## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

CLEVE DUBOIS, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.	Case No.:
MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC,	
Defendant.	,
	<u>-</u> /

# **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, CLEVE DUBOIS, ("Plaintiff"), by and through undersigned counsel, on behalf of himself and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, ("Defendant"), and in support of his claims states as follows:

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is an action for damages under the Fair Labor Standards Act, ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., for failure to pay overtime wages under 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).
  - 2. This Complaint is filed as a collective action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.
- 4. Venue is proper in the Middle District of Florida, because all of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Pasco County, Florida.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a resident of Pasco County, Florida.
- 6. Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, operates an auto paint and collision repair shop in Land O' Lakes, in Pasco County, Florida.

## **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent, or they have been waived.
- 8. Plaintiff has hired the undersigned attorneys and agreed to pay them a fee.
- 9. Plaintiff requests a jury trial for all issues so triable.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Named Plaintiff, CLEVE DUBOIS, was employed by Defendant as a paint line technician.
- 11. The collective action of similarly situated employees consists of all other employees employed by Defendant within the last three years. These similarly situated persons will be referred to as "similarly situated employees".
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and similarly situated employees were "engaged in the production of goods" for commerce within the meaning of Sections 6 and 7 of the FLSA, and as such were subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and similar situated employees were "employees" of Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

- 15. Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, was and continues to be an enterprise covered by the FLSA, as defined under 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).
- 17. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s).
- 18. At all times relevant to this action, the annual gross sales volume of Defendant, MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC, exceeded \$500,000.00 per year.

#### **FACTS**

- 19. Named Plaintiff, CLEVE DUBOIS, began working for Defendant as a paint line technician in March 2016, and he worked in this capacity until November 2016.
- 20. At various times material hereto, Plaintiff and similar situated employees worked hours in excess of forty hours within a work week for Defendant, and they were entitled to be compensated for these overtime hours at a rate equal to one and one-half times their individual regular hourly rates.
- 21. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and similar situated employees an overtime premium for all of the overtime hours that they worked, in violation of the FLSA.
- 22. By failing to accurately record, report, and/or preserve records of hours worked by Plaintiff and similarly situated employees, Defendant has failed to make,

keep, and preserve records with respect to each of its employees in a manner sufficient to determine their wages, hours, and other conditions of employment, including Defendant's employment practices, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.

23. Defendant's actions were willful, and showed reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA.

## **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 24. Plaintiff brings this case as an "opt-in" collective action on behalf of similarly situated employees of Defendant (the "Collective Action") pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The Collective Action is composed of employees whom Defendant failed to compensate for all overtime hours worked in accordance with the FLSA.
- 25. Therefore, Notice is properly sent to: "All employees whom Defendant failed to compensate for all of the overtime hours that they worked from January 2014 to the present."
- 26. The total number and identities of the Collective Action members may be determined from the records of Defendant, and the Collective Action may easily and quickly be notified of the pendency of this action.
- 27. Plaintiff is similar to the Collective Action because he and the Collective Action have been unlawfully denied full payment of their overtime wages as mandated by the FLSA.
- 28. Plaintiff's experience with Defendant's payroll practices is typical of the experiences of the Collective Action.

- 29. Defendant's failure to pay all overtime wages due at the rates required by the personal circumstances of the named Plaintiff or of the Collective Action is common to the Collective Action.
- 30. Overall, Plaintiff's experience as a paint line technician who worked for Defendant is typical of that of the Collective Action.
- 31. Specific job titles or job duties of the Collective Action do not prevent collective treatment.
- 32. Although the issues of damages can be individual in character, there remains a common nucleus of operative facts concerning Defendant's liability under the FLSA in this case.

#### **COUNT I – FLSA OVERTIME VIOLATIONS**

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and readopts the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 32 of this Complaint, as fully set forth herein. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated employees in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff anticipates that as this case proceeds, other individuals will sign consent forms and join this collective action as plaintiffs.
- 34. During the statutory period, Plaintiff and the Collective Action worked overtime hours while employed by Defendant, and they were not properly compensated for all of these hours under the FLSA.
- 35. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Action for all of the overtime hours that Plaintiff and the Collective Action worked.

- 36. The Members of the Collective Action are similarly situated because they were all employed as employees by Defendant, were compensated in the same manner, and were all subject to Defendant's common policy and practice of failing to pay its employees for all of the overtime hours that they worked in accordance with the FLSA.
- 37. This reckless practice violates the provisions of the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1). As a result, Plaintiff and the Members of the Collective Action who have opted into this action are each entitled to an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 38. All of the foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 39. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Collective Action have suffered damages.

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees who join this collective action demand:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Plaintiff and the prospective Collective Action that he seeks to represent, in accordance with the FLSA;
- (b) Prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA collective action, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

- (c) Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations from the date of the filing of this complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (d) Leave to add additional plaintiffs by motion, the filing of written consent forms, or any other method approved by this Court;
- (e) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid overtime wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in Members of the Collective Action at the applicable overtime rate;
- (f) A declaratory judgment stating that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- (g) Judgment against Defendant for an amount equal to the unpaid back wages of Plaintiff and of opt-in Members of the Collective Action at the applicable overtime rate as liquidated damages;
- (h) Judgment against Defendant, stating that its violations of the FLSA were willful;
- (i) To the extent liquidated damages are not awarded, an award of prejudgment interest;
- (j) All costs and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting these claims;
   and
- (k) For such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

## JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Dated this \_\_\_\_ day of January, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

BRANDON J. HILL

Florida Bar Number: 0037061 WENZEL FENTON CABASSA, P.A.

1110 N. Florida Avenue

Suite 300

Tampa, Florida 33602

Main Number: 813-224-0431 Direct Dial: 813-337-7992 Facsimile: 813-229-8712 Email: bhill@wfclaw.com Email: mk@wfclaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

## Case 8:17-cv-00260-JDW-AEP Document 1-1 Filed 02/01/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID 9

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	TC	<u> </u>			
CLEVE DUBOIS		DEFENDANTS							
			MAACO FRANCHISING, LLC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PASCO (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numb	oer)		Attorneys (If Know	vn)				
Brandon J. Hill, Wenzel Ste. 300, Tampa, FL 330	Fenton Cabassa, P.A. 602, 813-224-0431	., 1110 N. Florida A	ve.,						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCE	PAL PARTIES	70i #V** /		c ====
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government		'	(For Diversity Cases Only on of This State	PTF DEI		and One Box of Principal Place	for Defenda PTF 3 4	for Plaint lant) DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citizo	en of Another State	O 2 O	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place	<b>5</b>	O 5
				n or Subject of a	O 3 O	3 Foreign Nation		<b>J</b> 6	<b>D</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T /Place on "Y" in One Box O	la but	For	eign Country					
STATE OF THE STATE	Company of the one but to	ORTS MARKET SERVER	- 100 KG	PREMIURE/PENANCY	And best of	ANVOTIDECUM	· Common Orthon	- COM 1 - COM 1 - COM	Crossess.
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure		ppeal 28 USC 158	■ 375 False C		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane	O 365 Personal Injury		of Property 21 USC 88			☐ 376 Qui Ta		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	☐ 690	0 Other	2:	8 USC 157	3729(a))		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROP	ERTYRIGHTS	400 State R	eapportion	iment
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury			☐ 820 C		430 Banks		18
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	, !		☐ 830 Pa		☐ 450 Comme	erce	-0
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product	'		∩ 840 Tr	ademark	☐ 460 Deports ☐ 470 Rackets		
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability	300 MSG	LABOR		MUSICURITAY	🖺 Corrupt	i Organizat	tions
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud	CIY   25-710	Fair Labor Standards Act	Ø 861 HI	IA (1395ff)	□ 480 Consur	ner Credit	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle	O 371 Truth in Lending	G 720	Labor/Management	□ 863 DI	ack Lung (923) IWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/5 ☐ 850 Securit		odisio-/
195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SS	ID Title XVI	Exchar	nge	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	Ø 751	Railway Labor Act Family and Medical	□ 865 RS	SI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other S	tatutory A	ctions
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	- 1	Leave Act	İ		☐ 891 Agricul ☐ 893 Enviror	iturai Acts imental Ma	atters
SREAL PROPERTY OF	CONTROL Majoractice	PRISONERPETETTON	790 107 ₪	Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	200 Harry		D 895 Freedor	n of Inforn	nation
D 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	13 73	Income Security Act		RAUTAX:SUITS	Ø Act  ☐ 896 Arbitrat		
☐ 220 Foreciosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	O 463 Alien Detainee				Defendant)	☐ 899 Admini		ocedure
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	· [			S-Third Party	Act/Rev	iew or App	peal of
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General			26	USC 7609	Agency	Decision	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	D 535 Death Penalty	* ##4	FIMMIGRATION 2013	<b>*</b>		950 Constitu		<i>1</i> I
	Employment  3 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Othe		Naturalization Application Other Immigration	on		]		
	Other	550 Civil Rights	5 +03	Actions					
	☐ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	ł						
		Conditions of	ļ		1				
V ODYOD!		Confinement			1		1		
	noved from 🔯 3	Remanded from	J 4 Reinst Reope		ferred from	□ 6 Multidistr			
			-	(specif	fi)	Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Fair Labor Standa	tute under which you are urds Act	c ming (Do	not cite jurisdictional sti	atutes uniess	diversity);			
	Brief description of ca Violations of the F	LSA		<del>-</del>					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in	-	t:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				ET NUMBER	<u> </u>	O No	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF	RECORD		LI NUMBER _			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			30\$			_			
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT					_			
AM		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE .		MAG. JUD	GE		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Wage and Hour Class Action Filed Against Maaco Franchising