FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

2017 AUG 31 PM 12: 28

CASE NO.:

CLERK US CISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT HYERS FLORINA

YAMILET CASTANEDA, and other similarly situated non-exempt employees,

Plaintiff,

2:17-CV-498-FEM-38CM

VS.

REINALDO MARTINEZ, Individually.

D	<u>a</u> t	~	•~	^	•+
. ,		.	16 1	711	

COMPLAINT

(OPT-IN PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C § 216(B))

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, YAMILET CASTANEDA ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and other current and former similarly situated employees, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Defendant, REINALDO MARTINEZ, Individually (the "Defendants") and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This is an action by the Plaintiff and other similarly-situated non-exempt waitresses for damages exceeding \$15,000 excluding attorneys' fees or costs pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., hereinafter called the "FLSA") to recover unpaid overtime and/or minimum wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, obtain declaratory relief, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2. The jurisdiction of the Court over this controversy is based upon 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

• 4.

* N

- 3. Venue is proper in Collier County because all of the actions that form the basis of this Complaint occurred within Collier County and payment was due in Collier County.
- 4. Declaratory, injunctive, legal and equitable relief sought pursuant to the laws set forth above together with attorneys' fees, costs and damages.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff was at all times relevant to this action, and continues to be, a resident of Collier County Florida, within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Plaintiff is a covered employee for purposes of the FLSA.
- 6. Defendant, REINALDO MARTINEZ, is a corporate officer of, and exercised operational control over the activities of, corporate, REI MARTINEZ ENTERPRISES, INC d/b/a PALOMA BLANCA.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" within the meaning of §6 and §7 of the FLSA. Specifically, Plaintiff utilized and handled equipment and goods manufactured and purchased from outside the State of Florida.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant was Plaintiff's "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 10. Based upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of Defendant was in excess of \$500,000.00 per annum during the relevant time periods.
- 11. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was "engaged in commerce" and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.

• 3

- 12. The additional persons who may become plaintiffs in this action are/were non-exempt employees of Defendant, who held similar positions to Plaintiff, and who worked in excess of forty (40) hours during one of more work weeks during the relevant time periods but who did not receive the correct pay at one and a half times their regular rate for their overtime hours worked.
- 13. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiff was essential to the business performed by Defendant.
- 14. All conditions precedent for the filing of this action before this Court have been previously met, including the exhaustion of all pertinent administrative procedures and remedies.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 15. From approximately 2006 through May 30, 2014, Plaintiff was employed with Defendant as a non-exempt waitress.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and Defendants were engaged in an implied agreement whereby Plaintiff would be employed by Defendants and that Plaintiff would be properly paid as provided for by, and not in violation of, the laws of the United States and the State of Florida.
- 17. During the time period from 2006 through May 30, 2014, Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff the required overtime and/or minimum wages at a rate of one and a half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a single work week.
- 18. Defendant has violated Title 29 U.S.C. §207 from at least 2006 through May 30, 2014, and continuing to date, in that:

18

- a. Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week for the period in which she was employed with Defendant;
- b. No payments, and provisions for payment, have been made by Defendant to properly compensate Plaintiff at the statutory required rate of one and a half times Plaintiff's regular rate for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) per work week as provided by the FLSA; and
- c. Defendants have failed to maintain proper time records as mandated by the FLSA.
- 19. Plaintiff claims there are other similarly situated current and former waitresses working, or previously working, for Defendants/Defendant.
- 20. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated current and former waitresses performed similarly duties for Defendants/Defendant and were subject to similar policies as to compensation.
- 21. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated current and former waitresses of Defendants/Defendant would benefit from joining this collective action alleged herein.

COUNT I Wage & Hour Federal Statutory Violation Against REINALDO MARTINEZ

- 22. Plaintiff re-adopts each and every factual allegation as stated in paragraphs 1 through 21 of this complaint as if set out in full herein.
- 23. This action is brought by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated individuals to recover from Defendants unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, as well as an additional amount as liquidated damages, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., and specifically under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 207. 29 U.S.C. § 207 (a)(1) states, "No employer shall employ any of his employees... for a

- work week longer than 40 hours unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above-specified at a rate not less than one and a half times the regular rate at which he is employed."
- 24. At the times mentioned, Defendant REINALDO MARTINEZ, had the authority and/or power to alter the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment in the areas of his employment (hire/fire), scheduled hours and/or rate of pay.
- 25. Defendant was an employer of Plaintiff within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the "Fair Labor Standards Act" [29 U.S.C. § 203(d)], in that this individual Defendant acted directly in the interests of Defendant employer in relation to the employees of Defendant employer, including Plaintiff.
- 26. Defendant had operational control of the business and is thus jointly liable for Plaintiff's damages.
- 27. Defendant willfully and intentionally refused to properly pay Plaintiff wages as required by the law of the United States as set forth above and remains owing Plaintiff these wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant as set forth above.
- 28. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendant operated as an organization which sells and/or markets its services and/or goods to customers from throughout the United States and also provides its services for goods sold and transported from across state lines of other states, and the Defendant obtains and solicits funds from non-Florida sources, accepts funds from non-Florida sources, uses telephonic transmissions going over state lines to do its business, transmits funds outside the State of Florida, and otherwise regularly engages in interstate commerce, particularly with respect to its employees.

- 29. Upon information and belief, the annual gross revenue of the Defendant was at all times material hereto in excess of \$500,000 per annum, and, by virtue of working in interstate commerce, otherwise satisfies the FLSA's coverage requirements.
- 30. By reason of the foregoing, the Defendant is and was, during all times hereafter mentioned, an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §§ 3 (r) and 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s). Defendant's business activities involve those to which the Fair Labor Standards Act applies. The Plaintiff's work for the Defendant likewise affects interstate commerce.
- 31. Plaintiff seeks to recover for unpaid wages accumulated from the date of hire.
- 32. At all times material hereto, the Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219 and 29 C.F.R. § 516.2 and § 516.4 et seq. in that Plaintiff performed services and worked in excess of the maximum hours provided by the FLSA but no provision was made by the Defendant to properly pay him at the rate of time and one half for all hours worked in excess of forty hours (40) per workweek as provided in the FLSA.
- 33. Defendant knew and/or showed reckless disregard of the provisions of the FLSA concerning the payment of overtime wages as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act and remain owing Plaintiff these unpaid wages since the commencement of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant as set forth above. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to recover double damages.
- 34. Defendant never posted any notice, as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act and Federal Law, to inform employees of their federal rights to overtime and minimum wage payments.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully prays for the following relief against Defendant:

A. Adjudge and decree that Defendant has violated the FLSA and has done so willfully, intentionally and with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights;

- B. Award Plaintiff actual damages in the amount shown to be due for unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty (40) weekly, with interest; and
- C. Award Plaintiff an equal amount in double damages/liquidated damages; and
- D. Award Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with a reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- E. Grant Plaintiff such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by jury.

Dated 8-28-17

Respectfully submitted,

Jason S. Remer, Esq. Florida Bar No.: 0165580 jremer@rgpattorneys.com

Brody M. Shulman, Esq. Fla. Bar No.: 092044

REMER & GEORGES-PIERRE, PLLC

44 West Flagler Street, Suite 2200

Miami, FL 33130

Telephone: (305) 416-5000 Facsimile: (305) 416-5005

JS 44 (Rev. 11/15)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleafther or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required to the united States of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil to	CRET SIRCEL (SEE 1157 ROC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	msrc	KM.)			U		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		·		DEFENDANTS	3		-		_
YAMILET CASTANEDA., and other similarly situated non-exempt employees.				REINALDO MARTINEZ., Individually. 2017 AUG 31 PM 12: 20					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Collier				County of Residence	of First List	of Defendant 12	care.		
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.			County of Residence	LERYUNG	LAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)		
*				NOTE: IN LAND C	PHILIPPING	MINTEF CASES OF THE CASES OF TH	MACOCATION RIDA	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address. and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known)		, and recrip,	A		
Remer & Georges-Pierre	, PLLC			}					
44 W Flagler St., Suite 2	200, Miami, FL 33130	(305) 416-5000		İ					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place on "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	AL PARTIES	(Plum un "Y" in	O N	C (0)
·				(For Diversity Cases Only)		LO I PIRTIES	and One Box f		
U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize		TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		PTF	DEF 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant			Citize	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and F	Principal Place	O 5	O 5
		, ,			3 🗆 3		monitor State	□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)		Foreign Country					
CONTRACT	TC	ORTS		DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BAI	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury -	J 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal	☐ 375 False C ☐ 376 Qui Ta		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other		ISC 157	3729(a	1))	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaccutical			PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	400 State R	eapportion st	ment
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			□ 820 Copy	rights	🗇 430 Banks :	and Bankir	ng
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	ł		☐ 830 Pater ☐ 840 Trad		☐ 450 Commo		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability	-	LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 470 Rackete	eer Influen	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	TY 10 71	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA	(1395ff)	☐ 480 Consur		tions
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	J 72	Act 0 Labor/Management	☐ 862 Black	k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/S ☐ 850 Securit		nditios/
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal		Relations	☐ 864 SSIE	Title XVI	Exchai	nge	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul		
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	70	Leave Act	1		893 Enviror	nmental Ma	atters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedor Act	n of Intorr	nation
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	1	Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	☐ 896 Arbitra		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate			□ 871 IRS-		☐ 899 Admini Act/Rev	istrative Pr view or Ap	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence ☐ 530 General			26 U	SC 7609	Agency ☐ 950 Constit	Decision	·
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	1		State St		J1
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 46 ☐ 46	2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	1				
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
	_	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)	•							
	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	tenwifu	r District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	ict		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	filing (E	Oo not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless di	versity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Fair Labor Standa								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	Di	EMAND S		HECK YES only	if demanded in	complair	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					URY DEMAND:	≱ Yes	☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 08/29/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY (OF RECORD	DOCKE	THOMBER	<u></u>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				·					
	4OLINET								
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUE			
FEMOR354			_	2:17-00-4	190 I	LM - 21	70 h		
- 54			C	XII CVII	118 5	111 DE	sum		

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: Owner of Rei Martinez Enterprises Hit with Unpaid Overtime Lawsuit