

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN: 249203)
ak@kazlg.com
245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (800) 400-6808
Facsimile: (800) 520-5523

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL G. SHAY
Daniel G. Shay (SBN: 250548)
danielshay@tcpafdcpa.com
409 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101B
San Diego, CA 92108
Telephone: (619) 222-7429
Facsimile: (866) 431-3292

[Additional Attorneys on Signature Page]

*Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Tiffany Cahill*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**TIFFANY CAHILL, Individually
and On Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**GC SERVICES LIMITED
PARTNERSHIP,**

Defendant.

Case No.: '17CV1308 L JLB

CLASS ACTION

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT
TO THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227,
ET SEQ.**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Tiffany Cahill (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of defendant GC Services, Limited Partnership (hereinafter, referred to as “Defendant”) in negligently and/or willfully or knowingly contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff’s cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, (“TCPA”), thereby invading Plaintiff’s privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and her own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by her attorney.
2. The TCPA was designed to prevent calls like the ones described within this complaint, and to protect the privacy of citizens like Plaintiff. “Voluminous consumer complaints about abuses of telephone technology – for example, computerized calls dispatched to private homes – prompted Congress to pass the TCPA.” *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 744 (2012).
3. In enacting the TCPA, Congress intended to give consumers a choice as to how creditors and telemarketers may call them, and made specific findings that “[t]echnologies that might allow consumers to avoid receiving such calls are not universally available, are costly, are unlikely to be enforced, or place an inordinate burden on the consumer. TCPA, Pub.L. No. 102–243, § 11. Toward this end, Congress found that:

[b]anning such automated or prerecorded telephone calls to the home, except when the receiving party consents to receiving the call or when such calls are necessary in an emergency situation affecting the health and safety of the consumer, is the only effective means of protecting telephone consumers from this nuisance and privacy invasion.

Id. at § 12; *see also Martin v. Leading Edge Recovery Solutions, LLC*, 2012

///

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 WL 3292838, at* 4 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 10, 2012) (citing Congressional findings
2 on TCPA’s purpose).

- 3 4. Congress also specifically found that “the evidence presented to the Congress
4 indicates that automated or prerecorded calls are a nuisance and an invasion
5 of privacy, regardless of the type of call....” *Id.* at §§ 12-13. *See also, Mims,*
6 132 S. Ct. at 744.

7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 8 5. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331
9 because this case arises out of violation of federal law. *See* 47 U.S.C. §227(b).
10 6. Defendant continuously conducts business in California. Defendant is also
11 registered in the State of California.
12 7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of
13 California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 for the following reasons: (i) at all
14 material times hereto, Plaintiff resided in the County of San Diego, State of
15 California which is within this judicial district; (ii) the conduct complained of
16 herein occurred within this judicial district; and, (iii) many of the acts and
17 transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this district because
18 Defendant placed unlawful calls to Plaintiff in this judicial district.
19 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant regularly and continuously conducts
20 business in the State of California, and thus, personal jurisdiction is
21 established.

22 **PARTIES**

- 23 9. Plaintiff is and was, at all times mentioned herein, is a natural person that
24 resided in the County of San Diego, State of California. Plaintiff is, and at all
25 times mentioned herein was, a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).
26 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that Defendant is, and
27 at all times mentioned herein was, a limited partnership organized under the
28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 laws of Delaware, registered to conduct business in the State of California,
2 and is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (39).

3 11. Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant herein Defendant conducted business
4 in the State of California and in the County of San Diego, and within this
5 judicial district.

6 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

7 12. Defendant is the largest privately-held outsourcing provider of call center
8 management and collection agency services in North America. Defendant
9 offers call center agents to accommodate various creditors. Defendant
10 regularly makes autodialed phone calls with a pre-recorded message to
11 consumers in order to collect debts.

12 13. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff did not provide her number to
13 Defendant or Defendant’s agents.

14 14. From October 24, 2016 to January 24, 2017, Defendant called Plaintiff 39
15 times. Upon information and belief, Defendant made the calls using an
16 automatic telephone dialing system (“ATDS”) as defined by 47 U.S.C. §
17 227(a)(1), with an “artificial or prerecorded voice” as prohibited by 47 U.S.C.
18 § 227(b)(1)(A).

19 15. Plaintiff did not provide Defendant with a “prior express consent” nor written
20 consent to receive calls to her cellular telephone, including those calls by
21 means of an ATDS and/or artificial or prerecorded voice message, pursuant to
22 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(2).

23 16. Defendant made the 39 unwanted autodialed calls to Plaintiff’s cellular phone
24 from the number 619-377-3116.

25 17. All of the 39 calls originated from numbers beginning with a “619” area code,
26 which is the area code for southern San Diego, California, where Plaintiff’s
27 cellular telephone was registered.

28 18. However, Defendant is based in Houston, Texas and has a “713” area code.

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 Defendant used automatic dialer technology to not only initiate the calls, but
2 also to “spoof” its number. This is a common tactic used by collection
3 companies to trick people into answering the telephone.

4 19. A number of the telephone calls from Defendant went unanswered and
5 Defendant did not leave a voice message, which is typical for calls made by
6 an ATDS.

7 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant’s ATDS has the capacity to store or
8 produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number
9 generator.

10 21. Upon information and belief, the ATDS equipment used by Defendant to
11 place the calls at issue has the capacity to dial telephone numbers
12 automatically from a stored list or database without human intervention, using
13 a random or sequential number generator.

14 22. Defendant did not have prior express consent nor written consent to place
15 calls to Plaintiff’s cellular number and falsely entice individuals to return
16 Defendant’s calls.

17 23. Defendant’s calls were not made for emergency purposes, as defined by 47
18 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

19 24. Defendant’s calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular
20 telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls
21 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

22 25. Plaintiff was personally affected by Defendant’s aforementioned conduct
23 because Plaintiff was frustrated and distressed that Defendant interrupted
24 Plaintiff with unwanted calls using an ATDS and/or prerecorded voice.

25 26. Through Defendant’s aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff suffered an invasion
26 of a legally protected interest in privacy, which is specifically addressed and
27 protected by California privacy law and the TCPA.

28 ///

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 27. Defendant’s calls forced Plaintiff and other similarly situated class members
2 to live without the utility of their cellular phones by occupying their cellular
3 telephone with one or more unwanted calls, causing a nuisance and lost time.

4 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and here upon alleges, that the calls were
5 made by Defendant and/or Defendant’s agent(s), with Defendant’s
6 permission, knowledge, control and for Defendant’s benefit.

7 29. Through the aforementioned conduct, Defendant or its agent(s) has violated
8 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

9 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

10 30. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all others
11 similarly situated (the “Class”).

12 31. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the Class, consisting of:

13 All persons within the United States who received any
14 telephone call from Defendant or its agent/s and/or
15 employee/s, not sent for emergency purposes, to said
16 person’s cellular telephone made through the use of any
17 automatic telephone dialing system and/or with an
18 artificial or prerecorded voice within the four years prior
to the filing of this Complaint.

19 32. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff
20 does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class
21 members number in the thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be
22 certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

23 33. Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at
24 least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through their agents,
25 illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular
26 telephones by using an ATDS, thereby causing Plaintiff and the Class
27 members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular
28 telephone time for which Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff
2 and the Class members were damaged thereby.

3 34. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic
4 injury on behalf of the Class, and it expressly is not intended to request any
5 recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the
6 right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional
7 persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and
8 discovery.

9 35. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their
10 claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties
11 and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or
12 Defendant's agents' records.

13 36. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact
14 involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact
15 to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class
16 members, including the following:

- 17 a) Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint,
18 Defendant or their agents initiated any telephonic communications to
19 the Class (other than a message made for emergency purposes or
20 made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any
21 automatic dialing system or prerecorded voice to any telephone
22 number assigned to a cellular phone service;
- 23 b) Whether Defendant can meet its burden of showing Defendant
24 obtained prior express written consent;
- 25 c) Whether Defendant's conduct was knowing and/or willful;
- 26 d) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and
27 the extent of damages for such violation; and
28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 e) Whether Defendant and their agents should be enjoined from
2 engaging in such conduct in the future.

3 37. As a person that received at least one telephonic communication from
4 Defendant's ATDS without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is
5 asserting claims that are typical of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and
6 adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has
7 no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.

8 38. Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a
9 result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action,
10 the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition,
11 these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and
12 Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of
13 the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could
14 afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

15 39. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and
16 claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

17 40. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of
18 this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to
19 comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in
20 individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant
21 is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for
22 violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to
23 present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class
24 claims.

25 41. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby
26 making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief
27 with respect to the Class as a whole.
28

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA
47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

43. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*

44. As a result of Defendant’s negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

45. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA
47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

47. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*

48. As a result of Defendant’s knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.*, Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

49. Plaintiff and the Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Class members pray for judgment as

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

1 follows against Defendant:

- 2 • Certify the Class as requested herein;
- 3 • Appoint Plaintiff to serve as the Class Representative in this matter;
- 4 • Appoint Plaintiff’s Counsel as Class Counsel in this matter;
- 5 • Provide such further relief as may be just and proper.

6 In addition, Plaintiff and the Class members pray for further judgment as follows
7 against Defendant:

8 **NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF**
9 **THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

- 10 • As a result of Defendant’s negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1),
11 Plaintiff seeks for herself and each Class member \$500.00 in statutory
12 damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C.
13 § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 14 • Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such
15 conduct in the future.
- 16 • Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

17 **KNOWING/WILLFUL VIOLATION OF**
18 **THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.**

- 19 • As a result of Defendant’s knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C.
20 § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for herself and each Class member \$1,500.00
21 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C.
22 § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 23 • Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such
24 conduct in the future.
- 25 • Any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

26 **TRIAL BY JURY**

27 50. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of
28 America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

1 Dated: June 27, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC

By: s/ ABBAS KAZEROUNIAN

ABBAS KAZEROUNIAN, ESQ.

AK@KAZLG.COM

7 Additional Plaintiff's Counsel:

8 **HYDE & SWIGART**

9 Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

10 josh@westcoastlitigation.com

11 Yana A. Hart, Esq. (SBN: 306499)

12 yana@westcoastlitigation.com

2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101

San Diego, CA 92108

13 Telephone: (619) 233-7770

14 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC
245 FISCHER AVENUE, UNIT D1
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Tiffany Cahill, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Abbas Kazerounian, Esq., Kazerouni Law Group, APC (800) 400-6808 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

DEFENDANTS

GC Services Limited Partnership

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

'17CV1308 L JLB

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff, 2 U.S. Government Defendant, 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party), 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country, PTF DEF, Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State, Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State, Foreign Nation

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Table with 5 columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Contains various legal categories and checkboxes.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding, 2 Removed from State Court, 3 Remanded from Appellate Court, 4 Reinstated or Reopened, 5 Transferred from Another District, 6 Multidistrict Litigation

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA")
Brief description of cause: Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.00 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 06/27/2017 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD s/ Abbas Kazerounian

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Print

Save As...

Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: [GC Services Limited Partnership Clipped with TCPA Class Action](#)
