1	JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ	
2	(SBN 278028) THE LAW OFFICES OF	
3	JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ	
	11845 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 800	
4	Los Angeles, California 90064	
5	Tel: (323) 979-2063	
6	Fax: (323) 488-6748	
7	Email: jonathan.a.stieglitz@gmail.com	
8	Yitzchak Zelman, Esq.,	
9	MARCUS & ZELMAN, LLC	
	1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101	
10	Ocean, New Jersey 07712 Tel: (732) 695-3282	
11	Fax: (732) 298-6256	
12	Email: <u>yzelman@marcuszelman.com</u>	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	Pro Hac Vice Motion To Be Filed	
15	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE CENTRAL DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
		MCI OI CILLII OM III
16		Mer of chemomy
17		7
	BRITTANI GATTONI.	
17	BRITTANI GATTONI,	Civil Case No.:
17 18	BRITTANI GATTONI, Plaintiff	Civil Case No.:
17 18 19	,	
17 18 19 20	,	Civil Case No.:
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff -against-	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff -against- PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff -against- PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff -against- PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT BUREAU,	Civil Case No.: CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT and

Plaintiff BRITTANI GATTONI (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a California resident, brings this complaint by and through the undersigned attorneys, against Defendant PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT BUREAU (hereinafter "Defendant" or "PCCB"), for its violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. §1692 (hereinafter "FDCPA"), and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788 et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
- 2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. Id. § 1692k.

3. One of the purposes of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code § 1788 is to prohibit debt collectors from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the collection of consumer debts.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 6. Plaintiff brings this action seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using false, deceptive and misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- 7. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in false, deceptive or misleading practices.
- 8. Defendant's actions violated California Civil Code § 1788 et seq. of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or

practices in the collection of consumer debts.

9. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

- 10.Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of California, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 11.Defendant PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT BUREAU is a collection agency with its principal office located at 637 Lucas Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90017.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 13.Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 14.Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 15. Some time prior to January 10, 2018, an obligation was allegedly incurred to UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB.
- 16. The UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 17. The alleged UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(5).

- 18.UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 19.Defendant contends that the UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB debt is past due.
- 20.Defendant is a company that uses mail, telephone or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors.
- 21.UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB directly or through an intermediary contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 22.On or about January 10, 2018, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a collection letter in an attempt to collect the alleged UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB debt. *See* Exhibit A.
- 23. The January 10, 2018 letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 24. The January 10, 2018 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
- 25. The Plaintiff received and read the Letter sometime after January 10, 2018.
- 26. The Letter stated in part:

"BALANCE: \$124.72"

- 27.On or about January 10, 2018, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff another collection letter in an attempt to collect the same alleged UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB debt. *See* Exhibit B.
- 28. The Plaintiff received and read the Letter referenced hereto as **Exhibit B** sometime after January 10, 2018.
- 29. The Letter stated in part:

"BALANCE.....: \$132.36"

- 30.Upon reading both letters received from the Defendant, the Plaintiff, as would any unsophisticated consumer, was left unsure as to the balance of the debt; either \$124.72 or \$132.36.
- 31.By failing to accurately state the amount of the alleged debt in either letter, Defendant violated the FDCPA and harmed the Plaintiff.
- 32. The Plaintiff was harmed by being misrepresented as to the amount of the debt, by being subjected to abusive collection practices which she had a substantive right to be free from, and by the Defendant attempting to charge two different amounts without providing an explanation of the charges in the Collection Letter. Defendant further created the risk of harm that the Plaintiff would pay more money than she actually owed.
- 33.Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 34.Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 35.Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 36. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector may not use any false,

misleading and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

- 37. The Defendants violated said section in its letters to the Plaintiff by:
 - a. Using a false, deceptive, and misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - b. Falsely representing the amount of the alleged debt in violation of 1692e(2)(A);
 - c. Making a false representation or using deceptive means to collect a debt in violation of 1692e(10).
- 38. Pursuant to § 1788 of the RFDCPA, a debt collector may not engage in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the collection of consumer debts.
- 39. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq*. of the FDCPA, Section 1788 of the RFDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

40. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages;
- (b) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages;

1	(c)	Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable			
2	attorneys' fees and expenses;				
3	(d)	Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest;			
4	and				
5	(e)	Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court			
6	may deem just and proper.				
7					
8	8 Dated: February 22, 2018				
9					
10		/s/ Jonathan A. Stieglitz			
11		JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ			
12		(SBN 278028) jonathan.a.stieglitz@gmail.com			
13		THE LAW OFFICES OF			
14		JONATHAN A. STIEGLITZ 11845 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 800			
15		Los Angeles, California 90064			
16		Telephone: (323) 979-2063			
17		Facsimile: (323) 488-6748			
18					
19		DDO IIAC VICE MOTION TO DE EILED			
20		PRO HAC VICE MOTION TO BE FILED Yitzchak Zelman, Esq.			
		Marcus & Zelman, LLC			
21		1500 Allaire Avenue - Suite 101 Ocean, NJ 07712			
22		Office: (732) 695-3282			
23		Fax: (732) 298-6256			
24		Email: <u>yzelman@MarcusZelman.com</u> Website: www.MarcusZelman.com			
25		Attorneys for the Plaintiff			
26					
27					
28					

PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT BUREAU
Collections & Billing
PO BOX 370206
RESEDA CA 91337-0206

1-800-262-9038

January 10, 2018

GATTONI, BRITTANI



CREDITOR UNIVERSAL DIAGNOSTIC LAB

CLIENT ACCOUNT# .:

BALANCE \$124.72

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will bused for that purpose.

CS,

PACIFIC COLLECTIONS CREDIT BUREAU

Collections & Billing PO BOX 370206 RESEDA CA 91337-0206

1-800-262-9038

GATTONI, BRITTANI

Exp.Date_	\$\$	
O Box 370206, Reseda CA 91337- OT SEND CASH!	0206 Write your account	
ok forward to hearing from you.		
YOUR CREDIT SCORE.		
due today, please contact our office	your outstanding so that we may discuss	
10.4019942		
NOSTIC LAB		
	letter requesting payment in full of due today, please contact our office ent is not received, YOUR CREDIT SCORE. ok forward to hearing from you. D Box 370206, Reseda CA 91337- OT SEND CASH!	letter requesting payment in full of your outstanding due today, please contact our office so that we may discuss ent is not received, YOUR CREDIT SCORE. Ok forward to hearing from you. O Box 370206, Reseda CA 91337-0206 Write your account our SEND CASH!

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

CS/10001

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Pacific Collections Credit Bureau Sued Over Alleged Failure to Specify Debt Balance</u>