UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

BRANDI DURRETT, on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

V.

CASE NO.:

DISPARTI LAW GROUP, P.A.,a Florida Profit Corporation, and LAWRENCE DISPARTI, individually,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, BRANDI DURRETT on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorney, and sues the Defendants, DISPARTI LAW GROUP, P.A. and LAWRENCE DISPARTI (collectively "Defendants") for failing to pay complete overtime wages, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (FLSA).

NATURE OF CASE

- 1. Defendant, DISPARTI LAW GROUP, P.A. (hereinafter "DLG") is a law firm located in Holiday, Pasco County, Florida.
- 2. As part of its enterprise, Defendant DLG hires Case Managers to manage cases, keep clients informed on status of their cases, and organize client files.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this case to address and correct the illegal pay practices conducted by DLG, and its owner, Lawrence Disparti (collectively "Defendants").
- 4. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages based on her regular hourly rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209.

PARTIES

- 5. Defendant DLG is a Florida for profit corporation which operates and conducts business in, among others, Pasco County, Florida and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this FLSA collective action individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, including present and former employees of Defendant DLG, to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 7. Defendant Lawrence Disparti (hereinafter "Defendant") is the Owner and Director of Disparti Law Group, P.A.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 8. This action is brought under Federal law to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
 - 9. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as they arise under the FLSA.
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this complaint as each of Defendants' violations of the FLSA complained of took place in Pasco County, Florida.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant DLG at 1041 U.S. Highway 19, Holiday, FL 34691.
- 12. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant DLG was an enterprise subject to the FLSA's provisions requiring overtime compensation.
- 13. At all material times, Plaintiff and others working for Defendant DLG were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.

- 14. At all material times, Defendant DLG was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and Defendant continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all material time, Defendant DLG was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. Defendants' employees handled goods such as computers, paper, and pens, all of which had traveled in interstate commerce.
- 17. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant DLG has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00).
- 18. Defendant DLG is a law firm specializing in personal injury and disability litigation.
- 19. Defendant Lawrence Disparti controlled and/or was responsible for the work of Plaintiff.
 - 20. Defendant Lawrence Disparti regularly supervised Plaintiff's daily activities.
- 21. Defendant Lawrence Disparti created and implemented the employer's policies and practices which violated the FLSA.
- 22. Defendant Lawrence Disparti knew the employer's policies and practices violated the FLSA, but continued enforcing such policies against Plaintiff and other employees.
- 23. Plaintiff did a specific job, i.e., managed cases, kept clients informed on status of their cases, and organized client files which, was/is an integral part of the business of Defendant DLG.
 - 24. By Plaintiff's estimates, she routinely worked 50 hours or more in a work week.

- 25. Plaintiff clocked in and out. However, the amount of hours for which she was paid would be incorrectly recorded and compensated by Defendant.
- 26. In most if not all work-weeks, Plaintiff was paid for 40 hours but was not compensated time and half for hours worked over 40. Defendant would pay Plaintiff straight time by personal check for all hours over 40 in a work week. This disguised method of compensation was implemented to circumvent the FLSA's requirement for overtime compensation.
- 27. Similarly, Plaintiff was sometimes given "comp. time" instead of overtime compensation.
- 28. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 29. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay including bonuses, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 31. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraph 1-30 above.
- 32. Plaintiff was entitled to be paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
 - 33. During her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours

but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.

- 34. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 35. Defendants were aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
- 36. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 38. Defendants' conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
 - 39. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
 - 40. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by her for which Defendant did not properly compensate her, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION)

- 41. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-30 above.
- 42. At all times material, Defendant employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as Case Managers and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty

(40) per week.

43. Throughout their employment, those employees were similarly situated to Plaintiff

and were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.

44 Defendant failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiff,

one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in

each week, in violation of the FLSA.

45. Defendant's failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required

overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.

46. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendant's unlawful acts, individuals

similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and

attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, demands

judgment against Defendant for unpaid overtime compensation, an additional and equal amount of

liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at

the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and

all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 26th day of September, 2017.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman

Marc R. Edelman, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 0096342

Morgan & Morgan, P.A.

201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: <u>MEdelman@forthepeople.com</u>

Attorney for Plaintiff

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

				,						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS BRANDI DURRETT, on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarl situated individuals				DEFENDANTS DISPARTI LAW GROUP, P.A.,a Florida Profit Corporation, and LAWRENCE DISPARTI, individually,						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Ave. Morgan & Morgan Tampa, FL 33602 (813) 223-5505				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				FF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		r Defenda PTF 4	DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	□ 5	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only	y)	1 70		D.	NEDERTON	ATUED 6	9184818484	ve .	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other:	Y	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 1 Cabor/Management Relations 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 Immigration 1 Cabor Management Income Security Act 1 Cabor Management Income Security Act 1 Immigration 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	422 App 423 Wit 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	peal 28 USC 158 hdrawal USC 157 ERTY RIGHTS Pyrights ent demark SECURITY (1395ff) ek Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cli 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketee	aims Act (31 USC) apportion id Bankir ce ion organizater Credit tt TV vss/Comme ge atutory A ural Acts mental M of Inform on trative Pr Decision tionality of	ament ng aced and tions odities/ ctions atters mation	
	Cite the U.S. Civil State Fair Labor Standar Brief description of cau Unpaid Overtime	ppellate Court ate under which you as Act se: S A CLASS ACTION			r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	if demanded in o	complair	nt:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	UDGE	1	1		ET NUMBER	Z. 188	□ 1NO		
DATE 9-26-17		SIGNATURE OF ATT	PRNEY O	RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	4OLDIT	A P. N. V. P. V. P					ACE.			
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		HIDGE		MAGITIE	H TH			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Disparti Law Group Hit with Lawsuit Over Employee's Unpaid OT Claims</u>