# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JENNIFER CORREA, on behalf of herself and al	1
others similarly situated,	

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NORTH AMERICAN RECOVERY A/K/A N.A.R.; and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendant(s).

Civil Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

## **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, JENNIFER CORREA, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated (hereinafter "Plaintiff") by and through her undersigned attorney(s), alleges against the above-named Defendants, NORTH AMERICAN RECOVERY A/K/A N.A.R. ("N.A.R."); JOHN DOES 1-25, their employees, agents, and successors (collectively "Defendants") the following:

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and declaratory relief arising from the Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is an action for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because the acts of the Defendant that give rise to this action, occurred in substantial part, in this district.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

4. As used in reference to the FDCPA, the terms "creditor," "consumer," "debt," and "debt collector" are defined in § 803 of the FDCPA and 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. The FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, which prohibits certain debt collection practices provides for the initiation of court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FDCPA and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case.
- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person, a resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. N.A.R. maintains a location at 1600 W 2200 S, #410, West Valley City, Utah 84119.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
  - 9. Defendant is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and business alleged for the purpose of substituting names of defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

11. Plaintiff brings this action as a state wide class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"), on behalf of herself and all Pennsylvania consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class"), who were sent debt collection letters

and/or notices from the Defendants which are in violation of the FDCPA, as described in this Complaint.

- 12. This Action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class is initially defined as:
  - All Pennsylvania consumers who were sent letters and/or notices from N.A.R.
     concerning a debt owned by MERRICK BANK, which included the alleged
     conduct and practices described herein.

The class definition may be subsequently modified or refined.

The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.

- 13. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there may be hundreds and/or thousands of persons who were sent debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendants that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice. (*See Exhibit A*, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 redacted the financial account numbers and/or personal identifiers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);
  - There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
    predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
    common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:

et seq.

- a. Whether the Defendants violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to:
  15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e; 1692e(2)(A); 1692e(5); 1692e(10); and 1692f
- b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the Defendants' conduct;
- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
- d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without

the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendants' conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy, they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

 Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 14. Plaintiff is at all times to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 15. Sometime prior to August 17, 2017, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to MERRICK BANK ("MERRICK").
- 16. The MERRICK obligation arose out of a transaction, in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 17. Plaintiff incurred the MERRICK obligation by obtaining goods and services which were primarily for personal, family and household purposes.
  - 18. Plaintiff did not incur the MERRICK obligation for business purposes.
  - 19. The MERRICK obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

- 20. MERRICK is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
- 21. On or before August 17, 2017, MERRICK referred the MERRICK obligation to N.A.R. for the purpose of collections.
- 22. At the time MERRICK referred the MERRICK obligation to N.A.R., the obligation was past due.
- 23. At the time MERRICK referred the MERRICK obligation to N.A.R., the obligation was in default.
- 24. Defendants caused to be delivered to Plaintiff a letter dated August 17, 2017, which was addressed to Plaintiff. **Exhibit A,** which is fully incorporated herein by reference.
- 25. The August 17, 2017 letter was sent to Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the MERRICK obligation.
- 26. The August 17, 2017 letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
  - 27. Upon receipt, Plaintiff read the August 17, 2017 letter.
- 28. The August 17, 2017 letter provided the following information regarding the balance claimed due on the MERRICK obligation:

AMOUNT	INT	FEES	TOTAL
784.06	0.00	0.00	784.06

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

29. The August 17, 2017 letter stated in part:

As of the date of this letter, this is the amount due. Because of interest or other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

784.06

30. As late as December 2016, MERRICK had reported to one or more national credit reporting agencies that the balance due on the MERRICK obligation was \$784.

- 31. The total balance alleged to be due on the MERRICK obligation did not increase from August 17, 2017 "because of interest or other charges that may vary from day to day."
- 32. From December 2016 through August 17, 2017 the total balance alleged to be due on the MERRICK obligation did not increase "because of interest or other charges that may vary from day to day."
- 33. MERRICK never authorized N.A.R. to charge or add interest to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.
- 34. MERRICK never authorized N.A.R. to add interest, late charges or other charges to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.
- 35. At no time was N.A.R. authorized by MERRICK to charge or add interest to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.
- 36. N.A.R. was not permitted by MERRICK to add interest, late charges or other charges to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.
- 37. As some time prior to August 17, 2017, MERRICK ceased charging or adding interest to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.
- 38. As some time prior to August 17, 2017, MERRICK ceased adding interest, late charges or other charges to the balance of the MERRICK obligation.

# POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

- 39. It is Defendants' policy and practice to send written collection communications, in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, which violate the FDCPA, by *inter alia*:
  - (a) Using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
  - (b) Threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken:

- (c) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt; and
- (d) Making a false representation of the character, amount legal status of the debt.
- 40. On information and belief, Defendants sent written communications in the form annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**, to at least 50 natural persons in Pennsylvania within one year of this Complaint.

## **COUNT I**

# FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. VIOLATIONS

- 41. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.
- 42. Collection letters and/or notices, such as those sent by Defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 43. Defendant's August 17, 2017 letter would lead the least sophisticated consumer to believe that Defendant stated that the amount due could increase due to additional interest, late charges or other charges because it was relevant and true.
- 44. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused about his or her rights.
- 45. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance of the MERRICK obligation would increase.

- 46. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance of the MERRICK obligation would increase due to interest, late charges or other charges.
- 47. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to believe that the balance of the MERRICK obligation would increase.
- 48. The form, layout and content of Defendant's letter would cause the least sophisticated consumer to believe that the balance of the MERRICK obligation would increase due to interest, late charges or other charges.
- 49. Defendant's collection letters were designed to cause the least sophisticated consumer to believe that the balance of the MERRICK obligation would increase due to interest, late charges or other charges.
- 50. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with their attempts to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 51. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA in connection with their communications to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 52. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by falsely representing that the balance would increase due to interest or other charges.
- 53. Defendant's false, misleading and deceptive statement(s) is material to the least sophisticated consumer.
- 54. Section 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from making a false representation of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.

- 55. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by making false representations of the character, amount and legal status of the debt.
- 56. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by falsely representing that the balance would increase due to interest or other charges.
- 57. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from charging or adding interest, late charges or other charges.
- 58. Section 1692e(5) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- 59. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by stating that the amount due could increase due to additional interest or other charges when in fact the amount due could not and did not increase.
- 60. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by threatening to increase the amount due due to additional interest or other charges when in fact the amount due could not and did not increase.
- 61. Section 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 62. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) stating that the amount due could increase due to additional interest or other charges when in fact the amount due could not and did not increase..
- 63. Defendants' representation that the amount due could increase due to additional interest or other charges when in fact the amount due could not and did not increase violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to: 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e; 1692e(2)(A); 1692e(5); 1692e(10); and 1692f *et seq*.

- 64. Section 1692f *et seq*. of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 65. Defendants utilized unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the debt by representing that the amount due could increase due to additional interest or other charges when in fact the amount due could not and did not increase.
- 66. Defendants' conduct as described herein constitutes unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 67. Congress enacted the FDCPA in part to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 68. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to free from abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 69. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to receive proper notices mandated by the FDCPA.
- 70. Plaintiff and others similarly situated were sent letters which have the propensity to affect their decision-making with regard to the debt.
- 71. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have suffered harm as a direct result of the abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices described herein.
- 72. Plaintiff has suffered damages and other harm as a direct result of the Defendants' actions, conduct, omissions and violations of the FDCPA described herein.

### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

(a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and her attorneys as Class Counsel;

(b)	Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding pre-judgment interest;
- (e) Awarding post-judgment interest.
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and
- (g) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 23, 2018

s/ Ari Marcus
Ari Marcus, Esq.

# **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

s/ Ari Marcus
Ari Marcus, Esq.

Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/02/18 Page 13 of 14

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2** 

I, Ari Marcus, the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiff, do hereby certify to my own

knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in controversy is

not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration or administrative

proceeding.

Dated: March 23, 2018

s/Ari Marcus

Ari Marcus, Esq.

# Exhibit

A

# Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBT Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/18 Page 1 of 2

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone F.	AX Number	E-Mail Address		
732.695.3282 732	298.6256	ari@marcuszelman.com		
Date At	torney-at-law	Attorney for		
March 23, 2018 Ari H	I. Marcus	Plaintiff, Jennifer Correa		
(f) Standard Management – Cases t	hat do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(x)	
(e) Special Management – Cases th commonly referred to as comple the court. (See reverse side of the management cases.)	ex and that need special is form for a detailed	al or intense management by explanation of special	( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving clair exposure to asbestos.			( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to 1			( )	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesti and Human Services denying pl	ng review of a decisic aintiff Social Security	on of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	( )	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOW				
filing the complaint and serve a copy side of this form.) In the event the designation, that defendant shall, we the plaintiff and all other parties, a to which that defendant believes the	y on all defendants. (Shat a defendant does reith its first appearance Case Management Trace case should be assign		me of verse said	
NORTH AMERICAN RECOVE N.A.R. and JOHN DOES 1-25	:	NO.		
JENNIFER CORREA, on behalf of and all others similarly situated, v.	of herself : :	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBT Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/18 Page 2 of 2

### Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

# SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

#### JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

# Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBT\_Document 1-2\_Filed 04/02/18 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

provided by local rules of court. purpose of initiating the civil doo	This form, approved by the cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTI	Judicial Conference of ONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	the Unit	ted States in September 19	74, is require	ed for the use of the	le Clerk of Cou	it for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JENNIFER CORREA, on situated,	behalf of herself and a	l others similarly		NORTH AMERICAN 1-25	N RECOVE	ERY A/K/A N.A	A.R. and JOH	-IN DO	ES
(b) County of Residence of	First Listed Plaintiff Ph	iladelphia		County of Residence of	of First Listed	Defendant			
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS				(IN U.S. PLA	AINTIFF CASES ON			
,				NOTE: IN LAND CON	NDEMNATIO	N CASES, USE TH	E LOCATION O	F	
				I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	OI LIND III	02.20.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	ddress, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)					
MARCUS & ZELMAN, LL	C 701 Cookman Ave S	te 300 Asbury Parl	k NJ						
07712 Tel: 732.695.3282	; Email: ari@marcusze	elman.com							
			~.	THE PERSON AS IN	NAME OF THE PARTY	DADTIES		O P /	. DI : -:-
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in On	e Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF PI (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL	L PARTIES (	Place an "X" in C and One Box for	)ne Box fo r Defendo	or Plaintiff ant)
□ 1 U.S. Government				PT				PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	Citiz	ren of This State	1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Prin of Business In Th		□ 4	<b>J</b> 4
								-	<b>a</b> .
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	of Danting in Itaas III)	Citiz	ren of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and Pr of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenshi)	of Parties in Item III)							
				en or Subject of a  preign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	'(Plane or "Y" in One Roy On	nd .	re	oreign Country	Click l	here for: Nature o	f Suit Code De	scription	<u>15</u> .
CONTRACT	TO		F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHERS		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	7 □ 6	25 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cla		
□ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	7.6	of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	□ 423 Withd	rawal SC 157	□ 376 Qui Tam 3729(a))		,
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	150	30 Other			☐ 400 State Rea	apportion	ment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPER  820 Copyr	TY RIGHTS	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks ar		10
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			□ 820 Copyr		☐ 450 Commer	rce	6
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal				- Abbreviated	☐ 460 Deportat ☐ 470 Racketed		ced and
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			□ 840 Trade	Drug Application mark		Organizat	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR		SECURITY			
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (☐ 862 Black		☐ 850 Securition		odities/
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 380 Other Personal	<b>0</b> 7	720 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchang		ctions
<ul> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> </ul>	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	I 7	Relations 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (4		☐ 890 Other St ☐ 891 Agricult		
196 Franchise	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		751 Family and Medical	,		☐ 893 Environr ☐ 895 Freedom		
	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	I. TAX SUITS	Act	1 OI Intori	nauon
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		791 Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 896 Arbitrati		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act		efendant)	□ 899 Adminis	strative Pr view or Ap	
<ul><li>230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li><li>240 Torts to Land</li></ul>	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			□ 871 IRS— 26 U	SC 7609		Decision	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General					☐ 950 Constitu		of
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	П	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application			State Sta	itutes	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	□ 540 Mandamus & Oth		465 Other Immigration					
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
	1 448 Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V ODICIN	0.0.01	Commentent							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i		Remanded from	7 4 Re	instated or	errad from	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict 🗆 8	Multidi	istrict
	ate Court	Appellate Court		opened Anothe	er District	Litigation		Litigati	ion -
(specify) Transfer Direct File									
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  15 U.S.C. 1692									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause:								
VII DECHESTED IN	Defendant violate		AT .	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only	if demanded in	n comple	aint.
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		DEMAND \$		URY DEMAND		□ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):								
IF ANY	,522	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			

03/23/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT#

DATE

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" II. in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this III. section for each principal party.
- Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code IV. that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. V.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional VI. statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. VII. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBJNI PROGRAME 1 375 FROM 04/02/41/8 Page 1 of 1

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to I assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: Philadelpha, Pennsylvania	
Address of Defendant: 1600 W 2200 S #410, West Valley City, UTAH 84119	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  Philadelphia, PA	*
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No™
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Down to the last
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	Yes□ No□X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	hts case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second or successive national social security appear, or pro-security	Yes No No
CIVIL: (Place in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5.   Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. 🕱 All other Federal Question Cases  (Please specify) _ FDCPA	
ARBITRATION CER	TIFICATION
Ari Marcus, Esq. (Check Appropriate , counsel of record do hereby cer	Category) rtify:
Repursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge are \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	322283
DATE:  March 23 2018  Ari H. Marcus	Attomory I D #
Attorney-at-Law <b>NOTE:</b> A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if	Attorney I.D.# there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending except as noted above.	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
March 23 2018 Ari H. Marcus	322283
DATE:	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	·

# Exhibit

A

PO Box 505 Linden MI 48451-0505 ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

801-236-7583 Toll Free: 1-800-364-6445 (Outside Salt Lake)

August 17, 2017

Reference #: 89

լ[#եՄկիկիլիկ||ՄլթյյլննիսիլիգիՄ||Իսյյլնոլ|Միիկիլի

0008120024004257952419134101904--Y1E90B7F72 561

JENNIFER CORREA

HulalahalladhladadahladhlasadHaaalld N.A.R. 1600 West 2200 South STE 410 West Valley City, UT 84119

\*\*\* Detach Upper Portion And Return With Payment \*\*\*

561-DNNOAM10-1OS-1/06/10

You are hereby notified the debt you owe to: Merrick Bank has been placed with N.A.R., Inc. for collections. The outstanding balance on this account is listed below.

Our address is: N.A.R., 1600 West 2200 South STE 410, West Valley City, UT 84119.

Merrick Bank's Acct #: |

ACCT #

CLIENT Merrick Bank AMOUNT

INT

FEES

TOTAL

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

784.06 0.00 784.06 0.00

Unless you, within thirty (30) days after receipt of this notice, dispute the validity of this debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector. If you notify us in writing within thirty (30) days after receiving this notice, that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, we will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against you, if one exists, and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to you by our office. If you make a written request within thirty (30) days after the receipt of this notice, we will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Any returned check will be charged the maximum amount allowed by law.

As of the date of this letter, this is the amount due. Because of interest or other charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater.

If you would like to make a payment over the phone through our automated system at no additional charge, you can call toll free 1-866-571-9560. You will need the reference number of 1555789 when you call.

\*\*\*You can pay your bill online!\*\*\* Log on to: www.PayNAR.com and enter your online payment code. Your online payment code is: 3-2638755-1555789-784.06

Would you like to communicate with us via email? If so, send a request to: Contact@NAR-Email.com.

Sincerely JESIKA BROWN 801-236-7583

Reference Number:









IF YOU WISH TO PAY BY CREDIT CARD, CIRCLE ONE AND FILL IN THE INFORMATION BELOW AND RETURN THE ENTIRE LETTER TO US.

**Payment Amount** 

**Expire Date** 

CVV

**Account Number** 

**Card Holder Name** 

Signature of Card Holder

**Phone Number** 

# Case 2:18-cv-01375-PBT Document 1-4 Filed 04/02/18 Page 3 of 3

PLEASE PROVIDE	THE FOLLOWING:			
Home Address:		 Phone Number: _		
Employer Name:		Employer Phone:	<u> </u>	
Employer Address:		 •		

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Class Action Claims North American Recovery Falsely Threatened to Increase Woman's Debt Balance