

YES NO

EXHIBITS

CASE NO. 25CH00769

DATE: 1-23-25

CASE TYPE: Class Action

PAGE COUNT: 13

CASE NOTE

FILED DATE: 1/23/2025 7:46 PM 2025CH00769

For updated information about your case, including hearings, subsequent filings and other case information, please visit our Online Case Search and search for your case: <https://casesearch.cookcountyclerkofcourt.org>

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION**

MEGAN GIBSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMPASS GROUP USA, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 2025CH00769

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Megan Gibson, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this Class Action Complaint against Defendant Compass Group USA, Inc. for violation of the Illinois Genetic Information Privacy Act, 410 ILCS 513/1, *et seq.* (“GIPA”), and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge as to herself and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including an investigation conducted by her attorneys.

INTRODUCTION

1. This case is about Defendant requiring that prospective employees provide their genetic information as a condition of applying for employment with the company, in clear violation of GIPA.

2. Genetic information, including familial health history, is a uniquely private and sensitive form of personal information. This genetic information reveals a trove of intimate information about that person’s health, family, and innate characteristics. In recognition of the private and sensitive nature of genetic information, the Illinois Legislature enacted GIPA to regulate the use, transfer, and retention of an individual’s genetic information. GIPA bestows a right to privacy in one’s genetic information and a right to prevent the solicitation of, collection,

or disclosure of such information. In enacting GIPA, the Illinois Legislature recognized that “[d]espite existing laws, regulations, and professional standards which require or promote voluntary and confidential use of genetic testing information, many members of the public are deterred from seeking genetic testing because of fear that test results will be disclosed without consent in a manner not permitted by law or will be used in a discriminatory manner.” *See* 410 ILCS 513/5(2).

3. It was precisely this risk of using genetic information in a discriminatory manner that led the Illinois Legislature to amend GIPA in 2008 to prohibit employers from soliciting, requesting or requiring applicants to provide genetic information as a condition of employment, or otherwise use genetic information in its hiring decisions. Specifically, GIPA provides that an employer, employment agency, labor organization, or licensing agency shall not directly or indirectly do any of the following:

- (1) solicit, request, require or purchase genetic testing or genetic information of a person or family member of the person, or administer a genetic test to a person or a family member of the person as a condition of employment, preemployment application, labor organization membership, or licensure;
- (2) affect the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, preemployment application, labor organization membership, or licensure, or terminate the employment, labor organization membership, or licensure of any person because of genetic testing or genetic information with respect to the employee or family member, or information about a request for or the receipt of genetic testing by such employee or family member of such employee;
- (3) limit, segregate, or classify employees in any way that would deprive or tend to deprive any employee of employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affect the status of the employee as an employee because of genetic testing or genetic information with respect to the employee or a family member, or information about a request for, or the receipt of genetic testing or genetic information by such employee or family member of such employee; and
- (4) retaliate through discharge or in any other manner against any person alleging a violation of this Act or participating in any manner in a proceeding under this Act.

410 ILCS 513/25(c).

4. GIPA defines “genetic information” as information pertaining to: (i) an individual’s genetic tests; (ii) the genetic tests of family members of the individual; (iii) the manifestation of a disease or disorder in family members of such individual; or (iv) any request for, or receipt of, genetic services, or participation in clinical research which includes genetic services, by the individual or any family member of the individual. 410 ILCS 513/10, by reference to 45 C.F.R. § 160.103.

5. During the applicable statutory period, Defendant has systematically required applicants for employment to provide their protected familial medical histories (specifically information about disease or disorders in family members) as a condition of applying for employment. To this day, Defendant continues to engage in these practices.

6. GIPA clearly prohibits what Defendant has done. By requiring prospective employees, including Plaintiff and members of the Class (defined below), to disclose their family medical histories as a condition of applying for employment, Defendant violated Plaintiff’s and the putative Class members’ GIPA-protected rights to keep their genetic information private.

7. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the members of the Class, seeks monetary and injunctive relief to redress Defendant’s violations of GIPA.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Megan Gibson is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a resident and citizen of Brookfield, Illinois.

9. Defendant Compass Group USA, Inc., a food services company, is incorporated in Delaware, maintains its corporate headquarters in Charlotte, North Carolina, and employs numerous persons in Illinois, including at locations in Cook County, Illinois.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-209 in accordance with the Illinois Constitution and the Constitution of the United States because Defendant is doing business within this state, because Plaintiff and all members of the putative class are residents and citizens of Illinois, and because the claims of Plaintiff and all members of the putative Class arise out of Defendant's unlawful in-state actions, as Defendant directly or indirectly solicited, requested, and/or required that Plaintiff and all members of the putative Class provide Defendant their genetic information, in Illinois, as a condition of applying for employment by Defendant in Illinois.

11. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant engages in business (including hiring and employing persons, including Plaintiff) in this county and thus resides in this county pursuant to 735 ILCS § 5/2-102(a).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

I. Illinois's Genetic Information Privacy Act

12. The genomic revolution has ushered in significant advancements in biological sciences and medicine over recent decades. Modern genomic technologies now enable individuals to uncover genealogical information about themselves and their relatives, discover genetic predispositions to diseases before symptoms appear, and in some cases, prevent and treat such diseases proactively.

13. These benefits have been accompanied by a dramatic reduction in genetic testing costs. The expense of collecting and analyzing a complete individual human genome has plummeted from over \$100 million in 2001 to under \$1,000 in 2022.¹ However, with the increase

¹ <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/fact-sheets/DNA-Sequencing-Costs-Data>.

in accessibility of genetic testing and genetic information came an increased risk that such information would be abused or used in a discriminatory manner.

14. To address these concerns, Illinois, in 1998, enacted the Genetic Information Privacy Act (GIPA), 410 ILCS513/1 *et seq.*, which regulates the use, receipt, transmission, and retention of individuals' genetic information. A decade later, acknowledging the risk that employers would use or had been using individuals' genetic information in discriminatory ways, GIPA was amended to include protections against such discrimination by employers. Specifically, GIPA now prohibits employers from directly or indirectly soliciting, requesting, requiring, or purchasing genetic testing or genetic information of an individual or their family members as a condition of employment, preemployment application, labor organization membership, or licensure.

15. GIPA defines an employer, in relevant part, as "every [] person employing employees within the state." 410 ILCS 513/10.

16. GIPA provides for statutory damages of \$15,000 for each reckless or intentional violation of GIPA and, alternatively, damages of \$2,500 for each negligent violation of GIPA. 410 ILCS 513/40(a)(3).

II. Defendant violates GIPA

17. Defendant is an "employer" as defined by GIPA, as it employs employees within the state of Illinois, including in Cook County, Illinois.

18. As part of its standardized hiring process, Defendant requires all prospective employees to undergo a physical examination and answer questions regarding their family medical history, i.e., the manifestation of diseases or disorders in family members.

19. In addition to the above statutorily prohibited conduct, Defendant failed to inform Plaintiff or any members of the putative Class of their right not to answer any questions regarding or otherwise provide any of their genetic information as a condition of applying for employment with Defendant.

20. By soliciting, requesting, and/or requiring that Plaintiff and all members of the putative Class provide their genetic information to Defendant as a condition of applying for employment, without first informing Plaintiff or any member of the putative Class of their right not to provide such information, Defendant violated GIPA on a massive scale.

III. Plaintiff Megan Gibson's experience

21. In or around August 2021, Plaintiff Megan Gibson applied for a job with Defendant at one of Defendant's locations in La Grange, Illinois.

22. As a condition of applying for employment, Defendant solicited, requested, and/or required that Plaintiff submit to a physical examination and provide information concerning her family medical history, i.e. the manifestation of diseases or disorders in her family members, to Defendant (or another person acting on Defendant's behalf). Such questions included whether Plaintiff's family members had a history of diabetes, heart disease, kidney disease and/or other medical conditions.

23. In response, Plaintiff disclosed her genetic information, including diseases and disorders with which her family members have been diagnosed in order to apply for employment by Defendant.

24. Thus, Plaintiff's sensitive genetic information was solicited, requested, and/or required by Defendant as a condition of Plaintiff's employment.

25. Prior to requesting and requiring that Plaintiff provide such information, neither Defendant nor any other person acting on its behalf informed Plaintiff, either verbally, in writing, or otherwise, of her right not to disclose such information as a condition of applying for employment with Defendant.

26. The information Defendant requested and/or required Plaintiff to provide as a condition of applying for employment, as alleged above, constituted “genetic information” within the meaning of GIPA (including the applicable provisions of HIPAA referenced and incorporated therein).

27. None of Defendant’s solicitations, requests, and/or requirements for Plaintiff to provide her genetic information to Defendant were made in furtherance of a workplace wellness program.

28. By requiring Plaintiff to answer questions about her family medical history as a condition of applying for employment, Defendant directly or indirectly solicited, requested, and/or required Plaintiff to disclose her genetic information in violation of GIPA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

29. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to 735 ILCS § 5/2-801 on behalf of herself and the following similarly situated individuals, defined as follows (the “Class”):

All individuals in Illinois from whom Defendant, or an agent acting on behalf of Defendant, solicited, requested, and/or required, within the applicable limitation period, family medical history or other genetic information as a condition of applying for employment by Defendant.

30. The following are excluded from the Class: (1) any Judge presiding over this action and members of his or her family; (2) Defendant, Defendant’s subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which Defendant or its parent has a controlling interest (as well as current or former employees, officers and directors); (3) persons who properly execute and file a

timely request for exclusion from the Class; (4) persons whose claims in this matter have been finally adjudicated on the merits or otherwise released; (5) Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant's counsel; and (6) the legal representatives, successors, and assigns of any such excluded persons.

31. **Numerosity:** Pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801(1), the number of persons within the Class is substantial, believed to amount to thousands of persons. It is, therefore, impractical to join each member of the Class as a named plaintiff. Further, the size and relatively modest value of the claims of the individual members of the Class renders joinder impractical. Accordingly, utilization of the class action mechanism is the most economically feasible means of determining and adjudicating the merits of this litigation. Moreover, the Class is ascertainable and identifiable from Defendant's records.

32. **Commonality and Predominance:** Pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801(2), there are well-defined common questions of fact and law that exist as to all members of the Class and that predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from class member to class member, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) Whether Defendant's conduct is subject to GIPA;
- (b) Whether Defendant directly or indirectly solicited Plaintiff and the other class members' genetic information as a condition of employment or through their preemployment application in violation of 410 ILCS 513/25(c)(1);
- (c) Whether Defendant's violations of GIPA were negligent, reckless, or intentional; and
- (d) Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to damages and injunctive relief.

33. **Adequate Representation:** Pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801(3), Plaintiff has retained and is represented by qualified and competent counsel who are highly experienced in complex

consumer class action litigation. Plaintiff and her counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this class action. Moreover, Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the above-defined Class. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel has any interest adverse to, or in conflict with, the interests of the absent members of the Class. Plaintiff has raised viable statutory claims of the type reasonably expected to be raised by members of the Class and will vigorously pursue those claims. If necessary, Plaintiff may seek leave of court to amend this Class Action Complaint to include additional representatives to represent the Class or additional claims as may be appropriate.

34. **Superiority:** Pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801(4), a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual litigation of the claims of all Class members is impracticable. Even if every member of the Class could afford to pursue individual litigation, the Court system could not. It would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which individual litigation of numerous cases would proceed. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. By contrast, the maintenance of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented herein, presents few management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system and protects the rights of each member of the Class. Plaintiff anticipates no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action. Class-wide relief is essential to compel compliance with GIPA.

CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of the Illinois Genetic Information Privacy Act, 410 ILCS 513/1, et seq.
(On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

35. Plaintiff fully incorporates all paragraphs above.

36. Defendant is an employer under 410 ILCS 513/10 in that it employs employees within the state of Illinois.

37. GIPA defines “genetic information” by reference to HIPAA, 45 C.F.R. § 160.103, to include the manifestation of a disease or disorder in family members of an individual.

38. Under GIPA, an employer shall not directly or indirectly solicit, request, require or purchase genetic information of a person or a family member of the person as a condition of applying for employment. 410 ILCS 513/25(c)(1).

39. As a condition of Plaintiff and the putative members of the Class applying for employment, Defendant solicited, requested and/or required, either directly or indirectly by another person acting on its behalf and at its direction, that Plaintiff and the members of the Class undergo physical exams and answer questions regarding their family medical history, i.e. the manifestation of a disease or disorder in family members. In doing so, Defendant directly or indirectly solicited, requested, and required Plaintiff and the members of the Class to disclose their genetic information as a condition of applying for employment.

40. The information that Defendant solicited, requested, and/or required from Plaintiff and the members of Class as a condition of applying for employment, as described above, constitutes “genetic information” that is protected by GIPA. 410 ILCS 513/1.

41. Prior to soliciting, requesting, and/or requiring genetic information from Plaintiff and the members of the Class as a condition of applying for employment, Defendant failed to

advise Plaintiff or any other Class members, either verbally, in writing, or otherwise, of their statutorily protected rights under GIPA not to provide such information.

42. Defendant also solicited, requested and/or required that Plaintiff and members of the Class provide other personally identifying information, including their full names, home addresses, dates of birth, Social Security numbers and/or gender, as part of their employment applications, including during the physical exams they underwent.

43. Plaintiff and all members of the Class have been aggrieved by Defendant's above violations of their statutorily protected rights to privacy in their genetic information as set forth in GIPA.

44. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated GIPA, and at the very least acted in reckless disregard of the statute's requirements, because the part of the statute relevant to this action has been in effect since 2009; because, on information and belief, Defendant maintains a legal department that routinely performs legal compliance audits (including those required pursuant to applicable regulations in its industry), rendering it well equipped to identify and implement measures to comply with all applicable laws, including GIPA; and because Defendant nonetheless failed to take any steps, implement any measures, or otherwise take any effort to comply with GIPA prior to requesting, and/or requiring Plaintiff and members of the Class to provide their genetic information as a condition of applying for employment by Defendant.

45. Alternatively, Defendant violated GIPA negligently in that any steps it took, measures it implemented, or any other efforts it undertook to comply with GIPA were unreasonable under the circumstances, particularly in light of the nature of Defendant's business and the standard of conduct expected of it in the industry and field in which it operates.

46. On behalf of herself and the proposed members of the Class, Plaintiff seeks: (1) injunctive and equitable relief as is necessary to protect her interests and the interests of the members of the Class by requiring Defendant to destroy the genetic information it solicited, requested, and/or required from them in violation of GIPA and to comply with GIPA's requirements in the future; (2) statutory damages of \$15,000 for each reckless or intentional violation of GIPA pursuant to 410 ILCS 513/40(a)(2); (3) statutory damages of \$2,500 for each negligent violation of GIPA pursuant to 410 ILCS 513/40(a)(1); and (4) reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and other litigation expenses pursuant to 410 ILCS 513/40(a)(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

47. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Megan Gibson, individually and on behalf of the proposed Class, respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- A. Certifying the Class as defined above, appointing Plaintiff as class representative, and her attorneys as class counsel;
- B. Declaring that Defendant's actions, as set forth herein, violate GIPA;
- C. Awarding injunctive and equitable relief as necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiff and the Class by requiring Defendant to destroy the genetic information it solicited, requested, and/or required from Plaintiff and members of the Class in violation of GIPA and to comply with GIPA's requirements in the future;
- D. Awarding statutory damages of \$15,000 for each reckless or intentional violation of GIPA, or alternatively, \$2,500 for each negligent violation of GIPA;
- E. Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and other litigation expenses;
- F. Awarding pre- and post-judgment interest, as allowable by law; and
- G. Such further and other relief the Court deems reasonable and just.

JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues and claims so triable.

Date: January 23, 2025

s/ Carl V. Malmstrom

Carl V. Malmstrom
**WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER
FREEMAN & HERZ LLC**
Attorney No. 38819
111 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1700
Chicago, IL 60604
Tel: (312) 984-0000
Fax: (212) 686-0114
malmstrom@whafh.com

Local Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class

HEDIN LLP
Julie Holt*
1395 Brickell Avenue, Ste 610
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel: (305) 357-2107
Fax: (305) 200-8801
E-mail: jholt@hedinllp.com

**Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming*

Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Compass Group USA Illegally Requests Family Medical Histories from Illinois Applicants, Class Action Lawsuit Claims](#)
