UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

JAMES COBBLE, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,	CASE NO	.:
vs.		
20/20 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation,		
Defendant.	/	

NATIONWIDE COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, JAMES COBBLE ("COBBLE" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and other "Field Sales Manager" employees and former employees similarly situated, by and through undersigned counsel, files this Complaint against Defendant, 20/20 COMMUNICATIONS, INC ("20/20" or "Defendant") and states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former "Field Sales Manager" employees of the Defendant, who elect to opt into this action, pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendant for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, (ii) entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et seq; and (iii) declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201.
- 2. This action is intended to encompass all "Field Sales Manager" employees, employed by Defendant within the last three (3) years, and continuing until the date of the entry of judgment

in this case, who serviced Defendant's Samsung account, and who worked in excess of 40 hours in one or more workweeks but who were not compensated overtime premiums in such workweeks in which they worked over 40 hours.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the claims are brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover unpaid back wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
 - 4. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 5. This Court has the authority to grant declaratory relief pursuant to the FLSA and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act ("DJA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.
- 6. Venue is proper in this Court because Plaintiff resides within the District, Defendant has substantial business operations within the District, and the events giving rise to this action took place within this District.

PARTIES

- 7. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was and continues to be a resident of Talbot County, Tennessee.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a "Field Sales Manager," employed by Defendant, and performed related activities for Defendant in Hamblen County, Tennessee, among other counties and parts of Eastern and Southern North Carolina.
- 9. At all times material hereto Defendant 20/20 was, and continues to be, a Foreign Profit Corporation, with substantial business operations within this District.

- 10. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, primarily engaged in the business of providing outsourced sales/marketing, merchandising and training services to its corporate clients in various businesses. *See* http://2020companies.com/What-We-Do.
 - 11. One of Defendant's corporate clients is Samsung.
- 12. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to Plaintiff were/are "Field Sales Managers" employed by Defendant, who serviced Defendant's Samsung account.

COVERAGE

- 13. At all times material hereto Plaintiff was Defendant's "employee" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. Defendant was, and continues to be, an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, "an enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 15. Specifically, at all material times hereto, Defendant operated in multiple states throughout the United States simultaneously, servicing its clients.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Defendant was, and continues to be, an enterprise engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA, because it produced marketing materials for distribution throughout the United States.
- 18. At all times material hereto, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum.
 - 19. At all times material hereto, Defendant had two (2) or more employees handling,

selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for commerce, such as automobiles, gasoline, computers, cell phones, tablets, and marketing materials.

- 20. At all times hereto, Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" and subject to individual coverage of the FLSA, because he regularly received packages of marketing materials from Samsung in interstate mail and engaged in interstate communication as part of his job for Defendant.
- 21. At all times hereto, Plaintiff was engaged in the "production of goods for commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 22. Defendant works on a contract basis with various companies and their brands, specifically in the spheres of sales/marketing, merchandising, and training.
- 23. Among other services/products it provides, Defendant helps its clients launch new products, penetrate new consumer segments, and execute their sales and marketing strategies, to help their clients reach their business goals.
 - 24. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a non-exempt "Field Sales Manager."
- 25. Plaintiff worked in this capacity from approximately March 1, 2014 to January 13,2016.
- 25. As a "Field Sales Manager," Plaintiff was assigned to work on Defendant's Samsung account and was paid a salary.
- 26. Plaintiff and those similarly situated to him, routinely worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week as part of their regular job duties.

- 27. Despite working more than forty (40) hours per week, Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to him, overtime compensation at a rate of time and a half his regular rate of pay for hours worked over forty in a workweek.
- 28. Defendant has employed and continues to employ hundreds of other individuals as "Field Sales Manager" employees, who serviced their Samsung account, who performed and continue to perform the same or similar job duties under the same pay provision as Plaintiff and the class members nationwide. *See* **EXHIBIT A**, a composite exhibit of job descriptions for its "Field Sales Manager" position from across the United States.
- 29. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §207, from at least April, 2014, and continuing to date, in that:
 - a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for his period of employment with Defendant;
 - b. No payments, or insufficient payments and/or provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week as provided by the FLSA;
 - c. Defendant has failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 30. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. to represent him in this litigation and has agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

31. Plaintiff and the class members were all "Field Sales Managers," assigned by Defendant to service its Samsung account, and performed the same or similar job duties as one

another in that they provide training, promotional and production-type services on behalf of Defendant, to store staff.

- 32. Further, Plaintiff and the class members were subjected to the same pay provisions in that they were all salaried, but were not compensated at time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek. Thus, the class members are owed overtime wages for the same reasons as Plaintiff.
- 33. Defendant's failure to compensate employees for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a workweek as required by the FLSA results from a policy or practice of failure to assure that "Field Sales Managers" are/were paid for overtime hours worked based on the Defendant's erroneous misclassification of its "Field Sales Managers" employees as exempt from overtime.
- 34. This policy or practice was applicable to Plaintiff and the class members. Application of this policy or practice does/did not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit. Rather, the same policy or practice which resulted in the non-payment of overtime to Plaintiff applied and continues to apply to all class members. Accordingly, the class members are properly defined as:

All "Field Sales Managers" who worked for Defendant nationwide on Defendant's Samsung account, within the last three years, and up to and including the date of entry of judgment in this case, who were not compensated at time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in one or more workweeks.

- 35. Defendant knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation with respect to Plaintiff and the class members.
- 36. Specifically, Defendant continued to carry out its illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay overtime compensation to its "Field Sales Managers" despite its knowledge that

other companies in its industry have been sued previously and held to have violated the FLSA for exactly the same reasons alleged herein. *See, e.g., Beauford v. ActionLink, LLC,* 2013 WL 1247644 (E.D. Ark. March 27, 2013), *aff'd* 781 F.3d 396 (8th Cir. 2015); *see also Smith v.* 20/20 *Communications, Inc.,* 2:15-ev-00687 (M.D. Fla.).

- 37. Defendant did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law, (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., (c) Department of Labor Wage & Hour Opinion Letters or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 38. During the relevant period, Defendant violated § 7(a)(1) and § 15(a)(2), by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA as aforesaid, for one or more workweeks without compensating such employees for their work at a rate of at least the time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a work week.
- 39. Defendant has acted willfully in failing to pay Plaintiff and the class members in accordance with the law.
- 40. Defendant has failed to maintain accurate records of Plaintiff's and the class members' work hours in accordance with the law.

COUNT I <u>VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. §207 OVERTIME COMPENSATION</u>

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and reavers paragraphs 1 through 40 the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. From at least March 2014, and continuing through January 2016, Plaintiff worked in excess of the forty (40) hours per week for which Plaintiff was not compensated at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay.

- 43. Plaintiff was, and is entitled to be paid at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours.
- 44. At all times material hereto, Defendant failed, and continues to fail, to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 45. To date, Defendant continues to fail their "Field Sales Manager" employees their FLSA mandated overtime pay, despite their recognition that their position is non-exempt and entitled to same.
- 46. Defendant's actions in this regard were/are willful and/or showed/show reckless disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by its continued failure to compensate Plaintiff at the statutory rate of one and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per weeks when they knew, or should have known, such was, and is due.
- 47. Defendant has failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA.
- 48. Due to the intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer damages and lost compensation for time worked over forty (40) hours per week, plus liquidated damages.
- 49. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated Collective Action Members, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FLSA Opt-In Class, appraising them of the pendency of this action, permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Collective Action members;
- A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- c. An injunction against the Defendant and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons in concert with it, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;
- d. An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA;
- e. An award of liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C § 216;
- f. An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;
- g. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- h. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

	Pursuant to Rule	38(b) of the l	Federal Rules	of Civil Procedure,	, Plaintiff demands	s a trial by jury
on all	questions of fact	raised by the	complaint.			

Dated: April , 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew R. Frisch

Andrew R. Frisch, Esquire MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 600 N. Pine Island Road, Suite 400 Plantation, Florida 33324 Tel: 954-WORKERS; Fax: 954-327-3013

E-mail: afrisch@forthepeople.com

Trial Counsel for Plaintiff

JS44 (Rev. 11/16 NDGA) 4:18-cv-00150-A-BJ DOCUMENT 1-1 Filed 04/05/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID 11
The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

·				
I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S)		DEFENDANT(S)		
JAMES COBBLE, on behalf of himself and those similarly		20/20 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.		
situated,				
(h) COUNTY OF DESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED		
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Hamblen		DEFENDANT		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED		
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUM E-MAIL ADDRESS)	MBER, AND	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)		
Andrew R. Frisch		Kevin Zwetsch		
Morgan & Morgan, PA		Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, PC		
600 N. Pine Island Road, Suite 400 Plantation, FL 33324		100 North Tampa Street, Suite 3600 Tampa, FL 33602		
Email: afrisch@forthepeople.com		Email: kevin.zwetsch@ogletreedeakins.com		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION		ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES		
(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	(PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)		
	PLF DEF	PLF DEF		
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT		TIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE		
2 U.S. GOVERNMENT 4 DIVERSITY	□, □, _□	TIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL		
DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)		PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE		
,		TIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A 6 6 FOREIGN NATION DREIGN COUNTRY		
IV ODICIN				
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X "IN ONE BOX ONLY) 1 ORIGINAL PROCEEDING 2 REMOVED FROM APPELLATE COURT 3 REMANDED FROM APPELLATE COURT	4 REINSTATED REOPENED	OR 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT 6 LITIGATION - TRANSFER JUDGE TRANSFER JUDGMENT		
MULTIDISTRICT				
■8 LITIGATION - DIRECT FILE				
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE ILS CIVIL STATUTE)	UNDER WHICH VOI	I ADE EILING AND WRITE A RRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE		
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNI JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNI	LESS DIVERSITY)	AND FILING AND WRITE A DRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE		
29 U.S.C. 207, Overtime Compensation				
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)				
1. Unusually large number of parties.	☐ 6. Prob	lems locating or preserving evidence		
2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses.	ling parallel investigations or actions by government.			
☐ 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex ☐ 8. Multiple use of experts.				
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.				
☐ 5. Extended discovery period is needed.	Extended discovery period is needed. 10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.			
CONTINUED ON REVERSE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
RECEIPT# AMOUNT \$	APPLYIN	G IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP)		
JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION		
(Referral)				

VI. NATURESGE 4:18 CV-00150-A-BJ Document 1-1 Filed 04/05/17 Page 2 of 2 PageID 12 CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY L RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS D 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 441 VOTING 442 EMPLOYMENT 443 HOUSING' ACCOMMO 445 AMERICANS with DISA 446 AMERICANS with DISA 448 EDUCATION ☐ 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 861 HIA (1395ff) ■ 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT 862 BLACK LUNG (923) 863 DIWC (405(g)) LOANS (Excl. Veterans) 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS ☐ 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 863 DIWW (405(g)) VETERAN'S BENEFITS 864 SSID TITLE XVI CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 462 NATURALIZATION APPLICATION 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY 190 OTHER CONTRACT 375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee 196 FRANCHISE 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 376 Oui Tam 31 USC 3729(a) 530 HABEAS CORPUS 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY 535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 430 BANKS AND BANKING 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC. 210 LAND CONDEM 220 FORECLOSURE 230 RENT LEASE & 240 TORTS TO LANI 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 460 DEPORTATION 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS 480 CONSUMER CREDIT 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 560 CIVIL DETAINEE: CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS 310 AIRPLANE 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILIT 340 MARINE 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONNER 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT / ORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY 690 OTHER TRACK 410 ANTITRUST 410 ANTITRUST 850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY MALPRACTICE 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION 896 ARBITRATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify) PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 791 EMPL. RET. INC. SECURITY ACT ☐ 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY LIABILITY * PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS 820 COPYRIGHTS TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 840 TRADEMARK **SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3** PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 830 PATENT BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 422 APPEAL 28 USC 158 423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: ☐ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ JURY DEMAND oxtimes YES oxtimes NO (CHECK YES $\overline{ ext{only}}$ if demanded in complaint) VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY DOCKET NO. **JUDGE** CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) \square 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. □ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. ■ 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. ☐ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. ☐ 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):

/s/ Andrew R. Frisch

, WHICH WAS

☐ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO.

DISMISSED. This case IS IS IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee

Eastern District of Tennessee				
JAMES COBBLE, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated,)))			
Plaintiff(s))			
v.	Civil Action No.			
20/20 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.)))			
Defendant(s))			
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address) 20/20 COMMUNICATION Lone Star Tower Lone Star Circle, Suite 20 Fort Worth, TX 76177				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
are the United States or a United States agency, or an offi	Suit 400			
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			
	O .7			

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (neeived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind				
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,					
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or					
	☐ I served the summ		, who is			
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)					
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because			; or	
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	nl of \$().00 .	
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
		_	Printed name and title	,		
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>Unpaid Overtime Case Against 20/20 Communications Lands in District Court in Texas</u>