MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GUILLERMO CORREA, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION (D/B/A ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORP.), MICHAEL FIORETTI (A.K.A. MIKE), PETER FIORETTI, ROSSANE FIORETTI, and MARVIN VELEZ, COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ECF Case

Defendants.
 X

Plaintiff Guillermo Correa ("Plaintiff Correa" or "Mr. Correa"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against Island Transportation Corporation (d/b/a Island Transportation Corp.), ("Defendant Corporation"), Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike), Peter Fioretti, Rossane Fioretti, and Marvin Velez, ("Individual Defendants"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. Plaintiff Correa is a former employee of Defendants Island Transportation Corporation (d/b/a Island Transportation Corp.), Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike), Peter Fioretti, Rossane Fioretti, and Marvin Velez.

- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control a transportation company, located at 570 Gardner Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11222 under the name "Island Transportation Corp."
- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendants Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike), Peter Fioretti, Rossane Fioretti, and Marvin Velez, serve or served as owners, managers, principals, or agents of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operate or operated the transportation company as a joint or unified enterprise.
- 4. Plaintiff Correa was employed as a driver at the transportation company located at 570 Gardner Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11222.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Correa worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate overtime compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked, failed to pay Plaintiff Correa appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
- 7. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Correa to all other similarly situated employees.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Correa and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 9. Plaintiff Correa now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 *et seq.* and 650 *et seq.* (the "NYLL"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

10. Plaintiff Correa seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Correa's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 12. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a transportation company located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Correa was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 13. Plaintiff Guillermo Correa ("Plaintiff Correa" or "Mr. Correa") is an adult individual residing in Dallas County, Texas.
- 14. Plaintiff Correa was employed by Defendants at "Island Transportation Corp." from approximately April 10, 2007 until on or about September 2018.
- 15. Plaintiff Correa consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

- 16. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, or controlled a transportation company, located at 570 Gardner Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11222 under the name "Island Transportation Corp.".
- 17. Upon information and belief, Island Transportation Corporation (d/b/a Island Transportation Corp.) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 570 Gardner Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11222 and its corporate headquarters and process address at 299 Edison Avenue, West Babylon, New York 11704.
- 18. Defendant Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike) is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike) is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike) possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Correa, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 19. Defendant Peter Fioretti is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Peter Fioretti is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Peter Fioretti possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Correa, establishes the

schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

- 20. Defendant Rossane Fioretti is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Rossane Fioretti is sued individually in her capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Rossane Fioretti possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. She determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Correa, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.
- 21. Defendant Marvin Velez is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Marvin Velez is sued individually in his capacity as a manager of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Marvin Velez possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Correa, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 22. Defendants operate a transportation company located in the Greenpoint neighborhood in Brooklyn in New York.
- 23. Individual Defendants, Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike), Peter Fioretti, Rossane Fioretti, and Marvin Velez, possess operational control over Defendant Corporation, possess

ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, or control significant functions of Defendant Corporation.

- 24. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.
- 25. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Correa's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Correa, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 26. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Correa (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Correa's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seq.* and the NYLL.
- 27. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Correa and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendants Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike), Peter Fioretti, and Rossane Fioretti operate Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of themselves and/or failed to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from themselves, by among other things:
 - a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
 - b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining appropriate corporate records,
 - c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,

- d) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit as the sole or majority shareholders,
- e) operating Defendant Corporation for their own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
- f) intermingling assets and debts of their own with Defendant Corporation,
- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect their own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 29. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Correa's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Correa, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Correa's services.
- 30. In each year from 2012 to 2018, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 31. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the transportation company on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

- 32. Plaintiff Correa is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as a driver.
- 33. Plaintiff Correa seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Guillermo Correa

- 34. Plaintiff Correa was employed by Defendants from approximately April 10, 2007 until on or about September 2018.
 - 35. Defendants employed Plaintiff Correa as a driver.
- 36. Plaintiff Correa regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as food and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.
 - 37. Plaintiff Correa's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 38. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Correa regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 39. From approximately August 2012 until on or about September 2018, Plaintiff Correa worked from approximately 12:30 a.m. until on or about 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m., 6 days a week (typically 75 to 84 hours per week).
- 40. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff Correa worked from approximately 12:30 a.m. until on or about 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m., 5 days a week one week per month (typically 62.5 to 70 hours per week).
- 41. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa his wages by direct deposit.
- 42. From approximately September 2012 until on or about December 2012, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$24.75 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 43. From approximately January 2013 until on or about December 2013, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$25.25 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 44. From approximately January 2014 until on or about December 2014, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$25.75 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.

- 45. From approximately January 2015 until on or about December 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$26.25 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 46. From approximately January 2016 until on or about December 2016, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$26.75 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 47. From approximately January 2017 until on or about December 2017, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$27.25 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 48. From approximately January 2018 until on or about September 2018, Defendants paid Plaintiff Correa \$27.75 plus a \$2.25 bonus per hour for all his hours.
- 49. Plaintiff Correa's pay did not vary even when he was required to stay later or work a longer day than his usual schedule.
- 50. For example, Defendants required Plaintiff Correa to work an additional 14 hours past his scheduled departure time in 2 or 3 occasions, and did not pay him for the additional time he worked.
 - 51. Defendants never granted Plaintiff Correa any breaks or meal periods of any kind.
- 52. Plaintiff Correa was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
- 53. On a number of occasions, Defendants required Plaintiff Correa to sign a document, the contents of which he was not allowed to review in detail.
- 54. Defendants took improper and illegal deductions from Plaintiff Correa's wages; specifically, Defendants deducted a \$2.25 bonus per hour whenever Defendants found an error or any irregularity in the truck that was out of his control.

- 55. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Correa regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 56. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Correa an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 57. In fact, Defendants adjusted Plaintiff Correa's paystubs so that they reflected less hours worked, specifically 1 or 2 hours less per week.
- 58. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Correa, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Correa's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 59. Defendants required Plaintiff Correa to purchase "tools of the trade" with his own funds—including the Transportation Worker Identification Credential Card reactivation every three years, the Department of Transportation Medical Certificate every 2 years and drivers' tools.

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 60. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Correa (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.
- 61. Plaintiff Correa was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 62. Defendants habitually required Plaintiff Correa to work additional hours beyond his regular shifts but did not provide him with any additional compensation.
- 63. Defendants' time keeping system did not reflect the actual hours that Plaintiff Correa worked.

- 64. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.
- 65. On a number of occasions, Defendants required Plaintiff Correa to sign a document the contents of which he was not allowed to review in detail.
- 66. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 67. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Correa (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Correa properly for his full hours worked.
- 68. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 69. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Correa and other similarly situated former workers.
- 70. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Correa and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of by pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the

number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL \$195(3).

Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Correa and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 72. Plaintiff Correa brings his FLSA overtime compensation and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 73. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Correa and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.

74. The claims of Plaintiff Correa stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 75. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Correa's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Correa (and the FLSA Class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for his employment.
- 77. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 78. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 79. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Correa (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 80. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Correa (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 81. Plaintiff Correa (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 82. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Correa overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 84. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Correa overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 85. Plaintiff Correa was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 86. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 87. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Correa with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff Correa's primary language), containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and

a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL \$195(1).

88. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Correa in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 89. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 90. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Correa with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 91. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Correa in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RECOVERY OF EQUIPMENT COSTS

92. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.

- 93. Defendants required Plaintiff Correa to pay, without reimbursement, the costs and expenses for purchasing and maintaining equipment and "tools of the trade" required to perform his job, further reducing his wages in violation of the FLSA and NYLL. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a); 29 C.F.R. § 531.35; N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 193 and 198-b.
 - 94. Plaintiff Correa was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION UNLAWFUL DEDUCTIONS FROM WAGES IN VIOLATION

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 95. Plaintiff Correa repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.
- 96. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Correa's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651.
- 97. Defendants made unlawful deductions from Plaintiff Correa's wages; specifically, Defendants deducted a \$2.25 bonus per hour whenever Defendants found an error or any irregularity in the truck.
- 98. The deductions made from Plaintiff Correa's wages were not authorized or required by law.
- 99. Through their knowing and intentional efforts to take unauthorized deductions from Plaintiff Correa's wages, Defendants willfully violated NYLL, Article 6, §§ 190 *et seq.*, and supporting New York State regulations.
 - 100. Plaintiff Correa was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Correa respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

- (a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members;
- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Correa's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (g) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Correa;
- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Correa's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;

Case 1:18-cv-05589 Document 1 Filed 10/05/18 Page 18 of 20 PageID #: 18

(i) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL were willful

as to Plaintiff Correa;

(j) Awarding Plaintiff Correa damages for the amount of unpaid overtime

compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages as applicable

(k) Awarding Plaintiff Correa damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice

and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(l) Awarding Plaintiff Correa liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred

percent (100%) of the total amount of overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL

§ 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);

(m) Awarding Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-

judgment interest as applicable;

(n) Awarding Plaintiff Correa and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in

this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

(o) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days

following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal

is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by

fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(p) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Correa demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

October 5, 2018

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Michael Faillace

Michael Faillace [MF-8436]

- 18 -

60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510

New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200

Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Faillace@employmentcompliance.com

August 29, 2018

BY HAND

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff.

(Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre: <u>Guillermo Correa</u>

Legal Representative / Abogado: Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

Date / Fecha: 29 de Agosto

 $_{
m JS~44~(Rev.~1/2013)}$ Case 1:18-cv-05589 Document 10 VEIR 110/05/18 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 21

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do					111001 19	/4, IS ICQ	uned for the use o	of the Clerk of C	ourt for th	.0
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS GUILLERMO CORREA, behalf of others similarly	•			TRANSPORT	NSPO ATION	N CORF	ON CORPORA P.), MICHAEL ANE FIORETT	FIORETTI (A	A.K.A. M	IKE),
(b) County of Residence of (EX	First Listed Plaintiff CANCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAN	vallas, Texas (SES)		County of Residence NOTE: IN LAI	dence o	f First Lis (IN U.S. NDEMNA		Kings ONLY)		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Michael A. Faillace. Mich 60 East 42nd Suite 4510 New York, NY 10165				Attorneys (If Kn	nown)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	FIZENSHIP C	OF PR	INCIP	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	ı One Box fo	or Plaintif
				For Diversity Cases (Only)			and One Box j	for Defenda	int)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State	PTI		Incorporated or F of Business In		PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State		2 🗖 2	2 Incorporated and of Business In	Principal Place Another State	□ 5	□ 5
W. MARLIDE OF CHIM	D			n or Subject of a eign Country	□ :	3 🗖 3	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely) PRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENAI	LTY	BA	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	RSTATUTI	ES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC Other LABOR Description Fair Labor Standard Act Otabor/Management Relations Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigati Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Naturalization Appl Other Immigration Actions	re C 881 C 8	422 Ap 423 Wi 28 PROP 820 Coj 830 Pat 840 Tra 861 HI. 862 Bla 863 DI 865 RS FEDE	peal 28 USC 158 thdrawal USC 157 ERTY RIGHTS pyrights ent idemark L SECURITY A (1395ff) ick Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g)) ID Title XVI	375 False (Claims Act Reapportions ust and Bankin herce tation teter Influence to Organizat mer Credit Sat TV tites/Commo unge Statutory Ac altural Acts formed Inform the	ment ng ced and tions odities/ ctions atters mation ocedure opeal of
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Star Plaintiff seeks un Brief description of caunpaid overtime v	Appellate Court itute under which you and poald overtime wage suse: vages	es pursua	ened A (s o not cite jurisdiction ant to The Fair	Another specify) nal status	District tes unless Standa	rds Act of 1938	8, 29 U.S.C.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DI	EMAND \$			JURY DEMANI		n complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	LET NUMBER _			
DATE 10/05/2018		signature of at /s/ Michael Fail		F RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPI VING IFP		IIID)GE		MAG II	IDGE		

Case 1:18-cv-05589 Document 1-1 Filed 10/05/18 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 22

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.10 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed.

I, Michael Fai	for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): , counsel for Plaintiff , do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is
×	monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs,
	the complaint seeks injunctive relief,
	the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason
	DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1
	Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks:
	RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)
provides that because the same judge case: (A) in	Il cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) at "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that "A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil volves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the
	NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 50.1(d)(2)
	the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk ounty: No
a)	you answered "no" above: Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk ounty? NO
	Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern istrict? Yes
Suffolk Co	wer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or ounty, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau County? N/A (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).
	BAR ADMISSION
T	
I am currer	ntly admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. No
Are you cu	rrently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain) No
I COA	
•	e accuracy of all information provided above.
Signature:	/s/ Michael Faillace

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York		
Plaintiff(s) v. ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, et al))) ()) () () () () () () () () () ()	
Defendant(s))	
SUMMO	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) Island Transportation Corporation (d/b/a Island Transportation Corp.) 570 Gardner Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11222		
A lawsuit has been filed against you.		
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default You also must file your answer or motion with the	will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. court.	
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT	
Date:		
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)	
was rec	ceived by me on (date)	-	·	
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the ind	dividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's resid	ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of proces	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because	se	; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
D /				
Date:		-	Server's signature	·
		-	Printed name and title	
		_	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York			
CORREA, et al)))		
Plaintiff(s) V. ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, et al Defendant(s))) Civil Action No.)))))		
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Michael Fioretti (a.k.a. Mike) c/o Island Transportation Corp. 570 Gardner Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11222			
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.		
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (name ceived by me on (date)	ne of individual and title, if an	ny)		
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the ind	<u> </u>		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons		ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
		,	, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		,	who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because	e		; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00) .
	I declare under penalty	y of perjury that this info	ormation is true.		
Date:		_			
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York		
CORREA, et al		
Plaintiff(s) V. ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, et al Defendant(s)	-)) Civil Action No.)))))))	
SUMMON	S IN A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) Peter Fioretti c/o Island Transportation Corp. 570 Gardner Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11222		
are the United States or a United States agency, or an P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff a the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace		
If you fail to respond, judgment by default wi You also must file your answer or motion with the co	ill be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. urt.	
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT	
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)	
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·	
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the inc	lividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)	
			, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	Ity of perjury that this info	ormation is true.	
Date:		_		
			Server's signature	
		_	Printed name and title	
		-	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York			
CORREA, et al)))		
Plaintiff(s) V. ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, et al Defendant(s))) Civil Action No.)))))))		
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION		
To: (Defendant's name and address) Rossane Fioretti c/o Island Transportation Corp. 570 Gardner Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11222			
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Michael A. Faillace MiCHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will You also must file your answer or motion with the cour	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. t.		
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT		
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk		

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (na ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	y)	
	·	d the summons on the indi	ividual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	nce or usual place of abode with (name)	
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a c	copy to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)	
			On (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.	
Date:		_	0 ,	
			Server's signature	
		_	Printed name and title	
		_	Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York		
CORREA, et al)))	
Plaintiff(s) V. ISLAND TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, et al Defendant(s))) Civil Action No.)))))))	
SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) Marvin Velez c/o Island Transportation Corp. 570 Gardner Ave. Brooklyn, NY 11222		
are the United States or a United States agency, or an off P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an a	te 4510	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.	
	DOUGLAS C. PALMER CLERK OF COURT	
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (no ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if a	ny)		
was re	cerved by the on (aate)		·		
	☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date)	, and mailed a	mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individual) , who designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted becaus	e	; or	
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and S	\$ for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.				
Date:		_			
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and title		
		-	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Ex-Driver Sues Island Transportation Corporation Over Alleged Wage and Hour Violations</u>