



rate of pay when working over 40 hours a week.

7. This class and collective action alleges that Tier 1 misclassified Ceuric as exempt from the overtime requirements as day rate independent contractor, alleges that Tier 1 failed to pay Ceuric overtime at one and one half times their regular rate of pay, and seeks to recover the unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorney fees, and costs permitted by the FLSA and NMMWA.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action involves a federal question under the FLSA. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

9. The Court has federal jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the jurisdictional provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1332(d). The Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law sub-class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and because Rice Energy's corporate headquarters are located in this District.

## III. THE PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Michael Ceuric has worked exclusively for Tier 1 as solids control operator. Throughout his employment with Tier 1, he was paid a day-rate with no overtime compensation and was classified as an independent contractor. Ceuric's written consent has been filed with the Court.

**All solids control independent contractors, paid by Tier 1's day-rate system, in the past three years. ("Putative Class Members").**

12. Plaintiffs seek conditional certification is such a class under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

13. Plaintiffs also seek class certification of such a class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23 under the NMMWA.

14. Tier One, LLC, d/b/a Tier 1 Rental and Distribution is based in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and can be served at its principal place of business at 2 Penn Center W, Suite 328,

Pittsburgh, PA 15276.

#### **IV. COVERAGE UNDER THE FLSA**

15. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been an employer within the meaning of the Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

16. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

17. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendant has been part of an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1), in that said enterprise has and has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person and in that said enterprise has had and has an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

18. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Plaintiff and the Putative Class Members were engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce.

19. As will be shown through this litigation, Tier 1 treated Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members as employees and uniformly dictated the pay practices Plaintiff and its other employees (including its so-called “independent contractors”) were subjected to.

20. Tier 1’s misclassification of Plaintiff as an independent contractor does not alter its status as an employer for purposes of this FLSA collective action.

#### **V. FACTS**

21. Tier 1 is a rental and distribution services company with significant operations throughout Pennsylvania. Plaintiff Michael Ceuric, for example, worked as a solids control operator

on behalf of Tier 1 in New Mexico. Tier 1 provides trucking, solids control equipment, consulting and cut-dry solidification services to the oil and gas industry since 2014.

22. Many of these individuals worked for Tier 1 as solids control consultants (among other positions) on a day-rate basis and make up the proposed Putative Class. While exact job titles and job duties may differ, these employees are subjected to the same or similar illegal pay practices for similar work. Specifically, Tier 1 paid its solids control independent contractors a flat sum for each day worked, regardless of the number of hours that they worked that day (or in that work week) and failed to provide its solids control independent contractors with overtime pay for hours that they worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a workweek.

23. For example, Plaintiff Michael Ceuric was employed by Tier 1 as a solids control consultant during the statutory time period and was paid on a day-rate basis. In this regard, his primary job duties (and the job duties of all other solids control consultants employed by Tier 1 who were paid a day-rate) included maintaining and operating solids control equipment (centrifuge, drying shakers, desanders, desilters, etc.), repairing electrical issues, and assisting with rigging up and rigging down of solids control equipment. Tier 1 typically scheduled Mr. Ceuric to work twelve (12) hour shifts, for as many as seven (7) days a week. Mr. Ceuric estimates that he worked anywhere from eighty-four (84) to one-hundred (100) hours per week while employed by Tier 1 as a solids control consultant.

24. The daily and weekly activities of the Putative Class Members were routine and largely governed by standardized plans, procedures, and checklists created by Tier 1 and/or the operator Tier 1 contracted with. Virtually every job function was pre-determined by Tier 1 and/or the operator Tier 1 contracted with, including the tools to use at a job site, the data to compile, the schedule of work, and related work duties. The Putative Class Members were prohibited from varying their job duties outside of the pre-determined parameters. Moreover, the job functions of

the Putative Class Members were primarily manual labor/technical in nature, requiring little to no official training, much less a college education or other advanced degree. The Putative Class Members did not have any supervisory or management duties. Finally, for the purposes of an FLSA overtime claim, the Putative Class Members performed substantially similar job duties related to servicing oil and gas operations in the field.

25. The Putative Class Members also worked similar hours and were denied overtime as a result of the same illegal pay practice. The Putative Class Members were generally scheduled to work eighty-four (84) hours per workweek, but often worked more. Instead of paying them overtime, Tier 1 paid the Putative Class Members a day-rate. Tier 1 denied the Putative Class Members overtime for any and all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a single workweek. As the controlling law makes clear, the manual labor/technical duties which were performed by the Putative Class Members consist of *non*-exempt work. Therefore, Tier 1 owes back overtime wages to hundreds of their solid control independent contractors, all of whom work long hours each workweek.

## VI. FLSA AND NMMWA VIOLATIONS

26. As set forth herein, Tier 1 violated the FLSA and NMMWA by misclassifying Ceuric and the Class Members as independent contractors and paying only a day rate for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek. 29 U.S.C. § 207(a); NMSA § 50-4-22.

27. Tier 1 knowingly, willfully, or in reckless disregard carried out this illegal pattern or practice of failing to pay Ceuric and the Class Members proper overtime compensation. Tier 1's failure to pay proper overtime compensation to Ceuric and the Class Members and intentional misclassification of Ceuric and the Class Members was neither reasonable, nor was the decision not to pay proper overtime to Ceuric and the Class Members made in good faith.

28. Accordingly, Ceuric and the Class Members are entitled to overtime wages under the FLSA in an amount equal to 1.5 times their regular rates of pay, plus liquidated damages or double damages, attorney's fees, and costs. 29 U.S.C. § 216(b); NMSA § 50-4-26.

29. The improper pay practices at issue were part of a continuing course of conduct, entitling Ceuric and the Class Members to recover for all such violations, regardless of the date they occurred.

#### **VII. CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

30. As described above, Ceuric and the Class Members have been victimized by MVCP's pattern, practice, and/or policy, which is in willful violation of the FLSA and NMMWA.

31. Many Class Members worked alongside Ceuric and reported that they were paid in the same manner and were not properly compensated for all hours worked as required by the FLSA and NMMWA.

32. Many Class Members, regardless of the division or location in which they worked, performed similar job duties to Ceuric.

33. Thus, Tier 1 imposed a uniform practice or policy on Ceuric and the Class Members regardless of any individualized factors.

34. Ceuric and the Class Members received a day rate, regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week, and were not paid overtime compensation.

35. As described above, these day rate Class Members are similarly situated to Ceuric in terms of relevant job duties, pay provisions, and employment practices.

36. Tier 1's failure to pay wages and overtime compensation at the rates required by the FLSA and NMMWA result from generally applicable, systematic policy and/or practice which are not dependent on the personal circumstances of any member of the day rate Class Members.

37. Ceuric's experiences are typical of the experiences of all day rate Class Members.

38. Ceuric has no interests contrary to, or in conflict with, the members of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members. Like each member of the proposed classes, Ceuric has an interest in obtaining the unpaid overtime wages owed under state and/or federal law.

39. A class and collective action, such as the instant one, is superior to other available means for fair and efficient adjudication of the lawsuit.

40. Absent this action, many FLSA and NMMWA Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Tier 1 will reap the unjust benefits of violating the FLSA and NMMWA.

41. Furthermore, even if some of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members could afford individual litigation against Tier 1, it would be unduly burdensome to the judicial system.

42. Concentrating the litigation in one forum will promote judicial economy and parity among the claims of individual members of the classes and provide for judicial consistency.

43. The questions of law and fact common to each of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members predominate over any questions affecting solely the individual members. Among the common questions of law and fact are:

- (a) Whether Tier 1 employed the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members within the meaning of the FLSA and NMMWA;
- (b) Whether the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members were exempt from overtime as independent contractors;
- (c) Whether Tier 1's decision to not pay overtime to the FLSA Class Members and was made in good faith; and
- (d) Whether Tier 1's violation of the FLSA was willful.

44. Ceuric's claims are typical of the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members' claims. Ceuric and the FLSA and NMMWA Class Members have sustained damages arising out of Tier 1's illegal

and uniform employment policy.

45. Ceuric knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its ability to go forward as a class or collective action.

46. Although the issue of damages may be somewhat individual in character, there is no detractor from the common nucleus of liability facts. Therefore, this issue does not preclude class or collective action treatment.

### **VIII. JURY DEMAND**

47. Ceuric demands a trial by jury.

### **IX. RELIEF SOUGHT**

48. WHEREFORE, Ceuric prays for judgment against Tier 1 as follows:

- (a) For an order certifying class action(s) under Rule 23 for the purposes of the claims under New Mexico law;
- (b) For an order certifying this case as a collective action for the purposes of the FLSA claims;
- (c) For an order finding Tier 1 liable for violations of state and federal wage laws with respect to Ceuric and all Class Members covered by this case;
- (d) For a judgment awarding all unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and/or penalty damages, to Ceuric and all Class Members covered by this case;
- (e) For a judgment awarding Ceuric and all Class Members covered by this case their costs of this action;
- (f) For a judgment awarding Ceuric and all Class Members covered by this case their attorneys' fees;
- (g) For a judgment awarding Ceuric and all Class Members covered by this case pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest rates allowed by law; and;



(h) For all such other and further relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Joshua P. Geist

Joshua P. Geist  
PA. I.D. No. 85745  
**GOODRICH & GEIST, P.C.**  
3634 California Ave.  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212  
Tel: 412-766-1455  
Fax: 412-766-0300  
josh@goodrichandgeist.com

AND

Michael A. Josephson  
Pennsylvania Bar No. 308410  
Texas Bar No. 24014780  
*(Pending Pro Hac Vice)*  
Andrew Dunlap  
Texas Bar No. 24078444  
*(Pending Pro Hac Vice)*  
**JOSEPHSON DUNLAP LAW FIRM**  
11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050  
Houston, Texas 77046  
713-352-1100 – Telephone  
713-352-3300 – Facsimile  
mjosephson@mybackwages.com  
adunlap@mybackwages.com

AND

Richard J. (Rex) Burch  
Texas State Bar No. 24001807  
*(Pending Pro Hac Vice)*  
**BRUCKNER BURCH, P.L.L.C.**  
8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500  
Houston, Texas 77046  
713-877-8788 – Telephone  
713-877-8065 – Facsimile  
rburch@brucknerburch.com

**ATTORNEYS IN CHARGE FOR PLAINTIFFS**

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

MICHAEL CEURIC, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Harris County, TX (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Joshua P. Geist, Goodrich & Geist, PC, 3634 California Ave., Pittsburgh, PA 15212

DEFENDANTS

TIER ONE, LLC D/B/A TIER 1 RENTAL AND DISTRIBUTION

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Allegheny County, PA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Table with columns for Plaintiff (PTF) and Defendant (DEF) citizenship and business location (Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation).

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with categories: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, TORTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District (specify), 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer, 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)
Brief description of cause: Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 09/22/2017 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ Joshua P. Geist

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Print

Save As...

Reset

JS 44A REVISED June, 2009  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

**PART A**

This case belongs on the (  Erie  Johnstown  Pittsburgh) calendar.

1. **ERIE CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, McKean, Venang or Warren, OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
2. **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR** - If cause of action arose in the counties of Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield or Somerset OR any plaintiff or defendant resides in one of said counties.
3. Complete if on **ERIE CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in \_\_\_\_\_ County and that the \_\_\_\_\_ resides in \_\_\_\_\_ County.
4. Complete if on **JOHNSTOWN CALENDAR**: I certify that the cause of action arose in \_\_\_\_\_ County and that the \_\_\_\_\_ resides in \_\_\_\_\_ County.

**PART B** (You are to check ONE of the following)

1.  This case is related to Number \_\_\_\_\_ . Short Caption \_\_\_\_\_
2.  This case is not related to a pending or terminated case.

**DEFINITIONS OF RELATED CASES:**

**CIVIL:** Civil cases are deemed related when a case filed relates to property included in another suit or involves the same issues of fact or it grows out of the same transactions as another suit or involves the validity or infringement of a patent involved in another suit

**EMINENT DOMAIN:** Cases in contiguous closely located groups and in common ownership groups which will lend themselves to consolidation for trial shall be deemed related.

**HABEAS CORPUS & CIVIL RIGHTS:** All habeas corpus petitions filed by the same individual shall be deemed related. All pro se Civil Rights actions by the same individual shall be deemed related.

**PART C**

**I. CIVIL CATEGORY** (Select the applicable category).

1.  Antitrust and Securities Act Cases
2.  Labor-Management Relations
3.  Habeas corpus
4.  Civil Rights
5.  Patent, Copyright, and Trademark
6.  Eminent Domain
7.  All other federal question cases
8.  All personal and property damage tort cases, including maritime, FELA, Jones Act, Motor vehicle, products liability, assault, defamation, malicious prosecution, and false arrest
9.  Insurance indemnity, contract and other diversity cases.
10.  Government Collection Cases (shall include HEW Student Loans (Education), V A Overpayment, Overpayment of Social Security, Enlistment Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.), HUD Loans, GAO Loans (Misc. Types), Mortgage Foreclosures, SBA Loans, Civil Penalties and Coal Mine Penalty and Reclamation Fees.)

I certify that to the best of my knowledge the entries on this Case Designation Sheet are true and correct

Date: 09/22/2017

/s/ Joshua P. Geist

ATTORNEY AT LAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH ÔŠPRU MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.  
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.  
**PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

# EXHIBIT A

**CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM**

Print Name: Michael Ceuric

1. I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Tier 1 Rental to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
2. I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
3. I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
4. I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.

Signature: *Michael Ceuric*  
Michael Ceuric (Sep 14, 2017)

Date Signed: Sep 14, 2017

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

for the

Western District of Pennsylvania

MICHAEL CEURIC, Individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated

*Plaintiff(s)*

v.

TIER ONE, LLC D/B/A TIER 1  
RENTAL AND DISTRIBUTION

*Defendant(s)*

Civil Action No.

**SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To: *(Defendant's name and address)* TIER ONE, LLC D/B/A TIER 1 RENTAL AND DISTRIBUTION  
2 Penn Center W, Suite 328  
Pittsburgh, PA 15276

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Joshua P. Geist  
Goodrich & Geist, PC  
3634 California Ave.  
Pittsburgh, PA 15212

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

*CLERK OF COURT*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk*

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_ , who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print

Save As...

Reset



# ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Lawsuit: Tier 1 Misclassified Solids Control Workers, Owes Unpaid OT](#)

---