1/2/18

Date

Time
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO, FLORIDA

#### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 113880

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

Natalie Droke, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Merchants Association Collection Division, Inc.,

Defendant.

Docket No: 6.18-CV-1050-02L-CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 41-652

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Natalie Droke, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against Merchants Association Collection Division, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), as follows:

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.
  - 4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of Florida.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Natalie Droke is an individual who is a citizen of the State of Florida residing in Orange County, Florida.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Merchants Association Collection Division, Inc., is a Florida Corporation with a principal place of business in Hillsborough County, Florida.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
  - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

#### **ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").
- 11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
- 13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated July 2, 2017. ("Exhibit 1.")
  - 15. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.
  - 16. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.
- 18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) requires the notice to include a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days of receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed valid by the debt collector.
  - 19. There is no requirement that the consumer dispute the debt in writing.
  - 20. It is a violation of the FDCPA to require disputes be made in writing.

- 21. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 22. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 23. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 24. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 25. It is a violation of the FDCPA to include language in the letter that, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be made in writing.
- 26. The Letter states, "Please send payment and correspondence to: [Defendant's address]."
  - 27. Disputes need not be in writing.
  - 28. Disputes may be made orally.
- 29. The language concerning correspondence with Defendant overshadows the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 30. The language concerning correspondence with Defendant contradicts the required 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 31. The language concerning correspondence with Defendant, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, overshadows the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 32. The language concerning correspondence with Defendant, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, contradicts the required § 1692g(a)(3) statement.
- 33. The language concerning correspondence with Defendant, when examined from the perspective of the least sophisticated consumer, leads the least sophisticated consumer to believe that her dispute must be in writing.

- 34. Defendant has violated § 1692g, as the above-referenced language overshadows the information required to be provided by that Section.
- 35. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 36. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 37. Collection notices are deceptive if they can be reasonably read to have two or more different meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 38. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 39. The Letter could be reasonably interpreted by the least sophisticated consumer as incorrectly representing that a dispute must be communicated in writing.
- 40. Because the Letter is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading, as described above, they are deceptive within the meaning of the FDCPA.
  - 41. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by the Letter.
- 42. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by the Letter.
- 43. The misrepresentation is material because it could impede the least sophisticated consumer's ability to respond to the letter or dispute the debt.
- 44. Defendant has violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive, and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 45. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all persons similarly situated in the State of Florida from whom Defendant attempted to collect a consumer debt using a letter containing the same language complained of herein, from one year before the date of this Complaint to the present.
- 46. This action seeks a finding that Defendant's conduct violates the FDCPA, and asks that the Court award damages as authorized by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
  - 47. Defendant regularly engages in debt collection.
  - 48. The Class consists of more than 35 persons from whom Defendant attempted to

collect delinquent consumer debts using a letter containing the same language complained of herein.

- 49. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Common questions of law or fact raised by this class action complaint affect all members of the Class and predominate over any individual issues. Common relief is therefore sought on behalf of all members of the Class. This class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 50. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, and a risk that any adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class would, as a practical matter, either be dispositive of the interests of other members of the Class not party to the adjudication, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Defendant has acted in a manner applicable to the Class as a whole such that declaratory relief is warranted.
- 51. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interests of the Class. The management of the class action proposed is not extraordinarily difficult, and the factual and legal issues raised by this class action complaint will not require extended contact with the members of the Class, because Defendant's conduct was perpetrated on all members of the Class and will be established by common proof. Moreover, Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in actions brought under consumer protection laws.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

52. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Certify this action as a class action; and
- b. Appoint Plaintiff as Class Representative of the Class, and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel; and
- c. Find that Defendant's actions violate the FDCPA; and

- d. Grant damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- e. Grant Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- f. Grant Plaintiff's costs; together with
- g. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: June 29, 2018

BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC

By: Craig B. Sanders, Esq.

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

csanders@barshaysanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Our File No.: 113880

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

DATE June 29, 2018

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS F. I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S					
NATALIE DROKE				MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION COLLECTION DIVISION,						
NATALIE DROKE				INC						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff ORANGE				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant HILLSBOROUGH						
(EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		NOTE:	IN LAND C	<i>LAINTIFF CASES OF</i> CONDEMNATION C T OF LAND INVOL	ASÉS, USE THE	LOCAT	ION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC 100 Garden City Plaza, Ste 500, Garden City, NY 11530 (516) 203-7600				Attorneys (If Known)						
				TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES @	lace an "X" in O	ne Box foi	Plaintiff	
	·	,,	(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)							
O 1 U.S. Government		ot a Party)	Citize		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Prin of Business In Th				
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizo	Citizen of Another State O 2 O 2 Incorporated and Principal Place O 5 O 5 of Business In Another State					0 5	
					Citizen or Subject of a O 3 O 3 Foreign Nation O 6 O 6 Foreign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	<i></i>				(In the second			DĠ.	
	res in the second section of the second section of the second second second second section section second s	•		REETUREPENALTY		KRUPTGY	OTHER			
O 130 Miller Act O 140 Negotiable Instrument O 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment O 151 Medicare Act O 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) O 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits O 160 Stockholders' Suits O 190 Other Contract O 195 Contract Product Liability O 196 Franchise	O 440 Other Civil Rights O 441 Voting O 442 Employment O 443 Housing/ Accommodations O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJUR O 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability O 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability O 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT O 370 Other Fraud O 371 Truth in Lending O 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: Use Sentence O 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence O 530 General O 535 Death Penalty Other: O 540 Mandamus & Other O 550 Civil Rights O 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	O 690 O 720 O 740 O 791 O 791	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other  LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act  Maturalization Application 465 Other Immigration ctions	O 423 Withd 28 US  PROPE O 820 Copyt O 830 Paten O 840 Trade O 861 HIA (O 862 Black O 863 DIWC O 864 SSID O 865 RSI (G	C 157  RTY RIGHTS  It mark  SECURITY  1395ff)  Lung (923)  CDIWW (405(g))  Title XVI  105(g))  ALTAX SUITS  (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	O 375 False Claims Act O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust O 430 Banks and Banking O 450 Commerce O 460 Deportation O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ● 480 Consumer Credit O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange O 890 Other Statutory Actions O 891 Agricultural Acts O 893 Environmental Matters O 895 Freedom of Information Act O 896 Arbitration O 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision O 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in • 1 Original O 2 Remo	oved from State O 3 Rem	nanded from O	4 Reinsta Reop		er District	O 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	2	Aultidistri Litigation Direct File	_	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: (Enter U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause.)  15 USC §1692 – Fair Debt Collection Practices				VII. Previous Bankruptcy Matters: ((For nature of suite 422 and 423, enter the case number and judge for any associated bankruptcy matter previously adjudicated by a judge of this Court. Use a separate attachment if necessary.						
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  • CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				EMAND S	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: • Yes O No					
IX. RELATED CASE IF ANY		DOCKET NUMBER								
X. This Case (check one box)   Is not a refiling of a previously dismissed action   is a refiling of case number previously dismissed by Judge										

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Merchants Association Collection Division Sued Over Alleged Demand for Written Debt Disputes