#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.:

SHARON ETCHIESON, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYKES ENTERPRISES, INC., and ALPINE ACCESS, INC.,

Defendant.

# COLLECTIVE AND CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Sharon Etchieson ("Plaintiff"), by and through counsel, brings this Collective and Class Action Complaint against Defendants Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc. (collectively, "SEI")<sup>1</sup>, and states as follows:

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff Sharon Etchieson is a former non-exempt, hourly Education Agent and a Work from Home Customer Service Representative for SEI. She performed customer service work utilizing a computer system. She routinely performed work off the clock without minimum wage payment and/or overtime payment for all hours worked over 40 hour per week. SEI also failed to include paid bonuses and other rewards into Plaintiff's and other like employees' regular rate of pay. SEI thus violated the FLSA and state wage and hour laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sykes Enterprises, Inc. purchased Alpine Access (<u>www.alpineaccess.com</u>) in August 2012 for \$150 million and now operates as "SYKES Home Powered by Alpine Access."

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 2 of 19

2 Plaintiff brings this class and collective action on behalf of herself and all similarly situated current and/or former employees of SEI to recover for SEI's willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and the Colorado Minimum Wage Act ("CMWA"), C.R.S. §§ 8-6-101, *et seq.* and the Colorado Wage Order.

3. As of January 31, 2016, SEI employs approximately 41,700 employees in global customer service call centers. Additionally, SEI employs approximately 7,500 at-home agents throughout North America. *See* Sykes 2015 Annual Report, p. 9. These operations are staffed by "customer service agents," "customer service representatives," "medical customer service agents," "technical customer service agents," "bilingual Spanish customer service agents," and other similarly described personnel (collectively, "CSAs"). However, regardless of the employees' job titles, all SEI CSAs perform the same basic job duties – providing customer support to individuals over the telephone.

4. The SEI CSA jobs (both at-home and at call center locations) are unskilled, nonexempt positions that typically pay from approximately \$8.00 to \$11.80 per hour.

5. In order to perform her job, Plaintiff was required to start up a USB Drive and log-in to several secure servers in order to access pertinent client data, sales records, etc. The preliminary setup and log-in process involved the startup of an entire suite of programs, the creation of secure (VPN) connections with SEI's and its corporate customer's computer systems, and the downloading of customer information. A typical CSA logs in and out of these systems 2-3 times per day as part of the work schedule and on many days, several more times due to technical issues.

6. Plaintiff was not allowed or even able to electronically "clock in" for her shift(s) until she completed the setup and log-in process. Thus, Plaintiff and all Class members worked "off-the-clock" during the "boot-up" process without compensation. The time Plaintiff spent

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 3 of 19

setting up and logging in each session directly benefitted SEI and the process was an essential part of Plaintiff's CSA job responsibilities.

7. Additionally, SEI's computer system automatically "clocked out" Plaintiff at the end of her designated shift(s) even if Plaintiff was in the middle of a call. SEI also required Plaintiff to boot down her computer at the end of her shift. SEI never accounted for or paid these postliminary activities even though SEI had the ability to do so. These postliminary activities were an essential part of Plaintiff's job responsibilities and these off-the-clock activities directly benefitted SEI and its corporate clients.

8. SEI did not record these pre-shift and post-shift job-related activities, and Plaintiff could not enter the time manually. SEI paid Plaintiff and other CSAs for three minutes of boot up time which did not fully compensate them for the time the booting up process took at the beginning of her shift or throughout the day.

9. SEI knows or could have easily determined how long it takes for a CSA to complete the preliminary setup and log-in process – indeed, it is the industry leader in the field – and it could have properly compensated Plaintiff and the Class for the work they performed, but it did not. SEI also knows or could have easily determined or tracked how long the last call of each CSA's shift took to wrap up, and SEI could have paid Plaintiff and the Class for the postliminary work they performed, but it did not.

10. SEI deliberate treatment of Plaintiff and its CSAs which denies them minimum wages and/or overtime compensation results in SEI violating the FLSA, and state wage and hour laws including Colorado law.

11. In addition, SEI failed to include bonuses paid to Plaintiff and other CSAs into their regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes which violates the FLSA, Colorado

state law, and other state laws. These bonuses include, but are not limited to, Total Rewards cash bonuses, other bonuses, and other rewards.

12. Therefore, Plaintiff seeks to recover unpaid wages on behalf of herself and several classes of CSAs nationwide under the FLSA and several classes of CSAs who worked in Colorado to remedy SEI's willful wage violations.

13. Plaintiff will add Rule 23 claims under other state wage and hour laws as this case progresses.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

*14.* This Court has original federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 for the claims brought under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) *et seq.* 

15. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Colorado state law wage and hour classes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 in that the claims are part of the same case and controversy as the FLSA claim, the state and federal claims derive from a common nucleus of operative facts, the state claims will not substantially predominate over the FLSA claims, and exercising supplemental jurisdiction would be in the interests of judicial economy, convenience, fairness, and comity.

16. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because SEI has offices, conducts business, and can be found in the District of Colorado, and the causes of action set forth herein have arisen and occurred in part in the District of Colorado. Venue is also proper under 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2) because Defendant has substantial business contacts with the State of Colorado.

#### **PARTIES**

17. Plaintiff, Sharon Etchieson, is an individual who currently resides in Colorado.

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 5 of 19

SEI employed Plaintiff as an Education Agent and a Work from Home Customer Service Representative from approximately September 2013 to March 2015 and from June 2015 to August 2015. Plaintiff's consent to become a FLSA Party Plaintiff is attached as an exhibit.

18. SEI is a publicly traded company incorporated in Florida. SEI provides call center services for a number of well-known global 2,000 companies including AT&T. *See* Sykes 2015 Annual Report, p. 7.

19. In August 2012, Sykes purchased Alpine Access, Inc., another leading provider of call center services, and today SEI (the combined Sykes and Alpine) is one of the largest call center operations in the world.

20. SEI is headquartered in the State of Florida, with its principal address at 400 North Ashley Drive, Suite 3100, Tampa, Florida 33602-4327.

21. Alpine Access has its corporate offices at 1120 Lincoln Street, Suite 1400, Denver, Colorado 80209.<sup>3</sup>

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### SEI Required Employees Work Off of the Clock

22. SEI classified Plaintiff and other CSAs are non-exempt employees entitled to minimum wage and overtime compensation.

23. SEI uniformly applied this hourly payment structure to all CSAs.

24. Plaintiff and the other CSAs had the same primary duty of performing customer service work for customers and/or accounts assigned to them by SEI.

25. All SEI CSAs are similarly situated in that they share common job duties and descriptions, SEI treated them as non-exempt employees at all relevant times, they were all subject to SEI's policy and practice that failed to pay them for all work time and failed to include

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 6 of 19

the bonuses and other compensation into the regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes, and they all performed work without payment for all minimum wage and/or overtime compensation

26. Throughout her employment with SEI, Plaintiff regularly worked off-the-clock as part of her job as an At Home Customer Service Representative.

27. Plaintiff regularly worked off-the-clock while booting up prior to clocking in and as necessary throughout the workday, while wrapping up customer calls after SEI automatically clocked her out, and while booting down after she clocked out.

28. At all relevant times, SEI was Plaintiff's "employer" and SEI and its corporate clients directed and directly benefited from the preliminary and postliminary activities SEI required Plaintiff to perform.

29. At all relevant times, SEI and its corporate clients controlled Plaintiff's work and work schedule.

30. At all relevant times, SEI or its corporate clients tracked the amount of time that Plaintiff spent logged into SEI's or its corporate clients' computer systems; however, SEI failed to document, track, or pay Plaintiff for: (1) preliminary setup and log-in time that Plaintiff spent working prior to every session and as necessary throughout the workday; and (2) postliminary time spent wrapping up the final telephone calls of each shift and/or booting down and logging out after clocking out.

31. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's supervisors informed her that her requests to be paid were being investigated and would be taken care of in a future payment. SEI never did this.

32. At all relevant times, SEI failed to record any of the preliminary and postliminary

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 7 of 19

time that Plaintiff worked which violated the FLSA's and CMWA's record keeping requirements.

33. At all relevant times, SEI's policies and practices deprived Plaintiff of wages that SEI owed for the preliminary and postliminary activities Plaintiff performed. In workweeks where Plaintiff worked 40 hours or more, SEI's policies and practices also deprived Plaintiff of overtime pay at a rate of 1.5 times their regular rate of pay.

34. During her employment with SEI, Plaintiff worked numerous hours of overtime. Accordingly, Plaintiff would have been entitled to receive overtime pay (at a rate of 1.5 times her regular hourly rate) for the time she spent working on uncompensated preliminary and postliminary activities had SEI properly documented and paid for the time.

35. SEI suffered and permitted Plaintiff and other CSAs to work hours without payment for minimum wages and in those weeks in which they worked more than forty hours per week, without overtime compensation for all hours worked.

36. For example, Plaintiff schedule fluctuated from day-to-day while a CSA. However, her regular schedule had her working Mondays through Fridays, generally from approximately 9:00 am until 5:30 pm. Additionally, Plaintiff worked at least three weekend days every month, generally working more than eight hours each weekend day. Plaintiff also performed additional hours of work each week booting up her computer system and booting down her computer system daily which was unpaid time.

37. As further example, on Plaintiff's bi-weekly paycheck dated June 5, 2015, SEI paid Plaintiff for 7.91 hours of overtime. However, Plaintiff worked about five hours of unpaid time during the two weeks booting up and down her computer for which SEI failed to pay her.

38. SEI likewise uniformly denied other CSAs payment for booting up and booting down time, and final call time.

# SEI Failed to Include Bonuses And Other Compensation Into Plaintiff's Regular Rate of Pay Which Violates the FLSA and Colorado Law

39. SEI paid Plaintiff and other CSAs other compensation including bonuses, reward, and other compensation in additional to their regular hourly rate of pay.

40. SEI though violated the FLSA when SEI failed to include these bonuses and other compensation into Plaintiff's and other CSAs' regular rate of play.

41. For example, on Plaintiff's paycheck dated June 5, 2015, SEI paid Plaintiff a bonus of \$72.27. SEI, however, failed to include this bonus into Plaintiff's regular rate of pay when SEI calculated the overtime compensation due Plaintiff.

42. Another example is that Plaintiff won an Apple IPad in an employee drawing. SEI told Plaintiff that the IPad constituted compensation and withheld employee taxes from Plaintiff's paycheck based upon the value of the IPad.

43. However, SEI did not include the value of the IPad in Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes.

# SEI Acted Willfully and in Bad Faith

44. SEI is a leader in the field of call center services – in fact, it is the largest call center operation in the World. As such, SEI knew or should have known that the DOL issued Fact Sheet Number 64 instructing call centers that "off-the-clock" work encompassing boot-up and call finishing time is compensable.

45. Furthermore, CSAs have repeatedly sued SEI to recover unpaid wages including

overtime, but SEI intentionally refuses to pay its employees for all time worked at the legally required rates of pay. SEI has settled federal wage and hour lawsuits in Arkansas and Minnesota during the last five or so years. SEI thus is fully aware of its wage and hour obligations, but SEI continues to fall woefully short of meeting those obligations, and SEI continues to underpay its hard working employees.

46. SEI's conduct, as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and other CSAs.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

47. Plaintiffs bring this action as a collective and a class action seeking to certify four employee groups:

- a. A national FLSA § 216(b) opt-in Collective Action for off the clock work;
- b. A national FLSA § 216(b) opt-in Collective Action for failure to include all compensation into the employees' regular rate of pay;
- c. A Colorado state law Rule 23 class action for off the clock work; and
- d. A Colorado state law Rule 23 class action for failure to include all compensation into the employees' regular rate of pay.
- 48. Plaintiff brings Count I on behalf of herself and other similarly situated

employees as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The similarly situated employees

are:

All current and former SEI Customer Service Associates who during the last three years were not paid for off the clock work including their preliminary boot-up time, boot-up time during the work day, and postliminary "call completion" and boot down time. ("the FLSA Off the Clock Collective").

The at-home employees provided the same customer service support for SEI as the call center employees, and both worked preliminary and postliminary hours for which SEI failed to compensate them.

49. SEI's requirement that Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective work off the clock and failure to pay for all work hours including overtime hours over 40 per week is a uniform policy, decision, and/or plan that applies to all CSAs.

50. Plaintiff brings Count II of this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the FLSA individually and on behalf of:

All current and former SEI Customer Service Associates who during the last three years were paid bonuses and other like compensation which SEI failed to include in their regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes. ("the FLSA Regular Rate Collective").

The at-home employees provided the same customer service support for SEI as the call center employees, and SEI paid both bonuses and other like compensation for which SEI failed to include in their regular rate of pay.

51. SEI's failure to include the bonuses and other like compensation paid Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective is a uniform policy, decision, or plan that applies to all CSAs.

52. SEI's unlawful FLSA conduct has been widespread, repeated. and consistent.

53. SEI is liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective, and as such, notice should be sent to both FLSA Collectives. There are numerous similarly situated, current and former employees of SEI who have been denied minimum wage and/or overtime pay in violation of the FLSA who would benefit from the issuance of a Court supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join. Those similarly situated employees are known to SEI and are readily identifiable through SEI's records.

# COLORADO CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

54. Plaintiff brings Count III pursuant to Rule 23 on behalf of a putative Colorado

Class defined to include:

All current and former SEI Customer Service Associates who reside in Colorado and during the last three years were not paid for off-the-clock work including their preliminary "boot-up" time, boot-up time during the workday, and postliminary "call completion" time. (the "Colorado Off The Clock Class").

55. Plaintiff brings Count IV pursuant to Rule 23on behalf of a putative Colorado

Class defined to include:

All current and former SEI Customer Service Associates who during the last three years were paid bonuses and other like compensation which SEI failed to include in their regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes (the "Colorado Regular Rate Class").

56. Numerosity: The members of the Colorado Off The Clock Class and the

Colorado Regular Rate Class are so numerous that joinder of all members in the case would be

impracticable. On information and belief, the number of call center and at-home workers

numbers in the hundreds.

57. *Commonality/Predominance:* There is a well-defined community of interest among Colorado Off The Clock Class and the Colorado Regular Rate Class members, and common questions of *both* law and fact predominate in the action over any questions affecting individual members. These common legal and factual questions, include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Whether the preliminary time Colorado Off The Clock Class members spend on setup and log-in activities each session is compensable under the CMWA;
- b. Whether the postliminary time Colorado Off The Clock Class members spend handling the last call of their shift is compensable under the CMWA;
- c. Whether the SEI paid the Colorado Regular Rate Class Members bonuses and/or other compensation which SEI is legally required to include in the regular rate of pay calculation;

- d. Whether Colorado Off The Clock Class members are owed wages for time spent performing off the clock work, and if so, the appropriate amount thereof;
- e. Whether Colorado Regular Rate of Pay Class Members are owed overtime wages for SEI's failure to include all required compensation into the Members' regular rate of pay for overtime calculation purposes; and
- f. Whether SEI's violations were willful.

58. *Typicality:* Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Colorado Off The Clock Class and Colorado Regular Rate Class in that Plaintiff and all other members suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of SEI's common and systemic payroll policies and practices. Plaintiff's claims arise from the same SEI policies, practices, and course of conduct as all other Colorado Off The Clock Class and Colorado Regular Rate Class members' claims and Plaintiff's legal theories are based on the same legal theories as all other Colorado Off The Clock Class and Colorado Regular Rate Class members.

59. *Adequacy:* Plaintiff will fully and adequately protect the interests of the Colorado Off the Clock Class and Colorado Regular Rate Class, and Plaintiff has retained national counsel who are qualified and experienced in the prosecution of nationwide wage and hour class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor her counsel has interests that are contrary to, or conflicting with, the interests of the Colorado Off The Clock Class or the Colorado Regular Rate Class.

60. *Superiority:* A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, because, *inter alia*, it is economically infeasible for Colorado Off The Clock Class and Colorado Regular Rate Class members to prosecute individual actions of their own given the relatively small amount of damages at stake for each individual along with the fear of reprisal by their employer.

61. The case will be manageable as a class action. Plaintiff and her counsel know of

no unusual difficulties in the case and SEI and its corporate clients all have advanced networked computer and payroll systems that will allow the class, wage, and damages issues in the case to be resolved with relative ease.

# COUNT I (Collective Action) <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT,</u> <u>29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES AND</u> <u>OVERTIME -- OFF THE CLOCK CLAIM</u>

62. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs above.

63. At all relevant times, SEI was an employer of Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203.

64. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons were SEI employees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 203.

65. The FLSA requires each covered employer such as SEI to compensate all nonexempt employees at a rate of not less than the applicable minimum wage for all hour worked.

66. The FLSA requires each covered employer such as SEI to compensate all nonexempt employees at a rate of not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours per work week.

67. Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective are entitled to be paid minimum wage for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) per week.

68. SEI, pursuant to its policies and practices, failed and refused to pay minimum wage and/or overtime premiums to Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective for all of their hours worked and all hours worked over forty (40) per week.

69. SEI violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*. by failing to compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective for all minimum wage and/or overtime compensation.

70. By failing to record, report, and/or preserve accurate records of hours worked by Plaintiff and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective, SEI failed to make, keep, and preserve records with respect to each of their employees sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions and practice of employment, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*.

71. The foregoing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

72. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective, seeks damages in the amount of all respective minimum wage and/or unpaid overtime compensations at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week, plus liquidated damages as provided by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

73. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Off The Clock Collective, seeks recovery of all attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by SEI, as provided by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

# COUNT II (Collective Action) <u>VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT,</u> <u>29 U.S.C. § 201. et seq. FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME</u> <u>REGULAR RATE CLAIM</u>

74. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs above.

75. The FLSA requires each covered employer such as SEI to include all compensation paid to employees such as bonuses and other compensation into the employees'

#### Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 15 of 19

regular rate of pay unless that compensation is specifically excluded. The FLSA does not exclude SEI's bonus payments and other like compensation.

76. Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective are entitled to be paid overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) per week based upon their regular rate of pay they earned for that week.

77. SEI, pursuant to its policies and practices, failed and refused to pay the legally required overtime premiums to Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective for all hours worked over forty (40) per week.

78. SEI violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq*. by failing to compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective for all overtime compensation at the legally required regular rate of pay.

79. Plaintiff, and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective are entitled to be paid overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) per week at the legally required regular rate of pay.

80. SEI, pursuant to its policies and practices, failed and refused to pay overtime premiums to Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective for all hours worked over forty (40) per week at the required regular rate of pay.

81. Defendant violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* by failing to compensate Plaintiff and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective by failing to include bonuses and other like compensation into Plaintiff's and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective's regular rate of pay.

82. The foregoing conduct, as alleged herein, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

83. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective, seeks

damages in the amount of all respective minimum wage and/or unpaid overtime compensations at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a work week, plus liquidated damages as provided by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), interest, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.

84. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the FLSA Regular Rate Collective, seeks recovery of all attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, to be paid by SEI, as provided by the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

# Count III (Colorado State Law Class Action) <u>VIOLATIONS OF COLORADO LAW</u> FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES AND OVERTIME -- OFF THE CLOCK <u>CLAIM</u>

168. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs above.

169. The Colorado Minimum Wage Order requires that SEI pay its non-exempt, hourly employees the applicable minimum wage for all hours worked, and 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> times their regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week, all hours worked more than 12 hours in any work day, and all hours worked more than 12 consecutive hours regardless of the work day, whichever calculation results in the greater payment of wages.

170. At all relevant times, SEI has been, and continues to be, an "employer" within the meaning of Section 2(D) of the Colorado Minimum Wage Order.

171. At all relevant times, SEI has employed, and/or continues to employ, "employee[s]," including Plaintiff and the other Colorado Off The Clock Class members within the meaning of Section 2 of the Colorado Minimum Wage Order.

172. SEI violated the Colorado Minimum Wage Order by regularly and repeatedly

failing to compensate Plaintiff and the Colorado Off The Clock Class for the time spent on the unpaid work activities described in this Complaint.

173. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Colorado Off The Clock Class, seeks the amount of their underpayments based on SEI's failure to pay lawfully due minimum and/or overtime wages, punitive damages or penalties including the employer's share of FICA, FUTA, state unemployment insurance, and any other required employment taxes, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper as provided by the Colorado Minimum Wage Order.

# Count IV (Colorado State Law Class Action) <u>VIOLATIONS OF COLORADO LAW</u> FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME – REGULAR RATE CLAIM

174. Plaintiff re-asserts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in the foregoing paragraphs above.

175. The Colorado Minimum Wage Order entitles employees to overtime compensation "not less than one and one-half times" the employee's regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a workweek. *See* Colorado Minimum Wage Order 28, 7 CCR 1103-1.

176. SEI violated the Colorado Minimum Wage Order by regularly and repeatedly failing to including in the regular rate of pay for Plaintiff and the Colorado Regular Rate Class bonuses and other like compensation described in this Complaint.

168. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Colorado Regular Rate Class, seeks the amount of their underpayments based on SEI's failure to pay lawfully due overtime wages, punitive damages or penalties including the employer's share of FICA, FUTA, state unemployment insurance, and any other required employment taxes, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses of this action, and such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and

proper as provided by the Colorado Minimum Wage Order.

# PRAYER FOR RELIESF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all other similarly-situated employees,

requests the following relief:

- a. certifying the case as a collective action in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) with respect to the FLSA claims set forth above (Counts I-II);
- b. certifying the action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23with respect to Plaintiff's Colorado state law claims (Counts III IV);
- c. designating Plaintiff as the representative of the Classes and Rowdy Meeks Legal Group LLC as Class counsel;
- d. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and Colorado Minimum Wage Order;
- e. An injunction requiring SEI to cease its unlawful practices under, and comply with, the Colorado Minimum Wage Order
- f. an award of unpaid wages for all hours worked including overtime premiums due under the FLSA and Colorado Minimum Wage Order;
- g. an award of liquidated damages and/or punitive damages as a result of SEI's failure to pay for all hours worked including minimum wages and overtime premiums pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;
- h. an award of damages representing the employer's share of FICA, FUTA, state unemployment insurance, and any other required employment taxes;
- i. pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- j. an award of costs and expenses of this action including attorney and expert fees;
- k. such other relief as this Court deems fair and equitable.

Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 19 of 19

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury of all issues triable by jury.

Dated: November 15, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Rowdy B. Meeks Rowdy B. Meeks **ROWDY MEEKS LEGAL GROUP LLC** 8201 Mission Rd., Suite 250 Prairie Village, Kansas 66208 Tel: (913) 766-5587 Fax: (816) 875-5069 <u>Rowdy.Meeks@rmlegalgroup.com</u> <u>www.rmlegalgroup.com</u>

# **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**

# **CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF**

Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. 216(b)

I hereby consent to be a party plaintiff seeking unpaid wages and overtime against Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc., and their related companies. For purposes of pursuing my unpaid wage and overtime claims against Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc., and their related companies, I choose to be represented by Rowdy Meeks Legal Group LLC and other attorneys with whom they may associate.

Sharon Etchieson

Date:\_\_\_\_\_9/26/2016

Sharon Etchieson

Printed Name:\_\_\_\_\_

# Case 1:16-cv-02779 Document 1-2 Filed 11/15/16 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15) District of Colorado Form

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)* 

purpose of initiating the civil do	Seket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT FAGE OF	msrt	,					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S				
<ul> <li>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff <u>Teller County, Color</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</li> </ul>				ed Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc.					
				Ado County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known	n)				
Rowdy B. Meeks, Rowdy 8201 Mission Rd., Suite 2	Meeks Legal Group L	LC			,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	<b>CTION</b> (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)				AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box f		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF DEF	1 Incorporated or P of Business In '		<b>DEF</b>		
2       U.S. Government Defendant       Image: A construction of the second consecond consecond construction of the second constructio		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State		2 Incorporated and of Business In		□ 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country		3 Foreign Nation	<b>□</b> 6	<b>1</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		uly) RTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BA	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUT	ES	
<ul> <li>110 Insurance</li> <li>120 Marine</li> <li>130 Miller Act</li> <li>140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>151 Medicare Act</li> <li>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans</li> </ul>	PERSONAL INJURY     PERSONAL INJ       310 Airplane     365 Personal Injury       315 Airplane Product     Product Liabili       Liability     367 Health Care/       320 Assault, Libel & Slander     Pharmaceutica       330 Federal Employers'     Product Liabili       Liability     368 Asbestos Personal	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		<ul> <li>□ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881</li> <li>□ 690 Other</li> </ul>		peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 ERTY RIGHTS pyrights ent idemark	<ul> <li>375 False Claims Act</li> <li>376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))</li> <li>400 State Reapportionment</li> <li>410 Antitrust</li> <li>430 Banks and Banking</li> <li>450 Commerce</li> <li>460 Deportation</li> <li>470 Racketeer Influenced and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>(Excludes Veterans)</li> <li>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>190 Other Contract</li> <li>195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>196 Franchise</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>340 Marine</li> <li>345 Marine Product Liability</li> <li>350 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>355 Motor Vehicle</li> <li>360 Other Personal Injury</li> <li>360 Other Personal Injury</li> <li>362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice</li> <li>Injury Product Liability</li> <li>362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice</li> <li>Injury Product Liability</li> <li>Injury Personal Injury Personal Property Damag</li> </ul>		□ 72 □ 74 □ 75	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation	SOCIAL SECURITY         Corror           861 HIA (1395ff)         480 Cons           862 Black Lung (923)         490 Cable           863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))         850 Secu           864 SSID Title XVI         Exch           865 RSI (405(g))         890 Othe           893 Envir         893 Envir		Corrupt Organizat 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commo Exchange 890 Other Statutory A 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental M: 895 Freedom of Inforr	npt Organizations umer Credit 2/Sat TV rities/Commodities/ nange r Statutory Actions cultural Acts ronmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY         210 Land Condemnation         220 Foreclosure         230 Rent Lease & Ejectment         240 Torts to Land         245 Tort Product Liability         290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other  448 Education	PRISONER PETITION         Habeas Corpus:         463 Alien Detainee         510 Motions to Vacate Sentence         530 General         535 Death Penalty         Other:         540 Mandamus & Other         555 Prison Condition         560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<u>8</u> □ 79	<ul> <li>P1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act</li> <li>IMMIGRATION</li> <li>52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions</li> </ul>	□ 870 Ta: or □ 871 IRS 26	RAL TAX SUITS xes (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) 5—Third Party USC 7609	TS Act		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in									
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reoj	pened Anot (spece		☐ 6 Multidist Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	<b>DN</b> Brief description of ca	atute under which you are ards Act, 29 USC 20 use: ertime and wages du		Do not cite jurisdictional s eq and Colorado sta		<i>diversity)</i> : IMS Docket			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		1,		EMAND \$	AND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes INO			nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE 11/15/2016		SIGNATURE OF ATTO /S/ Rowdy B. Me		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	JDGE		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Colorado

)

Sharon Etchieson, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc.

Defendant(s)

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Sykes Enterprises, Inc. Paracorp Inc.

95 Emerson St. #601 Denver, CO 80218

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Rowdy B. Meeks

Rowdy Meeks Legal Group LLC 8201 Mission Rd., Suite 250 Prairie Village, KS 66208 913 766-5587; Rowdy.Meeks@rmlegalgroup.com www.rmlegalgroup.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individ	ual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	$\Box$ I left the summons		or usual place of abode with (name)				
	on (date)      , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	$\Box$ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, who is		
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because				; or		
	□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.0	0		
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this informa	tion is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

for the

District of Colorado

)

)

)

)

Sharon Etchieson, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Civil Action No.

Sykes Enterprises, Inc. and Alpine Access, Inc.

Defendant(s)

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Alpine Access, Inc.

Paracorp Inc. 95 Emerson St. #601 Denver, CO 80218

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Rowdy B. Meeks

Rowdy Meeks Legal Group LLC 8201 Mission Rd., Suite 250 Prairie Village, KS 66208 913 766-5587; Rowdy.Meeks@rmlegalgroup.com www.rmlegalgroup.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

# **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any	)				
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the indiv	vidual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	$\Box$ I left the summons		ce or usual place of abode with ( <i>name</i> )				
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,						
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	$\Box$ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to a	accept service of process of	on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	□ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or			
	□ Other ( <i>specify</i> ):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this inform	mation is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Sykes Enterprises</u>, <u>Alpine Access Rung Up with FLSA Class Action</u>