UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

SHELLY COONEY, on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

V.

CASE NO.:

SKODA, MINOTTI CO., CPA a Foreign Profit Corporation, and GREGORY SKODA, individually,

Defendants.

Bornaunts

COMPLAINT & DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, SHELLY COONEY on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals, by and through the undersigned attorney, sues the Defendants, SKODA, MINOTTI CO., CPA and GREGORY SKODA (collectively "Defendants") for failing to pay complete overtime wages for every hour worked, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) (FLSA).

NATURE OF CASE

- 1. Defendant, SKODA MINOTTI CO., CPA (hereinafter "SMC") is a business and financial advisor firm headquartered in Ohio with a branch location in Tampa, Hillsborough County, Florida.
- 2. As part of its enterprise, Defendant SMC hires Administrative Assistants to handle accounts receivable, reconcile partners credit cards monthly, e-file client tax returns, answer phones, distribute mail, manage calendars and travel plans, among other administrative tasks.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this case to address and correct the illegal pay practices conducted by Defendant SMC, and its owner, Gregory Skoda (collectively "Defendants").

4. Defendants violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime wages based on her regular hourly rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209.

PARTIES

- 5. Defendant SMC is a Foreign Profit Corporation which operates and conducts business in, among others, Hillsborough County, Florida and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this FLSA collective action individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, including present and former employees of Defendant SMC, to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 7. Defendant Gregory Skoda (hereinafter "Defendant") is the Owner and Director of Skoda, Minotti Co., CPA.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 8. This action is brought under Federal law to recover from Defendants overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
 - 9. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as they arise under the FLSA.
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction and venue over this complaint as each of Defendants' violations of the FLSA complained of took place in Hillsborough County, Florida.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant SMC at 201 E. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33602.
- 12. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant SMC was an enterprise subject to the FLSA's provisions requiring overtime compensation.

- 13. At all material times, Plaintiff and others working for Defendant SMC were "employees" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all material times, Defendant SMC was an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA and Defendant continues to be an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. At all material time, Defendant SMC was, and continues to be, an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. Defendants' employees handled goods such as computers, software, paper, and office equipment which had traveled in interstate commerce.
- 17. At all material times during the last three years, Defendant SMC has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00).
- 18. Defendant SMC is a business and financial advisor firm that provides accounting services to its clients.
- 19. Defendant Gregory Skoda controlled and/or was responsible for Plaintiff's daily activities.
- 20. Defendant Gregory Skoda created and implemented the employer's policies and practices which violated the FLSA.
- 21. Defendant Gregory Skoda knew the employer's policies and practices violated the FLSA, but continued enforcing such policies against Plaintiff and other employees.
- 22. Plaintiff did a specific job, i.e., handle accounts receivable, reconcile credit cards monthly, e-file client tax returns, answer phones, distribute mail, manage calendars and travel plans, among other administrative tasks which was/is an integral part of the business of Defendant SMC.

- 23. By Plaintiff's estimates, she routinely worked 60 hours or more in a work week.
- 24. Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties which entitle Plaintiff to overtime pay.
- 25. Plaintiff was paid a fixed salary. Plaintiff was not compensated for the hours she worked over 40 in a work week.
- 26. Plaintiff routinely worked 7-days a week, including 10-11 hour workdays during the week and additional time on the weekend. This schedule did not take into account the fact that during the busy accounting season, she worked longer hours.
- 27. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Defendants did not pay Plaintiff overtime wages for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 28. During her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties, entitling her to overtime pay for those hours worked over 40 in a work week.
- 29. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay including bonuses, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week during one or more weeks of employment.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the records, to the extent any exist, concerning the number of hours worked and amounts paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 31. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraph 1-30 above.
- 32. Plaintiff was lawfully required to be paid time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week.
 - 33. During her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours

but was not paid time and one-half compensation for the same.

- 34. As a result of Defendants' intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay Plaintiff time and one-half her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) per work week in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff has suffered damages and is incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 35. Defendants intentionally avoided paying plaintiff overtime by paying Plaintiff a salary. However Plaintiff was performing non-exempt job duties which entitled her to overtime pay.
- 36. Defendants were aware Plaintiff performed non-exempt job duties but still refused to pay Plaintiff overtime for hours worked over forty (40).
- 37. Defendants did not maintain and keep accurate time records as required by the FLSA for Plaintiff.
- 38. Defendants failed to post required FLSA informational listings as required by the FLSA.
- 39. Defendants' conduct was willful and in reckless disregard of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
 - 40. Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.
 - 41. Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for the payment of all overtime hours at one and one-half the regular rate of pay for the hours worked by her for which Defendants did not properly compensate her, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and all further relief that this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION COLLECTIVE ACTION, VIOLATION OF THE FLSA (RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION)

42. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-

30 above.

- 43. At all times material, Defendants employed numerous other non-exempt employees who worked as Administrative Assistants and who worked a substantial number of hours in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 44. Throughout their employment, those employees were similarly situated to Plaintiff and were subject to the same unlawful pay practices.
- 45. Defendants failed to pay those individuals, who are similarly situated to Plaintiff, one and one half times their regular hourly rate, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each week, in violation of the FLSA.
- 46. Defendants' failure to pay such similarly situated individuals the required overtime rate was willful and in reckless disregard of the FLSA.
- 47. As a direct and legal consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, individuals similarly situated to Plaintiff have suffered damages and have incurred, or will incur, costs and attorneys' fees in the prosecution of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, demands judgment against Defendants for unpaid overtime compensation, an additional and equal amount of liquidated damages or if liquidated damages are not awarded then pre and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, and any and all further relief that this Court determines to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all issues so triable as a matter of right by jury.

Dated this 26th day of September, 2017.

MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.

/s/ Marc R. Edelman Marc R. Edelman, Esq. Fla. Bar No. 0096342 Morgan & Morgan, P.A. 201 North Franklin Street, Suite 700 Tampa, FL 33602

Telephone: 813-223-5505

Fax: 813-257-0572

Email: <u>MEdelman@forthepeople.com</u> *Attorney for Plaintiff*

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SHELLY COONEY, on her own behalf, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,				DEFENDANTS SKODA, MINOTTI CO., CPA a Foreign Profit Corporation, and GREGORY SKODA, individually,						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Marc R. Edelman, Esq. 201 N. Franklin Ave. Morgan & Morgan Tampa, FL 33602				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in C	One Box f	or Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			ŀ	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State **I						
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant			Citize	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State						
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Oi	nly)	MONTH OF THE PARTY		II. AND COLUMN ASSESSED WHILE TO ADD					
CONTRACT	("	PERSONAL INJUR							23	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ roduct Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	a 69	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))		 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and 			
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise			TY 2 710	DABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act						
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Medical Malpractice			00 Other Labor Litigation			☐ 895 Freedom			
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	Income Security Act		■ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of			
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other: ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	1 46	IMMIGRATION 22 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions			State Statutes			
	moved from	Appellate Court	-	pened Anothe (specify)	r District	☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Star Fair Labor Stands Brief description of ca Unpaid Overtime		re filing (L	Do not cite jurisdictional state	utes unless div	ersity):				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes						
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	NUMBER				
DATE 9-26-17		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE			

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Woman Seeks Unpaid Overtime in Suit Against Skoda, Minotti Co.