### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

ELSA	CAI	RDONA	A, on her	own
behalf	and	others	similarly	situated.

Plaintiff, v.	Case Number:	
LAKELAND REGIONAL HEALTH S	YSTEMS, INC.,	
Defendant.		

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 1. Plaintiff, was an employee of Defendant's, and brings this action for unpaid wages, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201-216 (the "FLSA").
- 2. Plaintiff worked as a laborer for Defendant and performed related, non-exempt activities for Defendant in Polk County, Florida.
- 3. Plaintiff was not paid overtime for all of the hours she worked beyond 40 in a single workweek.
- 4. Plaintiff was engaged by Defendant to work as a laborer more than three years prior to the institution of this action.
- 5. Plaintiff was to be paid an hourly wage. Plaintiff is not subject to any exemptions under the FLSA.
  - 6. Plaintiff did not supervise any subservient employees.
  - 7. Plaintiff worked for Defendant in Polk County, Florida.

- 8. Instead of paying overtime wages, Defendant circumvented the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff wages, though Plaintiff habitually worked up to 45 hours a week or more over the past two years prior to the institution of this action. Defendant automatically deducted lunch breaks even though Plaintiff often worked through lunch.
- 9. Plaintiff was not always paid time and a half for all hours worked over forty in any given week.
- 10. As of this date, Plaintiff has still not been paid the entirety of her wages and has not been compensated for the full extent of his damages and wage loss under the FLSA.
- 11. It is believed that there are similarly situated employees who were also not paid the full extent of their overtime at the correct rate of pay and who were also subject to the exact same unlawful pay practices, i.e. automatically deducting time for lunch even though employees often worked through lunch.
- 12. Plaintiff seeks full compensation, including liquidated damages because Defendant's conduct in automatically deducting an thirty minutes for lunch each day was a calculated attempt to extract more additional work out of Plaintiff for the benefit of Defendant's, as the expense of Plaintiff, who was being paid less than premium wages under the FLSA.
- 13. Defendant is a for profit corporation that operates and conducts business in, among others, Polk County, Florida, and is therefore, within the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 14. Defendant, at all relevant times to this amended complaint, was Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Plaintiff performed duties and responsibilities that involved commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce in the medical industry as Defendant operates as "Lakeland Regional Hospital" dealing with interstate customers and using computers

and credit cards and data transmission lines. This also would include using materials and other resources that do not originate within the State of Florida to operate a medical facility.

- 15. This action is brought under the FLSA to recover from Defendant, unpaid wages in the form of overtime wages, liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This action is intended to include each and every hourly employee who worked for Defendant at any time within the past three (3) years.
- 16. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims as all material events that transpired in Polk County, including those brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337 and the FLSA.
- 17. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203(s). Upon information and belief, including Plaintiff's experience with Defendant as well as the sheer size of Defendant's organization suggest that the Defendant are a multi-million-dollar operation that has considerable expertise in the real estate industry. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges that enterprise coverage is present in this case because Defendant has an annual volume of at least \$500,000.00 in revenue and has two or more employees that handle goods in commerce, including materials and supplies, whom also use telephones, fax machines and other instrumentalities of commerce.
- 18. At all material times relevant to this action, Plaintiff in her capacity as an employee was individually covered by the FLSA. This would include to doing hourly work as a laborer, without managerial responsibility. Plaintiff did not bear supervisory responsibility for any other employees. Plaintiff did not direct the hiring and firing of any employees. Plaintiff did not participate in the creation of budgets or maintain the production of sales nor did Plaintiff plan or control the budget of the Defendant's in any way. Plaintiff did not implement legal compliance measures.

- 19. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant failed to comply with 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, because Plaintiff performed services for Defendant for which no provisions was made by Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for all hours worked during her employment. Plaintiff worked over 40 hours per nearly every week during her employment with Defendant. The off the clock work that Plaintiff was directed to do was intentional and was designed to extract additional hours of labor out of Plaintiff for the benefit of the Defendant, who then refused to pay Plaintiff and those similarly situated premium wages. Notably, Defendant is in exclusive possession of the majority of relevant records in this case, including payroll records and schedules and other documentation that might reasonably assist Plaintiff with providing even greater specificity regarding the precise weeks that Plaintiff worked more than 40 hours. Plaintiff alleges that she routinely worked in excess of 40 hours per week, including time for which Defendant made no provisions to properly record.
- 20. Defendant failed, refused and/or neglected to keep accurate time records pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 211(c) of Plaintiff's, and others similarly situated to her, true hours of work.

#### **COUNT I – RECOVERY OVERTIME WAGES COMPENSATION**

- 21. Plaintiff reincorporates and readopts all allegations contained within Paragraphs 1-20, above.
- 22. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, are/were entitled to be paid their regular rate of pay for each hour worked per work week as well as premium wages for those hours worked over forty. During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, regularly worked hours for each week in which they were not paid at the correct rate of pay. In Plaintiff's case, he routinely performed labor, at Defendant's specific request for the sole benefit of Defendant, and was not paid for the hours she worked.

23. As a result of Defendant's intentional, willful, and unlawful acts in refusing to pay

Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, their correct premium rate of pay for each hour worked

beyond 40 in one or more work weeks, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated to her, have suffered

damages plus incurring reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

24. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the FLSA, Plaintiff, and those similarly

situated to her, are entitled to payment of the unpaid wages under Florida law, as well as liquidated

damages under the FLSA.

25. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant, including, but not limited

to, reimbursement of an amount equal to the loss of wages and liquidated damages, together with

costs and attorney's fees pursuant to the FLSA, and such other further relief as this Court deems

just and proper.

DATED this 7th day of November, 2016,

/s/ W. John Gadd

W. John Gadd

Fl Bar Number 463061

**Bank of America Building** 

2727 Ulmerton Rd. Ste. 250

Clearwater, FL 33762

Tel - (727)524-6300

Email – wjg@mazgadd.com

/S/ Kyle J. Lee

Kyle J. Lee, Esq.

FLBN: 105321

LEE LAW, PLLC

P.O. Box 4476

Brandon, FL 33509-4476

Telephone: (813) 343-2813

Kyle@KyleLeeLaw.com

5

## Case 8:16-cv-03172-JSM-TGW Document 1-1 Filed 11/14/16 Page 1 of 1 PageID 6 CIVIL COVER SHEET

provided by local rules of cour purpose of initiating the civil d	t. This form, approved by tocket sheet. ISEE INSTRUC	he Judicial Conference of t TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF I	he United States in September 1 IHS FORM)	974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
l. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS  LAKELAND REGIONAL HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC.,		
ELSA CARDONA on her behalf and others similar			LAKELAND REGIO			
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Polk  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (It Known)			
w. ១៤៤ ខេត្ត មនុស្ស 2727 Ulmerton Rd., Suite Clearwater,FL 33762		•	UNKNOWN			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in G	II Inc Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place on "X" in One Box for Plat and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	yent 3 Federal Question  (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Pi	TF DEF Theorem of Business In T	PTF DEF rincipal Place 🗇 4 🕳 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity Andicate Citizenship of Parties in Hem III)		ip of Parties in Hem III)		2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Fereign Country	3	១6 36	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Forcelosure □ 230 Rent Leave Æ Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplanc ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care: Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  3510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  3550 Civil Rights  355 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Continement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY  ☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other  LABOR  ★ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 729 Labor:Management Relations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 465 Other lumnigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY  □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158  □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY  □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC (DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Tule XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plauntiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATIUTES  3 75 False Claims Act 376 Out Tam (31 USC 3729(a))  400 State Reapportionment  410 Antitrest  430 Banks and Banking  450 Commerce  460 Deportation  470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit  490 Cable/Sat TV  850 Securities Commodities Exchange  890 Other Statutory Actions  841 Agricultural Acts  893 Environmental Matters  895 Freedom of Information Act  896 Arbitration  899 Administrative Procedur Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from J 3 the Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Str. 29 USC 201-216	Appellate Court itute under which you are t	Reinstated or 3 5 Transfe Reopened Anothe (specify) Ilmg (Do not cite jurisdictional state	r District Litigation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : X Yes	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions)	JUDGE /	101/11 X	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 11/08/2016		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	REFOR BECORD			

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING 1FP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

# **ClassAction.org**

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: FLSA Class Action Filed Against Lakeland Regional Health Systems