JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

# 17-cv-04305-AB Document 1 Filed 09/27/17—Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 (ivil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	<b>ITS</b>		4 19	Λ	30		
Kelvin Correa, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated				C. Caramanico	& 5	Sons, Inc.	17	Garlio Garlio	J U	<i>i</i> 0
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTINF CASES)				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAN		(IN U.S. P.	ed Defendant  LAINTIFF CASES O  ON CASES, USE TI  IVOLVED.	,	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Scott M. Pollins, Pollins Law, 800 Westdale Avenue, Swarthmore, 19081, 610-896-9909; Jeffrey Campolongo, 50 Monument Rd., St Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004, 484-434-8930			PA te. 101,	Attorneys (If Kno	own)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" ii	n One Box	for Plaintií
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				(For Diversity Cases Of en of This State		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	and One Box		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Cittzensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State		2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5</b>	<b>D</b> 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	0	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		<b>5</b> 6	<b>5</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	nly)		reign country	Ì	Click	here for: Nature o	of Suit Code D	escriptio	ns.
CONTRACT		PRTS		ORFEITURE/PENAL			KRUPTCY		STATU	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 90 Other		423 Withough 28 U	SC 157	☐ 375 False C ☐ 376 Qui Ta 3729(a ☐ 400 State R ☐ 410 Antitru	m (31 USO i)) eapportion	С
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander Slander  Slander  Slander Liability  340 Marine	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten ☐ 835 Paten		☐ 430 Banks: ☐ 450 Commo ☐ 460 Deport: ☐ 470 Racket	and Bankin erce ation	
(Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	Liability PERSONAL PROPER  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending		LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act		☐ 840 Trade	SECURITY (1395ff)		t Organiza ner Credit Sat TV	tions
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  362 Personal Injury	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<b>-</b> 74	0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 11 Family and Medical			C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	Exchar	nge Statutory A Itural Acts	Actions
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act	,			☐ 895 Freedor		
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation  220 Foreclosure  230 Rent Lease & Ejectment  240 Torts to Land  245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIE RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights  441 Voting  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	<b>-</b> 79	00 Other Labor Litigatio 11 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		☐ 870 Taxes or De ☐ 871 IRS—	S (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) -Third Party SC 7609		istrative Pr view or Ap Decision	ppeal of
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	□ 46 er □ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applic 5 Other Immigration Actions	ation			State St		o.
M		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement								
		Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	pened An		rred from r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidi Litigati Direct F	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N FLSA - collective		oaid ove					n/retaliation		
Unpaid overtime, wrongful termination  VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.  DEMAND S UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.  Unificated  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.  Unificated  JURY DEMAND: X Yes ONo										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  IF ANY  See instructions):  JUDGE  DOCKET NUMBER										
DATE 9/27/7 SIGNATURE OF ATTOKNEY OF REGORD SEP 27 2017										
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE										

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF BENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be uses ignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 400 Glendale Road, Unit 30K, Ha	questown, PA 19083
Address of Defendant: 6 Race Street, Upland, PA	19015
a loss comet 110/	ind, PA 19015
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 6 K9CE Street, Up 19 (Use Reverse Side For Add	litional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes Note
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Case Number:Judge	San Administra
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	
	Ýes□ No KQ
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit action in this court?	pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ Noth
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Nets-
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights c	ase filed by the same individual?
7.1. 7.1	Yes□ No♥
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2.   Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5.   Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. D Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. 🗸 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9 Securities Act(s) Cases	9. P All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) FLSA Unpaid OVER-time	
ARBITRATION CERTIF	
Check Appropriate Category counsel of record do hereby certify:	gory)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Reflet other man indictary damages is sought.	M 762211
DATE: 9/07/7	PA 7633 T
Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there l	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A triat de novo will be a triat by jury only it there is	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. SEP 27 2017
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case new pending or with	thin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	A1 = ====
DATE: 9/27/17	PA 76334
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

#### cv-04305-AB Document 1 Filed 09/27/17 Page 3 of 19

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Kelvin	Correa, others	on l	sehalt	e of hi	mself.
and	others	Sìn v.	hilari	ly sit	wates:
C. C	aramar	nico	& S0	ins, I	nc.

CIVIL ACTION

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

#### **SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:**

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.	2. ( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	( )
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	
f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks	$\checkmark$

Telephone

**FAX Number** 

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KELVIN CORREA, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated

Plaintiff

:

CIVIL ACTION NO.

.

C. CARAMANICO & SONS, INC.

v.

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

Defendant

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff, Kelvin Correa (Correa), on behalf of himself and similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant, C. Caramanico & Sons, Inc. (Caramanico), is suing Caramanico under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), 29 U.S.C. §201, et seg., the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act (PaMWA), 43 P.S. §333.101, et seq., the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law (PaWPCL), 43 P.S. § 260.1, et seq. and for unjust enrichment. Correa is also suing Caramanico for racial/color/national origin/ethnic discriminatory and retaliatory discharge under 42 U.S.C. §1981 and retaliatory discharge under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3). Correa seeks all relief against Caramanico that he and his similarly situated current and former Caramanico employees are entitled to, including an order permitting this case to proceed as a collective action under the FLSA and as a class action under the PaMWA and PaWPCL, prompt notice to all potential collective and class action members, unpaid overtime/wages, liquidated damages, interest, attorney's fees and costs, and such other relief as the Court shall deem proper. For his wrongful discharge claim, Correa seeks all relief against Caramanico that he is entitled to under 42 U.S.C. §1981 and the FLSA,

including compensatory and punitive damages, back and front pay, and attorney's fees and costs.

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over Correa's FLSA and Section 1981 claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. This Court also has jurisdiction over Correa's FLSA claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b). This Court has jurisdiction over Correa's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. This lawsuit properly lies in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because the claims arose in this judicial district.

#### III. PARTIES

- 4. Correa resides in Haverford, PA. Correa's race/color/national origin/ethnicity is dark skinned and Hispanic/Latino/Puerto Rican.
- 5. Caramanico is based in Upland, Delaware County, PA. Caramanico is a multimillion dollar, full service commercial landscaping company offering a wide range of services including landscaping, hardscaping and organic lawn care. Caramanico has about 55 employees.
- 6. Caramanico is an employer engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Caramanico has gross operating revenues exceeding \$500,000. Caramanico is an employer covered by the FLSA, PaMWA, and PaWPCL.

#### IV. <u>FACTUAL BACKGROUND</u>

#### A. FLSA, PaMWA and PaWPCL group claims for unpaid overtime

- 7. Correa worked as a landscape maintenance crew member for Caramanico from about September 29, 2014 through June 2015 and a foreman/crew leader from about June 2015 through November 2, 2016.
- 8. During the time Correa worked as a foreman for Caraminico, he spent most of his time performing the same tasks he performed as a landscape crew member. He spent a small portion of his typical work day as a foreman overseeing crew members.
- 9. Caramanico paid Correa an initial hourly rate of \$12/hour. Caramanico increased Correa's hourly rate to \$14/hour in 2015 and to \$17/hour in 2016.
- 10. Throughout Correa's employment at Caramanico, he regularly worked more than 40 hours each week.
- 11. Caramanico did not pay Correa proper overtime compensation for the hours he worked in excess of 40 hours each work week.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Correa's similarly situated laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders regularly worked and work in excess of 40 hours/week. Upon information and belief, Caramanico does not properly pay and continues to not properly pay Correa's similarly situated hourly-paid landscape employees, landscape maintenance crew members and foremen/crew leaders overtime compensation for the hours they worked and work in excess of 40 hours each work week.
- 13. Caramanico engaged in the following improper pay practices during Correa's employment: failing to pay proper overtime compensation, failing to correctly calculate

workers' overtime rate, failing to pay for time spent traveling from Caramanico's Upland location to work sites at the beginning of work days, and failing to pay for time spent traveling from work sites back to Caramanico's Upland location at the end of work days.

- 14. Upon information and belief, Caramanico has maintained these improper pay practices for at least the past three years and likely farther in the past than that.
- 15. Here are just a few examples of Caramanico's improper pay practices regarding Correa:
  - a. For the pay period 3/11/15 3/17/15, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 52 hours. Caramanico paid Correa \$14/hour for all 52 hours and did not pay him overtime compensation for the 12 hours of overtime he worked. Also, Caramanico paid Correa a 'snow bonus' of \$1,500, however Caramanico did not use the bonus to adjust Correa's hourly rate and it cannot be determined whether the 'snow bonus' should have been included in determining Correa's hourly rate or whether the 'snow bonus' was a discretionary bonus pursuant to 29 C.F.R. \$778.211 that Caramanico would not need to use in calculating Correa's hourly rate.
  - b. For the pay period 3/18/15 3/24/15, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 77.3 hours. He was paid \$14/hour for 58 hours and \$21/hour for 19.3 hours. Caramanico failed to pay Correa overtime compensation for 18 hours.
  - c. For the pay period 3/25/15 3/31/15, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 67.45 hours. He was paid \$14/hour for all 67.45 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 27.45 hours of overtime he worked.
  - d. For the pay period 4/8/15 4/14/15, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 91 hours. He was paid \$14/hour for all 91 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 51 hours of overtime he worked.
  - e. For the pay period 9/16/15- 9/22/15, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 95.24 hours. He was paid \$14/hour for all 95.24 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 55.24 hours of overtime he worked.
  - f. For the pay period 3/2/16 3/8/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 65.38 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for 59.38

- hours and he was paid \$25.50/hour for 6 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for 19.38 hours of overtime he worked.
- g. For the pay period 3/23/16 3/29/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 107.08 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for all 107.08 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 67.08 hours of overtime he worked.
- h. For the pay period 5/18/16 5/24/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 62.23 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for all 62.23 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 22.23 hours of overtime he worked.
- i. For the pay period 7/6/16 7/12/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 48.05 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for all 48.05 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 8.05 hours of overtime he worked.
- j. For the pay period 8/17/16 8/23/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 57.38 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for all 57.38 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 17.38 hours of overtime he worked.
- k. For the pay period 9/21/16 9/27/16, Correa's earnings statement reflected he worked 47.53 hours. He was paid \$17/hour for all 47.53 hours. Caramanico did not pay him overtime compensation for the 7.53 hours of overtime he worked.
- 16. Caramanico willfully violated and is willfully violating the FLSA, PaMWA and PaWPCL by failing to pay its non-exempt laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders proper overtime compensation for hours they worked in excess of 40 hours/week and by maintaining the pay practices described in Paragraph 13 that violate the FLSA, PaMWA and PaWPCL.
- 17. Correa brings this lawsuit pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) as a collective action on behalf of all laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders who, during any

workweek since September 27, 2014, worked more than 40 hours and were not properly paid by Caramanico.

- 18. Correa also brings this lawsuit as a class action pursuant to the PaMWA and the PaWPCL and Pa.R.Civ.P. 1701, *et seq.* on behalf of all laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders who, during any workweek since September 27, 2014, worked more than 40 hours and were not properly paid by Caramanico.
- 19. Correa and other members of the collective and class are 'similarly situated' because Caramanico subjected them all to the common employment practices summarized above and because resolution of the unpaid overtime and compensation claims requires discovery of many common facts, including Caramanico's compensation, timekeeping and payroll practices.
- 20. Upon information and belief, at least 10-15 individuals (and possibly many more) have been employed by Caramanico, including Correa, as laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders in the last three years and have been wrongfully denied compensation and overtime compensation as stated above.
- 21. Correa seeks certification of a class that shall include all persons who were employed as laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders for three years prior to the date this Complaint was filed until the date of entry of judgment in this case.

- 22. There are questions of law and fact common to this class, including Caramanico's liability to Correa and his potential collective and class mates under the legal theories set forth above.
- 23. As the named Plaintiff, Correa's claims are typical of the collective and class because it's believed that Caramanico improperly paid all or least most of its laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders.
- 24. Correa will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the collective and class. Through his counsel, he has adequate resources to prosecute this litigation as his counsel will advance all reasonable costs to prosecute this case. Additionally, Correa's counsel is experienced in wage and hour matters.
- 25. A collective and class action will provide a fair and efficient method for adjudication of this controversy because:
  - Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual members.
  - b. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent adjudications and outcomes and which could substantially impair or impede other members of the proposed collective and class to protect their interests.
  - c. The amounts in controversy are such that pooling the claims in a collective and class action is an efficient and less costly method of prosecuting such claims.

d. The complexity of the issues and the expenses of litigation arising from separate claims of individual class members strongly favor class action as a more efficient and fair method for adjudication of the class claims.

#### B. <u>Correa's FLSA retaliatory discharge claim</u>

- 26. Correa complained about Caramanico not properly paying him overtime compensation to Tracy Rodia, believed to be an accounting manager with Caramanico, in about September 2015 and again in about April 2016. Ms. Rodia responded to Correa's complaints by telling him the money is in his paychecks, however she never explained to or showed him what she meant.
- 27. In about June 2016, Correa spoke with Caramanico's President, John Caramanico, Jr., at a safety meeting about being paid proper overtime compensation. Mr. Caramanico told Correa that he did not handle payroll issues and he should speak with Ms. Rodia. Correa told Mr. Caramanico he already had spoken with her and she had told him to talk to Mr. Caramanico because he was the owner of the company.
- 28. Caramanico wrongfully discharged Correa on November 2, 2016 because of his repeated complaints to management, including the President of the company, regarding Caramanico's illegal compensation and overtime practices.

### C. Correa's race/color/national origin/ethnic discrimination and retaliation claims

29. In approximately October 2016, Correa began reporting to Matt Tansey (Tansey), white. Tansey is a manager at Caramanico.

- 30. On November 1, 2016, Correa was working at a job site in Northern New Jersey. Correa and Joe White, Jr. (White), white, were the foremen at this job.
- 31. Correa's crew that day was him, Juan Perez (Perez) and Richard Delvalle (Delvalle).
- 32. That morning, Perez and Delvalle told Correa that White was sleeping in his truck and White's crew was not working. When Correa went to talk to White, White called Correa and his crew 'you freaking Mexicans.'
- 33. Correa then called Tansey to complain about White and his crew not working and White disrespecting Correa and his crew by calling them freaking Mexicans.
- 34. Later that day, White called Correa a snitch. White apparently found out, probably from Tansey, that Correa had complained about him.
- 35. The next day, November 2, 2016, James Pena (Pena) and Francisco Garcia (Garcia) were also at the Northern New Jersey work site.
- 36. In the afternoon, Correa loaded his truck with the heavy equipment that had been used on the job earlier that day. White told Perez to put bags of seed into Correa's truck, and Correa told Perez not to do that as Correa's truck was already overloaded. White yelled at Perez 'you do the f\*\*k what I tell you to do because I am the boss!' Correa told White we can't load the seed because his truck is already overloaded. White walked toward Correa, got close to his face and said 'do not tell me what to do because I am the f\*\*king boss here!' Correa told White 'do not scream at me or disrespect me!' White went back to his truck and called Tansey.
- 37. Later that day, Tansey texted Correa and asked him to come see him when he gets back to the shop. A few hours later, Correa returned to the shop and went to see

Tansey. Tansey told him that he had to let him go. Correa asked why? Tansey said because he grabbed White by the neck and threatened him. Correa said he did not do that. Tansey told Correa that he did not believe Correa and he believed White. Correa asked Tansey to talk to the other guys (most of which were Hispanic/Latino) that were there when this supposedly happened. Tansey said he does not have to talk to the other guys, he believes White.

- 38. Upon information and belief, Caramanico's President, John Caramanico, Jr., spoke with the other workers (most of which were Hispanic/Latino) who witnessed what happened and they corroborated Correa's version about what happened.
- 39. Nevertheless, Caramanico wrongfully terminated Correa, a Hispanic/Latino from Puerto Rico, for conduct that White, a similarly situated white employee, should have been terminated for.
- 40. Caramanico terminated Correa due to race/national origin/ethnic discrimination and/or in retaliation for Correa's complaint that White called him and his crew 'you freaking Mexicans'.

#### V. <u>CLAIMS</u>

#### COUNT I - FLSA

- 41. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. The FLSA requires employers such as Caramanico to pay employees overtime compensation of 'not less than one and one-half times' their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a work week. 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
- 43. Caramanico violated the FLSA by failing to pay its laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew

members and foremen/crew leaders (including Correa) proper overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours/week.

44. Caramanico violated the FLSA by maintaining illegal policies and practices, including failing to pay proper overtime compensation, failing to correctly calculate workers' overtime rate, failing to pay for time spent traveling from Caramanico's Upland location to work sites at the beginning of work days, and failing to pay for time spent traveling from work sites back to Caramanico's Upland location at the end of work days.

WHEREFORE, Correa seeks the following relief: 1) an order permitting this lawsuit to proceed as a collective action; 2) prompt notice of this lawsuit be given to all potential collective members; 3) unpaid compensation damages; 4) liquidated damages; 5) interest; 6) litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and 7) such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

#### **COUNT II – PaMWA**

- 45. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. The PaMWA requires employers such as Caramanico to pay employees overtime compensation of 'not less than one and one-half times' their regular rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 in a work week. 43 P.S. §333.104(c).
- 47. Caramanico violated the PaMWA by failing to pay its laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders (including Correa) proper overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours/week.
- 48. Caramanico violated the PaMWA by maintaining illegal policies and practices, including failing to pay proper overtime compensation, failing to correctly

calculate workers' overtime rate, failing to pay for time spent traveling from Caramanico's Upland location to work sites at the beginning of work days, and failing to pay for time spent traveling from work sites back to Caramanico's Upland location at the end of work days.

WHEREFORE, Correa seeks the following relief: 1) an order permitting this lawsuit to proceed as a class action; 2) prompt notice of this lawsuit be given to all potential class members; 3) unpaid compensation damages; 4) interest; 5) litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and 6) such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

#### **COUNT III - PaWPCL**

- 49. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. The unpaid compensation Caramanico failed to pay Correa and other laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders is considered wages under the PaWPCL.
- 51. Caramanico violated the PaWPCL by failing to pay its laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders (including Correa) proper overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours/week.

WHEREFORE, Correa seeks the following relief: 1) an order permitting this lawsuit to proceed as a class action; 2) prompt notice of this lawsuit be given to all potential class members; 3) unpaid compensation damages; 4) liquidated damages; 5)

interest; 6) litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and 7) such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

#### **COUNT IV – UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

- 52. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Caramanico by its policies and practices, benefited from, and increased its profits by failing to pay wages earned and due to Correa and his similarly situated laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders at a rate not less than 1 ½ times the regular rate of pay for all work performed in excess of 40 hours in a work week.
- 54. Caramanico accepted and received the benefits of the work performed by Correa and his similarly situated laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders without compensating them for said work. The profits of Caramanico were unjustly enriched at the expense of Correa and his similarly situated laborers, landscape maintenance and construction employees, landscape maintenance and construction crew members and foremen/crew leaders.

WHEREFORE, Correa seeks judgment for Caramanico's unjust enrichment in an amount equal to the benefits unjustly retained by Caramanico, plus interest on these amounts.

#### COUNT V – FLSA RETALIATORY DISCHARGE

- 55. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. Correa engaged in the protected activity of complaining about payment of overtime, he was fired following his protected activity and Caramanico fired him because of him protected activity.
- 57. The alleged legitimate non-retaliatory reasons Caramanico gave to Correa for his firing are pretextual. Caramanico's retaliatory discharge of Correa violates 29 U.S.C. §215(a)(3) and the public policy embodied in the FLSA.

WHEREFORE, Correa seeks the following relief: 1) back pay and back benefits; 2) front pay and front benefits; 3) compensatory damages; 4) liquidated/punitive damages; 5) interest; 6) litigation costs including attorney's fees and expenses; and 7) such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

#### <u>COUNT VI – SECTION 1981 DISCRIMINATORY DISCHARGE</u>

- 58. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. The acts, failures to act, practices and policies of Caramanico set forth above constitute race/color/national origin/ethnic discrimination in violation of Section 1981.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of Caramanico's illegal discriminatory conduct, Correa has suffered damages in the form of back pay and benefits, front pay and benefits and emotional distress including, but not limited to, anxiety, stress, humiliation and embarrassment.

WHEREFORE, Correa respectfully demands judgment in his favor and against Caramanico for compensatory damages for emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, lost benefits,

negative tax consequence damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees plus costs, declaratory relief that the conduct engaged in by Caramanico violated Correa's civil rights, equitable/injunctive relief directing Caramanico to cease any and all unlawful race/color/national origin/ethnic discrimination against Latino/Hispanic/Puerto Rican employees and such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

#### **COUNT VII - SECTION 1981 RETALIATORY DISCHARGE**

- 61. Paragraphs 1-40 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. The acts, failures to act, practices and policies of Caramanico set forth above constitute retaliation in violation of Section 1981.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of Caramanico's illegal retaliatory conduct, Correa has suffered damages in the form of back pay and benefits, front pay and benefits and emotional distress including, but not limited to, anxiety, stress, humiliation and embarrassment.

WHEREFORE, Correa respectfully demands judgment in his favor and against Caramanico for compensatory damages for emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation and embarrassment, back pay, front pay in lieu of reinstatement, lost benefits, negative tax consequence damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees plus costs, declaratory relief that the conduct engaged in by Caramanico violated Correa's civil rights, equitable/injunctive relief directing Caramanico to cease any and all unlawful retaliation against Latino/Hispanic/Puerto Rican employees and such other relief as the Court shall deem proper.

	Respectfully submitted,		Respectfully submitted,
Ву: _	SMP2861	Ву:	JC3646
	Scott M. Pollins		Jeffrey Campolongo
	Pa. Atty. Id. No. 76334		Pa. Atty. Id. No. 82608
	800 Westdale Avenue		50 Monument Rd., Ste. 101
	Swarthmore, PA 19081-2311		Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004
	(610) 896-9909 (phone)		(484) 434-8930 (phone)
	(610) 896-9910 (fax)		(484) 434-8931 (fax)
	scott@pollinslaw.com (email)		jcamp@jcamplaw.com(email)
Date:	9/27/17 Attorneys for	Plaintif	ff, Kelvin Correa

## **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Lawsuit Against C. Caramanico & Sons Alleges Unpaid Overtime</u>, <u>Racial Discrimination</u>