1	Gregory N. Karasik (SBN 115834)								
2	greg@karasiklawfirm.com Karasik Law Firm								
3	11835 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 1275 Los Angeles, California 90064	• :							
4	Tel: (310) 312-6800 Fax: (310) 943-2582								
5	Santos Gomez (SBN 172741) Law Offices of Santos Gomez								
6	2901 Park Avenue, Suite B16 Soquel, CA 95073								
7	Tel (831) 471-8780 Fax (831) 471-8774								
8									
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff REGINA GONZALES GOMEZ and								
10	FIDEL GUERRERO COMOFORT								
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
13	REGINA GONZALES GOMEZ and FIDEL GUERRERO COMOFORT, individually and	Case No.							
14	on behalf of others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT							
15	Plaintiff,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL							
16	vs.	DEMAND FOR SURT TRIAL							
17	FERNANDEZ BROTHERS, INC. and DOES 1 through 10,								
18	Defendants.	,							
19	•								
20	,								
21	Plaintiffs Regina Gonzales Gomez and	Fidel Guerrero Comofort ("Plaintiffs"), on behalf of							
22	themselves and all others similarly situated, con	mplain and allege as follows:							
23	INTRODUCTION								
24	1. This case arises out of the failure	e of defendant Fernandez Brothers, Inc. ("Defendant")							
25	to pay seasonal agricultural workers who harvest strawberries ("Field Workers") all the wages owed to								
26	them for rest period or other non-productive we	ork time and the failure to Defendant to provide Field							
27	Workers with second rest periods when they we	ork more than six hours but less than seven and one-half							
28	hours in a workday. As a result, Defendant fail	ls to pay Field Workers all the wages owed to them							
	1								
,	COMPLAINT								

JURISDICTION

.10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. The Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the AWPA, a law of the United States, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 1854. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. The state law claims are so related to Plaintiffs' claims under the AWPA that they form part of the same case or controversy.

VENUE

3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant does business and therefore resides in this district and/or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this district.

THE PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

under the AWPA and state law.

4. Plaintiffs worked as Field Workers for Defendant from approximately May to October 2016. During their employment with Defendant, Plaintiffs were not paid all the minimum wages owed to them for rest period and other non-productive work time, such as performing mandatory morning exercises, attending meetings, or traveling between fields. Plaintiffs were paid hourly wages at the rate of \$5.00 an hour plus piece rate wages based on their piece rate production. As reflected on the wage statements attached hereto as Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs were also paid, for each weekly pay period, one additional hour of pay (at a different rate each pay period) for "ADDL REST PE." This additional

pay, when added to the amount of Plaintiffs' hourly wages for the pay period, was not enough to pay Plaintiffs all the minimum wages owed to them for all their rest period and other non-productive work time during the pay period. This additional pay, when added to the amount of Plaintiffs' hourly wages for the pay period, was also not enough to pay Plaintiffs for all their rest period time during the pay period at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2 (a)(3). During their employment, Plaintiffs were not provided with a second rest period when they worked more than six hours but less than seven and one half hours in a workday, and Plaintiffs were not paid any premium wages on any of the occasions when a required second rest period was not provided. As a result, Plaintiffs were not provided with accurate wage statements, or wage statements that stated the amount of hours engaged in, or the amount of wages paid for, rest period and other non-productive work time; and, when Plaintiffs were laid off at the end of the 2016 harvest seasons, Plaintiffs were not paid all the wages owed to them upon the termination of that season of employment. To date, Defendant has not paid Plaintiffs any of the wages still owed to them or any of the penalty wages still owed to them under Labor Code Section 203 for violation of Labor Code Section 201.

B. Defendants

- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant has been a corporation organized under the law of the State of California with a principal place of business in Salinas, California. Defendant harvests produce at various locations in the state of California. Defendant is or was the employer of Plaintiffs and other similarly situated Field Workers at the time Defendant breached its legal obligations to them as described herein, and Defendant continues to breach legal obligations owed to similarly situated Field Workers currently employed by Defendant.
- 6. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true name, capacity, relationship and extent of participation in the conduct herein alleged, of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, but are informed and believe and thereon alleges that said Defendants are legally responsible for the wrongful conduct alleged herein and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when ascertained.
- 7. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each Defendant acted in all respects pertinent to this action as the agent of the other Defendants, carried out a joint scheme,

business plan or policy in all respects pertinent hereto, and the acts of each Defendant are legally attributable to the other Defendants.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

8. Plaintiffs bring their claims on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated Field Workers (collectively the "Class") as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The members of the Class belong to the Field Worker Class, Rest Periods Class, Second Rest Periods Class, Restitution Class, Wage Statement Class and/or Final Wages Class, which are defined as follows:

Field Workers Class: All persons who, at any time since the date three years before the filing of the complaint in this action, worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries.

Rest Periods Class: All persons who, at any time since January 1, 2016, worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries.

Second Rest Periods Class: All persons who, at any time since the date three years before the filing of the complaint in this action, worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries and worked more than six hours but less than seven and one half hours in a workday.

Restitution Class: All persons who, at any time since the date four years before the filing of the complaint in this action, worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries.

Wage Statement Class: All persons who, at any time since the date one year before the filing of the complaint in this action, worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries.

Final Wages Class: All persons who worked for Defendant in California as a seasonal agricultural worker who performed field work harvesting strawberries who had a period of employment during any harvesting season end at any time since the date three years before the filing of the complaint in this action.

- 9. Plaintiffs' class claims are brought and may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- a. <u>Numerosity</u>. The Class members are so numerous that individual joinder of all of them as plaintiffs is impractical. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiffs at this time, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that there are not less than 50 members in the Field Workers Class, not less than 50 members in the Rest Periods Class, not less than 50 members in the Second Rest Periods Class, not less than 50 members in the Restitution Class, not less than 50 members in the Wage Statement Class, not less than 50 members in the Final Wages Class, and not less than 50 members in the Class as a whole.
- b. <u>Commonality</u>. There are questions of law or fact common to class members. These common questions include, but are not limited to:
 - (1) Does Defendant have a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers minimum wages for all their time spent taking rest periods and engaged in other non-productive work?
 - (2) Has Defendant, since January 2016, had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers for all their rest period time at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2 (a)(3)?
 - (3) Does Defendant have a policy or practice of not providing second rest periods to Field Workers when they work more than six hours but less than seven and one half hours in a workday?
 - (4) Does Defendant have a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers premium wages when required second rest periods are not provided?
 - (5) Did Defendant engage in a "willful" failure to pay wages, thereby making Defendant liable for penalty wages under Labor Code Section 203?
 - (6) Did Defendant fail to provide Field Workers with accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code Section 226 or the AWPA?

- (7) Did Defendant fail to provide Field Workers with accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code Section 226.2 or the AWPA?
- (8) Did Defendant fail to pay Field Workers all wages due in violation of the AWPA?
- c. <u>Typicality</u>. Plaintiffs are members of the Class, and their claims are typical of the claims of the other Class members Plaintiffs seek to represent. Plaintiffs suffered the same kinds of injuries suffered by other Class members and seek the same kind of relief sought by other Class members.
- d. <u>Adequate Representation</u>. Plaintiffs will adequately and fairly protect the interests of the members of the Class. Plaintiffs have no interests adverse to the interests of absent Class members. Plaintiffs are represented by legal counsel with substantial class action experience in civil litigation and employment law.
- 10. This case is brought and may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Questions of law or fact common to class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Class action treatment will allow a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum, simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would require. Further, the monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small, and the burden and expense of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual class members to seek and obtain relief. A class action will serve an important public interest by permitting employees harmed by Defendant's unlawful practices to effectively pursue recovery of the sums owed to them.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILIRE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES

(By Plaintiff and the Field Workers Class against Defendants)

11. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 10 of this complaint as if fully alleged

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

herein.

12. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Field Workers Class were employees of Defendant covered by Labor Code Section 1197.

- 13. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 1197, Plaintiff sand the other members of the Field Workers Class were entitled to minimum wages for all hours engaged in activities deemed compensable hours worked.
- 14. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class all the minimum wages owed to them for all the hours engaged in activities deemed compensable hours worked. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of paying Field Workers, separately from their piece rate wages, an amount of hourly wages that did not pay Field Workers minimum wages for all the time they spent taking rest periods and engaged in other non-productive work activities.
- 15. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid all the minimum wages owed to them.
- 16. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 1194, Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class are entitled to recover the full amount of their unpaid minimum wages, interest thereon, reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 1194.2, Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class are also entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid minimum wages and interest thereon.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES OWED FOR REST PERIOD TIME

(By Plaintiff and the Rest Periods Class against Defendants)

- 17. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 10 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Rest Periods Class were 18. employees of Defendant covered by Labor Code Section 226.2.
 - Pursuant to Labor Code Section 226.2, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Rest 19.

Periods Class were entitled to wages for rest period time at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2 (a)(3).

- 20. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Rest Periods Class all the wages owed to them for all their rest period time. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of paying Field Workers, separately from their piece rate wages, an amount of hourly wages that did not pay Field Workers wages for rest period time at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2 (a)(3).
- 21. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Rest Periods Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid all the wages owed to them for their rest periot time.
- 22. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 218 and 218.5, Plaintiffs and other members of the Rest Periods Class are entitled to recover the full amount of their unpaid wages reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 218.6 or Civil Code Section 3287(a), Plaintiffs and other members of the Rest Periods Class are entitled to recover prejudgment interest on the amount of their unpaid wages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO PROVIDE SECOND REST PERIODS

(By Plaintiff and the Second Rest Periods Class against Defendants)

- 23. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 10 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 24. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Second Rest Periods Class were employees of Defendant covered by Labor Code Section 226.7 and applicable Wage Orders.
- 25. Pursuant to applicable Wage Orders, Plaintiff and the other members of the Second Rest Periods Class were entitled to rest periods of at least 10 minutes for each four hour period of work.
- 26. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 226.7, Defendant was required to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Second Rest Periods Class premium wages, equal to one hour of pay at their regular rate of pay, for each day that a legally required rest period was not provided.

- 27. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Second Rest Periods Class with all required rest periods in accordance with applicable Wage Orders and failed to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class premium wages in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.7 when required rest periods were not provided. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not providing Field Workers with second rest periods when they worked more than six hours but less than seven and one half hours in a workday and not paying them premium wages when required second rest periods were not provided.
- 28. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Second Rest Periods Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid all the premium wages owed to them when required second rest periods were not provided.
- 29. Plaintiffs and members of the Second Rest Periods Class are entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees in connection with their rest period claims pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine and/or the common fund doctrine.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

UNFAIR COMPETITION

(By Plaintiff and the Restitution Class against Defendants)

- 30. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 29 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 31. The unlawful conduct of Defendant alleged herein constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business and Professions Code Section 17200.
- 32. As a result of Defendant's unfair competition as alleged herein, Plaintiffs and other members of the Restitution Class have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property. Plaintiffs and members of the Restitution Class have been deprived of their rights to be paid all wages owed to them and to be provided all required second rest periods and Plaintiffs and members of the Restitution Class have not been paid all the monies owed to them under California law.
 - 33. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17203, Plaintiffs and other members

of the Restitution Class are entitled to restitution of all wages or other monies owed to them under California law (including interest thereon), in which they had a property interest, which Defendant failed to pay to them. Restitution of the money owed to Plaintiffs and other members of the Restitution Class that Defendant wrongfully withheld and retained by means of engaging in unlawful business practices in violation of California law is necessary to prevent Defendant from becoming unjustly enriched by its failure to comply with California law.

34. Plaintiffs and members of the Restitution Class are entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees in connection with their unfair competition claims pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine and/or the common fund doctrine.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATE WAGE STATEMENTS

(By Plaintiffs and the Wage Statement Class against Defendants)

- 35. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 29 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 36. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other members of the Wage Statement Class were employees of Defendant covered by Labor Code Sections 226 and 226.2.
- 37. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 226(a), Plaintiffs and the other members of the Wage Statement Class were entitled to receive, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, an accurate itemized wage statement showing, *inter alia*, the total amount of gross wages earned during the pay period, the total amount of net wages earned during the pay period, the total number of hours worked during the pay period, and all the applicable hourly rates of pay during the pay period. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 226.2(a)(2), Plaintiffs and the other members of the Wage Statement Class were entitled to receive, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, an accurate itemized wage statement stating, separately from the information reequired by Section 226(a), the total hours of compensable rest periods, the rate of compensation, and the gross wages paid for those rest periods during the pay period.
- 38. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class accurate itemized statements in accordance with Labor Code Section 226(a) and

226.2(a)(2). Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers all the wages owed to them, which resulted in members of the Wage Statement Class not receiving accurate wage statements in violation of Section 226(a), and Defendant had a policy or practice of providing Field Workers with wage statements which, in violation of Section 226.2, did not state the total hours of compensable rest periods, the rate of compensation, and the gross wages paid for those rest periods during the pay period.

- 34. Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class with accurate wage statements was knowing and intentional. Defendant had the ability to provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class with accurate wage statements but intentionally provided wage statements that Defendant knew did not comply with all the requirements of Labor Code Section 226 or 266.2. Defendant intended and knew that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers all the wages owed to them and Defendant had a policy or practice of providing Field Workers with wage statements that did not state the total hours of compensable rest periods, the rate of compensation, and the gross wages paid for those rest periods during the pay period.
- 35. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class have suffered injury. From the wage statements provided to them alone, Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class could not promptly and easily determine the total amount of gross wages actually earned during the pay period, the total amount of net wages actually earned during the pay period, the total number of hours of compensable rest periods during the pay period, the rate of compensation for rest periods, or the gross wages paid for rest periods during the pay period.
- 36. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 226(e) and 226(a)(2), Plaintiffs and other members of the Wage Statement Class are entitled to recover fifty dollars for the initial pay period in which a violation of Labor Code Section 226 or 226.2 occurred and one hundred dollars for each violation of Labor Code Section 226 or 226.2 in every subsequent pay period, not to an exceed an aggregate civil penalty of four thousand dollars per employee.
 - 37. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 218, 226(e), 226(g) and 226.2(a)(2), Plaintiffs and

other members of the Wage Statement Class are entitled to recover the full amount of civil penalties due under Labor Code Section 226(e), reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO PAY ALL WAGES UPON TERMINATION

(By Plaintiff and the Final Wages Class against Defendants)

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 29 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 40. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Final Wages Class were employees of Defendant covered by Labor Code Sections 201 or 202.
- 41. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 201 or 202, Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class were entitled upon termination to timely payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to termination. Discharged employees (including employees subject to seasonal layoffs) were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to discharge immediately upon termination. Employees who resigned were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to resignation within 72 hours after giving notice of resignation or, if they gave 72 hours previous notice, they were entitled to payment of all wages earned and unpaid prior to resignation.
- 42. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class all wages earned and unpaid prior to termination in accordance with Labor Code Sections 201 or 202. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon alleges that at all relevant times within the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers all the wages owed to them, which resulted in Defendant failing to pay them all the wages owed to them upon termination.
- 43. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiffs and members of the Final Wages Class all wages earned prior to termination in accordance with Labor Code Sections 201 or 202 was willful.

 Defendant had the ability to pay all wages earned by members of the Final Wages Class prior to termination in accordance with Labor Code Sections 201 or 202, but intentionally adopted policies or practices incompatible with the requirements of Labor Code Sections 201 or 202. Defendant intended and knew that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy

or practice of not paying Field Workers minimum wages for all time spent taking rest periods and engaged in other non-productive work, not paying Field Workers wages for rest periods at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2(a)(3), and/or not paying premium wages when required second rest periods were not provided.

- 44. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 201 or 202, Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class are entitled to all wages earned prior to termination that Defendant failed to pay them.
- 45. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 203, Plaintiff and other members of the Final Wages Class are entitled to penalty wages for each termination of employment, from the day their earned and unpaid wages were due upon termination until paid, up to a maximum of 30 days of penalty wages for each termination of employment.
- 46. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid for all wages earned prior to termination.
- 47. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid all penalty wages owed under Labor Code Section 203.
- 48. Pursuant to Labor Code Sections 218 and 218.5, Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class are entitled to recover the full amount of their unpaid wages, penalty wages under Labor Code Section 203, reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit. Pursuant to Labor Code Section 218.6 or Civil Code Section 3287(a), Plaintiffs and other members of the Final Wages Class are entitled to recover prejudgment interest on the amount of their unpaid wages and unpaid penalty wages.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE AWPA

(By Plaintiff and the Field Workers Class against Defendants)

- 49. Plaintiffs incorporate paragraphs 1 through 48 of this complaint as if fully alleged herein.
 - 50. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Field Workers Class were

"seasonal agricultural workers" within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 1802(10).

- 50. At all relevant times, Defendant was an "agricultural employer" within the meaniong of 29 U.S.C. § 1802(2).
- 51. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1832, Defendant was required to pay Plaintiffs and the other members of the Field Workers Class all the wages owed to them when due.
- 52. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class all the wages owed to them when due in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1832. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon alleges that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers all the wages owed to them, which resulted in Defendant failing to pay them all the wages due to them each payday and/or all the wages due to them upon termination.
- 53. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1821(d), Defendant was required to provide Plaintiffs and the other members of the Field Workers Class itemized wage statements for each pay period that accurately set forth the number of hours they worked and their total pay period earnings.
- 54. Defendant failed to pay provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class with accurate wage statements in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1821(d). Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereon allegse that, during the limitations period applicable to this cause of action, Defendant had a policy or practice of not paying Field Workers all the wages owed to them, which resulted in Field Workers not receiving accurate wage statements.
- 55. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class all the wages owed to them when due in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1832 and Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class with accurate wage statements in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 1821(d) were intentional. Defendant consciously and deliberately failed to pay Field Workers minimum wages for all time spent taking rest periods and engaged in other non-productive work, wages for rest periods at a regular hourly rate determined in accordance with Labor Code Section 226.2(a)(3), and/or premium wages when required second rest periods were not provided.
- 56. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and other members of the Field Workers Class have suffered damages in an amount, subject to proof, to the extent they were not paid

COMPLAINT

Case 5:17-cv-01863 Document 1 Filed 04/04/17 Page 16 of 16

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury for themselves and all others similarly situated on all claims so triable. Dated: March 31, 2017 KARASIK LAW FIRM LAW OFFICES OF SANTOS GOMEZ $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ /s/ Gregory N. Karasik Gregory N. Karasik Attorneys for Plaintiff

> COMPLAINT

EXHIBIT 1

Case 5:17-cv-01863 Document 1-1 Filed 04/04/17 Page 2 of 3 FERNANDEZ BROTHERS, INC. . PAYROLL 106737 EIN: 743063877 Pay period 07/03 thru 07/09/16 Check Date: 07/15/16 .Check #106737 Hours: 98.50 \$726.64 Emp #6713 FIDEL GUERRERO COMOFORT 1217 E ALISAL ST SALINAS CA 93905 SSN: 8742 Sick Leave Hours: Used 0.0 Hrs Available 08/08/16 27.3 Hrs FICA 49.91 FIT Gross Date SDI Advance Other Deducts 07/15/16 805.01 **0.00** 9.54 7.25 0.00 0.00. YTD 6839.87 99.17 112.23 6.68 424.07 61.55 0.00 0.00 Hours Quantity Desc Gross Ent# Operation Desc Memo Tue 07/05 5.0000 10.00 HRS X 50.00 200 HARVESTING Tue 07/05 10.00 96.0000 FLAT/ X 1.4000 134.40 200 HARVESTING Wed 07/06 10.00 HRS 5.0000 50.00 200 **HARVESTING** Wed 07/06 10.00 90,0000 FLAT/ X 1.4000 == 126.00 **HARVESTING** Thu 07/07 10.00 5.0000 HRS x 50.00 -- 200 **HARVESTING** Thu 07/07 10.00 77.0000 FLAT/x 1,4000 107.80 200 200 HARVESTING HRS XH 0 15,0000 S Fri 07/08 **35, 50,00** 10.00 **HARVESTING** 710000 Fri 07/08 10.00 99.40 200 **HARVESTING** Sat 07/09 8.75 HRS x 5.0000 *** 43.75 200 **HARVESTING** Sat 07/09 8.75 59,0000 FLAT/ x 1.4000 82.60 200 **HARVESTING** Sat 07/09 1.00 HRS x 11.0600 11.06 200 ADDL REST PE FERNANDEZ BROTHERS, INC. . PAYROLL 106344 EIN: 743063877 Pay period 06/19 thru 06/25/16 Check Date: 07/01/16 Check #106344 \$604.64 Hours: 83.50 Emp #6713 FIDEL GUERRERO COMOFORT 1217 E ALISAL ST SALINAS CA 93905 SSN: 8742 Other Deducts Date Gross SDI Advance **40**.89 0.00 9.59 07/01/16 0.00 661.17 5.95 0.00 0.00 317/12 6:68 YTD 5114.86 81.65 74.16 46.02 0.00 0.00 Quantity Ent# Operation Desc Memo Day Hours. Desc Gross Rate Mon 06/20 HRS = HARVESTING 10.00 5.0000 50.00 200 -Mon 06/20 9.16 76.0000 FLAT/ x 1.4000 106.40 200 **HARVESTING** UNCJI X HRS X FLAT/ X HRS X 7.0000 Mon 06/20 0.84 6500 11.55 200 **HARVESTING** 5.0000 = 1.4000 = 5.0000 = 50.00 Wed 06/22 10.00 200 **HARVESTING** 77.0000 Wed 06/22 107.80 10.00 200 **HARVESTING** Thu 06/23 10.00 erine of 50.00 200 HARVESTING #LAT/ & R O 1/4000 R \$ Thu 06/23 10.00 102.20 200 HARVESTING Fri 06/24 10.00 HARVESTING 50.00 200 75,0000 FLAT/ x **HARVESTING** Fri 06/24 10.00 1.4000 105.00 200 Sat 06/25 1.25 HARVESTING HRS X 5.0000 6.25 200 Sat 06/25 1.25 9.0000 FLAT/ x 1.4000 12.60 200 **HARVESTING** ADDL'REST PE Sat 06/25 9.37 200 1.00 HRS x 9.3700 FERNANDEZ BROTHERS, INC. · PAYROLL 106174 Hours: 121.00 \$915.62 Pay period 06/12 thru-96/18/16 Check #106174 Check Date: 06/24/16 Emp #6713 FIDEL GUERRERO COMOFORT 1217 E ALISAL ST SALINAS, CA 93905 SSN: 8742 FICA 64-58 276-13 Medic SDI Advance Other Deducts Date Gross FIT 0.00 0.00 9.37 3.78 15.10 06/24/16 1041.65 33.20 0.00 0.00 81.65 6.68 64.57 40.07 YTD 4453.69 Memo -Quantity Gross Ent# **Operation Desc** Hours . Day TW HARVESTING HRS 5.0000 50.00 200 Mon 06/13 10.00 HARVESTING 121.80 200 1,4000 Mon 06/13 10.00 87.0000 FLAT/ x HARVESTING 50.00 200_M Tue 06/14 10.00 HRS X 5.0000 200 200 FLAT/ X HRS X Tue 06/14 100,0000 1,4000 140.00 HARVESTING 10.00 5.0000 50.00 **HARVESTING** Wed 06/15 10.00 88.0000 1,4000 = **HARVESTING** 200 Wed 06/15 10.00 FLAT/ X 123 20 R HRS X R O 5,0000 1,4000 **HARVESTING** Thu 06/16 10.00 86.0000 R 50.00 200

5,0000

1.4000

5.0000

1.4000

15.0500

HRS x

FLAT/ x

HRS X

FLAT/ x

HRS x

82,0000

76.0000

Thu 06/16

Fri 06/17

Fri 06/17

Sat 06/18

Sat 06/18

Sat 06/18

10.00

10.00

10.00

10.00

10.00

1.00

120.40

50.00

114.80

50.00

15.05

106,40

200

200

200

200

200

200

HARVESTING

HARVESTING

HARVESTING

HARVESTING

HARVESTING

ADDL REST PE

	est,							1000
EIN:	743063877 Pa	ay period 07/17 tl	hru 07/23/16	Check Da	ite: 07/29/16	Check #1070	79 \$273.83	Hours: 41.00
Emp'	#6715 REGINA GONZ	ALEZ GOMEZ	1217 E ALISAL	ST SALINAS C	A 93905 SSN	: 5847		
	Sick Leave Hours: L	Jsed 0.0 Hrs	Available 08/08	3/16 30.0 Hrs		1 .		
	Date	Gross	FIT	SIT	FICA N	fedic :	SDI Advance	Other Deducts
	07/29/16	299.42	0.00	5 0.00 N	18:56	4.34 2	,69 0.00	0.00
	YTD	8056.85	125.94	8.47	99.53 (11	6.82 72	.51 0.00	0.00
	·						2	
				W. Halley		L		
	Day	Hours	Quantity	Desc	∕ Rate /	Gross Ent#		Memo
	Fri 07/22			HRS X	5.0000 = '''	50.00 200	HARVESTING	
3 "	Fri 07/22		69,0000	FLAT/ X	1.4000 =	96.60 200	HARVESTING	
2.43	Sat 07/23		and a com-	HRS X	5.0000 =	50.00 200	HARVESTING	
10	Sat 07/23	10.00	71.0000	FLAT/ X	1 4000 =	99 40 200	HARVESTING	*.
	Sat 07/23	1.00		FLAT/X HRS X	3 4200 =	3,42 200	ADDL REST PE	* 4 .
9			Media Legar				('	tet 6
		3.0					·	1
		dal.	BER	n: a.n.v	WERS			7
•	φ.	•4	ş.*	2 2	Į.	•		
					78			

*	<i>‡</i>									
FER	NANDEZ BRÖTHERS	, INC PA	/ROLL				•		400	maia
•	*	198			5.				106	739
EIN:	743063877 Pay	period 07/03	thru 07/09/16	Check	Date: 07/15/16	Check	c#106739	\$723.08	Hours: 98.5	io
	6715 REGINA GONZA					N: 5847		. (1770
	Sick Leave Hours: Us			08/16 27.3 Hrs		1		•		
	Date	Gross	FIT	SIE	#FICA -	Medic	SDI	Advance	Other Deducts	٤.,
	07/15/16	800.64	9.10	0.00	CONTRACTOR OF STANDARD CONTRACTOR	M4.61	7.21	0.00	0.00	
	YTD	6989.70	120.13	8.47	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	01.35	62.91	0.00	0.00	
	110	0000.10	120.10			01.00)2.01	0.05	0.00	
				4.5			くだちょう			
		,	~) /			
	Day *	Hours	Quantity	Desc	Rate	Gross	Ent# Operat	ion Desc	Memo	
	Tue 07/05	10.00		HRS x	5.0000 ⊒ ™	50.00		ESTING	1	
	Tue 07/05	10.00	93.0000	FLAT/ x	1.4000 /=	130.20		ESTING	N	
1	Wed 07/06	10.00	Jan. 1.11.	HRS	5.0000 =	50.00		ESTING		
	Wed 07/06	10.00	92,0000	FLAT/x		128.80	200 HARV	ESTING		4
	. Thu 07/07	10.00	77:0000	FLATV X	5.0000, =	50,00		ESTING		
	Thu 07/07	10.00	77 0000	FLAT/x,	5.0000, = -1.4000 = - 5.0000 =	107.80	200 HARV	ESTING		
	Fri 07/08	10.00		HRS x	5.0000 =	50.00	200 HARV	ESTING		
ž.	Fri 07/08	10.00	72,0000	R FLAT/3xA () \4.4000 S=	100.80	200 . HARV	ESTING		
	Sat 07/09	8.75		HRS x	5.0000 =	43.75	200 HARV	ESTING		
	Sat 07/09	8.75	56.0000	FLAT/ x	1.4000 =	78.40		ESTING		
	Cot 07/00	1.00		UDC v	10 9000	10.00		DECT DE	`	

				denote a consession of the					
FERNANDEZ BR	OTHERS, INC P.	AYROLL					,		***
			5	8			· · ·	106	346
EIN: 743063877	Pay period 06/19	thru 06/25/16	Check	Date: 07/01/16	Che	ck #106346	\$623-42	Hours: 83.50	
Emp #6715 REGINA	GONZALEZ GOMEZ	2 1217 E ALISAL	_ST SALINAS	CA 93905 SSN	1: 5847				
Date	Gross	Fir			Ź				
07/01/16		FIT			Medic	SDI	Advance	Other Deducts	
	681.71	0.00	` ◆ 0.00.	42.27	9.88	6.14	0.00	0.00	
YTD	5247.32	87.82	847	325.34	76.08	47.22	0.00	0.00	
•	•		1.00		#	, ,	4.00	0.00	
					,				
	X X	4.4	V		i				
D	ay Hours	Quantity	Desc	Rate	Gross	Ent# Opera	tion Dose	Memo	
Mon	06/20 10.00		HRS	5.0000 = TM				Memo	4 ·
· Mon	06/20 9.05.	76,0000	12.20		50.00		VESTING	3=	
	06/20 0.95	0.0000	TLANT X	1.4000 = 8	106.40		VESTING		
		8,0000	FLAT/ x UNCJ1 x HRS x	1.6500 =	13.20	200 HAR	/ESTING	No. of the	
	06/22 10.00		HRS X	5.0000 = 3	50.00	200 HAR	/ESTING	•	
	06/22 10.00		FLAT/ X	1,4000 =	116.20		ESTING	*	
Thu	06/23 10.00	alone stilly	HRS x	5.0000 =	50.00		/ESTING		
Thu	06/23 10.00	75.0000 🖂	THE RITTE	4 4000	105.00	200 HAR		S#	
Fri	06/24 10.00	TEFE M	HRS X	O 1,4000 R S			/ESTING	-	
	06/24 10.00	80.0000	FLAT/ x		50.00		/ESTING		
X	06/25 1.25	00.0000		1.4000 =	112.00		ESTING .		
	06/25 1.25	0.0000	HRS x	5.0000 =	6.25	200 HAR	/ESTING		

10.0600

12.60 10.06

200 200

HARVESTING ADDL REST PE

HRS x

Sat 06/25

Sat 06/25

1.25

1.00

9,0000

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 07/16)

JS-CAND 44 (Rev. 07/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	ITS				
	MEZ and FIDEL GUERRERO and on behalf of others similarly	situated,	FERNANDEZ BROTHERS, INC. and DOES 1 through 10,					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, a	u U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) and Telephone Number) ASIK LAW FIRM (SBN 1158) evard, Suite 1275	34)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Monterey (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITE	ZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL P	PARTIES (Place of			
	deral Question I.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF and One Box for Defendan PTF D Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State 4 I					
	iversity dicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	and w	Another State Subject of a	′	of Business In Another State			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place a	n "X" in One Box Only)							
	TORTS		BEITURE/PENALT		KRUPTCY	OTHER STA	ATUTES	
120 Marine	Airplane Product Liability Assault, Libel & Slander Federal Employers' Liability Marine Product Liability Marine Product Liability Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Assentance Motor Vehicle Product Liability PRSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damag Product Liability Malpractice Property Damag Product Liability Malpractice PRISONER PETTIVE Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & O 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee— Conditions of Confinement	Ty 690 0 6	EABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act ramily and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions	### 123 With 28 U PROPE \$20 Copy \$30 Paten \$40 Trade \$61 HIA \$62 Black \$63 DIW \$64 SSID \$65 RSI (SC § 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt emark LSECURITY (1395ff) 3 Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	Corrupt C 480 Consumer 490 Cable/Sat 850 Securities. Exchange 890 Other Stat 891 Agricultur 893 Environm 895 Freedom of Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administra	(31 USC)) pportionment d Banking e on Influenced and Organizations c Credit TV //Commodities/ e tutory Actions ral Acts tental Matters of Information n tive Procedure wo or Appeal of ecision onality of	
1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed for State Court Cite to 29 US	om 3 Remanded from 4	Reinstated or Reopened	Another l (specify)	District	6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transi ersity):	fer 8 Multidis Litigatio	trict n-Direct File	
VII. REQUESTED IN CI	action claims of farm workers for unpaid w HECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION NDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.		EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No					
VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNM	ENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)	NOTOCO	OAKLAND 5			MOVENI EN		
(Place an "X" in One Box Only) DATE: 03/31/2017	SAN FRA			SAN JOSE ORD: s/ Grea		-MCKINLEY	VILLE	

JS-CAND 44 (rev. 07/16)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)."
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - (3) <u>Federal question</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) <u>Diversity of citizenship</u>. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)**
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) <u>Transferred from Another District</u>. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) <u>Multidistrict Litigation Transfer</u>. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
 - <u>Please note that there is no Origin Code 7</u>. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
 - Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 - Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment. If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated."

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit: Fernandez Brothers Owe Strawberry Harvesters Unpaid Wages</u>