

Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
ELON CASSIE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

**COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT**

-against-

JB SECURITY, INC. and JASON TIRADO, as an individual,

**JURY TRIAL
DEMANDED**

Defendants.
-----X

1. Plaintiff, **ELON CASSIE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated**, (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff, **ELON CASSIE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated**, through undersigned counsel, brings this action against **JB SECURITY, INC., and JASON TIRADO, as an individual**, (hereinafter referred to as "Defendants"), to recover damages for egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiffs' employment at JB SECURITY, INC., located at 814 Hewitt Place, Bronx, New York 10459.
3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
6. Venue is proper in the SOUTHERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff ELON CASSIE residing at 4336 Edison Avenue, Bronx, New York 10466, has been employed by Defendants at JB SECURITY, INC. from in or around September 2014 to the present.
9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, JB SECURITY, INC., is a corporation organized under the laws of New York with a principal executive office at 814 Hewitt Place, Bronx, New York 10459.
10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, JB SECURITY, INC., is a corporation authorized to do business under the laws of New York.
11. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO owns and/or operates JB SECURITY, INC..
12. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO is the Chairman of the Board of JB SECURITY, INC..
13. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO is the Chief Executive Officer of JB SECURITY, INC..
14. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO is an agent of JB SECURITY, INC..
15. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO has power over personnel decisions at JB SECURITY, INC..

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant JASON TIRADO has power over payroll decisions at JB SECURITY, INC..
17. Defendant JASON TIRADO has the power to hire and fire employees at JB SECURITY, INC., establish and pay their wages, set their work schedule, and maintains their employment records.
18. During all relevant times herein, Defendant JASON TIRADO was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning of the FLSA and NYLL.
19. On information and belief, JB SECURITY, INC. is, at present and has been at all times relevant to the allegation in the complaint, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that the entity (i) has had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, and handle, sell or otherwise work on goods or material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had an annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

20. Plaintiff ELON CASSIE has been employed by Defendants at JB SECURITY, INC. from in or around September 2014 to the present.
21. During Plaintiff ELON CASSIE'S employment by Defendants at JB SECURITY, INC., Plaintiff's primary duties were as a security guard and performing other miscellaneous duties from in or around September 2014 to the present.
22. Plaintiff ELON CASSIE was paid by Defendants approximately \$740.00 per week from in our around September 2014 to the present.
23. Plaintiff ELON CASSIE worked approximately eighty-eight (88) hours per week at JB SECURITY, INC. from in or around September 2014 to the present.
24. Although Plaintiff ELON CASSIE worked approximately eighty-eight (88) hours or more per week during his employment by Defendants from in or around September 2014 to the present, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff time and a half (1.5) for hours worked over forty (40), a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.

25. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
26. Upon information and belief, Defendants willfully failed to keep accurate payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
27. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiffs seek compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

28. Plaintiff bring this action on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are the collective class.
29. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as security guards or other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required minimum and overtime wage compensation.
30. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 15 to 20 employees within the relevant time period who were subjected to similar payment structures.
31. Upon information and belief, Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff and the Collective Class to work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.
32. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
33. Upon information and belief, Defendants had knowledge that Plaintiff and the Collective Class performed work requiring overtime pay.

34. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the Collective Class.
35. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
36. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
37. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class.
38. Plaintiff and his counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
39. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

40. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
41. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
42. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
43. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
44. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the

regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).

45. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made a good effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
46. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

47. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
48. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
49. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiff was entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3.
50. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to his unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

51. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.

52. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his rate of pay, regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
53. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

54. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
55. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements upon each payment of wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
56. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 each, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff unpaid wages;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- e. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- g. Awarding such and further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: This 29th day of August 2017.

/s/Helen F. Dalton
Helen F. Dalton, Esq. (HFD 3231)
Roman Avshalumov, Esq. (RA 5508)
Helen F. Dalton & Associates, PC
69-12 Austin Street
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Telephone: 718-263-9591
Fax: 718-263-9598

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ELON CASSIE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

JB SECURITY, INC., and JASON TIRADO, as an individual,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

69-12 Austin Street

Forest Hills, NY 11375

Phone (718) 263-9591

Fax (718) 263-9598

TO:

JB SECURITY, INC.

814 HEWITT PLACE

BRONX, NEW YORK 10459

JASON TIRADO

814 HEWITT PLACE

BRONX, NEW YORK 10459

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Security Guard Sues JB Security Over Alleged Labor Law Violations](#)
