)

))

))

)

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA 2017 NOV - 1 AM 11:00 OCALA DIVISION

LAFARRELL BUNTING, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

A TO Z WIRING, LLC, and RWL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No.: S: 17-CV-S25-OC-30PRU

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, LAFARRELL BUNTING, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated ("PLAINTIFF"), and files this Complaint against Defendants, A TO Z WIRING, LLC and RWL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (collectively "DEFENDANTS") respectfully stating unto the Court the following:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is a cause of action to recover compensatory and liquidated damages, attorney fees, and other relief from DEFENDANTS for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and for breach of contract.
- 2. At all material times, PLAINTIFF was a citizen and resident of Marion County, Florida.
- 3. At all material times, DEFENDANT A TO Z was a Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Clearwater, Florida.
- 4. At all material times, DEFENDANT RWL was a Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Sarasota, Florida.

Venue is appropriate in this division because Plaintiff performed work in Marion County,
 Florida on behalf of DEFENDANTS and it is that work which is the basis of this lawsuit.

BACKGROUND

- 6. PLAINTIFF brings this action to require DEFENDANTS to pay back wages owed to PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated, which DEFENDANTS failed to pay in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* PLAINTIFF seeks damages suffered as the result of that violation.
- At all relevant times, DEFENDANTS acted through their officers, agents, servants and employees.
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, DEFENDANTS had annual gross sales in excess of \$500,000.00 and two or more employees who regularly handled goods that moved in or were produced for interstate commerce.
- 9. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, DEFENDANTS were enterprises engaged in commerce as defined at 29 U.S.C. § 203 and joint employers.
- Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and by 29 U.S.C. §
 216(b).
- 11. PLAINTIFF worked for DEFENDANTS between from early 2016 until in or around August 2016. During this time, Plaintiff worked as a telecommunications installer.
- 12. PLAINTIFF trained for approximately four weeks without being paid for his training.
- 13. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are DEFENDANTS' current and former employees, who were not paid for their training and who worked overtime hours for DEFENDANTS, but were not compensated for those hours because DEFENDANTS misclassified them as exempt from the overtime provisions of the FLSA.

,

These additional persons, like PLAINTIFF, were at all material times, non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS who were mislabeled as independent contractors.

- 14. At all relevant times, PLAINTIFF was paid per job. He typically began his workday at
 6:00 a.m. and worked until as late as 7:00 to 8:00 at night, six days per week.
- 15. To further complicate its pay structure, DEFENDANTS began back charging PLAINTIFF and similarly situated employees for bogus complaints shortly after they started their employment. For example, if PLAINTIFF had earned a certain amount of money, DEFENDANTS would create bogus reasons to dock pay from PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated were treated the same way. This happened regularly, throughout PLAINTIFF'S employment as soon as PLAINTIFF had earned money to back charge.
- 16. DEFENDANTS failed to pay PLAINTIFF overtime pay for the overtime he worked. Instead, DEFENDANTS routinely required PLAINTIFF to work beyond 40 hours per week and failed to pay him time and one-half for any hours he worked beyond 40 hours per week.
- 17. DEFENDANTS also failed to pay PLAINTIFF for his mileage and required him to provide his own tools and transportation.
- 18. In addition, DEFENDANTS withheld PLAINTIFF'S final two paychecks.
- 19. During his time as DEFENDANTS' employee, PLAINTIFF routinely worked 70 or more hours per week, but never received time and one-half compensation for the hours he worked beyond the 40th hour each week. This is because DEFENDANTS misclassified PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated as independent contractors.
- 20. PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated have also had paychecks withheld because DEFENDANTS claimed customers complained about damage to things in their home that

purportedly occurred during installations.

- 21. The FLSA requires an employer to pay its employees at a rate of at least one and one-half their regular rate for time worked in one work week over 40 hours. This is commonly known as "time-and-a-half pay for overtime work."
- 22. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS have, since the beginning of PLAINTIFF'S employment, willingly, deliberately and intentionally refused to pay PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees time and one-half pay for overtime worked when they worked over forty hours per week.
- 23. PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees are, therefore, owed compensation for time and one-half overtime wages and back wages by DEFENDANTS, who willingly and knowingly withheld those wages.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 24. The General Allegations and Background above are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. DEFENDANTS regularly engage in commerce and their employees, including PLAINTIFF, and the similarly situated employees, handled and used materials, which have moved in interstate commerce.
- 26. At all relevant times, DEFENDANTS were joint employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, *et seq.* and are subject to the provisions of the Act.
- 27. PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees at all relevant times were non-exempt employees of DEFENDANTS, as defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq.

,

- 28. During PLAINTIFF'S employment with DEFENDANTS, PLAINTIFF performed overtime work for which no additional compensation was paid to him by DEFENDANTS in violation of the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq. More specifically, DEFENDANTS violated §7 of the FLSA by failing to pay time and one-half overtime wages to PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees when they were hourly, non-exempt employees who earned overtime pay.
- 29. PLAINTIFF and those similarly situated also trained to work as cable installers and were not compensated for their training time, in violation of the minimum wage provisions of the Act.
- Upon information and belief, DEFENDANTS' pay system was unilaterally imposed upon
 PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees.
- 31. DEFENDANTS' failure to properly administer a scheme of compensation, including, but not limited to, actual time, overtime and/or comp time compensation violates the overtime provisions of the FLSA and the regulations thereunder.
- 32. DEFENDANTS' failure to compensate PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees for all compensable hours was a willful and knowing violation of the FLSA.
- 33. As a result of DEFENDANTS' willful and knowing failure to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees, PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees have suffered substantial delays in receipt of wages owed and damages.
- 34. DEFENDANTS' failure to properly administer a compensation scheme for overtime was a willful and knowing violation of the FLSA.
- 35. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§207 and 216, DEFENDANTS owe PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees compensation for overtime work, and an additional equal amount as

.

liquidated damages, together with an additional sum for attorney's fees and costs.

36. All conditions precedent to maintaining this action have occurred or otherwise been waived.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF and the similarly situated employees demand judgment against DEFENDANTS and respectfully pray the Court that they will recover nominal damages, unpaid overtime wages, back pay, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney fees, costs of litigation and other relief by reason of DEFENDANTS' violations of the FLSA; for a trial by jury on all issues so triable; and, for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

<u>COUNT II</u> BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 37. The General Allegations and Background above are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- PLAINTIFF was an employee of DEFENDANTS and was entitled to be paid for his time worked.
- 39. PLAINTIFF performed work for DEFENDANTS in or around August 2016 and DEFENDANTS agreed to pay PLAINTIFF in the amounts of \$996 and \$756 for that work.
- 40. DEFENDANTS wrongfully then changed their mind and withheld PLAINTIFF'S final two paychecks and PLAINTIFF has been required to retain an attorney to get his compensation.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF demands judgment against DEFENDANTS and respectfully prays the Court that he will recover nominal damages, back pay, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorney fees, costs of litigation and other relief by reason of DEFENDANTS' breach of contract; for a trial by jury on all issues so triable; and, for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. Case 5:17-cv-00525-JSM-PRL Document 1 Filed 11/01/17 Page 7 of 7 PageID 7

Dated: October 29, 2017

١

i,

. . . .

Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW BIRK, LLC

/s/ Matthew W. Birk Matthew W. Birk Florida Bar No.: 92265 309 Northeast First Street Gainesville, FL 32601 (352) 244-2069 (352) 372-3464 FAX mbirk@gainesvilleemploymentlaw.com ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Case 5:17-cv-00525-JSM-PRL Document 1-1 Filed 11/01/17 Page 1 of 1 PageID 8

JS 44 (Rev. 07/16) CIVIL COVER SHEET The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM* I. (a) PLAINTIFFS LAFARRELL BUNTING, on behalf of himself and those similarly situated DEFENDANTS A TO Z WIRING, LLC and RWL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff El Paso County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. NOTE: (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) The Law Office of Matthew Birk, LLC, 309 NE 1st St., Gainesville, FL Attorneys (If Known) 32601, 352.244.2069 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF PTF D 1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question DEF PTF I Incorporated or Principal Place 04 **O** 4 Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State 01 of Business In This State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 05 05 1 2 U.S. Government O 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State of Business In Another State (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant Citizen or Subject of a Π3 3 Foreign Nation 06 06 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS 375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 🗇 120 Marine 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC) 🗇 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 690 Other
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 690
 28 USC 157 3729(a)) ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 367 Health Care/ □ 400 State Reapportionment PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment I 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical 410 Antitrust 820 Copyrights d 430 Banks and Banking Personal Injury & Enforcement of Judgmen Slander D 151 Medicare Act Product Liability 330 Federal Employers' C 830 Patent 450 Commerce d 460 Deportation 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 840 Trademark □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Injury Product Student Loans 🗇 340 Marine SOCIAL SECURITY **Corrupt Organizations** (Excludes Veterans) 345 Marine Product Liability LABOR PERSONAL PROPERTY 710 Fair Labor Standards 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment п Liability C 861 HIA (1395f) 490 Cable/Sat TV
 850 Securities/Commodities/ 862 Black Lung (923) 350 Motor Vehicle Act of Veteran's Benefits 370 Other Fraud 363 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle O 371 Truth in Lending 720 Labor/Management 364 SSID Title XVI 190 Other Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal Relations Exchange 3890 Other Statutory Actions 🗇 740 Railway Labor Act 3 865 RSI (405(g)) 195 Contract Product Liability 1 360 Other Personal Property Damage 891 Agricultural Acts
 893 Environmental Matters 385 Property Damage 751 Family and Medical 196 Franchise Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice Product Liability Leave Act 895 Freedom of Information 790 Other Labor Litteation PRISONER PETITIONS FEDERAL TAX SUITS REAL PROPERTY 7 791 Employee Retirement Act CIVIL RIGHTS 896 Arbitration Habeas Corpus: 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Income Security Act B 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 899 Administrative Procedure 🗇 220 Foreclosure 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee or Defendant) □ 442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate 3 871 IRS-Third Party Act/Review or Appeal of CJ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 443 Housing/ 26 USC 7609 Agency Decision 240 Torts to Land Sentence **1** 950 Constitutionality of 245 Tort Product Liability Accommodations 🗇 530 General IMMIGRATION 290 All Other Real Property 🗇 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty State Statutes 462 Naturalization Application Employment Other: 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration Actions Other 550 Civil Rights 448 Education 555 Prison Condition D 560 Civil Detainee **Conditions of** Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Жı Original 2 Removed from Π 3 □ 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from G 6 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict Remanded from Litigation -Transfer Litigation -Direct File Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 29 USC 216 **VI. CAUSE OF ACTION** Brief description of cause: Unpaid wages **VII. REQUESTED IN DEMANDS** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION п UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 🕱 Yes JURY DEMAND: O No **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER 5:16-CV-484 JUDGE PGB-PRL IF ANY SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE 10/29/2017 s/Matthew W. Birk

APPLYING IEP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

ClassAction.org

This complaint is part of ClassAction.org's searchable class action lawsuit database and can be found in this post: <u>A to Z Wiring, RWL Communications Owe Back Pay, Lawsuit Says</u>