## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI **OXFORD DIVISION**

CHRISTOPHER ELLIS,

ROBERT L. SCHMITZ & GREGOR On behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Y SURBECK,
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Case No
BAPTIST MEMORIAL HEALTH CACORPORATION,	ARE
,	JURY DEMANDED
Defendant.	
	COMPLAINT
	Ţ

## Introduction

Plaintiffs Christopher Ellis, Robert L. Schmitz, and Gregory Surbeck, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, hereby file this lawsuit against Defendant Baptist Memorial Health Care Corporation for declaratory relief, injunctive relief, and money damages for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act (hereinafter "FLSA"). In support thereof, Plaintiffs would state the following:

## II

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1337, and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). All acts alleged in this complaint occurred in the Northern District of Mississippi; therefore, venue is appropriate in this Court.

#### <u>III</u>

#### **Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff Christopher Ellis is an adult citizen of the State of Mississippi and a resident of Lafayette County, Mississippi. At all times relevant, Plaintiff Ellis was employed by Defendant in its location in Oxford, Mississippi as an EMT, making around \$12.50 per hour towards the end of his employment with Defendant.
- 3. Plaintiff Robert L. Schmitz is an adult citizen of the State of Mississippi and a resident of Yalobusha County, Mississippi. At all times relevant, Plaintiff Schmitz was employed by Defendant in its location in Oxford, Mississippi as a paramedic, making around \$23.22 per hour towards the end of his employment with Defendant.
- 4. Plaintiff Gregory Surbeck is an adult citizen of the State of Mississippi and a resident of Lafayette County, Mississippi. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff Surbeck was employed by Defendant in its location in Oxford, Mississippi as a paramedic making \$16.97 per hour towards the end of his employment with Defendant.
- 5. Defendant Baptist Memorial Health Care Corporation is a Tennessee non-profit corporation regularly doing business in the State of Mississippi as Baptist Memorial Hospital North Mississippi in Oxford, Baptist Memorial Hospital-Union County in New Albany, Baptist Memorial Hospital- Calhoun in Calhoun City, Mississippi, and Baptist Memorial Hospital-Golden Triangle in Columbus, Mississippi. Defendant's registered agent for service of process in the state of Mississippi is CT Corporation System at 645 Lakeland East Drive, Ste. 101, Flowood, Mississippi 39232.
- 6. At all times relevant, Defendant employed Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated at its Oxford, New Albany, Calhoun City, or Columbus locations as emergency medical service drivers, emergency medical technicians, or paramedics.

#### <u>IV</u>

## Collective Action Allegations

7. This action is a collective action brought under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated who are described as follows:

All persons formerly employed by Defendant as emergency medical service drivers, emergency medical technicians, or paramedics at its Oxford, New Albany, Calhoun City, or Columbus locations in Mississippi from January 1, 2015 to the present (known hereinafter as "FLSA Class").

- 8. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck are unable to state the exact number of persons in the FLSA Class. However, Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck are informed and believe that the FLSA Class exceeds 50 members. The members of this class are so numerous as to make joinder impracticable.
  - 9. There are questions of law or fact common to the FLSA Class.
- The claims of Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck are typical of the claims of the FLSA Class.
- 11. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the FLSA Class. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck know of no difficulty that should be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action or class action. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck do hereby consent to join their claims in this collective action. See Consents, attached hereto as Exhibits "A", "B", and "C."
- 12. The prosecution of separate actions by individual class members would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications in different jurisdictions with respect to individual class members, which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Consequently, collective action certification is proper.
  - 13. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck are informed and believe that Defendant, in

refusing to pay overtime to the FLSA Class and in intentionally misclassifying them, has acted and refused to act on grounds generally applicable to all claims, thereby making appropriate declaratory, injunctive and monetary relief for all members of the FLSA Class. Consequently, collective action certification is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

14. The common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting individual class members only. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Consequently, collective action certification is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

## $\underline{\mathbf{V}}$

#### **Factual Allegations**

- 15. At all times relevant, Defendant employed Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated in connection with the business of Defendant at its Mississippi locations in Oxford, New Albany, Calhoun City, or Columbus. At all relevant times, Defendant has been an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203.
- 16. From January 1, 2015 and up to November 2017, Defendant employed Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck, and all others similarly situated, for many workweeks in excess forty (40) hours in the workweek.
- 17. Defendant failed and refused to compensate Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated for *all* such work in excess of forty (40) hours at rates not less than one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rates at which they were employed during those times, contrary to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a). Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated are non-exempt employees under the FLSA.
- 18. Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation for *all* such time was willful and in reckless disregard to the federally protected rights enjoyed by Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated.

4

19. Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated would incorporate paragraphs 1 through 18 above as though specifically set forth herein and would state Defendant's refusal to pay overtime compensation constitutes violation of the FLSA.

### <u>VI</u>

#### **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck prays for the following:

- 1. That the Defendant be required to appear and answer the Complaint within the time provided in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
  - 2. That the Court certify the FLSA Class pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- 3. That the Court authorize notification to all persons in the FLSA Class of the existence and their right to participate in the collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- 4. That the Court provide declaratory relief clarifying that Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others are similarly situated are entitled to receive overtime compensation;
- 5. That the Court provide injunctive relief to Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others are similarly situated by ordering Defendant to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated in the future:
- 6. That Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated be awarded unpaid overtime compensation;
- 7. That Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated be awarded liquidated damages;
- 8. That Plaintiffs Ellis, Schmitz, and Surbeck and all others similarly situated be awarded reasonable attorney's fees, expert witness fees, as well as costs and expenses incurred in bringing this lawsuit; and
- 9. Plaintiffs demand on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated that such other and further relief as may be just and proper, including, but not limited to, pre-

judgment and post-judgment interest.

Respectfully submitted

By: Mr. Bor #0029

James D. Harper MS Bar #99386 HARPER WHITWELL, PLLC

800 College Hill Road, Ste. 5201

P.O. Box 3150

Oxford, MS 38655

Telephone: 662/234-0320

Facsimile: 662/259-8464

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	HONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
CHRISTOPHER ELLIS, ROBERT L. SCHMITZ & GREGORY SURBECK, On behalf of themselves and all others similarly situate (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lafayette  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			ed	BAPTIST MEMORIAL HEALTH CARE CORPORATION						
				County of Residence						
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	7)		Attorneys (If Known)						
Harper Whitwell, PLLC, F 662.234.0320	P. O. Box 3150, Oxford	i, MS 38655								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in and One Box f			
☐ 1 U.S. Government   3 Federal Question				P	TF DEF			PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize	en of This State	1 0 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		□ 4	□ 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant		p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		erts	E	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: Nature of		STATUT		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False C		Eng	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury -	7.60	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 With	drawal ISC 157	☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment			
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	L 69	o Other					ment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS  ☐ 820 Copyrights		☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking		σ.	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	nployers' Product Liability				☐ 830 Patent		☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability  340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	'			nt - Abbreviated Drug Application	☐ 460 Deporta ☐ 470 Rackete		ed and	
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	etv –	LABOR	☐ 840 Trade		Corrupt  480 Consum	Organizati	ions	
of Veteran's Benefits	of Veteran's Benefits  Stockholders' Suits  350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending			0 Fair Labor Standards	Labor Standards ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)		<ul> <li>□ 490 Cable/Sat TV</li> <li>□ 850 Securities/Commodities/</li> </ul>			
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract			O 72	Act 20 Labor/Management						
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (	Title XVI	890 Other S		ctions	
		Product Liability	751 Family and Medical		D 803 K31 (	(403(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 893 Environmental Matters			
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 0 79	Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedon Act	n of Inform	nation	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	<b>□</b> 79	21 Employee Retirement	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure			
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	e	Income Security Act		Third Party		iew or Ap		
<ul> <li>240 Torts to Land</li> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> </ul>	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence  530 General			26 U	ISC 7609	Agency  950 Constitu	Decision ationality of	of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State St		**	
	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth		62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration	1					
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions						
	1 446 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -								
		Conditions of Confinement								
		Remanded from Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reo	pened Anothe	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr		Multidis Litigatio	on -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a	re filing (	(specify)  Do not cite jurisdictional sta		Transfer		Direct Fi	ile	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 29 U.S.C. 216 Brief description of ca	nuse:		**************************************		50000 M (				
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		abor Standards Act for EMAND \$		CHECK YES only		complai	nt	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			TBD		URY DEMAND:		□No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	,		DOCKE	ET NUMBER				
DATE 3/23/18		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	6/4	DI Yall	<b>\</b> _							
RECEIPT # 1533825	MOUNT \$400	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	Aycoc]	∠ MAG. JUI	oge Viro	den		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Baptist Memorial Health Care Corporation Owes Emergency Medical Personnel Unpaid OT, Lawsuit Claims</u>