Case 6:17-cv-02200-JA-GJK Document 1 Filed 12/28/17 Page 1 of 7 PageID 1

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

JERRY CASTILLO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated; 2017 DEC 28 AM II: 49

CASE NO .: 6:11-CV- 2200-0RL-28-GJK

Plaintiff,

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION

v.

TUESDAY MORNING, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation,

DEFENDANT.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, JERRY CASTILLO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, by and through his undersigned counsel, sues Defendant, TUESDAY MORNING, INC., and in support thereof states as follows:

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This is an action for damages by Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, against his employer for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* ("FLSA"). This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Local Rule 1.02(c) of the Local Rules of the Middle District of Florida. Venue is proper in this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the instant action occurred in Orange County, Florida. At all times material to the instant action, Defendant has conducted substantial, continuous, and systematic commercial activities in Orange County, Florida.

Parties and Factual Allegations

3. Plaintiff is an individual *sui juris*, residing in Orange County, Florida.

4. Plaintiff is an employee of Defendant as defined by 29 U.S.C. 203(e).

5. Plaintiff has been employed by Defendant from 2011, through the present.

6. Plaintiff's job title is Store Manager.

7. As Store Manager, Plaintiff is severely limited in the number of labor hours he may use to staff the store, and as a result spends a majority of his time performing the work of lowerlevel employees such as cashiers and customer service associates.

8. Upon information and belief, all Store Managers employed by Defendant are subject to the policy outlined in paragraph 7, and as such, spend a majority of their time performing the work of lower-level employees such as cashiers and customer service associates.

9. Plaintiff's primary job duties and responsibilities require Plaintiff to spend a majority of his time performing manual labor and/or non-managerial duties such as stocking shelves, unloading deliveries, cleaning, operating the cash register, and other customer service related tasks.

10. Plaintiff's and other Store Managers' job duties and responsibilities do not qualify Plaintiff or other Store Managers for any exemption from overtime compensation under the FLSA.

11. Plaintiff's and other Store Managers' job duties and responsibilities require Plaintiff and other Store Managers to engage in commerce and/or use the tools of commerce.

12. Defendant is a Foreign Profit Corporation operating a business, among other locations, in Orange County, Florida.

Defendant is an employer of Plaintiff and other Store Managers as defined by 29
 U.S.C. 203(d).

2

14. At all relevant times Defendant directly, or through its agents or other persons, employed Plaintiff and other Store Managers, and exercised control over the wages, hours, and working conditions of the same.

15. Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. 203(s), who upon information and belief has annual gross business done of not less than \$500,000.

16. Throughout his employment, Plaintiff has regularly worked hours in excess of forty(40) hours in a week.

17. Upon information and belief, all Store Managers employed by Defendant regularly work hours in excess of forty (40) hours in a week.

18. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff and other Store Managers for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times Plaintiff's and Store Managers' regular rate, but has instead paid Plaintiff and other Store Managers only their salary.

19. Plaintiff and other Store Managers have suffered substantial losses due to Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.

20. Upon information and belief, for the three-year period preceding the filing of the instant action, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA in regard to all its employees employed as Store Managers, Plaintiff included, by misclassifying Store Managers as exempt from overtime compensation under the FLSA, and by failing to pay Store Managers at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

21. Plaintiff has retained LYTLE & BARSZCZ to represent him in this matter and has agreed to pay said firm reasonable attorney's fees for its services.

3

COUNT I Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation in Violation of the FLSA

22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above, as if fully set forth herein.

23. Plaintiff is an employee of Defendant.

24. Plaintiff's job duties and responsibilities do not qualify Plaintiff for any exemption from overtime compensation under the FLSA.

25. Plaintiff's job duties and responsibilities require Plaintiff to engage in commerce and/or use the tools of commerce.

26. Defendant is an employer of Plaintiff.

27. Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce, who upon information and belief has annual gross business done of not less than \$500,000.

28. Since beginning employment with Defendant, Plaintiff has regularly worked hours in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

29. Defendant has failed to pay Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a rate of not less than one-and-one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate, but has instead paid Plaintiff only his salary.

30. Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses due to Defendant's failure to pay overtime compensation in violation of the FLSA.

31. Upon information and belief, for the three-year period preceding the filing of the instant action, Defendant has willfully violated the FLSA in regard to all its employees employed as Store Managers, Plaintiff included, by misclassifying Store Managers as exempt from overtime

4

compensation under the FLSA, and by failing to pay Store Managers at a rate of not less than oneand-one-half times their regular rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated, demands judgment against Defendant for the following:

- a. Certification of this action as a collective action brought pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- b. Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the FLSA Collective Action;
- c. That the Plaintiff be allowed to give notice of this collective action, or that this Court issue such notice at the earliest possible time; to all past and present Store Managers employed by the Defendant at any time during the three (3) year period immediately preceding the filing of this Complaint, through and including the date of this Court's issuance of the Court Supervised Notice;
- d. That all past and present members of the Plaintiff Collective Class be informed of the nature of this collective action, and similarly situated employees' rights to join this lawsuit if they believe that they were or are misclassified as exempt employees;
- e. Equitable tolling of the statute of limitations for all potential opt-in Plaintiffs from the date of filing this Complaint until the expiration of the deadline for filing consent to sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- f. Unpaid overtime proven to be due and owing;
- g. An additional amount equal to unpaid overtime proven to be due and owing in liquidated damages;
- h. Prejudgment interest in the event liquidated damages are not awarded;
- i. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- j. Such other relief as this Court finds just and equitable.

Case 6:17-cv-02200-JA-GJK Document 1 Filed 12/28/17 Page 6 of 7 PageID 6

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of December 2017.

ier

Mary E. Lytle, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0007950 David V. Barszcz, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0750581 Robert N. Sutton, Esq. Florida Bar No. 0121688 LYTLE & BARSZCZ, P.A. 543 N. Wymore Road, Ste. 103 Maitland, Florida 32751 Telephone: (407) 622-6544 Facsimile: (407) 622-6545 mlytle@lblaw.attorney dbarszcz@lblaw.attorney rsutton@lblaw.attorney Counsel for Plaintiffs

Case 6:17-cv-02200-JA-GJK Document 1 Filed 12/28/17 Page 7 of 7 PageID 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

JERRY CASTILLO, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated;

CASE NO .:

Plaintiff.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION

TUESDAY MORNING, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation.

DEFENDANT.

CONSENT TO SUE AND OPT-IN Pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

S, hereby state my consent to be a Plaintiff in this Fair Labor Standards Act case against Defendant, TUESDAY MORNING, INC., and any other associated parties. I consent to the bringing of any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs, and other relief) against

TUESDAY MORNING, INC., and optim to this action. Date: 12-21-17 Signature: ry SCASTILOS Name:

I am currently and/or have been employed as a "Store Manager," for Defendant, and was not paid overtime when I worked more than forty (40) hours in any workweek, and I consent and agree to join this action to pursue my claims in connection with the above-referenced lawsuit arising out of my employment.

I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. I hereby consent, agree, and "opt in" to become a plaintiff herein and to be bound by any judgment by the Court or any settlement of this action. I hereby designate Mary E. Lytie, Esquire and David V. Barszez, Esquire of LYTLE & BARSZCZ, 543 N. Wymore Road, Suite 103, Mainland, Florida 32751, to represent me for all purposes in this action.

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS JERRY CASTILLO TUESDAY MORNING, INC., a Foreign Profit Corporation (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (c) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (a Foreign Profit Corporation County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCA Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751, Attorneys (If Known)	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated a Foreign Profit Corporation (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
on behalf of himself and others similarly situated a Foreign Profit Corporation (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Orange (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCA THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Lytle & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	n "X" in One Box for Plaintiff Ine Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Lytie & Barszcz, 543 North Wymore Road Suite 103 Maitland, FL 32751,	ne Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
	ne Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
	ne Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
(407) 622-6544	ne Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an	PTF DEF	
(For Diversity Cases Only) and On U.S. Government J Federal Question PTF DEF		
Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State I I Incorporated or Principal Pla of Business In This State		
2 U.S. Government Image: A compared and Principal P Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen of Another State Image: A compared and Principal P		
Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation		
Foreign Country		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY NANKRUPTCY O	OTHER STATUTES	
	5 False Claims Act	
	6 Qui Tam (31 USC	
□ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Airplane Product Product Liability □ 690 Other 28 USC 157	3729(a))	
	0 State Reapportionment 0 Antitrust	
	0 Banks and Banking	
🗆 151 Medicare Act 🛛 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 🗍 830 Patent 🗍 450	0 Commerce	
	0 Deportation 0 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans) I 345 Marine Product Liability LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations	
D 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 25 710 Fair Labor Standards D 861 HIA (1395ff) 0 480	0 Consumer Credit	
	0 Cable/Sat TV 0 Securities/Commodities/	
□ 190 Other Contract Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal Relations □ 864 SSID Title XVI	Exchange	
□ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Property Damage □ 740 Railway Lebor Act □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 890	0 Other Statutory Actions	
	1 Agricultural Acts 3 Environmental Matters	
Medical Malpractice 790 Other Labor Litigation 895	5 Freedom of Information	
REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 791 Employee Retirement FEDERAL TAX SUITS Image: Condemnation Image: Condemnatio	Act 6 Arbitration	
	9 Administrative Procedure	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 0 442 Employment 0 510 Motions to Vacate 0 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Agency Decision 0 Constitutionality of	
	State Statutes	
Employment Other: C 462 Naturalization Application		
Other 550 Civil Rights Actions		
448 Education 555 Prison Condition		
G 560 Civil Detainee -		
Conditions of Confinement		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		
A Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Litigation		
(specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):		
Failure to pay overtime under Fair Labor Standards Act	1.1.1.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demands JUNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: JURY DEMAND:	Yes I No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)		
IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLA		
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Lawsuit Argues Tuesday Morning Store Managers Should Not Be Exempt from Overtime Pay