

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
BEAUFORT DIVISION

KELLY CARLIN and)
DANETTE TORRES, on behalf of)
themselves and all others similarly situated,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
HUDSON SEAFOOD CORP., d/b/a,)
HUDSON’S SEAFOOD HOUSE ON THE)
DOCKS;)
ANDREW CARMINES, individually; and)
JOHN DOE 1-10, individually,)
)
Defendants.)
)
_____)

C/A: 9:17-cv-2638-RMG

**FLSA
COLLECTIVE ACTION
AND
RULE 23 CLASS ACTION
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiffs, Kelly Carlin (“Carlin”) and Danette Torres (“Torres”), on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, (all jointly “Plaintiffs”), complaining of the acts of Defendants Hudson Seafood Corp., d/b/a, Hudson’s Seafood House on the Docks (“Hudson’s”); Andrew Carmines (“Carmines”); and John Does 1-10 (“Does”) (Hudson’s; Carmines; and Does collectively “Defendants”) allege as follows:

NATURE OF CLAIM

1. This action is brought individually and as a collective action for actual damages, liquidated damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, and for other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (“FLSA”). The collective action provisions under the FLSA, § 216(b), provide for opt-in class participation.

2. This action is also brought individually and as a class action for payment of wages and for other relief under the South Carolina Payment of Wages Act, South Carolina Code Ann. §

41-10-10, et. seq. (“SCPWA”). These claims are proposed as opt-out class claims under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, and VENUE

3. Plaintiffs reallege each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs as if repeated here verbatim.

4. Carlin is a citizen and resident of the State of South Carolina, County of Beaufort.

5. Torres is a citizen and resident of the State of South Carolina, County of Beaufort.

6. Hudson’s is a South Carolina corporation maintaining offices and agents in the county of Beaufort, state of South Carolina. Hudson’s is an employer of individuals and operates a restaurant in Beaufort County doing business as Hudson’s Seafood House on the Docks.

7. Upon information and belief, Carmines is a citizen and resident of the County of Beaufort, State of South Carolina, and an owner and officer of Hudson’s.

8. Upon information and belief, Does are citizens and residents of South Carolina, and owners and / or officers of Hudson’s, or otherwise individuals who had the authority to establish the parameters of Hudson’s Tip Pool, which is described below.

9. Carlin was employed at Hudson’s in the County of Beaufort, State of South Carolina. A substantial part of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Beaufort County.

10. Torres was employed at Hudson’s in the County of Beaufort, State of South Carolina. A substantial part of the events giving rise to these claims occurred in Beaufort County.

11. This court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 based upon Plaintiffs’ claims under the FLSA.

12. Plaintiffs brings this action, as an opt-in Collective Action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of a class of individuals who were employed by Defendants at any time within

the three (3) years prior to joining this lawsuit, who were nonexempt employees paid a direct, or hourly, rate less than the minimum wage of Seven and 25/100 dollars (\$7.25) per hour, received tips, and Hudson's deducted a portion of those tips to place in the mandatory tip pool created by Hudson's ("Tip Pool").

13. Venue in this District and in this Division is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2) and 1391(c), as a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this Division, the Defendants have extensive and deliberate contacts in this Division, and one of the individual Defendants is a resident of this Division.

14. Based upon the above, jurisdiction and venue are proper in this court and division.

15. The work and pay records, including the "tip-out" reports, of Carlin, Torres, and the members of the putative class are in the possession, custody, and/or control of Defendants, and Defendants are under a duty, pursuant to section 11(c) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 211(c), and the regulations of the United States Department of Labor, to maintain and preserve such payroll and other employment records from which the amount of Defendants' liability can be ascertained. Plaintiffs request an order of this Court requiring Defendants to preserve such records during the pendency of this action.

FACTS

16. Plaintiffs reallege each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs as if repeated here verbatim.

17. Defendants own and operate Hudson's.

18. Carmines exercises operational control over Hudson's. On information and belief, Carmines was involved in the decisions to set the wages and pay, including the Tip Pool, for Plaintiffs, or he hired the individuals to whom he delegated this authority, therefore, Carmines is

individually liable to Plaintiffs.

19. Doe exercises operational control over Hudson's. On information and belief, Doe was involved in the decisions to set the wages and pay, including the Tip Pool, for Plaintiffs, or he hired the individuals to whom he delegated this authority, therefore, Doe is individually liable to Plaintiffs.

20. Carlin was employed by Hudson's from February of 2016 through summer of 2017 as a server.

21. Torres was employed by Hudson's from summer of 2016 through May of 2017 as a bartender.

22. Defendants paid Carlin and Torres, and on information and belief all Plaintiffs, a direct, or hourly, wage less than the statutory minimum wage by taking the "Tip Credit" under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m). Defendants paid Carlin and Torres a direct, or hourly, rate of \$2.13 per hour.

23. Hudson's had a policy that required Carlin and Torres, and on information and belief all Plaintiffs, to remit, from the tips they received, a portion of their tips at the end of each shift into the mandatory Tip Pool.

24. From the Tip Pool, Hudson's redistributed a portion of all server and bartender sales to an individual who worked in the "back of the house" who performed janitorial duties and rolled silverware.

25. The employee to whom the tips were redistributed was not an employee who "customarily and regularly" received tips.

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of Fair Labor Standards Act
29 U.S.C. § 203(m), 206
(Violation of Tip Credit / Failure to Pay Proper Minimum Wage)

26. Plaintiffs reallege each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs as if repeated here verbatim.

27. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s).

28. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than Five Hundred Thousand and 00/100 dollars (\$500,000.00). Alternatively, Plaintiffs worked in interstate commerce so as to fall within the protection of the FLSA.

29. The business of Defendants was and is an enterprise engaged in commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1) and, as such, Defendants are subject to, and covered by, the FLSA.

30. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206, requires employers to pay its nonexempt employees a minimum wage of Seven and 25/100 dollars (\$7.25) an hour.

31. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m), provides an exception allowing certain employers to take a "Tip Credit" and pay less than the statutory minimum wage to tipped employees, on the condition that any pooling, or sharing, of tips is shared only with other employees who customarily and regularly receive tips.

32. Carlin, Torres, and on information and belief all Plaintiffs, were required by Defendants to pool, or share, their tips with employees, who are not employees who customarily and regularly receive tips, therefore, the Tip Pool is invalidated.

33. When the Tip Pool is invalidated, the employer can no longer enjoy the benefits of the Tip Credit provision, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m).

34. Defendants have violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m), 206, in reckless disregard

of the rights of Plaintiffs.

35. As such, Plaintiffs seek to recover from Defendants the following damages:

- a. actual damages;
- b. liquidated damages of an equal amount; and
- c. reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of Fair Labor Standards Act
29 U.S.C. § 207
(Failure to Pay Proper Overtime Wage)

36. Plaintiffs reallege each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs as if repeated here verbatim.

37. Pursuant to the terms of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, an employer must pay a nonexempt employee time and a half for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek.

38. Plaintiffs routinely worked more than forty (40) hours per week.

39. Plaintiffs were paid \$3.19 per hour for overtime hours as opposed to the correct Tip Credit overtime wage of \$5.76 per hour.

40. Without the benefit of the Tip Credit provision, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and all other similarly situated employees the proper amount for all hours worked over forty (40) hours in a workweek or overtime hours worked.

41. Defendants have violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207, in reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiffs.

42. As such, Plaintiffs seeks to recover from Defendants the following damages:

- a. actual damages;
- b. liquidated damages of an equal amount; and
- c. reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(South Carolina Payment of Wages Act)
(Individual and Class Action)

43. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated employees, reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth herein verbatim.

44. Each Defendant is an “employer” as defined by the South Carolina Payment of Wages Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 41-10-10(1).

45. Defendants employed Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs’ class within the State of South Carolina.

46. Plaintiffs worked for Defendants with the clear understanding and agreement with Defendants that their compensation would be consistent with all applicable laws, including state wage laws.

47. Plaintiffs had an employment agreement with Defendants whereby they would be paid wages for all hours worked.

48. SCPWA § 41-10-10(2) defines wages as “all amounts at which labor rendered is recompensed, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained on a time, task, piece, or commission basis, or other method of calculating the amount and includes vacation, holiday, and sick leave payments which are due to an employee under any employer policy or employment contract.”

49. Money received by Plaintiffs directly as tips, or amounts received from the Tip Pool, were “wages” as defined by SCPWA, § 41-10-10(2).

50. Pursuant to the SCPWA § 41-10-40(C), “[a]n employer shall not withhold or divert any portion of the employee’s wages unless the employer is required or permitted to do so by state or federal law.

51. Defendants illegally deducted amounts from the wages of Plaintiffs without proper

authorization.

52. Defendants owe Plaintiffs these tips that were illegally deducted from their wages.

53. Defendants actions were willful, and Defendants have no good faith reason why they took this action.

54. Pursuant to S.C. Code § 41-10-80(C), Plaintiffs and the members of the Plaintiffs' class are entitled to recover in this action an amount equal to three times the full amount of their deducted wages, as outlined above, plus costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

WHEREFORE, having fully set forth their allegations against Defendants, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter judgment for the following relief:

a. An order authorizing the sending of appropriate notice to current and former employees of Defendants who are putative members of the collective action, but have yet "opted-in," under the FLSA;

b. An order prohibiting Defendants from violating the FLSA, particularly the Tip Credit, in the future;

c. For Plaintiffs, under the first and second causes of actions:

- i. actual damages in an amount to be determined;
- ii. liquidated damages of an equal amount;

d. An order certifying a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to remedy the class-wide violations of the South Carolina Payment of Wages Act;

e. Actual damages in the amount of wages due under SCPWA;

f. Treble damages pursuant to SCPWA;

g. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;

h. Injunctive relief ordering Defendants to amend their wage and hour policies to comply with applicable federal and state laws; and

i. Such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Plaintiffs request a trial by jury.



Bruce E. Miller (Fed. Bar No. 3393)
Elisabeth A. Germain (Fed. Bar No. 12643)
BRUCE E. MILLER, P.A.
147 Wappoo Creek Drive, Suite 603
Charleston, SC 29412
T: 843.579.7373
F: 843.614.6417
bmiller@brucemillerlaw.com
bgermain@brucemillerlaw.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR KELLY CARLIN and
DANETTE TORRES, on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly situated**

CHARLESTON, SC

September 29, 2017

CONSENT TO JOIN LAWSUIT
Against Employers - Hudsons Seafood Corporation
d/b/a Hudson's Seafood House on the Docks
(Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b))

Please print in BLUE ink and return to us via email, fax, delivery, or U.S. mail. We must file this form with the court for you to join the lawsuit.

- 1. Name: Kelly Ann Coelin
- 2. *Address: 41 Sandy Pointe Dr Bluffton, SC, 29910
- 3. *Cell Phone: (501) 512-8216
- 4. *Email: Kellbellky@hotmail.com
- 5. Position(s) Worked: Server Rate of Pay: 2.13/hr
- 6. *Approximate* Dates of Employment (Begin and End): 02/16 - 07/17

- 7. I consent and agree to pursue claims arising out of my employment as an employee at Hudson's with this lawsuit. I hereby authorize Plaintiffs' attorney to file this Consent with the Clerk of Court and to represent me for all purposes in this action.
- 8. I also designate the Collective Action Representative(s) as my agent(s) to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, including the method and manner of conducting the litigation, entering into settlement agreements, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' Counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.

Date: 7/26/17 

**This information is for your attorneys only. It will be redacted when filed with the court.*

CONSENT TO JOIN LAWSUIT
Against Employers - Hudsons Seafood Corporation
d/b/a Hudson's Seafood House on the Docks
(Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b))

Please print in BLUE ink and return to us via email, fax, delivery, or U.S. mail. We must file this form with the court for you to join the lawsuit.

1. Name: Danette Torres

2. *Address: 5 Cassandra Lane #5A
Bluffton SC 29910

3. *Cell Phone: 678-446-0250

4. *Email: danatorres72@icloud.com

5. Position(s) Worked: Bartender / Serves Rate of Pay: \$5 / \$2.13

6. Approximate Dates of Employment (Begin and End): 8/2016 - 5/2017

7. I consent and agree to pursue claims arising out of my employment as an employee at Hudson's with this lawsuit. I hereby authorize Plaintiffs' attorney to file this Consent with the Clerk of Court and to represent me for all purposes in this action.

8. I also designate the Collective Action Representative(s) as my agent(s) to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, including the method and manner of conducting the litigation, entering into settlement agreements, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' Counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.

Date: 7-26-2017 Danette Torres

**This information is for your attorneys only. It will be redacted when filed with the court.*

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [Lawsuit: SC Seafood Restaurant on the Hook for Wage Violations](#)
