UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ESTHER DICK on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated consumers

Plaintiff,

-against-

MBI ASSOCIATES, INC. A/K/A MBI COLLECTION SPECIALISTS

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Introduction

 Plaintiff Esther Dick seeks redress for the illegal practices of MBI Associates, Inc. a/k/a MBI Collection Specialists concerning the collection of debts, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et *seq.* ("FDCPA").

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York who resides within this District.
- 3. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by Section 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA, in that the alleged debt that Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's principal place of business is located in Rockville Centre, New York.
- 5. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.

6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this district.

Allegations Particular to Esther Dick

- 9. Upon information and belief, on a date better known by Defendant, Defendant began to attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt from the Plaintiff.
- On or about November 2, 2015, Defendant sent the Plaintiff a collection letter seeking to collect a balance allegedly incurred for personal purposes.
- 11. The said November 2, 2015 letter was Defendant's initial communication with the Plaintiff.
- 12. Section 1692g of the FDCPA requires that, within 5 days of a debt collector's first communication to a consumer, it must provide consumers with several pieces of information the amount of the debt, the 30-day validation notice and "(2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed", see 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- It is not enough to provide the information required by § 1692g of the FDCPA; rather,
 that information must be effectively conveyed.¹
- 14. The Defendant's letter was supposed to identify the name "LMC PHYSICIAN

 ¹ See, <u>Clomon v. Jackson</u>, 988 F.2d 1314, 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4965 (2d Cir. Conn. 1993), <u>Miller v. Wolpoff & Abramson, L.L.P.</u>, 321 F.3d 292, 2003 U.S. App. LEXIS 3409, 55 Fed. R. Serv. 3d (Callaghan) 746 (2d Cir. N.Y. 2003), <u>Savino v. Computer Credit</u>, 164 F.3d 81, 1998 U.S. App. LEXIS 31652, 42 Fed. R. Serv. 3d (Callaghan) 1154 (2d Cir. N.Y. 1998), <u>McStay v. I.C. Sys.</u>, 308 F.3d 188, 2002 U.S. App. LEXIS 21542 (2d Cir. N.Y. 2002) see also, 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b)., <u>Jacobson v. Healthcare Fin. Servs.</u>, Inc., 516 F.3d 85, 90 (2d Cir. 2008) citing <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996).

Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document 1 Filed 11/02/16 Page 3 of 7 PageID #: 3

SERVICES" either as the "original creditor," "current creditor," or "the creditor to whom the debt is owed."

- 15. Merely naming the creditor without specifically identifying the entity as the current creditor to whom the debt is owed is not sufficient to comply with 15 U.S.C. 1692g(a)(2).
- An unsophisticated consumer is left in the dark as to whether or not "LMC PHYSICIAN SERVICES" is in fact the creditor to whom the alleged debt is owed.²
- 17. An unsophisticated consumer is left confused as to who the creditor is in this case.³
- 18. Defendant failed to effectively state "the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed."
- Therefore, Defendant's form collection letter violates §§ 1692g and 1692g(2) of the FDCPA.
- 20. An unsophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by Defendant's conduct.
- 21. Said November 2, 2015 letter is deceptive and misleading as it failed to correctly

² Janetos v. Fulton, Friedman & Gullace, LLP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 48774 (N.D. Ill., Apr. 13, 2015). (Thus, standing alone the fact that the form letter included the words "Asset Acceptance, LLC" [creditor] did not establish compliance with § 1692g(a)(2). The Act required [Defendant's] letter to identify Asset Acceptance as the "creditor to whom the debt is owed." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2). The letter had to make that identification clearly enough that the recipient would likely understand it.), Beltrez v. Credit Collection Servs., 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160161 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 25, 2015). ("As Plaintiff has stated a plausible claim that the Defendant's failure to explicitly and accurately name the creditor to whom the debt is owed would likely confuse the least sophisticated consumer as to the name of the actual creditor to whom the debt is owed, Defendant's motion must be denied."), Schneider v. TSYS Total Debt Mgmt., Inc., No. 06-C-345, 2006 WL 1982499 (B.D. Wis. July 13, 2006). ("[T]hroughout its briefs, [the debt collector] implies that the full and complete name of the creditor includes the name 'Target.' Yet, without the full and complete name of the creditor, be it Target National Bank, Target Customs Brokers, Inc., or a corporation that simply identifies itself by the acronym 'T.A.R.G.E.T,' it would be impossible for this court to decide whether [the debt collector] sufficiently identified the creditor to whom [the consumer's] debt is owed. Moreover, given that the full and complete name of the creditor is unknown, at least to the cornt, and given the fact-based nature of the confusion question, it would not be appropriate, at this early stage of the litigation, for the court to detennine whether the unsophisticated debtor would be confused by the collection letter."), Amina v. WMC Mortgage Corp., No. CIV. 10-00165 JMS, 2011 WL 1869835 (D. Haw. May 16, 2011). ("[A] genuine issue of material fact exists regarding whether [the debt collector] complied with § 1692g(a)(2)'s requirement that [the debt collector] identify the current creditor. [The debt collector] identified the creditor only as 'CHASE,' and it should go without saying that there are multiple Chase entities. Further, there is no evidence on the record establishing that Chase is indeed the current creditor.")

³ Lee v. Forster & Garbus LLP, 12 cv 420, 2013 WL 776740 (E.D. N.Y. 2013) ("Defendants fare no better insisting that any misidentification in the Collection Letter was immaterial. As an initial matter, this argument only could apply to the alleged Section 1692e and Section 1692f violations. Section 1692(g)[(a)](2) specifically requires debt collectors to identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed in the initial communication or within five days of the initial communication. There is nothing in the statute requiring the identity of the creditor to be "material" to the communication. In addition, even assuming, arguendo, that a deceptive statement must be material to violate Section 1692e and Section 1692f, failing to identify the creditor here 7 after "pay to the order of" on the payment check to ensure that the debt is satisfied. Accordingly, Defendants' materiality argument is without merit."), <u>Pardo v. Allied Interstate, LLC</u>, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 125526 (S.D. Ind. Sept. 21, 2015), <u>Walls v. United Collection Bureau, Inc.</u>, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 68079, *4-5, 2012 WL 1755751 (N.D. Ill. May 16, 2012), <u>Deschaine v. Nat'l Enter. Sys.</u>, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 31349, *3-5 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 7, 2013).

Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document 1 Filed 11/02/16 Page 4 of 7 PageID #: 4

identify the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692g and 1692g(a)(2).

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act brought by Plaintiff on behalf of herself and the members of a class, as against the Defendant.

- 22. Plaintiff re-states, re-alleges, and incorporates herein by reference, paragraphs one (1) through twenty one (21) as if set forth fully in this cause of action.
- 23. This cause of action is brought on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of a class.
- 24. The class consists of all persons whom Defendant's records reflect resided in the State of New York and who were sent a collection letter in substantially the same form letter as the letter sent to the Plaintiff on or about November 2, 2015; and (a) the collection letter was sent to a consumer seeking payment of a personal debt; and (b) the collection letter was not returned by the postal service as undelivered; (c) and the Plaintiff asserts that the letter contained violations of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692g and 1692g(a)(2) for failing to correctly identify the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 25. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, a class action is appropriate and preferable in this case because:
 - A. Based on the fact that a form collection letter is at the heart of this litigation, the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.
 - B. There are questions of law and fact common to the class and these questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. The principal question presented by this claim is whether the Defendant violated the FDCPA.

- C. The only individual issue is the identification of the consumers who received such collection letters (*i.e.* the class members), a matter capable of ministerial determination from the records of Defendant.
- D. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of those of the class members. All are based on the same facts and legal theories.
- E. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the class members' interests. The Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in bringing class actions and collection-abuse claims. The Plaintiff's interests are consistent with those of the members of the class.
- 26. A class action is superior for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members' claims. Congress specifically envisions class actions as a principal means of enforcing the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k). The members of the class are generally unsophisticated individuals, whose rights will not be vindicated in the absence of a class action. Prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the classes would create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications resulting in the establishment of inconsistent or varying standards for the parties and would not be in the interest of judicial economy.
- 27. If the facts are discovered to be appropriate, the Plaintiff will seek to certify a class pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 28. Collection attempts, such as those made by the Defendant are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

- 29. The Defendant's actions as set forth above in the within complaint violates the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 30. Because the Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Plaintiff and the members of the class are entitled to damages in accordance with the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully requests preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, and that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant and award damages as follows:

- A. Statutory damages provided under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k);
- B. Attorney fees, litigation expenses and costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- C. Any other relief that this Court deems appropriate and just under the circumstances.

Dated: Woodmere, New York November 1, 2016

> /s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. (AF-9508) Attorney At Law Attorney for the Plaintiff 735 Central Avenue Woodmere, New York 11598 Telephone: (516) 668-6945 Email: fishbeinadamj@gmail.com

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so triable.

/s/ Adam J. Fishbein Adam J. Fishbein (AF-9508) 16-cv-06086 Document 1 Filed 11/02/16 Page 7 of 7 Page **100**#Merrick Rd. #430 W.



November 2, 2015

ESTHER DICK 580 Crown St Apt 5 Brooklyn NY 11213-5355

ACCOUNT IDENTIFICATION

Re: LMC PHYSICIAN SE	IC VICLL
Account Number :	93
Reference Number :	28
Balance Due : \$	513.68

Dear ESTHER DICK,

We have been retained by LMC PHYSICIAN SERVICES to collect from you the above balance due.

This above balance may represent one or multiple dates of service. We require that the full amount shown be remitted in the envelope provided and sent to this office.

Should you require more time to make payment or wish to make payment arrangements, please call this office upon receipt of this letter. Our office accepts Visa, MasterCard and American Express which you may pay over the phone or online at <u>www.paymbi.com</u>.

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion of it, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

ACCOUNT REP 800-428-8109 OPTION 2 MBI ASSOCIATES INC.

There will be a service charge of \$20.00 for all returned checks.

1250-PHYS1-1/06/10

Rockville Centre NY 11570-4806 (516) 678-9705 • (800) 428-8109

New York City Dept. of Consumer

Fax: (516) 678-8148

Affairs License #2020579

For your convenience you may now pay online at www.paymbi.com *** Detach Lower Portion And Return With Payment ***

I

I



Linden MI 48451 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

Re: LMC PHYSICI	AN SERVICES
Account Number	: 293
Reference Number	: 28
Balance Due	: \$13.68
Amount Enclosed:	

Enter the requested information in the spaces provided below:

For Credit Card Payment use back of form.

12345678-001250-01-1-AA

քովի քի...ունի քիր ... դ... դ... ի . հիր հեր ... դ... հրարում

0008120024018082918211213535505-Y19C1D4673 1250 PHYS1 - 1250 PERSONAL & CONFIDENITAL ESTHER DICK 580 Crown St Apt 5 Brooklyn NY 11213-5355



Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document 1-1 Filed 11/02/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 8

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York

)
)
ESTHER DICK)
)
Plaintiff(s))
V.)
MBI ASSOCIATES, INC.	
A/K/A MBI COLLECTION SPECIALISTS	ý
)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) MBI ASSOCIATES, INC. A/K/A MBI COLLECTION SPECIALISTS 100 Merrick Road Suite 430W Rockville Centre, NY 11570

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Adam J. Fishbein, P.C. 483 Chestnut Street

Cedarhurst NY 11516

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document 1-1 Filed 11/02/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 9

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	ceived by me on (date)				
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	□ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a person	n of suitable age and discretion who res	ides ther	·e,
	on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or				
	\Box I served the summer	Ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or	
	□ I returned the summons unexecuted because				; or
	Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.	00
	I declare under penalt	y of perjury that this information	is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Server's address

JS 44 (Rev. 1/2013) Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document Covers 11/02/16 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
Esther Dick				MBI Associates, In	с.	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Kings (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Nassau</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Adam J. Fishbein 735 Central Avenue				Attorneys (If Known)		
Woodmere NY 11598 5	616 668 6945 fishbeina			FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I		(1	For Diversity Cases Only) P1 n of This State	IF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place □ 4 □ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizer	n of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and a of Business In .	
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	 PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 	 General Control C	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881) Other LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education 	 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)				-	
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope	tated or 5 Transfe ened Anothe (specify)	r District Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 15 USC 1692 FAI Brief description of ca	tute under which you are R DEBT COLLECTI suse: dentify the creditor	e filing (De ION PR	o not cite jurisdictional stat ACTICES ACT	utes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DE	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: : X Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 11/01/2016 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTO /s/ Adam J. Fish		F RECORD		
	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

Case 1:16-cv-06086 Document 1-2 Filed 11/02/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 11 CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, <u>Adam J. Fishbein</u>, counsel for <u>Plaintiff</u>, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

- monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
- the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

Class Action

 \mathbf{X} the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:

None

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)

- Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County: No
- If you answered "no" above: 2.) a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? No

b) Did the events of omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County?

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. X Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? (If yes, please explain)

	5
X	No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Yes

Signature: /s/ Adam J. Fishbein

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>MBI Collection Specialists Hit with FDCPA Class Action</u>