

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CAMP DRUG STORE, INC., an Illinois Corporation, individually and as the representative of a class of similarly-situated persons,)	
)	
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.
)	
v.)	CLASS ACTION
)	
ANTHONY WAYNE THOMPSON, d/b/a/ AmpleMedical and AmpleMedical.com,)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Camp Drug Store, Inc. brings this action on behalf of itself and all other persons similarly situated and, except for those allegations pertaining to Plaintiff or its attorneys, which are based upon personal knowledge, allege the following upon information and belief against Defendant Anthony Wayne Thompson, d/b/a AmpleMedical and amplemedical.com:

1. Defendant has sent advertisements by facsimile in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, and the regulations of the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) has prescribed thereunder, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 (collectively, the “TCPA”).

2. Defendant sent Plaintiff at least one advertisement by facsimile and in violation of the TCPA. Exhibit A. Plaintiff did not expressly consent to receive Defendant’s advertisement by fax. Moreover, Plaintiff does not have an established business relationship with Defendant and Defendant’s fax (Exhibit A) does not

contain an opt-out notice that complies with the TCPA.

3. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant on behalf of a class of all persons or entities that Defendant sent one or more telephone facsimile messages (“faxes”) offering insulin and diabetic test strips and meters, seeking statutory damages for each violation of the TCPA, trebling of the statutory damages if the Court determines Defendant’s violations were knowing or willful, injunctive relief, compensation and attorney fees (under the conversion count), and all other relief the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

4. Defendant’s unsolicited faxes damaged Plaintiff and the other class members. Unsolicited faxes tie up the telephone lines, prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized outgoing faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipients’ fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message. The recipient of a “junk” fax transmission loses the use of its fax machine, and many lose their paper and ink toner in printing the fax. Such an unsolicited fax interrupts the recipient’s privacy. A junk fax wastes the recipient’s valuable time that would have been spent on something else.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

5. Plaintiff, Camp Drug Store, Inc., is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Wood River, Illinois.

6. On information and belief, Anthony Wayne Thompson is an individual residing in Coral Springs, Broward County, Florida. He does business under the

names “AmpleMedical” and “amplemedical.com,” and holds himself out as the “President” of AmpleMedical.

7. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. § 227.

8. Personal jurisdiction exists over Defendant in Florida because Defendant has transacted business and committed tortious acts within the State.

9. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida, because Defendant committed statutory torts within this District and a significant portion of the events took place here.

FACTS

10. Under the name “AmpleMedical” and the website “amplemedical.com,” Defendant Thompson runs a for-profit business marketing medical products and services, including insulin and diabetic test strips and meters.

11. Defendant sent advertisements by facsimile to Plaintiff and a class of similarly-situated persons. Defendant is directly liable for violating the TCPA. On information and belief, Defendant used the services of a fax broadcaster, WestFax, Inc., to physically send the faxes.

12. Plaintiff has received at least one of Defendant’s advertisements by facsimile. A true and correct copy of the fax Plaintiff received on May 2, 2018 is attached as Exhibit A.

13. Exhibit A is a one-page document Defendant sent by fax promoting the sale of the following goods and services:

- a. “One Touch Verio” test strips, a product of LifeScan, Inc., a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary;
- b. Novolin insulin, a product of Novo-Nordisk, Inc;
- c. Freestyle Lite test strips, lancets and meters, products of Abbott Laboratories;
- d. Accu-Chek meters and test strips, products of Roche Diagnostics, a division of the Roche Group.

14. Exhibit A provides pricing information for the listed products, and offers a “free gift and free shipping for orders exceeding \$400.”

15. Exhibit A contains AmpleMedical’s name and logo, and provides fax, telephone and email contact information for ordering products.

16. Exhibit A does not include a clear, conspicuous, legible opt-out notice as required by the TCPA. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (2) (D) & (E) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 (a) (4) (iii) & (v).

17. On information and belief, Defendant sent advertisements by facsimile to Plaintiff and more than 39 other persons in violation of the TCPA.

18. Plaintiff and the other class members owe no obligation to protect their fax machines from Defendant. Their fax machines are ready to send and receive their urgent communications, or private communications about patients’ medical needs, not to receive Defendant’s unlawful advertisements.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

19. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action on behalf of itself and all

others similarly situated as members of a class, initially defined as follows:

Each person or entity that was sent one or more telephone facsimile messages (“faxes”) on or after May 8, 2014 from AmpleMedical offering a diabetic medical products or services.

Plaintiff anticipates modifying the proposed class definition—including proposing subclasses if appropriate—after discovery about the scope of Defendant’s fax advertising practices as well as discovery as to any potential affirmative defenses Defendant may plead.

20. Excluded from the class are Defendant, Defendant’s legal representatives, employees, heirs, successors, and assigns, any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest, any parent, subsidiary or affiliated company of Defendant, and any Judge assigned to this action, including his or her immediate family.

21. In this action, Plaintiff intends to discover, include, and resolve the merits of claims about all advertisements Defendant sent by fax.

22. Exhibit B is a Demand to Defendant for Preservation of All Tangible Documents Including Electronically Stored Information.

Exhibit C is a Demand to Defendant’s fax broadcaster, WestFax, Inc., for Preservation of All Tangible Documents including Electronically Stored Information.

23. This action is brought and may properly be maintained as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. This action satisfies Rule 23 (a)’s numerosity, commonality, typicality, and adequacy requirements. Furthermore, the questions of law or fact that are common in this action predominate over any individual

questions of law or fact making class representation the superior method to adjudicate this controversy under Rule 23 (b) (3).

24. **Numerosity/impracticality of joinder.** On information and belief, the class consists of more than 39 persons and, thus, is so numerous that individual joinder of each member is impracticable. The precise number of class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff, but will be obtained from Defendant's records or the records of third parties.

25. **Commonality and predominance.** There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of law and fact that predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from one class member to another, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any class member, include, but are not limited to the following:

- a. Whether Exhibit A and other yet-to-be-discovered facsimiles sent by or on behalf of Defendant advertised the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods or services;
- b. Whether Defendant was the sender of advertisements by facsimile promoting the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services;
- c. The manner and method used to compile or obtain the list(s) of fax numbers to which Defendant sent fax advertisements;
- d. Whether the Court should award statutory damages to Plaintiff

and the other class members:

e. If the Court finds that Defendant willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA, whether the Court should exercise its discretion to increase the amount of the statutory damages award to an amount equal to not more than three times the amount;

f. Whether the Court should enjoin Defendant from faxing advertisements in the future; and

g. Whether Defendant's conduct as alleged herein constituted conversion.

26. **Typicality of claims.** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other class members, because Plaintiff and all class members were injured by the same wrongful practices. Plaintiff and the members of the class were sent Defendant's advertisements by facsimile and those advertisements did not contain the opt-out notice required by the TCPA. Under the facts of this case, because the focus is upon Defendant's conduct, if Plaintiff prevails on its claims, then the other putative class members will prevail as well.

27. **Adequacy of representation.** Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because its interests do not conflict with the interests of the class it seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained undersigned counsel, who are competent and experienced in complex class action litigation, and in TCPA litigation in particular, and Plaintiff intends to vigorously prosecute this action. Plaintiff and its counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interest of members of the class.

28. **Prosecution of separate claims would yield inconsistent results.** Even though the questions of fact and law in this action are predominantly common to Plaintiff and the putative class members, separate adjudication of each class member's claims would yield inconsistent and varying adjudications. Such inconsistent rulings would create incompatible standards for Defendant to operate under if/when class members bring additional lawsuits concerning the same unsolicited fax advertisements or if Defendant chooses to advertise by fax again in the future.

29. **A class action is the superior method of adjudicating the common questions of law or fact that predominate over individual questions.** A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit, because individual litigation of the claims of all class members is economically unfeasible and procedurally impracticable. The likelihood of individual class members prosecuting separate claims is remote, and even if every class member could afford individual litigation, the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. Relief concerning Plaintiff's rights under the laws herein alleged and with respect to the class would be proper. Plaintiff envisions no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

COUNT I
TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227

30. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set

forth herein.

31. Plaintiff brings Count I on behalf of itself and a class of similarly situated persons against Defendant.

32. The TCPA prohibits the “use of any telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send an unsolicited advertisement to a telephone facsimile machine....” 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (1).

33. The TCPA defines “unsolicited advertisement” as “any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person’s express invitation or permission.” 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a) (4).

34. The TCPA provides a private right of action as follows:

3. Private right of action. A person may, if otherwise permitted by the laws or rules of court of a state, bring in an appropriate court of that state:

(A) An action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation,

(B) An action to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or

(C) Both such actions.

47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (3).

35. The Court, in its discretion, may treble the statutory damages if it determines that a violation was knowing or willful. 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (3).

36. The TCPA requires that every advertisement sent by facsimile must

include an opt-out notice clearly and conspicuously displayed on the bottom of its first page. 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (2) (D) and (E); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 (a) (4).

37. Here, Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (1) (C) by sending an advertisement by facsimile (such as Exhibit A) to Plaintiff and the other class members without their prior express invitation or permission.

38. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (2) (D) and (E) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 (a) (4) (iii) & (v) by failing to include a compliant opt-out notice. Exhibit A.

39. Facsimile advertising imposes burdens on recipients that are distinct from the burdens imposed by other types of advertising. The required opt-out notice provides recipients the necessary information to opt-out of future fax transmissions, including a notice that the sender's failure to comply with the opt-out request will be unlawful. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 (a) (4) (iii).

40. Exhibit A does not contain an opt-out notice that is legible, clear or conspicuous.

41. Exhibit A does not state that Defendant's failure to comply with an opt-out request within 30 days is unlawful.

42. Exhibit A does not inform the recipient that he/she/it has a legal right to request that Defendant not send any future fax.

43. Exhibit A does not inform the recipient that the opt-out request will be valid only unless and until the person making the request subsequently provides express invitation or permission to the sender, in writing or otherwise, to send such advertisement to such person at such telephone facsimile machine.

44. The TCPA is a strict liability statute and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff and the other class members even if Defendant's actions were negligent. 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (3).

45. Even if Defendant did not intend to injure Plaintiff and the other class members, did not intend to violate their privacy, and did not intend to waste their valuable time with Defendant's advertisements, those facts are irrelevant because the TCPA is a strict liability statute.

46. If Defendant's actions were knowing or willful, then the Court has the discretion to increase the statutory damages up to 3 times the amount. 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b) (3).

47. Defendant is liable for the fax advertisements at issue because it sent the faxes, caused the faxes to be sent, participated in the activity giving rise to or constituting the violation, or the faxes were sent on his behalf.

48. Defendant's actions damaged Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving Defendant's junk faxes caused the recipients to lose paper and toner consumed in the printing of Defendant's faxes. Defendant used the fax machines of Plaintiff and the other class members. The subject faxes wasted Plaintiff's valuable time; time that otherwise would have been spent on Plaintiff's business activities. Defendant's faxes unlawfully interrupted Plaintiff and the other class members' privacy interests in being left alone. Finally, the injury and property damage sustained by Plaintiff and the other class members from the sending of unlawful fax advertisements occurred outside Defendant's premises.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in its favor and against Defendant as follows:

A. That the Court adjudge and decree that the present case may be properly maintained as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;

B. That the Court award \$500.00 in statutory damages for each of Defendant's violations of the TCPA;

C. That, if it finds Defendant willfully or knowingly violated the TCPA, the Court exercise its discretion to increase the amount of the statutory damages award to an amount equal to not more than 3 times the amount (Plaintiff requests trebling);

D. That the Court enter an injunction prohibiting Defendant from violating the TCPA; and

E. That the Court award costs and such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II
CONVERSION

49. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

50. Plaintiff brings Count II on behalf of itself and a class of similarly situated persons and against Defendant.

51. By sending advertisements to their fax machines, Defendant improperly and unlawfully converted the class's fax machines to Defendant's own

use. Where printed (as in Plaintiff's case), Defendant also improperly and unlawfully converted the class members' paper and toner to Defendant's own use. Defendant also converted Plaintiff's time to Defendant's own use, as it did with the valuable time of the other class members.

52. Immediately prior to the sending of the unsolicited faxes, Plaintiff and the other class members each owned an unqualified and immediate right to possession of their fax machines, paper, toner, and employee time.

53. By sending them unsolicited faxes, Defendant permanently misappropriated the class members' fax machines, toner, paper, and employee time to their own use. Such misappropriation was wrongful and without authorization.

54. Defendant knew or should have known that their misappropriation of paper, toner, and employee time was wrongful and without authorization.

55. Plaintiff and the other class members were deprived of the use of the fax machines, paper, toner, and employee time, which could no longer be used for any other purpose. Plaintiff and each class member thereby suffered damages as a result of their receipt of unsolicited fax advertisements from Defendant.

56. Defendant's unsolicited faxes effectively stole Plaintiff's employees' time because persons employed by Plaintiff were involved in receiving, routing, and reviewing Defendant's illegal faxes. Defendant knew or should have known employees' time is valuable to Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment in its favor and against Defendant as follows:

- A. That the Court adjudge and decree that the present case may be properly maintained as a class action, appoint Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appoint Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;
- B. That the Court award appropriate damages;
- C. That the Court award punitive damages;
- D. That the Court award attorney's fees;
- E. That the Court award costs of suit; and
- F. That the Court award such further relief as it may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

May 8, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

CAMP DRUG STORE, INC., individually
and as the representatives of a class of
similarly-situated persons,

By: /s/ Phillip A. Bock

Phillip A. Bock (FL 93985)
Bock, Hatch, Lewis & Oppenheim
134 N. La Salle St., Ste. 1000
Chicago, IL 60602
Telephone: 312-658-5500
Facsimile: 312-658-5555
phil@classlawyers.com

Contact:	_____
Business:	_____
Phone:	_____
Fax:	_____

Fax Order to: 866-954-1957
 Or Call: 866-991-1946
 Or Email: Anthony@amplemedical.com
 Steve@AmpleMedical.com



**One Touch Verio 100s !!!
 BIG 'OL SALE!!!**

Test Strips:	QTY
100ct	\$44.95
50ct	\$27.99
50ct NFR	\$24.99
Delira 33G	\$7.95
Delira 30G	\$6.95



**Free Gift and Free Shipping
 for Orders Exceeding \$400 !!!**


Choose 1 Gift:

- * Marpac White Noise Machine
- * Homedics Sound Spa Rejuvenate
- * BioFreeze 4 oz Tube
- * PenetranPlus Pain Relief Lotion 2.5 FL Oz

*Promo is for Free Ground Shipping
 *Only 1 gift per order

Novolin Insulin \$37.95

Buy 40+ Get a \$50.00 Visa Gift Card!
 Buy 100+ Get A \$50 Gift Card and 10% OFF!!!
 The 10% discount does not include Novolin Insulin only. Discounted prices apply.




QTY	
70/30	_____
N	_____
R	_____

*Only 1 gift per order
 All insulin orders require a minimum of 8 vials


Accu-Check Aviva Plus Meter \$14.99

Test Strips:	QTY
100ct	\$89.99
50ct	\$42.50
50ct NFR	\$37.50



**Accu-Check Nano Meter \$14.99 !!!
 Smartview**

Test Strips:	QTY
100ct	\$89.99
50ct	\$42.50
50ct NFR	\$37.50



QTY

Freestyle Lite 50	\$42.50
Freestyle Lite 100	\$72.50
Freestyle Lite Meter	\$19.99
Freestyle Lancelts	\$ 6.95
Freestyle 100	\$74.00
Freestyle 50	\$39.99
Omniponds (10)	\$275.00



Or Out - You feel you have received this fax, please remove - Please write Remove - Fax Number then Fax to 866-954-1957 OR Call 866-954-1957
 Or You call to 866-954-1957 - Fax Number to 866-954-1957 - AmpleMedical.com - Faxing to our fax line is your responsibility - All orders are subject to availability

BOCK, HATCH, LEWIS & OPPENHEIM, LLC

134 North La Salle Street, Suite 1000

Chicago, IL 60602

312-658-5500 (Phone) • 312-658-5555 (Fax)

May 8, 2018

Anthony Wayne Thompson
5575 NW 60th Dr.
Coral Springs, FL 33067-2720

In re: *Camp Drug Store, Inc. v. Anthony Wayne Thompson, d/b/a AmpleMedical and AmpleMedical.com.*; U.S.D.C., Southern District of Florida

Demand for Preservation of All Tangible Documents Including Electronically Stored Information

As part of the Class Action Complaint against Anthony Wayne Thompson d/b/a AmpleMedical and AmpleMedical.com (“Defendant”), plaintiff, Camp Drug Store, Inc. (“Plaintiff”), hereby issues a demand for Defendant to preserve all tangible documents, including electronically stored information.

As used in this document, “you” and “your” refers to each Defendant, and his predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, divisions or affiliates, and his respective officers, directors, agents, attorneys, accountants, employees, partners or other persons occupying similar positions or performing similar functions.

You should anticipate that much of the information subject to disclosure or responsive to discovery in this matter is stored on your current and former computer systems and other media and devices (including personal digital assistants, voice-messaging systems, online repositories and cell phones).

Electronically stored information (hereinafter “ESI”) should be afforded the broadest possible definition and includes (by way of example and not as an exclusive list) potentially relevant information electronically, magnetically or optically stored as:

- Digital communications (e.g., e-mail, voice mail, instant messaging);
- Word processed documents (e.g., Word or WordPerfect documents and drafts);
- Spreadsheets and tables (e.g., Excel or Lotus 123 worksheets);
- Accounting Application Data (e.g., QuickBooks, Money, Peachtree data files);
- Image and Facsimile Files (e.g., .PDF, .TIFF, .JPG, .GIF images);

- Sound Recordings (e.g., .WAV and .MP3 files);
- Video and Animation (e.g., .AVI and .MOV files);
- Databases (e.g., Access, Oracle, SQL Server data, SAP);
- Contact and Relationship Management Data (e.g., Outlook, ACT!);
- Calendar and Diary Application Data (e.g., Outlook PST, Yahoo, blog tools);
- Online Access Data (e.g., Temporary Internet Files, History, Cookies);
- Presentations (e.g., PowerPoint, Corel Presentations)
- Network Access and Server Activity Logs;
- Project Management Application Data;
- Computer Aided Design/Drawing Files; and,
- Back Up and Archival Files (e.g., Zip, .GHO)

ESI resides not only in areas of electronic, magnetic and optical storage media reasonably accessible to you, but also in areas you may deem not reasonably accessible. You are obliged to preserve potentially relevant evidence from both these sources of ESI, even if you do not anticipate producing such ESI.

The demand that you preserve both accessible and inaccessible ESI is reasonable and necessary. Pursuant to amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that have been approved by the United States Supreme Court (eff. 12/1/05), you must identify all sources of ESI you decline to produce and demonstrate to the court why such sources are not reasonably accessible. For good cause shown, the court may then order production of the ESI, even if it finds that it is not reasonably accessible. Accordingly, even ESI that you deem reasonably inaccessible must be preserved in the interim so as not to deprive the plaintiffs of their right to secure the evidence or the Court of its right to adjudicate the issue.

A. Preservation Requires Immediate Intervention

You must act immediately to preserve potentially relevant ESI regarding the time period of May 2014 to the date You receive this letter. Potentially relevant ESI includes, but is not limited to information:

1. Regarding the events and causes of action described in Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint; and
2. Regarding Your claims or defenses to Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint.

Adequate preservation of ESI requires more than simply refraining from efforts to destroy or dispose of such evidence. You must also intervene to prevent loss due to routine operations and employ proper techniques and protocols suited to protection of ESI. Be advised that sources of ESI are altered and erased by continued use of your computers and other devices. Booting a drive, examining its contents or running any application will irretrievably alter the evidence it contains and may constitute unlawful spoliation of evidence. Consequently, alteration and

erasure may result from your failure to act diligently and responsibly to prevent loss or corruption of ESI. Nothing in this demand for preservation of ESI should be understood to diminish your concurrent obligation to preserve document, tangible things and other potentially relevant evidence.

B. Suspension of Routine Destruction

You are directed to immediately initiate a litigation hold for potentially relevant ESI, documents and tangible things, and to act diligently and in good faith to secure and audit compliance with such litigation hold. You are further directed to immediately identify and modify or suspend features of your information systems and devices that, in routine operation, operate to cause the loss of potentially relevant ESI. Examples of such features and operations include:

- Purging the contents of e-mail repositories by age, capacity or other criteria;
- Using data or media wiping, disposal, erasure or encryption utilities or devices;
- Overwriting, erasing, destroying or discarding back up media;
- Re-assigning, re-imaging or disposing of systems, servers, devices or media;
- Running antivirus or other programs effecting wholesale metadata alteration;
- Releasing or purging online storage repositories;
- Using metadata stripper utilities;
- Disabling server or IM logging; and,
- Executing drive or file defragmentation or compression programs.

C. Guard Against Deletion

You should anticipate that your employees, officers or others may seek to hide, destroy or alter ESI and act to prevent or guard against such actions. Especially where company machines have been used for Internet access or personal communications, you should anticipate that users may seek to delete or destroy information they regard as personal, confidential or embarrassing and, in so doing, may also delete or destroy potentially relevant ESI. This concern is not one unique to you or your employees and officers. It's simply an event that occurs with such regularity in electronic discovery efforts that any custodian of ESI and their counsel are obliged to anticipate and guard against its occurrence.

D. Preservation by Imaging

You should take affirmative steps to prevent anyone with access to your data, systems and archives from seeking to modify, destroy or hide electronic evidence on network or local hard drives (such as by deleting or overwriting files, using data shredding and overwriting applications, defragmentation, re-imaging or replacing drives, encryption, compression, steganography or the like). With respect to local hard drives, one way to protect existing data on local hard drives is by the creation

and authentication of a forensically qualified image of all sectors of the drive. Such a forensically qualified duplicate may also be called a bitstream image or clone of the drive. Be advised that a conventional back up of a hard drive is not a forensically qualified image because it only captures active, unlocked data files and fails to preserve forensically significant data that may exist in such areas as unallocated space, slack space and the swap file.

With respect to the hard drives and storage devices of each of the persons named below and of each person acting in the capacity or holding the job title named below, as well as each other person likely to have information pertaining to the instant action on their computer hard drive(s), demand is made that you immediately obtain, authenticate and preserve forensically qualified images of the hard drives in any computer system (including portable and home computers) used by that person during the period from February 2011 to today's date as well as recording and preserving the system time and date of each such computer.

Once obtained, each such forensically qualified image should be labeled to identify the date of acquisition, the person or entity acquiring the image and the system and medium from which it was obtained. Each such image should be preserved without alteration.

E. Preservation in Native Form

You should anticipate that certain ESI, including but not limited to spreadsheets and databases, will be sought in the form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained. Accordingly, you should preserve ESI in such native forms, and you should not select methods to preserve ESI that remove or degrade the ability to search your ESI by electronic means or make it difficult or burdensome to access or use the information efficiently in the litigation. You should additionally refrain from actions that shift ESI from reasonably accessible media and forms to less accessible media and forms if the effect of such actions is to make such ESI not reasonably accessible.

F. Metadata

You should further anticipate the need to disclose and produce system and application metadata and act to preserve it. System metadata is information describing the history and characteristics of other ESI. This information is typically associated with tracking or managing an electronic file and often includes data reflecting a file's name, size, custodian, location and dates of creation and last modification or access. Application metadata is information automatically included or embedded in electronic files but which may not be apparent to a user, including deleted content, draft language, commentary, collaboration and distribution data and dates of creation and printing. Be advised that metadata may be overwritten or

corrupted by careless handling or improper steps to preserve ESI. For electronic mail, metadata includes all header routing data and Base 64 encoded attachment data, in addition to the To, From, Subject, Received Date, CC and BCC fields.

G. Servers

With respect to servers like those used to manage electronic mail (e.g., Microsoft Exchange, Lotus Domino) or network storage (often called a user's "network share"), the complete contents of each user's network share and e-mail account should be preserved. There are several ways to preserve the contents of a server depending upon, e.g., its RAID configuration and whether it can be downed or must be online 24/7. If you question whether the preservation method you pursue is one that we will accept as sufficient, please call to discuss it.

H. Home Systems, Laptops, Online Accounts and Other ESI Venues

Though we expect that you will act swiftly to preserve data on office workstations and servers, you should also determine if any home or portable systems may contain potentially relevant data. To the extent that officers, board members or employees have sent or received potentially relevant e-mails or created or reviewed potentially relevant documents away from the office, you must preserve the contents of systems, devices and media used for these purposes (including not only potentially relevant data from portable and home computers, but also from portable thumb drives, CD-R disks and the user's PDA, smart phone, voice mailbox or other forms of ESI storage.). Similarly, if employees, officers or board members used online or browser-based email accounts or services (such as AOL, Gmail, Yahoo Mail or the like) to send or receive potentially relevant messages and attachments, the contents of these account mailboxes (including Sent, Deleted and Archived Message folders) should be preserved.

I. Ancillary Preservation

You must preserve documents and other tangible items that may be required to access, interpret or search potentially relevant ESI, including logs, control sheets, specifications, indices, naming protocols, file lists, network diagrams, flow charts, instruction sheets, data entry forms, abbreviation keys, user ID and password rosters or the like.

You must preserve any passwords, keys or other authenticators required to access encrypted files or run applications, along with the installation disks, user manuals and license keys for applications required to access the ESI. You must preserve any cabling, drivers and hardware, other than a standard 3.5" floppy disk drive or standard CD or DVD optical disk drive, if needed to access or interpret

media on which ESI is stored. This includes tape drives, bar code readers, Zip drives and other legacy or proprietary devices.

J. Paper Preservation of ESI is Inadequate

As hard copies do not preserve electronic searchability or metadata, they are not an adequate substitute for, or cumulative of, electronically stored versions. If information exists in both electronic and paper forms, you should preserve both forms.

K. Agents, Attorneys and Third Parties

Your preservation obligation extends beyond ESI in your care, possession or custody and includes ESI in the custody of others that is subject to your direction or control. Accordingly, you must notify any current or former agent, attorney, employee, custodian or contractor in possession of potentially relevant ESI, including but not limited to persons/entities involved in marketing, advertising, and fax broadcasting on your behalf, to preserve such ESI to the full extent of your obligation to do so, and you must take reasonable steps to secure their compliance.

L. System Sequestration or Forensically Sound Imaging

We suggest that, with respect to Defendants removing their ESI systems, media and devices from service and properly sequestering and protecting them may be an appropriate and cost-effective preservation step. In the event you deem it impractical to sequester systems, media and devices, we believe that the breadth of preservation required, coupled with the modest number of systems implicated, dictates that forensically sound imaging of the systems, media and devices is expedient and cost effective. As we anticipate the need for forensic examination of one or more of the systems and the presence of relevant evidence in forensically accessible areas of the drives, we demand that you employ forensically sound ESI preservation methods. Failure to use such methods poses a significant threat of spoliation and data loss.

By “forensically sound,” we mean duplication, for purposes of preservation, of all data stored on the evidence media while employing a proper chain of custody and using tools and methods that make no changes to the evidence and support authentication of the duplicate as a true and complete bit-for-bit image of the original. A forensically sound preservation method guards against changes to metadata evidence and preserves all parts of the electronic evidence, including the so-called “unallocated clusters,” holding deleted files.

M. Preservation Protocols

We are desirous of working with you to agree upon an acceptable protocol for forensically sound preservation and can supply a suitable protocol, if you will furnish an inventory of the systems and media to be preserved. Else, if you will promptly disclose the preservation protocol you intend to employ, perhaps we can identify any points of disagreement and resolve them. A successful and compliant ESI preservation effort requires expertise. If you do not currently have such expertise at your disposal, we urge you to engage the services of an expert in electronic evidence and computer forensics. Perhaps our respective expert(s) can work cooperatively to secure a balance between evidence preservation and burden that's fair to both sides and acceptable to the Court.

N. Do Not Delay Preservation

I'm available to discuss reasonable preservation steps; however, you should not defer preservation steps pending such discussions if ESI may be lost or corrupted as a consequence of delay. Should your failure to preserve potentially relevant evidence result in the corruption, loss or delay in production of evidence to which we are entitled, such failure would constitute spoliation of evidence, and we will not hesitate to seek sanctions.

O. Confirmation of Compliance

Please confirm that you have taken the steps outlined in this letter to preserve ESI and tangible documents potentially relevant to this action. If you have not undertaken the steps outlined above, or have taken other actions, please describe what you have done to preserve potentially relevant evidence.

Respectfully,

Phillip A. Bock
Bock, Hatch, Lewis & Oppenheim, LLC
134 N. LaSalle St., Suite 1000
Chicago, IL 60602
312-658-5515 (direct)
phil@classlawyers.com

BOCK, HATCH, LEWIS & OPPENHEIM, LLC

134 North La Salle Street, Suite 1000

Chicago, IL 60602

312-658-5500 (Phone) • 312-658-5555 (Fax)

May 8, 2018

Via Federal Express and Email

Westfax, Inc.
9200 E Mineral Ave.
Suite 275
Centennial, CO 80112

**Re: Camp Drug Store, Inc. v Anthony W. Thompson d/b/a AmpleMedical
and AmpleMedical.com; U.S.D.C. for the Southern District of Florida**

We represent Camp Drug Store, Inc. in the above captioned case. Plaintiff alleges that Anthony W. Thompson, d/b/a AmpleMedical and amplemedical.com sent unsolicited advertisements by fax in violation of the TCPA. We believe that the defendants contracted with WestFax to provide fax transmission services. One of those faxes was sent as recently as **May 2, 2018**. A copy is enclosed.

It is our understanding that WestFax automatically deletes transmission reports as early as two weeks after transmission of faxes on behalf of its clients.

We consider electronic data to be a valuable and irreplaceable source of discovery and evidence in this matter. The laws and rules prohibiting the destruction of evidence apply to electronic data with the same force as they apply to other kinds of evidence.

Please provide a copy of this letter to the person or persons whose job responsibilities cover the matters below:

1. Electronic Data to be Preserved

The following types of electronic data possessed, created, or maintained by you or your subsidiaries, agents, contractors, and/or client(s) should be preserved, in accordance with the steps set for the items below:

- a. All electronic mail and information about electronic mail (including message contents, header information, and logs of

electronic mail systems usage) sent or received by anyone relating to Defendants;

- b. All other electronic mail and information about electronic mail (including message contents, header information, and logs of electronic mail systems usage) containing information about Defendants or work performed by Westfax for defendants;
- c. All databases (including all records and field structural information in such databases) containing any reference to and/or information about defendants or defendants' intended fax recipients;
- d. All data, records, or logs of faxing activity (including all reports indicating success or failure of attempted transmission) containing information about defendants and/or defendants' intended fax recipients;
- e. All word processing files and file fragments containing information about Defendants;
- f. All electronic data files and file fragments created by application programs that process financial, accounting, and billing information related to Defendants;
- g. All files and file fragments containing information from electronic calendars and scheduling programs regarding Defendants and/or fax transmissions sent on behalf of Defendants;
- h. All electronic data files and file fragments created or used via electronic spreadsheet programs where such data files contain information about Defendants and/or Defendants' intended fax recipients, including files and file fragments created by Defendants and transmitted to Westfax, its agents, subsidiaries, and/or contractors;
- i. All other electronic data containing information about Defendants; and
- j. All electronic mail from third party sources that may contain references or correspondence relating to Defendants.

2. On-Line Data Storage on Mainframes, Mini-computers, PCs, and Laptops:

With regard to online storage and/or direct access storage devices attached to your company mainframe and/or mini-computers, PCs, and laptops, do not modify or delete any electronic data files existing at the time of this letter's delivery, which meet the criteria set forth above, unless a true and correct copy of each such electronic file has been made and steps have been taken to assure that such copy will be preserved and accessible for purposes of this litigation. The copy should be an exact mirror ("bit stream") image.

3. Off-Line Data Storage, Backups, and Archives, Floppy Diskettes, Removable Drives, Zip Drives and Zip Files, Tapes, Compact Diskettes, Hand Held Devices, and other Removable Electronic Media:

With regard to all electronic media used for off-line storage, including all removable electronic media used for data storage for Westfax or any Westfax employee, subsidiary, contractor, or agent, stop any activity which may result in the loss of such electronic data, including the rotation, destruction, overwriting, and/or erasure of such media in whole or in part.

With regard to electronic data meeting the criteria listed above, which exists or existed on fixed drives attached to stand alone microcomputers and/or network workstations at the time of this letter's delivery, do not alter or erase electronic data and do not perform other procedures (such as data compression and disk re-fragmentation or optimization routines) which may impact such data, unless a true and correct "bit stream" copy has been made of such active files and of completely restored versions of such deleted electronic files and file fragments, copies have been made of all directory listings (including hidden files) for all directories and subdirectories containing such files, and arrangements have been made to preserve such copies during the pendency of this litigation.

4. Cloud Based Data Storage, including any File Transfer Protocol Host Account or Server Storage, whether provided by a server owned or maintained by Westfax, subsidiaries, agents, and/or contractors, maintained by a company on behalf of Westfax, or shared by Westfax and any of the Defendants:

With regard to all cloud based data storage for Westfax or any Westfax employee, subsidiary, contractor, or agent, stop any activity which may result in the loss of such electronic data, including the rotation, destruction, overwriting, and/or erasure of such media in whole or in part, unless a true and correct copy of each such electronic file has been made and steps have been taken to assure that such copy will be preserved and accessible for purposes of this litigation.

5. Replacement of Data Storage Devices

Do not dispose of any electronic data storage devices and/or media which may be replaced due to failure and/or for other reasons that may contain electronic data meeting the criteria listed above.

6. Programs and Utilities:

Preserve a copy of all application programs and utilities that may be used to process electronic data covered by this letter.

7. Log of System Modification:

Maintain an activity log to document modifications made to any electronic data processing system that may affect any system's capability to process any electronic data meeting the criteria listed above, regardless of whether such modifications were made by employees, contractors, vendors, and/or any other third party.

8. Personal Computers Used by Employees, Contractors, and Others under the Control of Westfax

The following steps should immediately be taken in regard to all personal Computers used by such persons:

- a. As to fixed drives attached to any such personal computer:
 - i. A true and correct "bit stream" copy should be made of all electronic data on such fixed drives relating to Defendants;
 - ii. Full directory listing (including hidden files) for all directories and subdirectories (including hidden files) on such fixed drives should be written; and
 - iii. Such copies and listings should be preserved until this matter reaches its final resolution.
- b. All floppy diskettes, magnetic tapes and cartridges, compact disks, zip drives and zip files, removable drives, and other media used in connection with such computers prior to the date of delivery of this letter, containing any electronic data relating to Defendants should be collected and put into storage, available for inspection, for the duration of this lawsuit, unless a true and correct copy of each such electronic file has been made and steps have been taken to assure that such copy will be preserved and accessible for purposes of this litigation. The copy should be an exact mirror ("bit stream") image.

9. Evidence Created Subsequent to this Letter:

With regard to electronic data created subsequent to the date of delivery of this letter, relevant evidence should not be destroyed and you should take whatever steps are appropriate to avoid destruction of such evidence.

Our motion to preserve evidence in the instant matter is being filed concurrently with the sending of this letter. Please feel free to contact me with questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Jonathan B. Piper

encl.

cc: William Hayes

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CAMP DRUG STORE, INC., individually and as representative of a class DEFENDANTS ANTHONY WAYNE THOMPSON, dba AmpleMedical and amplemedical.com

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Madison County, IL (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Broward County, FL (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Phillip A. Bock, Bock Hatch Lewis & Oppenheim, LLC, 134 N. LaSalle St., Suite 1000, Chicago, IL 60602 312-658-5500 Attorneys (If Known)

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: MIAMI-DADE MONROE BROWARD PALM BEACH MARTIN ST. LUCIE INDIAN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Form with checkboxes for jurisdiction and citizenship. Includes categories like U.S. Government Plaintiff/Defendant, Federal Question, Diversity, Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF, DEF, and incorporated/principal place of business.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions

Large grid of checkboxes for nature of suit. Categories include CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, CIVIL RIGHTS, PERSONAL INJURY, TORTS, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, and OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Re-filed (See VI below) 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation Transfer 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File 9 Remanded from Appellate Court

VI. RELATED/ RE-FILED CASE(S) (See instructions): a) Re-filed Case YES NO b) Related Cases YES NO JUDGE: DOCKET NUMBER:

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION 47 U.S.C. sec. 227, Telephone Consumer Protection Act LENGTH OF TRIAL via days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE DATE May 8, 2018 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (8) Check this box if remanded from Appellate Court.

VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.

VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

CAMP DRUG STORE, INC., individually and as representative of a class of similarly situated persons,

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ANTHONY WAYNE THOMPSON, d/b/a AmpleMedical and AmpleMedical.com,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) ANTHONY WAYNE THOMPSON 5575 NW 60th Dr. Coral Springs, FL 33067-2720

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Phillip A. Bock Bock, Hatch, Lewis & Oppenheim, LLC 134 N. LaSalle St., Suite 1000 Chicago, IL 60602 312-658-5515 (direct) phil@classlawyers.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. _____

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____ .

I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____ , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the summons on *(name of individual)* _____ , who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the summons unexecuted because _____ ; or

Other *(specify)*:

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [AmpleMedical Accused of Sending Faxes Lacking Required Opt-Out Notice](#)
