

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

RONALD DENDALL and **BRIAN DENDALL**, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZORN DESIGN, LLC, *d/b/a* “**ZORN DESIGN COMPANY**,” and **EDWARD A. ZORN**,

Defendants.

Case No.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs **RONALD DENDALL** and **BRIAN DENDALL**, (hereinafter referred to as “Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through their attorneys, **JTB LAW GROUP, LLC**, hereby bring this Collective Action Complaint against Defendants, **ZORN DESIGN, LLC**, *d/b/a* “**ZORN DESIGN COMPANY**,” and **EDWARD A. ZORN**, and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this action, individually and as a collective action on behalf of all others similarly situated, to recover unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs as a result of Defendants’ willful violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”), 29 U.S.C. §201 *et seq.* and the New Jersey Wage and Hour Law (“NJWHL”), N.J.S.A. 34:11 *et seq.*

2. Defendant Edward A. Zorn is the owner and manager of Zorn Design, LLC, dba “Zorn Design Company.”

3. Defendants employed laborers, including Plaintiffs, to renovate, remodel and redesign interior and exterior of real property.

4. As Laborers, Plaintiffs worked over forty (40) hours every week.

5. Defendants violated the FLSA by paying the regular rate of pay for hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek, instead of paying time and a half.

6. Defendants paid the overtime rate at the same rate as the regular rate of pay.

7. This is sometimes referred to as paying overtime at the straight rate of pay.

8. As a result, there were many weeks in which Plaintiffs worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek without being paid an overtime premium at a rate not less than one and one half (1.5) times of Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

9. Defendants failed to pay any overtime premium.

10. Defendants classified its workforce as "independent contractors", using the title to evade paying overtime.

11. Defendants failed to keep records of hours worked.

12. Defendants failed to keep accurate pay information.

13. Plaintiffs bring this collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of all Laborers employed by Defendants as hourly laborers for relief for violation of the FLSA, as a collective action, defined as follows:

All Laborers who worked for the Defendants at any time during the period of three (3) years prior to the commencement of this action through the date of judgment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiffs' claims raise a federal question under 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et*

seq.

15. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Zorn Design, LLC, dba “Zorn Design Company,” because it conducted business in New Jersey and the acts and omissions that form the basis of the lawsuit occurred within this District.

16. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Edward A. Zorn because he conducts business within and is a resident of the state of New Jersey, and the acts and omissions that form the basis of the lawsuit occurred within this District.

17. Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because Defendants employed Plaintiffs in this district and because a substantial portion of the events or omissions that give rise to the Plaintiffs’ claims occurred in this district.

PARTIES

Defendants

18. Defendant Zorn Design, LLC, does business as “Zorn Design Company” (hereinafter “Zorn Design”).

19. Defendant Zorn Design is a limited liability company incorporated in the state of Florida with its principal place address located at 11 Via Lucindia Dr N, Stuart, Florida 34996.

20. Defendant Zorn Design was founded by Edward A. Zorn in 1979.

21. Defendant Edward A. Zorn is the owner and manager of Zorn Design.

22. Defendant Edward A. Zorn hired and fired Plaintiffs.

23. Defendant Edward A. Zorn directly supervised Plaintiffs.

24. Defendant Edward A. Zorn determined Plaintiffs’ pay.

25. Defendants were Plaintiffs’ “employer” within the meaning of all applicable statutes.

26. According to its website (<http://www.zorndesign.com/>), Zorn Design is a company that provides a full service premier custom home building and renovation within this district and holds an office located at 6305 Long Beach Blvd, Apt C, Harvey Cedars, New Jersey 08008.

27. Zorn Design specializes in all phases of home construction from remodeling to designing a new addition and building a custom home from the ground up as well as providing renovation services to homeowners, residential property owners, and businesses.

Plaintiff – Robert Dendall

28. Plaintiff Robert Dendall is a resident of Galloway, New Jersey, and signed a consent form to join this lawsuit, which is attached as *Exhibit A*.

29. Defendants employed Plaintiff Robert Dendall as a Laborer from approximately November 2012 to December 2017.

30. Defendants paid Plaintiff Robert Dendall an hourly rate of \$20, regardless of the amount of hours worked.

31. That is, for the hours paid, hours worked over 40 were still paid at \$20 an hour.

32. Plaintiff Robert Dendall did not receive any premium pay for hours worked over 40.

33. Plaintiff Robert Dendall was scheduled to work seven 8.5 hour shifts per week, each lasting from approximately 8:00 AM until 4:30 PM, without a lunch break.

34. Plaintiff Robert Dendall's job duties as a Laborer consisted of general constructions of remodeling, renovating and designing homes.

Plaintiff – Brian Dendall

35. Plaintiff Brian Dendall is a resident of Galloway, New Jersey and signed a

consent form to join this lawsuit, which is attached as *Exhibit B*.

36. Defendants employed Plaintiff Brian Dendall as a Laborer from November 2011 to December 2017.

37. Defendants paid Plaintiff Brian Dendall an hourly rate of \$20, regardless of the amount of hours worked.

38. That is, for the hours paid, hours worked over 40 were still paid at \$20 an hour.

39. Plaintiff Brian Dendall did not receive any premium pay for hours worked over 40.

40. Plaintiff Brian Dendall was scheduled to work seven 8.5 hour shifts per week, each lasting from approximately 8:00 AM until 4:30 PM without a lunch break.

41. Plaintiff Brian Dendall's job duties as a Laborer consist of general constructions of remodeling, renovating and designing homes.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

42. Defendants are an employer defined under New Jersey Statutes Ann. §§ 34:11-4.1 and 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) of the FLSA.

43. Plaintiffs and other Laborers were "employees" of Defendants within the meaning of New Jersey Statutes Ann. §§ 34:11-4.1 and 29 U.S.C. § 203(e)(1) of the FLSA.

44. Defendants were and continue to be "an enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

45. Defendant Zorn Designs has an annual gross business volume in excess of \$500,000.

46. Defendant Zorn Designs had two (2) or more employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce.

47. Defendants “suffered or permitted” Plaintiffs and other Laborers to work and thus “employed” them within the meaning of New Jersey Statutes Ann. §§ 34:11-4.1 and 29 U.S.C. § 203(g) of the FLSA.

48. Defendants provide home renovations and constructions largely in and around New Jersey.

49. Defendants employed Laborers to work in New Jersey.

50. At all times, Laborers, including Plaintiffs, worked and provided renovations services to homes in New Jersey.

51. Laborers perform tasks involving physical labor for building new additions to a home, remodeling, renovating and constructing real property.

52. At all times, Plaintiffs were an hourly-paid laborer worker who performed duties that are not exempt from the FLSA’s overtime requirements.

53. Plaintiffs’ hourly rate was \$20.00 an hour.

54. As a Laborer, Plaintiffs were scheduled to work seven (7) shifts per week, approximately eight and a half (8.5) hours per day without a lunch break.

55. Laborers regularly worked over forty (40) hours per week.

56. Laborers were paid a regular rate of pay for all hours worked including hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.

57. In the workweeks in which Defendants did pay Laborers for hours worked in excess of forty (40), they failed to pay them time-and-a-half of their regular rate of pay.

58. For example, for the workweek of August 7, 2016 to August 13, 2016, Plaintiffs worked over forty (40) hours; and Defendants paid Plaintiffs an hourly rate of \$20.00 for each hour worked.

59. Defendants failed to pay Laborers any overtime premium at a rate not less than one and one half (1.5) times of their regular rate of pay for hours worked overtime in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek as required under the FLSA and NJWHL.

60. Defendants' policies and practices deprived Laborers of a premium rate while working overtime as required under the FLSA and NJWHL.

61. The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has set forth an "economic realities test" to determine whether an independent contractor, in reality, is an employee subject to the FLSA. Criteria are:

- (1) the degree of the alleged employer's right to control the manner in which the work is to be performed;
- (2) the alleged employee's opportunity for profit or loss depending upon his managerial skill;
- (3) the alleged employee's investment in equipment of materials required for his task, or his employment of helpers;
- (4) whether the service requires a special skill;
- (5) the degree of permanence of the working relationship; and
- (6) whether the service rendered is an integral part of the alleged employer's business.

Martin v. Selker Brothers, Inc., 949 F.2d 1286, 1293 (3d Cir. 1991).

62. In determining whether an actor is an employee or independent contractor, the New Jersey Supreme Court applies the "ABC test". *Hargrove v. Sleepy's, LLC*, 106 A.3d 449 (N.J. 2015). The ABC test presumes an individual is an employee unless the employer can make certain showings regarding the individual employed, including:

- (a) Such individual has been and will continue to be free from control or direction over the performance of such service, both under his contract of service and in fact,
- (b) Such service is either outside the usual course of the business for which such service is performed, or that such service is performed outside of all the places of business of the enterprise for which such service is performed, and
- (c) Such individual is customarily engaged in an independently established trade, occupation, profession or business.

Id.

63. Based on both of the foregoing standards, Defendants misclassified Plaintiffs and

other Laborers as independent contractors. Plaintiffs and other Laborers:

- a. work hours that are set by Defendants;
- b. were paid by the hour at a set rate;
- c. are required to report to Defendants on a regular basis;
- d. received all assignments from Defendants;
- e. were not required to provide any job specific equipment in their employment, because Defendants supplied the necessary tools and construction material for the job;
- f. have no investment in facilities or equipment;
- g. perform services that are an integral part of Defendants business;
- h. perform services that is in the usual course of the business such as renovating, constructing and remodeling homes;
- i. have a continuing relationship with Defendants, not an occasional relationship;
- j. were economically dependent on Defendant;
- k. typically worked too many hours for Defendants to engage in significant employment elsewhere;
- l. at any time could be terminated and was terminated by Defendants; and
- m. were unable to enhance/increase their wages.

64. Defendants has maintained control, oversight, and day-to-day supervision over Plaintiffs' and all other Laborers' work schedule, assignments, duties, and employment conditions including the promulgation and enforcement of policies affecting the payment of their overtime compensation.

65. Defendants, directly hired Plaintiffs and other Laborers and determined the rate and method of the payment of wages.

66. Defendants have authority to fire and directly fired Plaintiffs and other Laborers.

67. In addition, Defendants failed to keep certain records of total number of hours actually worked by employees each workweek and thus Laborers were not properly paid for all hours worked.

68. The FLSA requires employers to maintain records of all hours worked and wages paid to employees. 29 U.S.C.A. § 211(c). The NJWHL has similar requirements in its record-keeping provision. *Adami v. Cardo Windows, Inc.*, CIV. 12-2804 at *4 (D.N.J. July 23, 2013).

69. Defendants' wrongful acts and/or omissions/commissions, as alleged herein, were not made in good faith, or in conformity with or in reliance on any written administrative regulation, order, ruling, approval, or interpretation by the state and/or U.S. Department of Labor and/or any state department of labor, or any administrative practice or enforcement practice or enforcement policy of such departments or bureau.

70. Defendants knowingly, willfully, and/or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice regarding its failure to pay Plaintiffs proper overtime compensation. As set forth herein, other prior and current Laborers were subjected to the same wrongful policies, practices, and/or procedures.

71. On January 9, Plaintiffs sent Defendants a "Good Faith Prelitigation Demand" letter in an attempt to recover their unpaid wages from Defendants without filing a lawsuit.

72. On March 2, 2018, Plaintiffs and Defendant Zorn Design, through their counsel, entered into a written agreement providing in part that "all state and federal statutes of limitations will be tolled as to each Party from January 9, 2018 until ten (10) days after the receipt by either Party of a written notice mailed to the addresses noted below, by overnight U.S. Mail/Fedex/UPS or by certified mail return receipt requested, that their informal attempts to

resolve the dispute are concluded.”

73. Because neither party has mailed a written notice that their informal attempts to resolve the dispute are concluded, the statute of limitations governing Plaintiffs’ claims should be tolled as of March 2, 2018 through the filing of this Complaint.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

74. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate all previous paragraphs herein.

75. Plaintiffs assert the foregoing violations not only individually, but collectively pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) on behalf of the “FLSA Collective,” defined as:

All Laborers who worked for the Defendants at any time during the period of three (3) years prior to the commencement of this action through the date of judgment.

(hereinafter referred to as the “FLSA Collective”). Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this definition as necessary.

76. Members of the FLSA Collective are all improperly classified as exempt employees by Defendants.

77. As a result of the foregoing policies, there were many weeks in which Defendants failed to compensate members of the FLSA Collective at an overtime premium rate of not less than one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek as required by the FLSA.

78. Plaintiffs brings this Collective Action against Defendants to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, costs, and attorneys’ fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

79. With respect to the claims set herein, a collective action under the FLSA is appropriate because the employees described above are “similarly situated” to Plaintiffs under 29

U.S.C. § 216(b). The collective of employees on behalf of whom Plaintiffs brings this collective action are similarly situated because: (a) they have been or are employed in the same or similar positions; (b) they were or are subject to the same or similar unlawful practices, policy, or plan; and (c) their claims are based upon the same factual and legal theories.

80. The Collective Action further alleges a willful violation of the FLSA and is covered by a third year of limitations.

81. Plaintiffs seeks to send a Notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to the putative members of the FLSA Collective permitting them to assert FLSA claims in this Collective Action by filing their individual consent forms.

82. The precise number and identities of Collective members should be readily available from a review of Defendants' personnel and payroll records.

83. Defendants are aware that the FLSA applies to their business and they are required to adhere to the rules under the FLSA.

84. Defendants' conduct and practices, described herein, were and are willful, intentional, unreasonably, arbitrary, and in bad faith.

COUNT I
(29 U.S.C. § 216(b) Collective Action)
Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

85. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate all previous paragraphs herein.

86. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1) provides:

[N]o employer shall employ any of his employees who in any workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, for a workweek longer than forty hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular

rate at which he is employed.

87. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as Independent Contractors.

88. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as exempt from overtime pay.

89. Defendants classified its laborers as Independent Contractors.

90. Defendants classified its laborers as exempt from overtime pay.

91. Plaintiffs performed primary job duties that do not fall within any exemptions from overtime under the FLSA.

92. Laborers for the Defendants performed primary job duties that do not fall within any exemptions from overtime under the FLSA.

93. Plaintiffs and the FLSA collective members regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

94. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective members overtime compensation at a rate of not less than one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek as required by the FLSA.

95. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiffs and other FLSA Collective members' overtime was not done in good faith, or in conformity with or in reliance on any written administrative regulation, order, ruling, approval, or interpretation by the U.S. Department of Labor and/or any state department of labor, or any administrative practice or enforcement policy of such departments.

96. As a result of Defendant's uniform policies and practices described above, Plaintiffs and the FLSA collective members were illegally deprived of proper overtime compensation earned, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and other

compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

97. Because Defendants willfully violated the FLSA, a three (3) year statute of limitations applies to such violation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

98. Defendants are in possession and control of necessary documents and information from which Plaintiffs would be able to precisely calculate damages.

COUNT II
(29 U.S.C. § 216(b) Individual Claim)
Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.
FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

99. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate all previous paragraphs herein.

100. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as exempt from overtime.

101. Defendants misclassified Plaintiffs as exempt from overtime.

102. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as independent contractors.

103. Plaintiffs performed primary job duties that do not fall within any exemptions from overtime under the FLSA.

104. Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

105. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiffs for overtime hours at a rate of not less than one and one half (1.5) times of their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek, as required by the FLSA.

106. As a result of Defendants' uniform policies and practices described above, Plaintiffs was illegally deprived of overtime compensation earned, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of such total unpaid amounts, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216(b).

COUNT III
(Individual Claim)
NJWHL, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a et seq.,
FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME

107. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate all previous paragraphs herein.
108. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as exempt from overtime.
109. Defendants misclassified Plaintiffs as exempt from overtime.
110. Defendants classified Plaintiffs as independent contractors.
111. Plaintiffs performed primary job duties that do not fall within any exemptions from overtime under the NJWHL.
112. Under the NJWHL, Defendants are personally liable for the misclassification of Plaintiffs as independent contractors.
113. Plaintiffs regularly work more than forty (40) hours per week for Defendants and received overtime pay at a rate of less than one and one-half (1.5) times their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per workweek as required by the NJWHL.
114. The NJWHL requires employers to pay overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times each employee's regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any given work week.
115. Defendants' conduct and practices, described herein, were willful, intentional, unreasonably, arbitrary, and in bad faith.
116. As a result of Defendants' uniform policies and practices described above, Plaintiff was illegally deprived of overtime compensation earned, in such amounts to be determined at trial, and is entitled to recovery of such total unpaid amounts, pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and other compensation pursuant to NJWHLR.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief against Defendants:

(A) A declaratory judgment that Defendants' wage practices alleged herein violate the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516, *et seq.*;

(B) A declaratory judgment that Defendants' wage practices alleged herein violate the overtime provisions of the New Jersey Wage and Labor, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a *et seq.*;

(C) An Order for injunctive relief ordering Defendants to comply with the FLSA and NJWHL and end all of the illegal wage practices alleged herein;

(D) An Order certifying this case as a collective action in accordance with 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) with respect to the FLSA claims set forth herein;

(E) Ordering Defendants to disclose in computer format, or in print if no computer readable format is available, the names, addresses, e-mail addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, job titles, dates of employment and locations of employment of all putative FLSA collective;

(F) Authorizing Plaintiffs' counsel to send notice(s) of this action to all putative FLSA Collective, including the publishing of notice in a manner that is reasonably calculated to apprise the FLSA Collective members of their rights by law to join and participate in this lawsuit;

(G) Designating Lead Plaintiffs as the representative of the FLSA Collective members in this action;

(H) Designating the undersigned counsel as counsel for the FLSA Collective members in

this action;

(I) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation and liquidated damages to which Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective members are lawfully entitled under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.*, and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516, *et seq.*;

(J) Judgment for damages for all unpaid overtime compensation and pre-judgment interest to which Plaintiffs is lawfully entitled under the NJWHL, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a, *et seq.*;

(K) An incentive award for the Lead Plaintiffs for serving as representative of the FLSA Collective members in this action;

(L) Declaring Defendants willfully violated the FLSA and the Department of Labor's attendant regulations as cited herein;

(M) Declaring Defendants violated and that said violations were intentional, willfully oppressive, fraudulent and malicious;

(N) Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs in this action as provided by the FLSA;

(O) Judgment for any and all civil penalties to which Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective members may be entitled; and

(P) Awarding such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs, Ronald Dendall and Brian Dendall, individually and on behalf of all other FLSA collective members, by and through their attorneys, hereby demand a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the court rules and statutes made and provided with respect to the above entitled claims.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Dated: April 4, 2018

By: /s/ Nicholas R. Conlon

Nicholas R. Conlon

Jason T. Brown

JTB LAW GROUP, LLC

155 2nd St., Suite 4

Jersey City, NJ 07302

T: (877) 561-0000

F: (855) 582-5297

nicholasconlon@jtblawgroup.com

jtb@jtblawgroup.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

RONALD DENDALL and **BRIAN DENDALL**, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZORN DESIGN, LLC, d/b/a "ZORN DESIGN COMPANY," and **EDWARD A. ZORN,**

Defendants.

Case No.

CONSENT TO SUE

I, Ronald Dendall, hereby consent to be a Plaintiff in the case captioned above. I hereby consent to the bringing of any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs and other relief and any other applicable wage and hour law against the Defendants. I further consent to bringing these claims on a collective action basis with other current/former employees of Defendants, to be represented by and through my attorneys at JTB Law Group, LLC. I agreed to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court. I authorize JTB Law Group, LLC, as well as its successors and assigns, to represent me in this case.

Signed:  **Dated:** 04/03/2018

Name: Ronald Dendall

Address: 208 East White Horse Pike
Street

Galiway nj 0i8205
City, State, Zip Code

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

RONALD DENDALL and **BRIAN DENDALL**, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ZORN DESIGN, LLC, d/b/a "ZORN DESIGN COMPANY," and **EDWARD A. ZORN,**

Defendants.

Case No.

CONSENT TO SUE

I, Brian Dendall, hereby consent to be a Plaintiff in the case captioned above. I hereby consent to the bringing of any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act for unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney’s fees, costs and other relief and any other applicable wage and hour law against the Defendants. I further consent to bringing these claims on a collective action basis with other current/former employees of Defendants, to be represented by and through my attorneys at JTB Law Group, LLC. I agreed to be bound by any settlement of this action or adjudication by the Court. I authorize JTB Law Group, LLC, as well as its successors and assigns, to represent me in this case.

Signed: Brian Dendall **Dated:** 04/03/2018

Name: Brian Dendall

Address: 208 east white horse pike
Street

Gailoway nj 08205
City, State, Zip Code

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

RONALD DENDALL, and BRIAN DENDALL, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Atlantic County, NJ
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Jason T. Brown (NJ#35921996); Nicholas R. Conlon (NJ#34052013)
JTB LAW GROUP, LLC
155 2ND St, Suite 4, Jersey City, NJ 07302, (877) 561-0000

DEFENDANTS

ZORN DESIGN, LLC, d/b/a "ZORN DESIGN COMPANY," and EDWARD A. ZORN,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.](#)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1)
 Brief description of cause:
Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq.

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. **DEMAND \$** _____ **CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:**
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

DATE 04/04/2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
 RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Lawsuit Seeks to Renovate Zorn Design's Pay Practices](#)
