing to pay him compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage in violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 190-199, 652 and their regulations.

57. Defendants' failure to pay compensation in excess of the statutory minimum wage was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 13 of 22

58. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Reyes Gomez is entitled to recover from defendants his unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT III

(Fair Labor Standards Act - Overtime)

59. Mr. Reyes Gomez, on behalf of himself and all Collective Action Members, repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

60. At all relevant times, defendants employed Mr. Reyes Gomez and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.

61. At all relevant times, defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to their employees for hours they worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.

62. As a result of defendants' willful failure to compensate their employees, including Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members, at a rate at least one-andone-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per workweek, defendants have

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 14 of 22

violated, and continue to violate, the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

63. The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constituted a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), and lacked a good faith basis within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 260.

64. Due to defendants' FLSA violations, Mr. Reyes Gomez and the Collective Action Members are entitled to recover from defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

COUNT IV

(New York Labor Law - Overtime)

65. Mr. Reyes Gomez repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

66. At all relevant times, Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

67. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Reyes Gomez's rights by failing to pay him overtime compensation at rates at least one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 15 of 22

violation of the New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq. and its supporting regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146.

68. Defendants' failure to pay overtime was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.

69. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Reyes Gomez is entitled to recover from defendants his unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT V

(New York Labor Law - Spread of Hours)

70. Mr. Reyes Gomez repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

71. At all relevant times, Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

72. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Reyes Gomez's rights by failing to pay him an additional hour's pay at the minimum wage for each day he worked shifts lasting in excess of ten hours from start to finish, in violation of

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 16 of 22

the New York Labor Law §§ 650 *et seq.* and its regulations in 12 N.Y.C.R.R. § 146-1.6.

73. Defendants' failure to pay the "spread of hours" premium was willful, and lacked a good faith basis, within the meaning of New York Labor Law § 198, § 663 and supporting regulations.

74. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Mr. Reyes Gomez is entitled to recover from defendants his unpaid compensation, liquidated damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 198, and § 663(1).

COUNT VI

(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

75. Mr. Reyes Gomez repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if set forth fully and again herein.

76. At all relevant times, Mr. Reyes Gomez was employed by defendants within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.

77. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Reyes Gomez's rights by failing to provide him with the wage notices required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act when he was hired, or at any time thereafter.

Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 17 of 22

78. Defendants willfully violated Mr. Reyes Gomez's rights by failing to provide him with weekly wage statements required by the Wage Theft Prevention Act at any time during his employment.

79. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage statements, Mr. Reyes Gomez is entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$250 per day, up to the maximum statutory damages.

80. Due to defendants' New York Labor Law violations relating to the failure to provide wage notices, Mr. Reyes Gomez is entitled to recover from the defendants statutory damages of \$50 per day, up to the maximum statutory damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Mr. Reyes Gomez respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them

to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and appointing Mr. Reyes Gomez and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members;

- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- c. An injunction against defendants and their officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies, and patterns set forth herein;
- d. A compensatory award of unpaid compensation, at the statutory overtime rate, due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- e. Compensatory damages for failure to pay the minimum wage pursuant to the FLSA and New York Labor Law;
- f. An award of liquidated damages as a result of defendants' willful failure to pay the statutory

minimum wage and overtime compensation pursuant
to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

- g. Compensatory damages for failure to pay the "spread of hours" premiums required by New York Labor Law;
- h. Liquidated damages for defendants' New York
 Labor Law violations;
- i. Statutory damages for defendants' violation of the New York Wage Theft Prevention Act;
- j. Back pay;
- k. Punitive damages;
- 1. An award of prejudgment and postjudgment
 interest;
- m. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- n. Such other, further, and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 17, 2017

David Stein SAMUEL & STEIN 38 West 32nd Street

Suite 1110 New York, New York 10001 (212) 563-9884 Attorneys for Plaintiff Case 1:17-cv-00657 Document 1 Filed 01/27/17 Page 21 of 22

EXHIBIT A

CONSENT TO SUE

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of Che Bella Pizza and its owners and affiliates to pay me, *inter alia*, minimum wage and overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the lawsuit challenging such conduct, and consent to being named as the representative plaintiff in this action to make decisions on behalf of all other plaintiffs concerning this lawsuit. I have been provided with a copy of a retainer agreement with the law firm of Samuel & Stein, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

Con mi firma abajo, autorizo la presentación y tramitación de reclamaciones en mi nombre y en mi nombre para impugnar el fallo de Che Bella Pizza y sus propietarios y afiliados a pagarme, entre otras cosas, el salario mínimo y pago de horas extras como exige el Estado y / o la ley federal y autorizar la presentación de este consentimiento en la demanda contra ese tipo de conducta, y dar su consentimiento para ser nombrado como representante de la parte demandante en esta acción para tomar decisiones en nombre de todos los demás demandantes en relación con esta demanda también. Se me ha proporcionado una copia de un acuerdo de retención con la firma de abogados de Samuel y Stein, y estoy de acuerdo en estar obligado por sus términos.

Victor Reyes Gomez

Date: December 16, 2016

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Che Bella Pizza Sliced with Former Worker's FLSA Class Action</u>